

A Critical Introduction to Intellectual Property Law

This highly accessible and engaging introduction to IP law encourages readers to critically evaluate the ownership of intangible goods. The rigorous pedagogy, featuring many real-world cases, both historical and up to date, full colour images, discussion exercises, end-of-chapter questions and activities, allows readers to engage fully with the philosophical concepts foundational of the subject, while also enabling them to independently analyse key cases, texts and materials relevant to IP law in the contemporary world. This innovative textbook, written by one of the leading authorities on the subject, is the ideal route to a full understanding of copyright, patents, designs, trade marks, passing off, remedies and litigation for undergraduate and beginning graduate students in IP law.

PATRICK GOOLD is a reader in law at the City University of London, and a Senior Fellow of the Higher Education Academy. He has received numerous awards for teaching and has been shortlisted for the HE Innovate Award for most innovative approach to widening participation in the curriculum. He is a leading theorist on IP law, the author of *IP Accidents* (Cambridge 2022), and the co-editor of *The Cambridge Handbook of Investment-Driven Intellectual Property* (Cambridge 2023).

A Critical Introduction to Intellectual Property Law

Texts, Cases and Materials

Patrick R Goold

The City Law School



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press & Assessment
978-1-009-18228-7 — A Critical Introduction to Intellectual Property Law

Patrick R. Goold

Frontmatter

[More Information](#)



Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 8EA, United Kingdom
One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India
103 Penang Road, #05–06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment,
a department of the University of Cambridge.

We share the University's mission to contribute to society through the pursuit of
education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781009182287

DOI: [10.1017/9781009182294](https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009182294)

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2024

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions
of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take
place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press & Assessment.

First published 2024

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication data

Names: Goold, Patrick Russell, 1987– author.

Title: A critical introduction to intellectual property law : texts, cases, and materials /

Patrick R. Goold, The City Law School.

Description: Cambridge, United Kingdom ; New York, NY : Cambridge University Press,
2024. | Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2023050838 (print) | LCCN 2023050839 (ebook) | ISBN 9781009182287
(hardback) | ISBN 9781009182270 (paperback) | ISBN 9781009182294 (ebook)

Subjects: LCSH: Intellectual property. | Copyright. | Patent laws and legislation. |
Trademarks—Law and legislation.

Classification: LCC K1401 .G66 2024 (print) | LCC K1401 (ebook) | DDC 346.04/8–dc23/
eng/20231031

LC record available at <https://lccn.loc.gov/2023050838>

LC ebook record available at <https://lccn.loc.gov/2023050839>

ISBN 978-1-009-18228-7 Hardback

ISBN 978-1-009-18227-0 Paperback

Additional resources available for this publication at www.cambridge.org/goold

Cambridge University Press & Assessment has no responsibility for the persistence
or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this
publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will
remain, accurate or appropriate.

Contents

<i>List of Figures</i>	page <i>xi</i>	2.2.2 The Utilitarian Argument	32
<i>List of Tables</i>	<i>xiii</i>	2.2.2.1 Problems with the Utilitarian Argument	35
<i>List of Boxes</i>	<i>xiv</i>	2.2.3 The Personality Argument	38
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	<i>xix</i>	2.2.3.1 Problems with the Personality Argument	40
<i>Table of Cases</i>	<i>xx</i>		
<i>Table of Legislation</i>	<i>xxviii</i>	2.3 THE INTERNATIONALISATION OF COPYRIGHT	41
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	<i>xxx</i>	2.4 SUMMARY	44
		2.5 SELF-ASSESSMENT	44
1 Introduction to Intellectual Property	1	Activity Discussion	45
1.1 THREE QUESTIONS	1	Self-Assessment Answers	47
1.1.1 The Metaphysical Question	1		
1.1.2 The Conceptual Question	4		
1.1.3 The Normative Question	6		
1.2 ARGUING ABOUT IP	8	3 Copyright II: Subject Matter	49
1.3 JURISDICTION	10	3.1 TWENTIETH-CENTURY UK SUBJECT MATTER	50
1.4 SCOPE	11	3.1.1 Works	50
1.5 BOOK FEATURES	11	3.1.2 Original	53
1.6 SELF-ASSESSMENT	12	3.1.3 A Closed List	59
Self-Assessment Answers	12	3.1.3.1 Literary	60
		3.1.3.2 Dramatic	63
PART I COPYRIGHT	15	3.1.3.3 Musical	65
2 Copyright I: Foundations of Copyright Law	17	3.1.3.4 Artistic	69
2.1 CREATING COPYRIGHT	17	3.1.3.5 LDMA as a Group	74
2.1.1 Precursors to Copyright	18	3.1.4 Non-Original ‘Works’	74
2.1.2 The Statute of Anne	20		
2.2 ARGUMENTS FOR COPYRIGHT	24	3.2 EUROPEAN INFLUENCES	75
2.2.1 The Labour Argument	25	3.2.1 Original Works	76
2.2.1.1 Problems with the Labour Argument	29	3.2.2 An Open List	80
		3.2.3 Non-Original Works	81
		3.2.4 No Formalities	81
		3.3 SUMMARY	82

3.4 SELF-ASSESSMENT	82	5.1.2 Fairness	147
Activity Discussion	83	5.1.3 Sufficient Acknowledgment	151
Self-Assessment Answers	84	5.2 TEMPORARY COPIES	151
4 Copyright III: Rights	86	5.3 PERSONAL COPYING FOR PRIVATE USE	155
4.1 ECONOMIC RIGHTS	86	5.4 DISCLOSURE IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST	157
4.1.1 Reproduction Right	87	5.5 TEXT AND DATA ANALYSIS	159
4.1.1.1 Copying	88	5.6 SUMMARY	162
4.1.1.2 Substantial Part	93	5.7 SELF-ASSESSMENT	163
4.1.1.3 Any Material Form	98	Activity Discussion	163
4.1.2 Distribution Right	99	Self-Assessment Answers	165
4.1.3 Rental and Lending Right	103		
4.1.4 Performance Right	103		
4.1.5 Communication Right	104	PART II PATENTS	167
4.1.6 Adaptation Right	113		
4.2 MORAL RIGHTS	114	6 Patents I: Foundations of Patent Law	169
4.2.1 The Integrity Right	116	6.1 INVENTING PATENTS	170
4.2.2 The Attribution and False Attribution Rights	119	6.1.1 Patents as Industrial Policy	171
4.3 DURATION	120	6.1.2 Hostility to Monopolies	173
4.4 OWNERSHIP	122	6.1.3 The Statute of Monopolies	175
4.4.1 First Ownership	123	6.1.4 The Patent Controversy in the Nineteenth Century	178
4.4.2 Assignment, Licence, Waiver	123		
4.5 SUMMARY	126	6.2 ARGUMENTS FOR PATENTS	180
4.6 SELF-ASSESSMENT	126	6.2.1 The Personality Argument Revisited	181
Activity Discussion	127	6.2.2 The Labour Argument Revisited	182
Self-Assessment Answers	128	6.2.3 The Utilitarian Arguments	184
5 Copyright IV: Exceptions	131	6.2.3.1 Invention	184
5.1 FAIR DEALING	133	6.2.3.2 Disclosure	188
5.1.1 Statutory Purposes	133	6.2.3.3 Development	190
5.1.1.1 Parody, Caricature or Pastiche	136	6.3 REGISTRATION	193
5.1.1.2 Criticism or Review	144	6.3.1 Patent Specification	193
5.1.1.3 Quotation	144	6.3.2 Registration Process	200
5.1.1.4 Reporting Current Events	146	6.3.3 Entitlement	201
5.1.1.5 Non-Commercial Research or Private Study	147	6.4 THE INTERNATIONALISATION OF PATENTS	203
		6.4.1 Paris Convention	203
		6.4.2 European Patent Convention and Patent Cooperation Treaty	204

6.4.3 TRIPS	205	8.5 SUMMARY	282
6.4.4 European Union Influence	205	8.6 SELF-ASSESSMENT	282
6.5 SUMMARY	207	Activity Discussion	283
6.6 SELF-ASSESSMENT	207	Self-Assessment Answers	284
Activity Discussion	208		
Self-Assessment Answers	209		
7 Patents II: Patentability	212	9 Patents IV: Scope	286
7.1 INVENTIONS	212	9.1 THE PATENT RIGHT	286
7.2 NOT INVENTIONS	215	9.2 CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	288
7.2.1 Discoveries	217	9.2.1 Purposive Construction	290
7.2.2 Computer Programs	222	9.2.2 Doctrine of Equivalents	297
7.2.3 Business Methods	226	9.2.3 The New British Approach: <i>Actavis</i>	300
7.2.4 Presentation of Information	228		
7.2.5 Mental Acts	230	9.3 EXCEPTIONS	304
7.3 EXCLUDED INVENTIONS	232	9.3.1 Experimental Use	305
7.3.1 Public Policy and Morality	232	9.3.2 Private Use and Prior Use	308
7.3.2 Plants and Animals	240	9.4 COMPULSORY LICENSING	308
7.3.3 Methods of Veterinary and Medical Treatment	243	9.4.1 International Provision	308
7.4 SUMMARY	244	9.4.2 UK Provision	314
7.5 SELF-ASSESSMENT	244	9.4.2.1 General	314
Activity Discussion	245	9.4.2.2 Crown Use	315
Self-Assessment Answers	246	9.5 SUMMARY	317
		9.6 SELF-ASSESSMENT	318
		Activity Discussion	319
		Self-Assessment Answers	320
8 Patents III: Patentability (Continued)	248	PART III DESIGNS	323
8.1 NOVELTY	249	10 Designs I: Foundations of Design Law	325
8.1.1 State of the Art	249	10.1 DESIGNING DESIGNS	327
8.1.2 PSITA	251	10.1.1 Inauspicious Beginnings	327
8.1.3 Enabling Disclosure	252	10.1.2 Demarcation	329
8.1.4 Novelty of Purpose	257	10.1.3 Cumulation	332
8.2 INVENTIVE STEP	260	10.2 ARGUMENTS FOR DESIGN RIGHTS	335
8.2.1 The Inventive Step Standard	261	10.3 SUMMARY	338
8.2.2 Secondary Evidence	267	10.4 SELF-ASSESSMENT	338
8.3 INDUSTRIAL APPLICATION	271	Activity Discussion	339
8.4 INTERNAL REQUIREMENTS	274	Self-Assessment Answers	340
8.4.1 Sufficiency of Disclosure	275		
8.4.2 Claims	280		

11 Designs II: Contemporary Design Rights			
11.1 REGISTERED DESIGN RIGHT	341	PART IV TRADE MARKS AND PASSING OFF	375
11.1.1 Registration	342		
11.1.2 Subject Matter	342		
11.1.2.1 Appearance	343	12 Trade Marks I: Foundations of Trade Marks and Passing Off	377
11.1.2.2 Product	345		
11.1.3 Excluded Subject Matter	345	12.1 SIGN FUNCTIONS	378
11.1.4 Grounds for Invalidity	346	12.1.1 Signs as Badges of Origin	379
11.1.4.1 Novelty	347	12.1.2 Signs as Adverts	380
11.1.4.2 Individual Character	349	12.1.3 Signs as Quality Indicators	382
11.1.5 Scope	350	12.1.4 Signs as Identity	383
11.2 UNREGISTERED DESIGN RIGHT	353	12.2 MANUFACTURING TRADE MARKS	385
11.2.1 Subject Matter	354	12.2.1 The Action of Deceit	385
11.2.2 Excluded Subject Matter	356	12.2.2 The Trade Mark as Property	388
11.2.2.1 Method or Principle of Construction	356	12.2.3 Protecting Functions beyond Origin	390
11.2.2.2 Must Fit and Must Match	357	12.2.4 The Functionalist Metaphysics of Trade Marks	396
11.2.2.3 Surface Decorations	358	12.3 ARGUMENTS FOR TRADE MARKS	397
11.2.3 Originality	359	12.3.1 Utilitarian Argument	397
11.2.4 Scope	361	12.3.1.1 Monopolies and Monopolistic Competition	397
11.3 SUPPLEMENTARY UNREGISTERED DESIGN RIGHT	362	12.3.1.2 The Pro-Competitive Effects of Trade Marks	399
11.4 COPYRIGHT FOR DESIGNS	363	12.3.2 Free Riding	402
11.4.1 Subject Matter	363	12.4 REGISTRATION	405
11.4.1.1 Twentieth-Century UK Subject Matter	363	12.5 THE INTERNATIONALISATION OF TRADE MARKS	407
11.4.1.2 European Influences	367	12.6 SUMMARY	409
11.4.2 Scope	368	12.7 SELF-ASSESSMENT	409
11.4.2.1 Section 52	368	Activity Discussion	410
11.4.2.2 Section 51	369	Self-Assessment Answers	411
11.5 SUMMARY	371	13 Trade Marks II: Absolute Grounds for Refusal	413
11.6 SELF-ASSESSMENT	371	13.1 SIGNS	413
Activity Discussion	372	13.1.1 Signs	414
Self-Assessment Answers	372		

13.1.2 Capable of Representation	416	14.2 INFRINGEMENT	477
13.1.3 Capable of Distinguishing	421	14.3 DEFENCES	485
13.2 CONDITIONALLY REGISTRABLE SIGNS	422	14.3.1 Use of Registered Mark	485
13.2.1 Devoid of a Distinctive Character	423	14.3.2 Uses in Accordance with Honest Practices	486
13.2.2 Descriptive	428	14.3.2.1 Name or Address	486
13.2.3 Customary	432	14.3.2.2 Descriptive Use	486
13.2.4 Acquired Distinctiveness	432	14.3.2.3 Nominative or Referential Use	488
13.3 UNREGISTRABLE SIGNS	438	14.3.2.4 Honest Practices	490
13.3.1 Shapes with Excluded Functionality	439	14.3.3 Exhaustion	491
13.3.1.1 Nature of the Goods	439	14.4 SUMMARY	494
13.3.1.2 Technical Result	441	14.5 SELF-ASSESSMENT	494
13.3.1.3 Substantial Value	443	Activity Discussion	495
13.3.2 Public Policy or Morality	446	Self-Assessment Answers	495
13.3.3 Deceptive Marks	449		
13.3.4 Specially Protected Emblems	449		
13.3.5 Bad Faith	450		
13.4 SUMMARY	452		
13.5 SELF-ASSESSMENT	452		
Activity Discussion	453		
Self-Assessment Answers	454		
14 Trade Marks III: Scope	456		
14.1 RELATIVE GROUNDS FOR REFUSAL	456		
14.1.1 Double Identity	456	PART V REMEDIES AND LITIGATION	519
14.1.2 Confusing Similarity	457		
14.1.3 Free Riding or Dilution	463	16 Remedies and Litigation	521
14.1.3.1 Reputation	465	16.1 FINAL INJUNCTIONS	521
14.1.3.2 Similarity	466	16.2 MONETARY REMEDIES	528
14.1.3.3 Unfair Advantage, Detimental to Distinctive Character or Repute	469	16.2.1 Monetary Damages	529
14.1.3.4 Without Due Cause	474	16.2.2 Account of Profits	540
		16.3 ADDITIONAL REMEDIES	543
		16.3.1 Punitive Damages and Criminal Sanctions	543

x *Contents*

16.3.2 Delivery Up	544	16.7 SELF-ASSESSMENT	555
16.3.3 Stopping Imports	548	Activity Discussion	556
16.4 PRETRIAL RELIEF	548	Self-Assessment Answers	556
16.4.1 Interim Injunctions	548		
16.4.2 Evidentiary Orders	551	17 Epilogue	558
16.5 UNJUSTIFIED THREATS	554		
16.6 SUMMARY	555	<i>Glossary</i>	561
		<i>Index</i>	568

Figures

1.1	Vincent van Gogh, <i>Starry Night</i> (1889)	page 2
1.2	Theory of monopoly	7
2.1	The printing press	18
2.2	Statute of Anne 1710	23
2.3	Sarah Bernhardt as Hamlet	29
2.4	‘The Pirate Publisher’, <i>Puck Magazine</i>	42
3.1	William Hill’s betting coupon	56
3.2	An extract from University of London’s exam papers	60
3.3	Original de Lalande <i>Te Deum Laudamus</i> score (1684)	67
3.4	Oasis’s <i>Be Here Now</i> cover art	70
3.5	Original stormtrooper helmet	72
4.1	The chorus in ‘Oh Why’ ((a)); the hook in Shape ((b))	89
4.2	‘Ixia’ created by Helen Burke ((a)); Marguerite created by Jane Ibbotson ((b))	93
4.3	Protests against the Directive on Copyright in the Digital Single Market (2019) took place in numerous European cities, including Berlin	104
5.1	The Vandersteen comic ((a)); the Deckmyn comic ((b))	138
6.1	Christiansen’s Toy Building Brick	170
6.2	Venetian Senate Act 1474	173
6.3	Statute of Monopolies 1624	177
6.4	Patent specification for James Puckle’s invention ‘the autocannon’	179
6.5	Christiansen minifigures Patent	194
6.6	UK patent registration	200
6.7	The patent bargain	207
7.1	DNA function	218
7.2	<i>Mus musculus</i> taxonomy	241
8.1	The evolution of toy bricks	250
8.2	Berumda-rigged sail ((a)); Square-rigged sails ((b))	261
8.3	Wind-propelled vehicle, technical drawing	262
8.4	The Sawyer and Mann incandescent light	276
8.5	Antibody structure displaying variable part	278
9.1	ATC brick	287
9.2	Catnic lintel ((a)); Hill & Smith lintel ((b))	291
9.3	Epilady ((a)); Epilady helical spring ((b)); Lady Remington rubber rod ((c))	295
9.4	The <i>Improver</i> questions	296
9.5	<i>Actavis</i> standard	302
9.6	Modified <i>Improver</i> questions	303

xii *List of Figures*

9.7	A sceptical view of the patent bargain	317
10.1	The Trunki. Community design right representation	326
11.1	Two versions of the Kiddee Case	343
11.2	The Rodeo	347
11.3	Trunki Mark I	354
11.4	Trunki Mark II	358
11.5	Interlego design drawing	365
11.6	Stein lamp base	371
12.1	A figurative sign used by the Coca-Cola Company	378
12.2	A 3D sign used by the Coca-Cola Company	396
12.3	UK trade mark registration	406
13.1	London Taxi Corp trade mark registration	426
13.2	Windsurfing Chiemsee trade mark registration	428
13.3	Kit Kat shape	433
13.4	Coca-Cola bottle shape without fluting	435
13.5	Tripp Trapp trade mark registration	440
13.6	Philips trade mark registration	442
13.7	Gömböc	444
13.8	Rock icon	452
14.1	Puma sign ((a)); Sabel sign ((b))	458
14.2	Specsavers sign ((a)); Asda sign ((b))	462
14.3	Lancôme Miracle signs ((a)); Bellure Pink Wonder ((b))	469
15.1	Jiff Lemon ((a)); ReaLemon ((b))	504

Tables

4.1	The CDPA's economic rights	page 87
4.2	The similarities and differences between Ixia and Marguerite	94
4.3	The CDPA's moral rights	114
4.4	Licences	124
5.1	The CDPA's exceptions	132
5.2	Fair dealing statutory purposes	134
5.3	Copyright's associated rights	162
9.1	Patent infringing acts	287

Boxes

1.1	Abraham Drassinower, <i>What's Wrong with Copying?</i> (Harvard UP 2015)	page 3
1.2	Thomas C Grey, 'The Disintegration of Property' (1980) Nomos XII: Property	4
1.3	Ruth Towse, <i>Textbook of Cultural Economics</i> (2nd edn, CUP 2019)	6
1.4	Peter Smith, <i>An Introduction to Formal Logic</i> (CUP 2003)	8
1.5	Peter Smith, <i>An Introduction to Formal Logic</i> (CUP 2003)	9
2.1	John Locke's 'Memorandum on the Licensing Act 1662'	19
2.2	Daniel Defoe, <i>An Essay on the Regulation of the Press</i> (1704)	21
2.3	Daniel Defoe, <i>An Essay on the Regulation of the Press</i> (1704)	21
2.4	Statute of Anne 1710	23
2.5	John Locke, <i>Two Treatises of Government</i> (1690)	26
2.6	Robert Merges, <i>Justifying Intellectual Property</i> (Harvard UP 2011)	28
2.7	Robert Nozick, <i>Anarchy, State, and Utopia</i> (Basic Books 1974)	30
2.8	Seana Valentine Shiffrin, 'Lockean Arguments for Private Intellectual Property' in Stephen R Munzer (ed), <i>New Essays in the Legal and Political Theory of Property</i> (CUP 2001)	31
2.9	Oren Bracha and Talha Syed, 'Beyond Efficiency: Consequences Sensitive Theories of Copyright' (2014) 29 Berkeley Tech LJ	33
2.10	Robert Merges, <i>Justifying Intellectual Property</i> (Harvard UP 2011)	36
2.11	Stephen Breyer, 'The Uneasy Case for Copyright: A Study of Copyright in Books, Photocopies, and Computer Programs' (1970) 84 Harv L Rev	37
2.12	Georg Wilhelm Friedrich Hegel, <i>Elements of Philosophy of Right</i> (1821)	39
3.1	Cheng Lim Saw, 'Protecting the Sound of Silence in 4'33: A Timely Revisit of Basic Principles in Copyright Law' (2005) 27 EIPR	65
Case 3.1	<i>Donoghue v Allied Newspapers Limited</i> [1938] 1 Ch 106	51
Case 3.2	<i>Walter v Lane</i> [1900] AC 539	54
Case 3.3	<i>Ladbroke (Football) Ltd v William Hill (Football) Ltd</i> [1964] 1 WLR 273	56
Case 3.4	<i>University of London Press, Limited v University Tutorial Press, Limited</i> [1916] 2 Ch 601	60
Case 3.5	<i>Exxon Corporation and Others v Exxon Insurance Consultants International Ltd</i> [1982] Ch 119	61
Case 3.6	<i>Green v Broadcasting Corp of New Zealand</i> [1989] RPC 700	64
Case 3.7	<i>Sawkins v Hyperion Records Ltd</i> [2005] EWCA Civ 565	66
Case 3.8	<i>Creation Records Limited and Others v News Group Newspapers Ltd</i> [1997] EMLR 444	69
Case 3.9	<i>Lucasfilm Ltd and others v Ainsworth and another</i> [2011] UKSC 39	72
Case 3.10	<i>Levola Hengelo BV v Smilde Foods BV</i> , Case C-310/17, EU:C:2018:899	76
Case 3.11	<i>Eva-Maria Painer v Standard Verlags GmbH</i> , Case C-145/10 [2012] ECDR (6) 89 (ECJ)	78
4.1	Peter Baldwin, <i>The Copyright Wars: Three Centuries of Trans-Atlantic Battle</i> (Princeton UP 2014)	115

Case 4.1	<i>Sheeran v Chokri</i> [2022] EWHC 827 (Ch)	89
Case 4.2	<i>Designers Guild Ltd v Russell Williams (Textiles) Ltd</i> [2001] 1 WLR 2416	93
Case 4.3	<i>Nederlands Uitgeversverbnd, Groep Algemene Uitgevers v Tom Kabinet Internet BV</i> , C-263/18 (2019) (CJEU)	100
Case 4.4	<i>TuneIn Inc v Warner Music UK Ltd</i> [2021] EWCA Civ 441	105
Case 4.5	<i>GS Media BV v Sanoma Media Netherlands BV and others</i> , C-160/15 (2016) (CJEU)	112
Case 4.6	<i>Confetti Records v Warner Music UK Ltd</i> [2003] EMLR 35	116
Case 4.7	<i>Sawkins v Hyperion Records Ltd</i> [2005] EWCA Civ 565	119
Case 4.8	<i>Eldred v Ashcroft</i> 537 US 186 (2003), Brief of 17 Economists	121
5.1	<i>Digital Opportunity: A Review of Intellectual Property and Growth</i> (Dept for Business, Innovation & Skills 2011)	134
5.2	Artificial Intelligence and Intellectual Property: Copyright and Patents: Government Response to Consultation (28 June 2022)	160
Case 5.1	<i>Shazam v Only Fools the Dining Experience</i> [2022] EWHC 1379 (IPEC)	137
Case 5.2	<i>Pelham GmbH v Ralf Hütter and Florian Schneider-Esleben</i> , Case C-476/17, EU: C:2019:624	144
Case 5.3	<i>England & Wales Cricket Board v Tixdaq & Fanatix</i> [2016] EWHC 575 (Ch)	146
Case 5.4	<i>Public Relations Consultants Association Limited v The Newspaper Licensing Agency Limited and others</i> [2013] UKSC 18.	152
Case 5.5	<i>Stichting Brein v Jack Frederik Wullems (Filmspeler)</i> , Case C-527/15 (ECJ)	153
Case 5.6	<i>R (on the application of British Academy of Songwriters, Composers and Authors) v Secretary of State for Business, Innovation & Skills</i> [2015] EWHC 1723 (Admin)	155
Case 5.7	<i>Ashdown v Telegraph Group</i> [2002] Ch 149	157
6.1	Christine MacLeod, <i>Inventing the Industrial Revolution: The English Patent System, 1660–1800</i> (CUP 1988)	171
6.2	Venetian Senate Act 1474	172
6.3	Christine MacLeod, <i>Inventing the Industrial Revolution: The English Patent System, 1660–1800</i> (CUP 1988)	173
6.4	Christine MacLeod, <i>Inventing the Industrial Revolution: The English Patent System, 1660–1800</i> (CUP 1988)	176
6.5	Statute of Monopolies 1624, s 6	177
6.6	Fritz Machlup and Edith Penrose, ‘The Patent Controversy in the Nineteenth Century’ (1950) 10 Journal of Economic History	179
6.7	Thomas Jefferson, Letter to Isaac McPherson (13 August 1813) in Andrew A Lipscomb and Albert Ellery Bergh (eds), <i>The Writings of Thomas Jefferson</i> , vol 13 (Thomas Jefferson Memorial Association 1904)	182
6.8	Jeremy Bentham, ‘A Manual of Political Economy’ in John Bowring (ed), <i>The Works of Jeremy Bentham</i> , vol 3 (1843)	184
6.9	Michele Boldrin and David K Levine, ‘The Case against Patents’ (2013) 27(1) Journal of Economic Perspectives	186
6.10	Petra Moser, ‘Patents and Innovation: Evidence from Economic History’ (2013) 27 Journal of Economic Perspectives	187
6.11	Fritz Machlup and Edith Penrose, ‘The Patent Controversy in the Nineteenth Century’ (1950) 10 Journal of Economic History	188

6.12	Robert P Merges and Richard R Nelson, ‘On the Complex Economics of Patent Scope’ (1990) 90 Colum L Rev	190
6.13	<i>Thaler v Comptroller-General of Patents, Designs and Trademarks</i> [2021] EWCA Civ 1374	201
Case 6.1	<i>Darcy v Allein</i> (1601) 77 ER 1260	174
7.1	Talha Syed, ‘Reconstructing Patent Eligibility’ (2021) 70 Am U L Rev	213
7.2	Justine Pila, <i>The Subject Matter of Intellectual Property</i> (OUP 2017)	214
7.3	EPO Opposition Division	219
Case 7.1	<i>Howard Florey/Relaxin</i> [1995] EPOR 541	218
Case 7.2	<i>Association for Molecular Pathology et al v Myriad Genetics Inc et al</i> 596 US 576 (2013) (US)	220
Case 7.3	<i>Pension Benefits Systems Partnership/Controlling Pension Benefits Systems</i> , T931/95 [2002] EPOR	223
Case 7.4	<i>Symbian Limited v Comptroller General of Patents</i> [2008] EWCA Civ 1066	224
Case 7.5	<i>Hitachi/Auction method</i> (T258/03) [2004] EPOR 55	226
Case 7.6	<i>Gemstar-TV Guide International Inc v Virgin Media Ltd</i> [2009] EWHC 3068 (Ch)	228
Case 7.7	<i>Halliburton Energy Service’s Patent Application</i> [2011] EWHC 2508 (Pat)	230
Case 7.8	<i>Harvard/Transgenic Animals</i> , T315/03 (2006) OJ EPO 15	234
Case 7.9	<i>Plant Genetic Systems</i> [1995] OJ EPO 545	237
Case 8.1	<i>Synthon BV v SmithKline Beecham plc</i> [2005] UKHL 59	252
Case 8.2	<i>Merrell Dow v Norton</i> [1996] RPC 76 (HL)	254
Case 8.3	<i>Mobil/Friction Reducing Additive</i> , G2/88 [1990] OJ EPO 114	258
Case 8.4	<i>Windsurfing International v Tabor Marine</i> [1985] RPC 59 (CA)	261
Case 8.5	<i>Hospira v Genentech</i> [2016] EWCA Civ 780	265
Case 8.6	<i>Haberman v Jackel International</i> [1999] FSR 683	267
Case 8.7	<i>Human Genome Sciences v Eli Lilly</i> [2011] USKC 51	271
Case 8.8	<i>Regeneron Pharmaceuticals Inc v Kymab Ltd</i> [2020] UKSC 27	277
Case 9.1	<i>Catnic Components Ltd v Hill & Smith Ltd</i> [1982] RPC 183 (HL)	291
Case 9.2	<i>Graver Tank & MFG Co, Inc v Linde Air Products Co</i> , 339 US 605 (1950) (USA)	298
Case 9.3	<i>Actavis v Eli Lilly</i> [2017] UKSC 48	300
Case 9.4	<i>CoreValve Inc v Edwards Lifesciences</i> [2009] EWHC 6 (Pat)	305
Case 9.5	<i>Bayer v Natco</i> , Indian Intellectual Property Appellate Board OA/35/2012/PT/MUM (4 March 2013) (India)	310
10.1	Lionel Bently, ‘The Design/Copyright Conflict in the United Kingdom: A History’ in Estelle Derclaye, <i>The Copyright/Design Interface</i> (CUP 2018)	327
10.2	Lionel Bently, ‘The Design/Copyright Conflict in the United Kingdom: A History’ in Estelle Derclaye, <i>The Copyright/Design Interface</i> (CUP 2018)	329
10.3	Lionel Bently, ‘The Design/Copyright Conflict in the United Kingdom: A History’ in Estelle Derclaye, <i>The Copyright/Design Interface</i> (CUP 2018)	329
10.4	Lionel Bently, ‘The Design/Copyright Conflict in the United Kingdom: A History’ in Estelle Derclaye, <i>The Copyright/Design Interface</i> (CUP 2018)	330
10.5	Lionel Bently, ‘The Design/Copyright Conflict in the United Kingdom: A History’ in Estelle Derclaye, <i>The Copyright/Design Interface</i> (CUP 2018)	332

10.6	Kal Raustiala and Christopher Sprigman, ‘The Piracy Paradox: Innovation and Intellectual Property in Fashion Design’ (2006) 92 <i>Virginia L Rev</i>	336
Case 10.1	<i>Gorham Mfg Co v White</i> 81 US 511 (1872) (US)	336
11.1	Trunki clasp design	357
11.2	Inside of the Trunki	360
11.3	Lionel Bently, ‘The Design/Copyright Conflict in the United Kingdom: A History’ in Estelle Derclaye (ed), <i>The Copyright/Design Interface</i> (CUP 2018)	369
Case 11.1	<i>Magmatic ('Trunki') v PMS International Group</i> [2013] EWHC 1925 (Pat) revd in <i>PMS International Group v Magmatic ('Trunki')</i> [2014] EWCA Civ 181, affd in [2016] UKSC 12	343
Case 11.2	<i>Interlego AG v Tyco Industries</i> [1989] AC 217	365
12.1	Thomas Drescher, ‘The Transformation and Evolution of Trademarks: From Signals to Symbols to Myth’ (1992) 82 <i>TM Rep</i>	379
12.2	Thomas Drescher, ‘The Transformation and Evolution of Trademarks: From Signals to Symbols to Myth’ (1992) 82 <i>TM Rep</i>	380
12.3	Thomas Drescher, ‘The Transformation and Evolution of Trademarks: From Signals to Symbols to Myth’ (1992) 82 <i>TM Rep</i>	381
12.4	Thomas Drescher, ‘The Transformation and Evolution of Trademarks: From Signals to Symbols to Myth’ (1992) 82 <i>TM Rep</i>	382
12.5	Thomas Drescher, ‘The Transformation and Evolution of Trademarks: From Signals to Symbols to Myth’ (1992) 82 <i>TM Rep</i>	383
12.6	Lionel Bently, ‘From Communication to Thing: Historical Aspects of the Conceptualisation of Trade Marks as Property’ in Graeme Dinwoodie and Mark Janis (eds), <i>Trademark Law and Theory: A Handbook of Contemporary Research</i> (Edward Elgar 2008)	388
12.7	Frank Schechter, ‘The Rational Basis of Trade Mark Protection’ (1927) 40 <i>Harv L Rev</i>	391
12.8	Frank Schechter, ‘The Rational Basis of Trade Mark Protection’ (1927) 40 <i>Harv L Rev</i>	392
12.9	Frank Schechter, ‘The Rational Basis of Trade Mark Protection’ (1927) 40 <i>Harv L Rev</i>	393
12.10	Rebecca Tushnet, ‘Gone in 60 Milliseconds: Trademark Law and Cognitive Science’ (2008) 86 <i>Tex L Rev</i>	395
12.11	Nicholas Economides, ‘The Economics of Trademarks’ (1988) 78 <i>TM Rep</i>	397
12.12	William M Landes and Richard A Posner, ‘Trade Mark Law: An Economic Perspective’ (1987) <i>Journal of Law and Economics</i>	400
12.13	Robert Nozick, <i>Anarchy, State and Utopia</i> (Basic Books [1974] 2013)	403
Case 12.1	<i>Croft v Day</i> (1843) 7 Beavan 84	386
Case 13.1	<i>Dyson Ltd v Registrar of Trade Marks</i> , Case C-321/03 [2007] ECR I-687	414
Case 13.2	<i>Ralf Sieckmann v Deutsches Patent-und Markenamt</i> , Case C-273/00 [2002] ECR I-11737	417
Case 13.3	<i>Libertel Groep BV v Benelux-Merkenbureau</i> , Case C-104/01 [2003] ECR I-3793	418
Case 13.4	<i>Société des Produits Nestlé SA v Cadbury UK Ltd</i> [2013] EWCA Civ 1174	420
Case 13.5	<i>London Taxi Corp v Frazer-Nash Research Ltd</i> [2017] EWCA Civ 1729	425
Case 13.6	<i>Windsurfing Chiemsee Produktions und Vertriebs GmbH v Boots und Segelzubehör Walter Huber</i> , Joined Cases C-108/97 and C-109/97, EU:C:1999:230, [1999] ECR I-2779	428
Case 13.7	<i>Proctor & Gamble Co v Office for Harmonization in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM)</i> , Case C-383/99 P	430

Case 13.8 <i>Société des Produits Nestlé SA v Cadbury UK Ltd</i> [2017] EWCA Civ 358	433
Case 13.9 <i>The Coca-Cola Corporation v Office for Harmonization in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM)</i> , Case T-411/14, EU:T:2016:94	435
Case 13.10 <i>Hauck GmbH & Co KG v Stokke A/S</i> , Case C-205/13, EU:C:2014:322	439
Case 13.11 <i>Koninklijke Philips v Remington</i> , Case 299/99 [2002] ECR I-5475	442
Case 13.12 <i>Gömböc Kutató, Szolgáltató és Kereskedelmi Kft. v Szellemi Tulajdon Nemzeti Hivatala</i> , Case C-237/19, EU:C:2020:296	444
Case 13.13 <i>Constantin Film Produktion v EUIPO</i> , Case C-240/18, EU:C:2020:118	446
Case 13.14 <i>Sky v SkyKick</i> , Case C-371/18, EU:C:2020:45	450
Case 14.1 <i>Sabel BV v Puma, Rudolf Dassler Sport</i> , Case C-251/95 [1997] ECR I-6191	457
Case 14.2 <i>Canon Kabushiki Kaisha v Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Inc</i> [1999] 1 CMLR 77	459
Case 14.3 <i>Specsavers Intl Healthcare v Asda Stores</i> [2010] EWHC 2035 (Ch), affirmed in part in [2012] EWCA Civ 24	461
Case 14.4 <i>General Motors Corporation v Yplon</i> , Case C-375/97 [1999] ECR I-5421	465
Case 14.5 <i>Intel Corp v CPM UK</i> , Case C-252/07 [2008] ECR I-8823	467
Case 14.6 <i>L'Oréal v Bellure</i> , Case C-487/07 [2009] ECR I-5185, [2010] EWCA Civ 535	469
Case 14.7 <i>Interflora v Marks & Spencer</i> , Case C-323/09 [2011] ECR I-8625	474
Case 14.8 <i>Arsenal v Reed</i> , Case C-206/01 [2002] ECR I-10273	477
Case 14.9 <i>Google France v Louis Vuitton</i> , Cases C-236/08–238/08 [2010] ECR I-2417	482
Case 14.10 <i>Mitsubishi v Duma Forklifts</i> , Case C-129/17, EU:C:2018:594	484
Case 14.11 <i>Hölterhoff v Freiesleben</i> , Case C-2/00 [2002] ECR I-4187	487
Case 14.12 <i>New Kids on the Block v News America Publishing, Inc</i> 971 F.2d 302 (1992) (US)	489
Case 14.13 <i>L'Oréal v eBay International</i> , Case C-324/09 [2011] ECR I-6011	491
Case 15.1 <i>Starbucks (HK) Limited and another (Appellants) v British Sky Broadcasting Group plc and others (Respondents)</i> [2015] UKSC 31	498
Case 15.2 <i>Reckitt & Colman Products Ltd v Borden Inc (No 3)</i> [1990] 1 WLR 491 (1990)	503
Case 15.3 <i>AG Spalding Brothers v AW Gamage, Ltd</i> [1915] All ER Rep 147	507
Case 15.4 <i>Erven Warnink BV v J Townend & Sons (Hull) Ltd</i> [1979] AC 731 (1979)	509
Case 15.5 <i>Lego v Lemelstrich</i> [1983] FSR 155	513
Case 16.1 <i>eBay v MercExchange LLC</i> , 547 US 388 (2006) (US)	522
Case 16.2 <i>Evalve Inc v Edwards Lifescience</i> [2020] EWHC 513 (Pat)	525
Case 16.3 <i>General Tire v Firestone</i> [1975] 1 WLR 819	529
Case 16.4 <i>Fabio v LPC Group</i> [2012] EWHC 911 (Ch)	534
Case 16.5 <i>Henderson v All Around the World</i> [2014] EWHC 3087 (IPEC)	539
Case 16.6 <i>Potton v Yorkclose</i> [1990] FSR 11	541
Case 16.7 <i>Merck Canada v Sigma (No 2)</i> [2013] EWCA Civ 326	544
Case 16.8 <i>American Cyanamid Co (No 1) v Ethicon Ltd</i> [1975] AC 396	548
Case 16.9 <i>Anton Piller KG v Manufacturing Processes Ltd</i> [1976] Ch 55	552
17.1 Mark A Lemley, ‘Faith-Based Intellectual Property’ (2015) 62 UCLA L Rev	559

Acknowledgements

Writing this book was quite the journey. I would like to thank everyone at Cambridge University Press for making the journey possible. I am particularly grateful to Helen Shannon for keeping me on track and guiding the book's development. Along the way, a fleet of colleagues in the IP community provided assistance, sometimes without even realising it. The list includes Graeme Dinwoodie, Shubha Ghosh, Oren Bracha, Talha Syed, David Simon, Marc Mimler, Enrico Bonadio, Luke McDonagh, Estelle Derclaye and a team of anonymous reviewers. I also thank Kyla Allynna Donnelly for her research assistance, and my colleagues at City for their support.

But the greatest appreciation is reserved for my family and my partner, Lisa Dresser. Thank you for putting up with all the missed evenings, weekends and holidays while I worked on this project. A special acknowledgement goes to my little dog Archibald who demanded to sit on my lap and 'help' me write.

Table of Cases

A Fulton v Totes Isotoner (UK) [2003] EWCA Civ 1514, [2004] RPC (16) 301	373
Actavis v Eli Lilly [2017] UKSC 48	294, 296, 300–4, 321, 563
Adam Opel v Autec, Case C-48/05 [2007] ECR I-1017	488, 496
Adidas-Salomon AG and Adidas Benelux BV v Fitnessworld, Case C-408/01 [2003] ECR I-12537, [2004] 1 CMLR (4) 448	467, 468, 496
Aerotel Ltd (A Company Incorporated Under the Laws of Israel) v Telco Holdings Ltd, Telco Global Distribution Ltd, Telco Global Ltd [2006] EWCA Civ 1371	216, 217, 225, 231, 247
AG Spalding Brothers v AW Gamage, Ltd [1915] ALL ER Rep 147	412, 499
	507, 518
American Cyanamid Co (No 1) v Ethicon Ltd [1975] AC 396	548–49
AMP Inc v Utilux Pty Ltd [1971] FSR 572	333, 364
Anton Piller KG v Manufacturing Processes Ltd [1976] Ch 55	552–53
	556, 566
Arsenal v Reed, Case C-206/01 [2002] ECR I-10273	477–78, 481, 496
Arsenal Football Club Plc v Matthew Reed [2002] EWHC 2695 (Ch)	493
Artistic Upholstery v Art Forma (Furniture) [1999] 4 All ER 277	518
Ashdown v Telegraph Group [2002] Ch 149	157–58, 165
AssiDoman Multipack (formerly Multipack Wraparound Systems) v Mead Corp [1995] FSR 225	321
Association for Molecular Pathology et al v Myriad Genetics Inc et al US 576 (2013) (US)	220–21
Azumi Ltd v Zuma's Choice Pet Products Ltd [2017] EWHC 609 (IPEC)	474, 496
Bach v Longman (1777) 2 Cowp 623	85
Baigent v Random House [2007] EWCA Civ 247	52
Balmoral [1999] RPC 297	496
Barnsley Brewery Co v RBNB [1997] FSR 462	518
BASF/Metal Refining, Case T-24/81 [1979–85] B EPOR 354	285
Basic Trademark [2005] RPC 611	455
Bayer Co v United Drug Co 272 F 505 (SDNY 1921) (US)	454
Bayer v Natco, Indian Intellectual Property Appellate Board OA/35/2012/PT/MUM (4 March 2013) (India)	310–14

Bayerische Motorenwerke v Ronald Karel Deenik, Case C-63/97 [1999]	
ECR I-905	496
Best-Lock (Europe) Ltd v OHIM, Lego Juris A/S, Case T-395/14,	
EU:T:2015:380, affd Case C-451/15P, EU:C:2016:269	455
Biogen v Medeva [1997] RPC 1	253, 266, 277, 285
Blue IP v KCS Herr-Voss [2004] EWHC 97 (Ch)	496
Bobbs-Merrill Co v Straus 210 U.S. 339 (1908) (US)	129
Boulton and Watt v Bull (1795) 126 ER 651	210, 285
Bridgeport Music v Dimension Films 410 F3d 792 (US)	97
Britain and Others v Hank Brothers (1902) 86 LT 765	330
British Leyland v Armstrong [1986] AC 577	334
British Sugar v Robertson [1996] RPC 281	496
 Caffé Nero, Case T-29/16, EU:T:2016:635	449, 455
Cain Cellars v OHIM, Case T-304/05 [2007] ECR II-113	454
Campina Melkunie v Benelux-Merkenbureau, Case C-265/00 [2004] ECR	
I-1699	454
Canon Kabushiki Kaisha v Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Inc, Case C-39/97 [1998]	
ECR I-5507	463, 496
Canon Kabushiki Kaisha v Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Inc [1999]	
1 CMLR 77	459–60
Cass Ire civ, 5 December 2006: RIDA 1/2007, 359	129
Catnic Components Ltd v Hill & Smith Ltd [1982] RPC 183 (HL)	291–95
299, 301, 303, 318, 321	
Chiron v Murex (No 12) [1996] RPC 535	285
Clark v Associated Newspapers (1998) 1 WLR 1558	120
Claudia Oberhauser v OHIM, Case T-6/01 [2002] ECR II-4335	496
Coca-Cola Corporation v Office for Harmonization in the Internal Market	
(Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM), Case T-411/14, EU:T:2016:94 ...	435–36
Cofemel – Sociedade de Vestuário SA v G-Star Raw CV, Case C-683/17,	
EU:C:2019:721	80, 137, 367–68
Confetti Records v Warner Music UK Ltd [2003] EMLR 35	116–18
Constantin Film Produktion v EUIPO, Case C-240/18, EU:C:2020:118 ...	446–49
CoreValve Inc v Edwards Lifesciences [2009] EWHC 6 (Pat)	305–7
Coventry v Lawrence [2014] UKSC 13	525, 526
Creation Records Limited and others v News Group Newspapers Ltd [1997]	
EMLR 444	69–70, 74
Croft v Day (1843) 7 Beavan 84	386–88, 456
 Darcy v Allein (1601) 77 ER 1260 ('The Case of Monopolies')	174, 176, 254
Deckman v Vandersteen, Case C-201/13, EU:C:2014:2132	138
Designers Guild Ltd v Russell Williams (Textiles) Ltd [2001]	
1 WLR 2416	93–95

Deutsche Grammophon GmbH v Metro GmbH, Case C-78/70 [1971]	
ECR 487	129
Doceram v CeramTec, Case C-395/16, EU:C:2018:172	373
Donaldson v Becket (1774) 2 Brown's Parl Cases (2d ed) 129, 1 Eng Rep 837; 4 Burr 2408, 98 Eng Rep 257; 17 Cobbett's Parl Hist 953	24
Donoghue v Allied Newspapers Limited [1938] 1 Ch 106	51–52, 53
Dyson Ltd v Registrar of Trade Marks, Case C-321/03 [2007]	
ECR I-687	414–16
Dyson v Registrar of Trade Marks [2003] EWHC 1062 (Ch)	454
eBay v MercExchange LLC 547 US 388 (2006) (US)	519, 522–24
Edelsten v Edelsten (1863) 1 De G & Sm 18, 46 ER 72	389
Eldred v Ashcroft 537 US 186 (2003)	121–22
Electric and Musical Industries Ltd v Lissen Ltd (1938) 56 RPC 23	321
Elizabeth Florence Emanuel v Continental Shelf 138, Case C-259/04 [2006]	
ECR I-3089	455
Elvis Presley Trade Marks [1997] RPC 543	454
England & Wales Cricket Board v Tixdaq & Fanatix [2016] EWHC 575 (Ch)	146–47, 151
Entick v Carrington (1765) 2 Wils KB 275	553
Erven Warnink BV v J Townend & Sons (Hull) Ltd [1979]	
AC 731 (1979)	500, 509–10
Evalve Inc v Edwards Lifescience [2020] EWHC 513 (Pat)	525–26, 528
Eva-Maria Painer v Standard Verlags GmbH, Case C-145/10 [2012]	
ECDR (6) 89 (ECJ)	78–79
Exxon Corporation and Others v Exxon Insurance Consultants International Ltd [1982] Ch 119	61–62
Fabio v LPC Group [2012] EWHC 911 (Ch)	534–35
Farmers Build v Carier Bulk Materials Handling [1999] RPC 461	373
FCUK [2007] RPC 1	455
Feist Publications, Inc v Rural Telephone Service Co, Inc, 499 US 340 (1991) (US)	129
Flos SpA v Semararo Case e Famiglia SpA, Case C-168/09, [2011]	
ECR I-181	368, 370, 373
Folsom v Marsh (CCD Mass 1841) 9 F Cas 342	12
Fraud Music Company v Ford Motor Company, BL O/504/13	496
Fromageries Bel SA v J Sainsbury plc [2019] EWHC 3454 (Ch)	454
Funke Medien v Bundesrepublik Deutschland, Case C-469/17, EU:C:2019:623	165
Gemstar v Virgin [2011] EWCA Civ 302	226
Gemstar-TV Guide International Inc v Virgin Media Ltd [2009] EWHC 3068 (Ch)	228–29

Genentech/t-PA, Case T-923/92 [1996] EPOR 275	285
General Motors Corporation v Yplon, Case C-375/97 [1999] ECR I-5421	465–66, 468
General Tire v Firestone [1975] 1 WLR 819	529–30, 532, 534, 543
George Hensher Ltd v Restawile Upholstery (Lancs) Ltd [1976] AC 64	71
Ghazilian's Application [2002] RPC 628	455
Gillette v LA-Laboratories, Case C-228/03 [2005] ECR I-2337	489, 490
Gömböc Kutató, Szolgáltató és Kereskedelmi Kft. v Szellemei Tulajdon Nemzeti Hivatala, Case C-237/19, EU:C:2020:296	444–45, 453
Google France v Louis Vuitton, Cases C-236/08–238/08 [2010] ECR I-2417	476, 482–83
Gorham Mfg Co v White 81 US 511 (1872) (US)	336
Graver Tank & MFG Co, Inc v Linde Air Products Co, 339 US 605 (1950) (USA)	298–99
Green v Broadcasting Corp of New Zealand [1989] RPC 700	64–65, 74
Groente en fruit, R 595/2012–3 (18 February 2013)	373
GS Media BV v Sanoma Media Netherlands BV and others, Case C-160/15 (2016) (CJEU)	108, 109, 112–13, 128
Gyles v Wilcox (1740) 26 ER 489	88, 133
Haberman v Jackel International [1999] FSR 683	267–69
Halliburton Energy Service's Patent Application [2011] EWHC 2508 (Pat)	230–31
Harries v Air King Prod. Co, 183 F.2d 158, 162 (2d Cir. 1950) (US)	285
Harms v Martans [1927] 1 Ch 526	129
Harvard/Transgenic Animals, Case T-315/03 (2006) OJ EPO 15	234–37
	240, 242
Hauck GmbH & Co KG v Stokke A/S, Case C-205/13, EU:C:2014:322	439–40, 444, 445
Hearst Holdings v AVELA [2014] EWHC 439 (Ch)	496
Henderson v All Around the World [2014] EWHC 3087 (IPEC)	539–40
Heptulla v Orient Longman [1989] 1 FSR 598 High Court (India)	84
Hitachi/Auction method, Case T-258/03 [2004] EPOR 55	226–27
Hölterhoff v Freiesleben, Case C-2/00 [2002] ECR I-4187	487–88
Hornblower & Maberly v Boulton, 101 Eng Rep 1285 (KB 1799)	210
Hospira v Genentech [2016] EWCA Civ 780	265–67
Hotel Cipriani v Cipriani (Grosvenor Street) [2010] ECWA Civ 110	486
Howard Florey/Relaxin [1995] EPOR 541	218–20, 238, 245, 246
HTC Europe v Apple [2012] EWHC 1789 (Pat)	229
Human Genome Sciences v Eli Lilly [2011] USKC 51	271–74, 283, 284
ICI/Containers, Case T-26/81 [1982] OJ EPO 211	285
Improver Corp v Remington Consumer Products [1990] FSR 181	295, 296
	297, 301, 304

Incandescent Lamp Patent, 159 US 465 (1895)	285
Infopaq International v Danske Dagblades Forening, Case C-5/08 [2009] ECR I-6569 (ECJ)	77, 78, 79, 85, 368, 373
Intel Corp v CPM UK, Case C-252/07 [2008] ECR I-8823	467–68, 470
Interflora v Marks & Spencer, Case C-323/09 [2011] ECR I-8625 (AG Jääskinen)	496
Interflora v Marks & Spencer, Case C-323/09 [2011] ECR I-8625	474–76
	482
Interlego AG v Tyco Industries [1989] AC 217	365–66, 368
IRC v Muller and Co's Margarine Ltd [1901] AC 217	410
Jennings v Stephens (1936) Ch 469	104
JG v Samford (1584) (unreported)	412
Kirin-Amgen v Hoechst [2004] UKHL 46	296
Kirin-Amgen v Transkaryotic Therapies [2002] EWCA Civ 1096	285
Koninklijke Philips v Remington, Case C-299/99 [2002] ECR I-5475	442–43
La Chemise Lacoste v Baker Street Clothing [2011] RPC (5) 165(AP)	496
Ladbroke (Football) Ltd v William Hill (Football) Ltd [1964] 1 WLR 273	56–58, 80, 84, 95
La Mafia Franchises v EUIPO, Case T-1/17, EU:T:2018:146	455
Land Nordrhein-Westfalen v Dirk Renckhoff, Case C-161/17, EU:C:2018:634	129
Lego v Lemelstrich [1983] FSR 155	513–15
Lego Juris A/S v OHIM, Case C-48/09P [2010] ECR I-8403 (Grand Chamber)	440–41
Leidseplein Beheer v Red Bull, Case C-65/12, EU:C:2014:49	476
Levola Hengelo BV v Smilde Foods BV, Case C-310/17, EU:C:2018:899	76–77, 80, 137, 367
Liardet v Johnson (1780) 5 July	210
Libertel Groep BV v Benelux-Merkenbureau, Case C-104/01 [2003] ECR I-3793	416, 418–19, 424
Linde A, Winward Industries, Rado Watch, Cases C-53/01, C-54/01, and C-55/01 [2003] ECR I-3161	454
Lindt, Case C-529/07 [2009] ECR I-4893	451, 455
London Taxi Corp v Frazer-Nash Research Ltd [2017] EWCA Civ 1729	425–27
L'Oréal v Bellure, Case C-487/07 [2009] ECR I-5185	469–71, 475
L'Oréal SA v Bellure NV [2010] EWCA Civ 535	496
L'Oréal v eBay International, Case C-324/09 [2011] ECR I-6011	491–93
Lucasfilm Ltd and others v Ainsworth and another [2011] UKSC 39	72–74
	364, 367, 370

Magmatic ('Trunki') v PMS International Group [2013] EWHC 1925 (Pat)	343–45, 354	
Martin v Kogan [2019] EWCA Civ 1645	129	
Masterman's Design Application [1991] RPC 89	373	
Matratzen Concord GmbH v OHIM, Case T-6/01 [2002] ECR II-4335	496	
Merchandising Corporation v Harpbond [1971] 2 All E.R. 657	71	
Merck Canada v Sigma (No. 2) [2013] EWCA Civ 326	544–45	
Merrell Dow v Norton [1996] RPC 76 (HL)	254–57	
Merrill Lynch's Application [1989] 4 WLUK 219	225, 228	
Millar v Taylor (1769) 4 Burr 2303, 98 ER 201	24	
Millington v Fox (1838) 3 My & Cr 338, 40 ER 956	389	
Mitsubishi v Duma Forklifts, Case C-129/17, EU:C:2018:594	484–85	
Mobil/Friction reducing additive, G2/88 [1990] OJ EPO 114	258–59	
Nederlands Uitgeversverbnd, Groep Algemene Uitgevers v Tom Kabinet		
Internet BV, Case C-263/18 (2019) (CJEU)	100–1	
Nestlé v Mars [2003] EWCA Civ 1072, [2003] ETMR (101) 1335	454	
New Kids on the Block v News America Publishing, Inc 971 F 2d 302 (1992) (US)	489–90	
Newspaper Licensing Agency v Marks & Spencer [2000] 4 All ER 239	165	
Nichols v Universal Pictures Corporation, 45 F2d 119 at 122 (2d Cir 1930) (US)	84	
Noah v Shubha (1991) FSR 14	123	
Norowzian v Arks (No 2) [2000] EMLR 67, 73	85	
NTP v Research in Motion 261 F Supp 2d 423 (ED Va 2002) (US)	521–22	
PAKI Logistics v OHIM, Case C-526/09		455
Pelham GmbH v Ralf Hütter and Florian Schneider-Esleben, Case C-476/17, EU:C:2019:624	97, 140, 141, 144–45	
Pension Benefits Systems Partnership/Controlling pension benefits systems,		
Case T-931/95 [2002] EPOR 52	215, 223	
Pfizer v Ministry of Health [1964] Ch 614	316	
Philips/Public availability of documents on the World Wide Web, Case T-1553/06 [2012] EPOR 383	285	
Plant Genetic Systems [1995] OJ EPO 545	236–40, 242	
PLG Research Limited Another v Ardon International Limited [1995] FSR 116	321	
PMS International Group v Magmatic ('Trunki') [2014] EWCA Civ 181		343–45
PMS International Group v Magmatic ('Trunki') [2016] UKSC 12	373	
Pope v Currill (1741) 2 Atk 342	129	
Portakabin v Primakabin, Case C-558/08 [2010] ECR I-6963	496	
Portakabin, R 789/2001–3	455	

Positec Power Tools v Husqvarna [2016] EWHC 1061 (Pat), [2016] FSR (29) 798	285
Potton v Yorkclose [1990] FSR 11	541–42
Pozzoli SpA v BDMO SA [2007] EWCA Civ 588	285
Proctor & Gamble Co v Office for Harmonization in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM), Case C-383/99 P	430–31
Public Relations Consultants Association Limited v The Newspaper Licensing Agency Limited and others [2013] UKSC 18	152–53
R (on the application of British Academy of Songwriters, Composers and Authors) v Secretary of State for Business, Innovation & Skills [2015] EWHC 1723 (Admin)	
155–57	
R v Carter [1983] FSR 303 (CA)	544
Ralf Sieckmann v Deutsches Patent-und Markenamt, Case C-273/00 [2002] ECR I-11737	
417–18	
Reckitt & Colman Products Ltd v Borden Inc (No 3) [1990] 1 WLR 491 (1990)	
497, 500, 503–7	
Regeneron Pharmaceuticals Inc v Kymab Ltd [2020] UKSC 27	277–80
Robin v Classic FM (1998) ECC 488	124
Sabel BV v Puma, Rudolf Dassler Sport, Case C-251/95 [1997] ECR I-6191	
457–60, 463, 495	
Sawkins v Hyperion Records Ltd [2005] EWCA Civ 565	66–68, 119–20
Schneidmesser, I BGH, (2002) 33 IIC 873	
321	
Schütz v Werit [2013] UKSC 16	320
Shazam v Only Fools The Dining Experience [2022] EWHC 1379 (IPEC)	
137–41, 149–50, 164	
Sheeran v Chokri [2022] EWHC 827 (Ch)	89–92
Shelfer v City of London Electric Lighting Co [1895] 1 Ch 287	525
Sky v SkyKick, Case C-371/18, EU:C:2020:45	450–51
Sky Ltd (formerly Sky plc) v Skykick UK Ltd [2021] EWCA Civ 1131	455
Société des Produits Nestlé SA Appellant v Cadbury UK Ltd [2017] EWCA Civ 358	
433–35	
Société des Produits Nestlé SA v Cadbury UK Ltd [2013] EWCA Civ 1174	
420–21	
Société des Produits Nestlé v Mars ('Have a Break') [2004] FSR (2) 16	454
Specsavers Int'l Healthcare v Asda Stores [2012] EWCA Civ 24	461–63
468	
Starbucks (HK) Limited and another (Appellants) v British Sky Broadcasting Group PLC and others (Respondents) [2015] UKSC 31	
498–99	
Stichting Brein v Jack Frederik Wullems (Filmspeler), Case C-527/15 (ECJ)	
153–54	
Storck v OHIM, Case C-25/05 P [2006] ECR I-5719	454
Svensson v Retriever Sverige AB, Case C-466/12, EU:C:2014:76	109, 110, 111

Symbian Limited v Comptroller General of Patents [2008] EWCA Civ 1066	217, 224–25
Synthon BV v SmithKline Beecham plc [2005] UKHL 59	252–53, 275
Temple Island Collections Ltd v New English Teas [2012] EWPCC 1	80
Thaler v Commissioner of Patents [2021] FCA 879	210
Thaler v Commissioner of Patents [2022] HCA Trans 199	210
Thaler v Comptroller General of Patents Trade Marks and Designs [2021] EWCA Civ 1374	201–3
TuneIn Inc v Warner Music UK Ltd [2021] EWCA Civ 441	105
Two identities/COMVIK, Case T-0641/00	285
University of London Press, Limited v University Tutorial Press, Limited [1916] 2 Ch 601	58, 60–62
UsedSoft, Case C-128/11, EU:C:2012:407	101–2, 128
Vereniging Openbare Bibliotheeken (VOB) v Sichting Leenrecht, Case C-174/15	103, 129
Walter v Lane [1900] AC 539	53, 54–55, 57, 58, 80, 83
Websphere Trade Mark [2004] EWHC 529 (Ch), [2004] FSR (39) 796	457
Windsurfing Chiemsee Produktions und Vertriebs GmbH v Boots und Segelzubehör Walter Huber, Joined Cases C-108/97 and C-109/97, EU:C:1999:230, [1999] ECR I-2779	428–30, 443
Windsurfing International v Tabor Marine [1985] RPC 59 (CA)	261–64, 267
Wine Oh!'s Application, R 1074/2005–4 [2006] ETMR (95) 1319	455
Wood v Capita Insurance Services Ltd [2017] 2 WLR 1095	321
Wrigley (Doublemint), Case C-191/01P [2003] ECR I-13447	454

Table of Legislation

Agreement on Trade Related Aspects of IP Rights (1994) (TRIPS Agreement)
Berne Convention for the Protection of Literary and Artistic Works, 1886
Copyright Act (1976) 17 USC, s 101 (US)
Copyright Act 1911
Copyright Act 1956
Copyright Act 2007, s 19 (Israel)
Copyright of Designs Act 1839, 2 Vict, c 17
Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988
Council Regulation of 12 December 2001 on Community designs (Community Design Regulation (CDR)) 6/2002/EC
Customs (Enforcement of Intellectual Property Rights) (Amendment) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019 (SI 2019/514)
Design Copyright Act 1968
Designs Act 1842, 5 & 6 Vict, c 100
Directive (EU) 2015/2436 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2015 to approximate the laws of the Member States relating to trade marks. Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 14 June 2017 on the European Union trade mark
Directive 2001/29/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 May 2001 on the harmonisation of certain aspects of copyright and related rights in the information society ('Information Society Directive')
Directive 92/100/EEC Council of 27 November 1992 on rental right and lending right and on certain rights related to copyright in the field of intellectual property No L 346/62
Directive 98/44/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 6 July 1998 on the legal protection of biotechnological inventions.
Directive of 13 October 1998 on the legal protection of designs 98/71/EC
Dramatic Literary Property Act 1833
European Patent Convention (1973) (as updated 2000)
First Council Directive 89/104/EEC of 21 December 1988 to approximate the laws of the Member States relating to trade marks. Council Regulation (EC) No 40/94 of 20 December 1993 on the Community trade mark
Hague Agreement concerning International Registration of Industrial Designs (1925)
International Convention for the Protection of New Varieties of Plants (UPOV) 1961 (as amended 1991)

- Madrid Agreement on the International Registration of Marks (1891)
Ordinance for the Regulating of Printing 1643 (renewed in 1662)
Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property (1883)
Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property (as amended
28 September 1979)
Patent Act 1790 (US)
Patent Law Amendment Act 1852
Patents Act 1949
Patents Act 1977
Patents (Amendment) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019
Plant Varieties Act 1997
Protocol Relating to the Madrid Agreement Concerning the International
Registration of Marks 1989
Registered Designs Act 1949
Regulation No 608/2013/EU concerning customs enforcement of intellectual
property rights and repealing Council Regulation 1383/2003/EC (Border
Measures Regulation (BMR))
Sonny Bono Copyright Term Extension Act (1998) 17 USC
Statute of Anne 1710 (An Act for the Encouragement of Learning, by Vesting
the Copies of Printed Books in the Authors or Purchasers of such Copies,
during the Times therein mention)
Statute of Monopolies 1624
Supplementary Protection Certificates (Amendment) (EU Exit) Regulations
2020)
Town and Country Planning Act 1947 (as amended by Planning and
Compulsory Purchase Act 2004)
Trade Mark Act 1994
Trade Mark Registration Act 1875
Transfer of Property Act (1882)
Venetian Senate Act 1474
World Intellectual Property Organization Copyright Treaty (1996)

Abbreviations

AC	Appeal Cases
All ER	All England Reports
CDPA	Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988
CJEU	Court of Justice of the European Union
EBA	Enlarged Board of Appeals [EPO]
EPO	European Patent Office
EUTM	European Union Trade Mark
EWHC	High Court of Justice of England and Wales
IPEC	Intellectual Property Enterprise Court
IPQ	Intellectual Property Quarterly
LJ	law journal
L Rev	law review
PA	Patents Act 1977
RDA	Registered Designs Act 1949
TBA	Technical Board of Appeals [EPO]
TMA	Trade Mark Act 1994
TMD	Directive (EU) 2015/2436 of the European Parliament and of the
2015	Council of 16 December 2015 to approximate the laws of the Member States relating to trade marks [2015] OJ L 336/1
TM Rep	<i>Trademark Reporter</i>
TRIPS	Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights 1994
UKSC	UK Supreme Court Decisions
WIPO	World Intellectual Property Organization
WTO	World Trade Organization