

Index

Please note: page numbers in **bold type** indicate figures or tables.

- administrative data, sources of, 21
- anomalous aortic origin of the coronary artery (AAOCA), children with as segment of a cohort, 18
- asthma, measuring management of, 8
- baseline data, 12, 17, 21
 - benefits of gathering, 17
 - collection of, 21
 - common endpoints to examine, 21
 - historical/retrospective data, 24–25
 - requesting and analyzing, 24–25
 - timeframe example, 24
 - prospective data, 25–26
 - timeframe example, 25
 - sources of administrative and clinical data, 21
 - types of data and where to find it, 22–23
- basic vocabulary for outcome measurement, 17
- benefits of outcome measurement
 - appendicitis example, 63, 64
 - asthma example, 8, 63
 - Cincinnati Children’s Hospital Medical Center, tracking progress in OCD treatment, 9, 25, 26, 34
 - diabetes and pregnancy example, 31
 - electrophysiologist practice example, 13
 - for informed patient choice of treatment options, 14, 15
 - migraine care example, 20
 - statin prescribing example, 14
 - calm, concept of, 8
- Capability, Comfort, and Calm outcome framework, 30, 33
 - baseline information and improvements in care, 12
 - developers, 3, 7
 - the framework, 7–8
 - size of measure set, 33
 - taxonomy, 29
 - using, 27
- capability, examples of, 8
- Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS), Star ratings, 9
- centers of excellence based on outcomes, 13
- children’s heart surgery, outcomes team, end goal, 5
- Cincinnati Children’s Hospital Medical Center, tracking progress in OCD treatment, 9, 25, 26, 34
- cleft lip and palate, results of surgical repair as example of outcomes that matter most, 26
- Cleveland Clinic, 5
- clinical champion, role in the outcome measurement team, 61
- clinical data, sources of, 21
- clinical data managers, role in scaling outcome measurement, 57–58
- clinical registries
 - for data collection and analysis, 46–47
 - as source of potential measures, 32
- clinician leadership, and identification of outcome measures, 29
- Codman, Ernest, 1, 38
- cohorts, concept of, 18

- cohort/segment identification, 18
 - for administrators, 19–20
 - in payer organizations, 19–20
 - for clinicians, 19
 - migraine care example, 20
 - see also* patient segments
- comfort, concept of, 8
- congenital heart disease
 - anomalous aortic origin of the coronary artery (AAOCA), 18
 - children with as cohort of patients, 18
 - critical heart disease, natural history of the disease, 7
 - critical information provision, for patients and families, 14–15
 - improvements in outcomes for children with, 7
- congenital heart surgery
 - data collection, 40
 - minimal data set, 33
 - typical questions about, 19
- current landscape of measurement in health care, 1–3
- current performance, understanding of as reason to measure outcomes, 12–13
- data, baseline, *see* baseline data
- data collection and analysis, 36, 37
 - action steps, 53
 - additional data, examples of, 33
 - best person for the job, 58–59
 - clinical registries, 46–47
 - Codman’s method, 38
 - collection and storage, 37–39
 - congenital heart surgery example, 40
 - data management process, 37, 62
 - electronic health records, *see* electronic health records (EHRs)
 - ethical and legal issues, 47–49
 - extraction and analysis, 50–53
 - getting started, 53
 - integration and validation, 49–50, 60–61
 - IT staff requirements, 63
 - keeping it simple, 36
 - manual extraction *vs* electronic, 62–64
 - measurement timeline, 47
 - methods, 37–39
 - privacy regulations and, 48
 - scaling, 60
 - self-service reporting tools, 64
 - software
 - choosing, 38, 44–46
 - comparison, 54
 - Excel, *see* Excel spreadsheets
 - REDCap, *see* REDCap database
 - validation tools, 50
 - “Stages of Data Acceptance” (Berwick), 65
 - unit of analysis, 40–41
- data dictionary
 - concept of, 40
 - examples, 46, 63
 - function, 40, 50
 - maintaining, 58
 - REDCap, 43
- data integrity
 - aspects of, 38
 - concept of, 37
- database architects, potential role in validation of data, 61
- database programmers, potential role in scaling outcome measurement, 57
- descriptive statistics, 52
- developing outcome measures, “Best Practices for Developing and Validating Scales for Health, Social, and Behavioral Research: A Primer,” 32
- Die Kaufmännische (KKH) (German health plan), 20
- Donabedian, Avedis, 2
- Donabedian framework, 2
- electronic health records (EHRs)
 - building custom outcome data collection forms into, 60
 - extraction of data from, 58, 59–60, 63

- benefits, 58
- comparison with manual
 - abstraction, 62–64
- IT staff requirements for data
 - collection and analysis, 63
- limitations, 60, 64
- producers of, 32
- role of the clinical champion, 61
- “super-users,” 64
- employer, benefits of outcome
 - measurement, Walmart example, 13
- ER visits, examples of outcomes that
 - matter most, 31
- ethical and legal considerations in data
 - collection and analysis, 47–49
- ethical obligations to measure
 - outcomes, 11
- Excel spreadsheets, 38–39
 - comparison with REDCap database, 38, 41–43, 44–46, 50, 52
 - examples, 36, 42
 - Martini Klinik’s use of, 57
 - using a data dictionary with, 40
- existing measures, adapting, 34
- Experience Group™ sessions, 26
- fertility treatment eligibility, as example
 - of outcomes that matter most, 31
- gender, collection of data on, 39–40
- governance and leadership, effective, 65–66
- health, WHO definition, 3, 8
- health care
 - definition and purpose, 1, 3
 - economic considerations, 12
 - meaning of “quality” in, 1
 - outputs of, 2
- health care administrators
 - in payer organizations, 19–20
 - role in cohort/segment identification, 19–20
- health care measurement
 - current landscape, 1–3
 - reorienting to patient health measurement, 3
- health screenings, asthma, 8
- HealthMeasures (Person-Centered Assessment Resource), 32
- historical/retrospective data
 - choosing a time period, 24
 - requesting and analyzing, 24–25
 - timeframe example, 24
- hospice care, 7
- identifying outcome measures, 29–32
 - action steps, 34–35
 - adapting an existing measure, 34
 - clinician leadership and, 29
 - narrowing the measure set, 33–34
 - narrowing the measure set, Modified Delphi method, 34
 - refining your list, 32–33
- incentives, as reason for measuring
 - outcomes, 15
- inferential statistics, 52
- Institute for Healthcare
 - Improvement, 65
- institutional review boards (IRBs), 48
- integration and validation of data, 49–50
 - scaling, 60–61
- interdisciplinary care teams, cohort/
 - segment identification and, 20
- International Consortium for Health
 - Outcomes Measurement (ICHOM), 31
- Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome
 - Score (KOOS), 29
 - adaptation of survey questions, 34
- leadership, effective governance, 65–66
- learning and improvement
 - opportunities, identifying, 13
- legal and ethical considerations in data
 - collection and analysis, 47–49

- Martini Klinik, Hamburg, outcome measurement
 process, 13
 team, 56–57
- Microsoft Access, 38, 45
- Microsoft Excel, *see* Excel spreadsheets
- migraine care, creation of integrated practice unit, 20
- Nightingale, Florence, 1
- obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD), tracking progress in treatment, 9, 25, 26, 34
- on-time surgery starts, as example of outcomes that matter most, 31
- organization level scaling, *see also* outcome services, 59–60
- outcome, definition, 17
- outcome measurement
 as a launching point, 67
 basic vocabulary for, 17
 care cycle, 9–10
 creating a foundation for, 3
 end goal, role in health care and, 4–6
 incentives, 15
 individual patient level, 9
- outcome measurement triad, 62
- outcome measures
 definition, 17
 developing new measures, 32
 Donabedian framework, 2
 online source of, 32
 reasonable size of measure set, 33
 sources of, 31–32
- outcome tracking, *vs* outcome research, 36
- outcomes of health care, defining, 7
- outcomes service
 components, 59
 key functions, 59
 personnel requirements, 61
 position in the organization, 65
- outcomes that matter most
 categories, 8, 17, 29
 ER visits example, 31
 Experience Group™ sessions, 26
 external incentives for measuring, 15
 fertility treatment eligibility example, 31
 identifying, 8, 17, 26–27
 on-time surgery starts example, 31
 surgical repair of cleft lip and palate example, 26
 terminal patients, 51
 outputs of health care, 2
- patient choice, benefits of outcome measurement for informing, 14, 15
- patient cohorts, concept of, 18
- patient health measurement, reorienting from health care measurement, 3
- patient list, challenges of creating accurate list from available data, 62–63
- patient satisfaction surveys, function, 9
- patient segments
 examples, 18, 18
 identifying electronically *vs* manually, 63
see also cohort/segment identification
- patient-centred outcome measurement, increasing acceptance, 2
- patient-reported outcomes (PROs)
 collection forms, 60
 growth in use of, 1
 surveys, 21, 29
- Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System (PROMIS), 32
- patients and families, providing critical information to, 14–15
- payer organization, role in outcome measurement, 19–20
- privacy considerations in data collection, 48
- process measures, Donabedian framework, 2
- prostate cancer care
 benefits of measuring outcomes, 13
 scaling measurement example, 56

- race, collection of data on, 11, 39–40, 51
- reasons to measure outcomes, 11
 - economic considerations, 12
 - ethical obligations, 11
 - learning and improvement
 - opportunities, identifying, 13
 - patients and families, providing
 - critical information to, 14–15
 - resource allocation, 12–13
 - understanding current performance, 12–13
- REDCap database, 43, 45
 - built in data, 32
 - comparison with Excel spreadsheets, 38, 41–43, 44–46, 50, 52
 - data dictionary, 43
 - examples, 44, 45, 46
 - technical skills, 57
- reorienting to patient health
 - measurement, 3
- reporting tools, self-service, 64
- research ethics committee, 48
- resource allocation, as reason for
 - measuring outcomes, 12–13
- risk-adjustment, 51, 52
- scaling outcome measurement
 - collection and storage, 60
 - effective governance and leadership, 65–66
 - extraction and analysis, 62–64
 - integration and validation, 60–61
 - organization level scaling, 59–60
 - see also* outcome services
 - prostate cancer care example, 56
 - sharing and improvement, 64–65
 - single practice level scaling, 57–59
 - team development, 56
- segments, patient, *see* patient segments
- self-service reporting tools, 64
- sex, collection of data on, 39–40
- single practice level scaling, 57–59
- software
 - choosing, 38, 44–46
 - Excel, *see* Excel spreadsheets
 - platforms used by national registries, 57
 - REDCap, *see* REDCap database
 - statistical packages, 52–53
 - comparison, 54
 - Tableau, 64
 - validation tools, 50
- “Stages of Data Acceptance” (Berwick), 65
- starting points, 17
 - action steps, 27
 - baseline data gathering, *see* baseline data
 - cohort/segment identification, *see* cohort/segment identification
 - outcomes that matter most, *see* outcomes that matter most
 - outcomes that matter most
 - outcomes that matter most
 - statistics, descriptive and inferential, 52
- structural measures, Donabedian framework, 2
- surgical repair of cleft lip and palate,
 - results of as example of outcomes that matter most, 26
- team development, 56
- terminal patients, outcomes that matter most to, 51
- treatment options, benefits of outcome measurement for informed patient choice, 14, 15
- United States (US)
 - CMS Star ratings, 9
 - Department of Health and Human Services Office for Human Research Protections, 48
 - Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), 48
- validation and integration of data, 49–50
 - scaling, 60–61
- validation tools, 50
- in vitro fertilization (IVF) clinics, and
 - misrepresentation of clinical outcomes, 15