

## Contents

<i>List of Figures</i>	<i>page xi</i>
<i>Preface</i>	xiii
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xv
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xvii
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xxi
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Why We Need a Normative Theory of ECJ Decision-Making	1
1.2 The Argument: An Incomplete Transformation	5
1.3 The Method: How to Research a Black Box	7
1.4 The Course of the Book	9
<b>2 What Courts Do: A Normative Theory of Court Decision-Making</b>	<b>10</b>
2.1 The Right to a Fair Trial as a Minimum Standard	10
2.2 A Liberal Theory: Christoph Möllers	13
2.3 A Rule of Law Theory: Niklas Luhmann	16
2.4 A Democratic Theory: Jürgen Habermas	20
2.5 Conclusions	24
<b>3 On the Template of the ICJ: The Court's Liberal Roots</b>	<b>26</b>
3.1 The Coal and Steel Court: Protecting Member State Interests	27
3.2 European Judges as State Representatives	29
3.3 The Parties' Case: Participation in the Coal and Steel Court	34
3.4 Deliberations and the Equality of Judges	36

<b>4</b>	<b>Luhmann in Luxembourg: The Rise of the Rule of Law Model</b>	40
4.1	A New Role for the Court: Establishing a European Rule of Law	41
4.2	The ECJ Judge: From State Representative to Neutral Expert	44
4.3	Repeat Players and Outsiders: The Many Roles of the Court's Inner Circle	58
4.4	Specialisation and Hierarchies: How the ECJ Maintains a Consistent Case Law	80
<b>5</b>	<b>Completing the Transformation: Proposals for Democratising the ECJ</b>	102
5.1	The ECJ after Lisbon: A Democratic Organ of the EU Polity	102
5.2	The Selection of ECJ Judges as a Democratic Process	105
5.3	Opening the Inner Circle	116
5.4	Time, Contestation and Equality: The Democratic Character of ECJ Decision-Making	135
<b>6</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	155
	<i>Bibliography</i>	158
	<i>Index</i>	176