

INDEX

accountability, 45	ICC. See International Criminal
apologies, 191	Court (ICC)
Cambodian government, 23-26	Katanga case. See Katanga case
conception of reparations, 177-179,	knowledge practices, expertise and
189-194	legitimacy, 195
convicted persons, 191, 225	legitimacy, 305
DRC, 18-21	Lubanga case. See Lubanga case
ECCC, 223, 232	targeting, 181–182
international criminal justice, 33-34, 45	Al Mahdi case, 187
liability and funding reparations, responsibility	anti-impunity, 31, 43
for, 190, 223	human rights and, 34
objective of reparations, 178	apology
projectification of reparations, 261, 272	Case 001, 275-278
purpose of reparations, 177, 233	civil parties' perception, 277
TFV techniques, 259	Duch, 207, 210, 275-279, 299-300
ad hoc tribunals	Katanga case, 279
former Yugoslavia and Rwanda, 45, 76, 83	Lubanga case, 279
legitimacy, 307	public, 275
reparations, absence of, 46-48	reparations, as, 275-276, 279, 281
rule-making process, control over, 65	restorative justice and, 275
UN Security Council establishment, 32	symbolic reparations and limits of criminal
adjudication of reparations, 98, 165	trials, 278-281
Case 001, 207	Appeals Chamber (ICC)
Case 002, 213	accountability of reparations,
ICC claims, 12	primacy of, 177, 190, 191, 194
issues and practices, 177	discretion of TFV over other resources, 193
purpose of reparations, 177	individual application-based approach,
social life phase of reparations, 10, 303	concerns regarding, 185
tensions between ICC and TFV, 157	Katanga case, 176, 177
unpredictable process, effect on project	Lubanga case, 20, 165, 171-175
timeframes, 258	re-judicialisation of decision-making power,
adjudicative practices, 164, 165-166, 205	177, 196
authority and knowledge, mediating competing	application forms
claims, 197–199	complexity, 91, 101
beneficiaries and modalities, 180-181	written, consultation through, 127-131
challenges facing ICC, 202-204	Assembly of States Parties, 152, 163, 204
comparative analysis of ICC and ECCC	assets
practices, 234–238	convicted persons, 63, 190
court-wide reparations principles	Case 001, 206, 210
debate, 167-169	Case 002, 223–226
ECCC. See Extraordinary Chambers in the	investigation capacities, 194
Courts of Cambodia (ECCC)	freezing, 191
external input, seeking legitimacy	pre-trial, 224
through, 195–197	group of experts' recommendations, 66
ICC and TFV, institutionalised	assistance mandate
divides, 199-201	TFV. See Trust Fund for Victims (TFV)



INDEX

assistance practices, 143	ECCC. See Extraordinary Chambers in the
ECCC, non-judicial mandate of, 158-160	Courts of Cambodia (ECCC)
TFV, in. See Trust Fund for Victims (TFV)	government accountability, 23
Association of the Khmer Rouge Victims in	government funding of reparations in
Cambodia, 134	ECCC, 226-228
Avocats Sans Frontières (ASF), 100, 105	ICC ratification instrument, 26
	independence from colonial rule, 21
Basic Principles and Guidelines on the Right	Khmer Rouge. See Khmer Rouge
to a Remedy and Reparation for	outreach, 120
Victims of Gross Violations of	People's Revolutionary Tribunal, 23
International Human Rights Law and	Prince Sihanouk, 21
Serious Violations of International	psychosocial assistance and
Humanitarian Law (Basic Principles	support, 263–264
and Guidelines), 39, 42, 82, 116,	UNTAC, 22
138, 307	victim interests, organisation of, 103
apologies, 279	Cambodian Human Rights Action Committee
conceptualisation of reparations, 49	(CHRAC), 70, 71, 206
effective remedies, elements of, 43	Cambodian Human Rights and
full restitution principle, 41	Development Association
public apology, 275	(ADHOC), 103-104, 295
Bemba case, 142, 267	Case 001 (ECCC). See also Kaing Guek Eav (Duch)
beneficiaries	adjudication, 205
adjudicative practices, 180–181	apology, 275–278
broad-based reparations, in <i>Lubanga</i> , 234	appeals judgment, 165
collective and moral reparations versus NJM,	application forms, 130
relevance of distinction, 270	application forms, 150 applications, intermediary assistance with, 102
collective reparations, projectification of, 244	background, 24 civil parties, 93, 95
	consultations with lawyers, 131–133
contested category, as, 98 empowerment, 198	guilty verdict, 208
	T
identification, 42, 170	legal representation, 106, 109
Lubanga case, 174, 183	psychological counselling, 264
wholly flexible approach in Lubanga, 181	reparations
Lubanga case, 185	aftermath, 285–290
monetary compensation, preference in	reception, 281–282
Katanga, 141	requests, 207
passive victimhood, 306	Tuol Sleng memorial. See Tuol Sleng
projectification of reparations, impact	memorial
of, 272	victim participation, 93–95
scope, 59, 65, 182	Case 002 (ECCC)
stigmatising, in Lubanga, 180	adjudication, 205
targeting practices, 87–94	application forms, 130
TFV selection, 148–154	applications, intermediary assistance
Bogoro	with, 102
attack on, 92, 106, 138, 176, 180, 182	assets, 223–226
security, request to improve, 193	background, 11, 25
victims' demands, 199	civil parties, 93, 96
0 1 1:	consultations, 133–137
Cambodia	expectation management, 136, 220, 292–294.
accountability process, 26, 120	297
Civil Party Lead Co-Lawyers correspondence	individual reparations, 291–292
with government, 228	legal representation, 106, 109
Communist Party (angkar), 21	monetary compensation, 136
conflict-related violence, mental health	reparations
challenges and, 263	categories of requests, 214
criminal procedural law, French basis of, 69	passive recipients, emancipation
Democratic Kampuchea, 21	of, 294–296



INDEX

	50.406.254
projects, 260	appropriateness, 59, 186, 271
survivors' agency, 296–298	challenges, 272
severance of case by Trial Chamber, 25, 213	ECCC proceedings, 214–218, 266
victim participation, 93–95	ICC approach, 184-189 individual versus
Case 002/01 (ECCC) civil parties, 218	blurring, 188–190
funding of reparations projects, 256	mediating between victim demands and
reparations projects, 214, 292	context, 186–188
subject matter, 25	Katanga case, 188
testimonial therapy reparation project,	Lubanga case, 173-175
264–266	mass atrocities, 42
trial judgment, 165, 213	meaning and legal status, 242
Case 002/02 (ECCC)	Ntaganda case, 189
funding of reparations projects, 256	projectification and, 262
reparations requests, 215	psychosocial support, 263-264
subject matter, 25	TFV support, 243
Trial Chamber verdict, 26, 215	victim perceptions, in ECCC, 244
Case 003 (ECCC), 24	communication
Case 004 (ECCC), 24	consultations. See consultations
Cham ethnic group, 26	expectation management and, 119–126
child soldiers, 20	ICC strategic outreach plan, 113
Ituri conflict, 18	ICC victim strategies, 85 intermediaries and, 104
Lubanga case, 180, 181, 204 civil parties	reaching out to survivors, 114–116
legal representation in ECCC, 106	role in reparations, 113–114
reparations proceedings. See by case name	survivors' views and, 119
status, under ECCC Internal	two-way, 114, 132, 304
Rules, 88, 107, 217	compensation, 39
Civil Party Lead Co-Lawyers	focus on, ICC negotiations, 58
civil parties' reparations claims, in	monetary. See monetary compensation
Case 002/01, 219	symbolic. See symbolic compensation
civil party, representation in ECCC, 106	complementarity principle, 61, 310
correspondence with Cambodian government,	Conference on Security and Cooperation in
228	Europe (CSCE), 46
presentation of final reparations claims, 221	consultations, 126, 304
reparations	challenges, 142
claims, 225	court-led, in <i>Katanga</i> case, 137–141
request categories, in Case 002, 213	lawyer-led, 131
requests, 212, 215, 265 responsibilities, 255	larger victim collectives, in Case 002, 133-137
submission of claim specifications,	smaller victim groups, in Lubanga case and
252	Case 001, 131–133
reparations projects	reparations and, 126–127, 141–142
Case 002/01, 214	victims, with, legal representation in ICC, 107
Civil Party Lead Co-Lawyers Section	written application forms, 127–131
(ECCC), 86-87	convicted persons
Coalition for the International Criminal Court	accountability, 191, 194, 225
(CICC), 53, 55	assets. See assets
collective and moral reparations	funding of reparations
communication challenges, 118, 124–129, 135	responsibility and liability
confusion as to meaning, 264, 270	ECCC reparations, 223–226
ECCC rules, 72–75, 206	ICC reparations, 190–191
importance to victims, 271	indigence, 176, 179, 186, 187, 190, 191, 194,
permissible, consultation as to, 135, 136	200, 202, 206, 208, 212, 217, 219,
collective injury, 96 collective reparations, 41	223, 225, 263, 288 criminal proceedings
applicants' reception in Katanga case 140	limits of 278–281



INDEX

criminal responsibility feasibility-oriented approach, 216 individual, shift from collective, 32 capacity for reparations, 221-223 de-judicialising reparations, 216-218 DC-Cam, 228, 286 feasibility as guiding principle, 219-220 Democratic Kampuchea, 21 judicial reparations and non-judicial Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) measures, blurring line between, 221 accountability symbolic reparations to wider constituencies, delivery of, 218-219 involvement in process, 26 pre-trial preparations, 206-207 support, 116 Bogoro, attack on, 20 tensions and practices, 216 colonial rule, 16 amendment of reparations framework, Hema ethnic group, 16, 17, 20, 139. 2.11 - 2.12180, 280 application forms, 101, 128 ICC ratification instrument, 26 civil parties Ituri conflict, 16-18 legal representation, 105 ICC investigations, 26 participation, 72 truth commission, 18 civil party claim, movement away from, 217 Katanga case. See Katanga case Civil Party Lead Co-Lawyers Section, 86-87 Lendu ethnic group, 16, 17 civil party system, criticism of, 232 Lubanga case. See Lubanga case collaboration with intermediaries, 103 reparations case study, comparison with collective and moral reparations, 72 Cambodia, 26 collective reparations, 217, 218, 244, 266 reparations, liability for, 192 consultation, 127 Duch. See Kaing Guek Eav (Duch) de facto fictitious awards, 219 ECCC Agreement, 73 ECCC Statute, negotiating, 66-68 ECCC. See Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia (ECCC) establishment, 23, 24 expectation management, 304 funding of reparations, 223 applications for reparations and, 128 Cambodian government, 226-228 Case 002, 136, 220, 292-294, 297 convicted persons, role and responsibility communication and, 119-126 of, 223-226 communicative and representational practices, international donors, hesitation and focus of, 299 attraction, 229-230 consultations, 136 harm, collective dimension of, 96 court-led, 138 hybrid character, 23 engagement with victims, 161 individual compensation, reasons for ICC communicative practices, 125 demand, 298 individual monetary compensation, exclusion individual monetary compensation, avoidance of, 139 of, 72, 75, 77 Internal Rules. See Internal Rules (ECCC) Katanga case, 139 monetary compensation, Cases 001 and 002, judicial rule-making, 232 295 legal representation, 105-110 experts. See also group of experts Livre Blanc, 70 mandate, 24 ICC proceedings, 63, 197-199 Extraordinary African Chamber, 220 national reconciliation, reparations orders Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of and, 218 Cambodia (ECCC) negotiations adjudicative practices, 205 ICC compared, 67 accountability and reparations, 231 legal ambiguity and, 75 accountability principles, 232 Non-judicial measures, 158-160 Case 001, 208 Office of Administration, 106 appeals judgment (2012), 209-211 outreach, 117, 295 trial judgment (2010), 208-209 partie civile system, victim involvement in, 73 Case 002, 213 PAS. See Public Affairs Section (PAS) Case 002/01, 213-215 public awareness, 115 Case 002/02, 215-216 reparations comparative analysis with ICC, 234-238aspirations, 237



INDEX

feasibility and project-based	German Federal Ministry of Economic
approach, 252	Cooperation and Development
feasibility-oriented approach, 244	(BMZ), 229, 256
judicial rule-making and, 66	group of experts, 66
projectification, 259	
reasons, 271	harm
socially responsive adjudicative practices, 236	assessment in ICC proceedings, external
reparations mandate, x	input for, 197
amendments, 212, 217	collective dimension, ECCC Pre-Trial
awareness, 116	Chamber consideration of, 96
challenges, 129	personal, reparations for, ix
civil parties' requests, 136	human rights
collective and moral, 118, 119	anti-impunity movement, 34, 43
collective measures, 243, 245	criminal punishment for atrocities, support
expectations, 121	for, 43
extension of participation scheme, 300	global culture, sacredness of individual person
reparative measures, 275	and, 35
UNAKRT support, 222	human protection imperative, policy and legal
victims' understanding, 126	instruments and, 36
VSS assistance practices, 160	international, emergence of, 13
reparations proceedings, 2, 11	mass atrocities, emphasis following, 31
reparations projects	movement, 31
ICC/TFV distinguished, 259	normative shift, 35
local NGO involvement, 231	post-World War II movement, 35
reparations scheme, origin, 73-75	reparations, victim-oriented justice response
social responsiveness, 231-233	via, 49
state responsibility and reparations	scope and beneficiaries of reparations,
negotiations, 66	principles of, 60
trust fund, 75	social life and norms, 5
victim engagement, 81-87	United Nations Declaration of Basic Principles of
Victim Information Form, 128	Justice for Victims of Crime and Abuse
victim outreach, 115	of Power, 36
victim participation, 73, 99, 104	victim-centric rhetoric, 57
victim-oriented justice, 237	victims
VSS. See Victims Support Section (VSS)	centrality, 36
	emergence of reparations, 34-37
Force de Résistance Patriotique en Ituri (FRPI), 17, 20	survivor, versus, terminology, 35
Front des Nationalites et Intégrationnistes (FNI), 17, 20	violations during Ituri conflict, 18
funding of reparations	hybrid courts
convicted persons, role and responsibility,	ECCC. See Extraordinary Chambers in the
190-191	Courts of Cambodia (ECCC)
ECCC. See Extraordinary Chambers in the	
Courts of Cambodia (ECCC)	ICC. See International Criminal Court
official development assistance (ODA), 272	(ICC)
projectification and, 255-259	ICC Preparatory Committee, 52
responsibility, 190	Ieng Sary, 23, 25
state responsibility, in Katanga case,	Ieng Tirith, 25
192-193	individual compensation
TFV. See Trust Fund for Victims (TFV)	civil parties' demands, in ECCC, 298
voluntary contributions, 193-194, 222, 229,	individual reparations, 41, 75, 291
237, 257	anonymous victim collective, 219
	Case 002, 292
genocide	civil parties' requests in ECCC, 294
Case 002, 93	expectation management, 125
Case 002/02, 26, 215	Katanga case, 176, 186
ECCC Pre-Trial Chamber findings, 96	LRV concerns, 187
education projects, 257	Lubanga case, 182



INDEX

individual reparations (cont.)	experts, 63
mass atrocities and victimisation,	first reparations decision, 163, 165
appropriateness to, 242	funding of reparations
requests by victims in Case 001, 130	convicted persons, role and responsibility
TFV approach, 181	190-191
victims' preference, in Katanga case, 176	state responsibility, 193
intermediaries. See also non-governmental	harm and liability assessments, 197
organisation (NGO)	individual reparations, 176
application forms, explanation of, 129	institutionalised divide with TFV, 199-202
communication, 104	intermediaries, engagement with, 103
consultations, role in, 134	Ituri conflict investigations, 26
ICC collaboration with, 100	Ituri outreach, 121
NGOs as, 93, 98, 153	legal aid scheme, 106
reparations projects, influence on, 261	legal representation, 105-110
representation of victims, 98-105	legitimacy, 55, 65, 195, 199
TFV assistance practice and, 154	legitimacy audiences, 196
Internal Rules (ECCC)	negotiating reparations, Rome Statute of the
amendment, 205, 216, 222, 226	International Criminal Court and,
civil party	50-56
claims for reparations, 73	negotiation context, ECCC compared, 67
representation, 105	Office of the Prosecutor (OTP), 19, 114
status, criteria for, 88	OPCV. See Office of Public Counsel for
convicted persons, role of, 223	Victims (OPCV)
criticism, 75	outreach, 99, 114
de-judicialisation of reparations, 252	PIDS, 85
deliberations among judges, 70–73	Preparatory Commission, 54, 55, 62, 66,
ECCC reparations framework, amendment	243
of, 211	public awareness in DRC, 116
enforcement of reparation award, 224	relationship with TFV, 155–157
establishment of VU, 99	reparations
implementation and funding of non-judicial	aspirations, 237
measures, 159	contested approach, 177–179
individual monetary compensation, 292, 294	legal and social concerns, 202
Lead Co-Lawyers powers, 107	proceedings, 11
legal representation of civil parties, 106	projectification, 255
negotiation, 68–73, 77	projects, ECCC distinguished, 259
projectification of reparations, 245, 267	restricted nature, 201
reparations framework, 66	TFV and, 62–64
reparations scheme, foundations for, 75 transnational advocacy and mobile lawyers,	reparations mandate, 2, 3, 46, 51, 53, 54, 56, 57, 62–64
69-70	assistance mandate of TFV, interaction
International Civil Party Lead Co-Lawyers	with, 201
support of reparations, Case 002/02, 215	awareness, 116
International Criminal Court (ICC)	expectations, 121
accountability and reparations, 178	materialisation, 160
accountability-based conception of reparations, 236	negotiation, 50, 174 origin, 50–76
adjudicative practices, 12, 167	restorative justice and, 40
comparative analysis with ECCC,	victims' understanding, 126
234–238	state responsibility, 50, 60, 77
Katanga case, 175-177	statute. See Rome Statute of the International
Lubanga case, 169-175	Criminal Court (Statute of Rome)
Appeals Chamber. See Appeals Chamber (ICC)	strategic outreach plan, 113
compensation, approach to, 189	symbolic compensation, 187
court-wide reparations principles, 169, 192	TFV, relationship with, 156
establishment, 2, 32	victim participation, 2, 81–87, 91, 104, 107,
expectation management, 125, 126	127



INDEX

0.4	V.
victim strategies, 84	Katanga case
victim-oriented court, aspiration of, 84	adjudicative practices, 175–177
international criminal justice	apology, 279
accountability, 45	background, 18, 20–21
actors, variety and scope, 33	collective reparations, 188
anti-impunity movement and, 33	court-led consultations, 137–141
Cold War, effect of, 32	expectation management, 138, 139
diplomacy, criminal justice and human rights advocacy, intersection of, 33	individual reparations, 186, 189 legal representation, 105, 106
expansion, 32–34	reparations
fight against impunity, 1, 31–33	appeals judgment, 165
global/local or above/below dichotomy, 9	individual, 176
incorporations of reparations, basis for, 49	liability of DRC government, 192
individual accountability, attention to, 33	monetary liability, 191
justice cascade, 32	proportionality principles, 176
origins, 32	symbolic compensation, 186–188, 199, 203
projectification, 242	victim outreach, 114
punishment and redress objective, 29, 43, 45	victim participation, 92
reparations, 1-4, 43-46, 49	victimhood, construction of, 91–92
challenges, 309	Katanga, Germain, 11, 17, 190, See also
enforcement, 1	Katanga case
promise and practice, 4	case against, 18, 20–21
purpose, 57	indigence, 176, 191
romanticisation of justice era, decline of, 2	monetary liability, 191
support of DRC and Cambodia, 26	rape and sexual slavery charges, acquittal of, 180
victim-oriented approach, 1, 5, 43, 45	release from prison, 21, 204
victims	Trial Chamber conviction, 20
rightful constituency, as, 45	Khieu Samphan, 25
role, 44-46	appeals, 26
international criminal law	Case 002/02 trial, 215
legitimacy, 45	sentence, 25
International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda	verdict against, 26
(ICTR), 46, 47, 144	Khmer Rouge
International Criminal Tribunal for the former	Choeung Ek killing site, 23, 25, 93, 227, 284
Yugoslavia (ICTY), 46, 57	Democratic Kampuchea, 21
compensation through domestic courts, 48	government amnesty policy and, 23
purpose, 48	overview of regime, 21-22
International Federation for Human Rights	S-21 security centre, 23, 24, 93, 159, 283, 285,
(FIDH), 70	288
International Law Commission (ILC)	memorial, 287
draft statute for international criminal court, 51	trials in Cambodia, 21–23
Ituri conflict, 16, 201	victims, 88
reparations, 88	civil party applicants, whether, 121
victim engagement, challenges of, 84	questions, 120
. 1	Khmer Rouge Tribunal. See Extraordinary
judges	Chambers in the Courts of
project managers, as, 252–255	Cambodia (ECCC)
V:	Khmer Rouge Tribunal Task Force, 71
Kaing Guek Eav (Duch). See also Case 001	Koh Pich incident, 292
(ECCC)	Ksem Ksan, 284, 285, 287, 290
apology, 207, 210, 275–278, 299–300	1 .
assets, 206, 223	lawyers
charges against, 24	consultations in reparations process, 131
conviction, 281 death, 25	larger victim collectives, in Case 002, 133–137
indigence, 210	
not guilty plea, 276	smaller victim groups in Case 001 and Lubanga case, 131-133
not gainty pica, 210	Enounga case, 131-133



INDEX

lawyers (cont.)	Lubanga, Thomas, 11, 17, 190, See also
project managers, as, 252-255	Lubanga case
representation of victims, 105-110	case against, 18-20
legal representation	guilty verdict, 195
common, of ICC victims, 107-108	indigence, 191
victim participation and, 110-112	liability for reparations, 175
Legal Representative for Victims (LRV), 179, 186	monetary liability, 191
legitimacy	release from prison, 21, 204
ad hoc tribunals, 307	sentence, 19
adjudicative practices, 195–197, 305	
ECCC audiences, 237	mass atrocities
ICC, 55, 65, 195, 199	accountability, advancing, 34
international criminal law, 45	collective reparations, 41
	criminalisation, 32
victim agency, 112	
litigation	ECCC trials. See Case 001; Case 002
victimhood and, 95–98	justice needs in aftermath, 31
Lubanga case	meaning, 30
accountability-based conception of	normative responses, 29–31, 44
reparations, 182	Mobutu Sese Seko, 16
adjudicative practices, 169	monetary compensation
individual versus collective reparations,	African traditional justice, 117
blurring of, 188-190	alternatives, 255
negotiating targeting practices, 182–185	avoidance of talk, expectation management in
apology, 279	Katanga case, 139
Appeals Chamber (ICC) verdict, 20, 165	beneficiaries' preference, in Katanga, 141
Appeals Chamber's accountability-based	Case 002, 136
conception of reparations, 189	civil parties' requests, in Case 002, 294
applications, 101	expectation management, 295, 296
background, 18-19	individual
beneficiaries, approved number, 185	appropriateness, 242
child soldiers, 95, 180, 181, 204	civil party petition, in Case 002, 292
collective reparations, 186	exclusion of, 72, 75, 77, 186, 292
consultations with lawyers, 131–133	resistance to reparations, 299
dejudicialising reparations, 170–171	Internal Rules (ECCC), 294
ICC and TFV, institutionalised divide	Khmer language, viii, 118
between, 199	material reparations, 41
intermediary involvement, 100	outreach strategy and, 125
legal representation, 105, 106, 108	reparations, as, 242
protection of informant identity and right to	scepticism towards, in Lubanga case, 186
fair trial, controversy between, 19	NOO 6
public awareness in DRC, 116	NGO. See non-governmental organisation
reparations	(NGO)
accountability-based conception, 194	Ngudjolo Chui, Mathieu, 17, 20
core of debate, 234	non-governmental organisation (NGO). See also
individual, 185	intermediaries
monetary liability, 191	ECCC negotiations, role in, 68, 70
re-judicialising, appeals judgment 2015,	engagement practices, ECCC and ICC
171-174	reliance on, 99
stalled 2015-2019, 174-175	ICC reparations
Trial Chamber	mandate, application of, 55
verdict, 19	victim assistance, 91
victimhood, construction of, 91-93	inclusion in ICC negotiations, effect of, 55
victims	intermediary role, 98, 103
identification, 183	legal representation, facilitation of, 109
indirect, 95, 174, 180	reparations
outreach, 114	human rights, and, 57
participation, 92	projects, 258, 272
participation, 72	projects, 230, 212



INDEX

Rome Statute of the International Criminal	projects, meaning of, 263
Court negotiations, role in, 52	psychosocial assistance/support, 263-264
TFV, intermediary role in, 153	turn to projects and, 242–252
non-judicial measures (NJM), 158, 212	funding of reparations, 255–259
hierarchy placement, 268	judges and lawyers as project managers,
reparations	252-255
distinction, 268, 269	meaning-making, 271, 273, 275
projects and victim assistance projects,	official development assistance, 255, 258
distinction, 266–268	project management and rules of external
victim assistance projects, distinction, 268 non-repetition, guarantees of, 39	financial accountability, 257 reconfiguration of relationships, 259–262
Ntaganda case, 21	symbolic powers of courts and hierarchies of
challenges in delivery of reparations, 203	victim support, 268–269
collective reparations, 189	testimonial therapy reparation, in Case
quantum of liability, 194	002/01, 264–266
Nuon Chea, 25	psychosocial assistance/support
Case 002/02 trial, 215	collective reparation, as, 263–264
death, 26	population-based surveys, 122
sentence, 25	Public Affairs Section (PAS), 86, 103
verdict against, 26	Public Information and Documentation
Nuremberg trials, 32	Section (PIDS), 85
Office of Public Counsel for Victims (OPCV),	REDRESS, 53, 58, 59, 100, 145
86, 106, 109	rehabilitation, 39, 58
official development assistance (ODA), 255,	remedies
258, 272	access to justice and reparations, effective
outreach, 83	elements, 43
Cambodia, 121	human rights violations, 36
challenges, in Case 002, 93, 137	individual victims, focus on, 35
DRC, in, 99 ECCC, 117	reparations accountability and, 177, 233
expectation management imperative, 125	accountability-based conception, 180, 190
ICC, 100, 113, 117, 121, 125	ECCC adjudicative practice and, 231
PAS, 86	Lubanga case, 173
PIDS (ICC) role, 86	ad hoc tribunals, absence in, 46-48
	apologies. See apology
Paris seminar, 55, 56, 63, 144	assistance
peacebuilding, 30, 34	interrelationship with, 201
Pol Pot, 23	Basic Principles and Guidelines. See Basic
practice	Principles and Guidelines on the Right to
communities, 7	a Remedy and Reparation for Victims of
hidden, 7	Gross Violations of International Human
rules-based notion, 6	Rights Law and Serious Violations of
practices	International Humanitarian Law (Basic
adjudicative. See adjudicative practices	Principles and Guidelines)
analytical lens, as, 5-8, 303	beneficiaries. See beneficiaries
definitions, 6	classification of definitions, 38
locating, 9–10	collective. See collective reparations
norm localisation, 9	collective and moral. See collective and moral
social, 11	reparations
studying, 8–9	communication and. See communication
vernacularisation, 9	conceptual and theoretical agreement, lack
projectification of reparations, 241, 271–273	of, 37
accountability, 261, 272	consultations. See consultations
boundary blurring, practice of, 266–268 challenges, 271, 272	contested concept and emerging norm, 37–40 convicted persons, role of. See convicted
collective reparations	persons
concerve reparations	persons



INDEX

reparations (cont.)	scope, broadening, 57-60
dimensions, 40-43	social life, 7, 81
engagement with victims, 10, 84-87	frictions and contestations, 302
essentially contested concept, as, 37	phases, 10
first ICC decision, 163	practice lens, through, 303-306
forms, 39	words into deeds, 241
forward-looking and transformative elements,	state responsibility, lines regarding, 60-62
41	substance, 41
full restitution and, 41	survivors' agency and, 296-298
guarantees of non-repetition, 59	symbolic
human rights, 34-37, 49	limits of criminal trials, 278-281
humanitarian or charity effort, 201	measure approved, in Lubanga, 174
impact and efficacy of mechanisms, 41	targeting, 81, 87, 181-182
implementation, 10	terminology, 38
confidentiality, 244	Tuol Sleng memorial. See Tuol Sleng memoria
incorporation into international criminal	umbrella concept, 39, 53, 116
justice, basis for, 49	victim engagement, 81-83
individual. See individual reparations	victimhood and harm, juridifying, 88–95
international criminal justice and, 1-4, 43-46, 49	victim-oriented justice, 1, 306
challenges, 309	victims and institutions, interrelationship
promise and practice, dissonance, 4	between, 274
purpose, 57	reparative justice, 40
judicial approaches, 42	representation of victims, 98
judicialisation, 37	intermediaries, through, 98-105
Khmer translation, 118	lawyers, through, 105-110
legitimising, 56–57	restitution, 39
limitations of universality, 116-119	restorative justice, 34, 39, 154
meaning, 38, 274	apologies and, 275
meaning-making, 271, 273	mass atrocity trial and, 279
modalities, 41, 42	risk management
monetary compensation. See monetary	communicative practices, motivation of, 125
compensation	Rome Statute of the International Criminal
negotiating Rome Statute of the International	Court (Statute of Rome), 46–48
Criminal Court, in ICC, 50–56	adoption, 65
negotiation practices	reparations framework, 65, 159
aftermath, 64–66	reparations mandate, 55
effects, 56	restitution of property, 47
non-judicial approaches, 42	Rules of Procedure and Evidence (RPE), 54, 88
norm-making, 10	
possibilities and meanings in international	sexual and gender-based violence (SGBV)
criminal justice, 306–309	exclusion of victims from reparations, 18–20
practice-based approach, 7	Katanga case, 180
practices. See practices	Lubanga case, 182
program design, challenges for, 42	TFV assistance, 150
projectification. See projectification of	UN Trust Fund to End Violence Against
reparations	Women, 160
purpose, 41	sexual slavery, 18
contested, 177–179	sexual violence, 18, 20
disagreements, 234	state responsibility
receipt and contest, 274–275	absence, 54, 66, 310
remedies for individual victims, 35	drawing lines, 60–62
representation of victims. See legal	ICC proceedings, 77, 192–193
representation; representation of	social imperative, 194
victims	state sovereignty, 35, 64, 305
research approach, 10–12	Statute of Rome. See Rome Statute of the
right to as norm, 37, 42	International Criminal Court
satisfaction, 59	(Statute of Rome)



INDEX

survivor. See also victims	monetary compensation, individual, 186
meaning, 35	relationship with ICC, 155–157
symbolic compensation	reparations
acceptance, 237	community-based approach, 181, 188
adjudicative practice, 190	individual, 181
balancing competing rationales, in ICC, 187	objective, 149
Katanga case, 186-188, 199, 203	project funding, 259
non-material reparations, 42	project-based approach, 255
Tuol Sleng memorial, 291	reserve, 193
Transmittered Breed and Committee in	reparative function, interrelationship with
Transcultural Psychosocial Organization (TPO), 238, 264–266, 299	assistance, 148 responsibility, 156
transitional justice	role, expansion of, 144
apologies, 275	selection of beneficiaries, 148–150
funding allocations, 309	transitional justice, instrument of, 152
meaning, 30	voluntary contributions, 145, 152, 157, 207
projectification, 242, 256	Tuol Sleng
reparations, 42, 177, 180, 234	victims, 284
TFV as instrument, 152	Tuol Sleng memorial, 282–291
Trust Fund for Victims (TFV), 3, 63–64, 86	DC-Cam proposal, 286
assistance	non-judicial measure, 299
defined by regulations, 150	reparation, whether, 291
function, 64	symbolic compensation, 291
assistance mandate, 143, 144	victim passivity and, 300
practices, 148	VSS non-judicial measures mandate
emerging identity, 154–155, 157–158	and, 291
ICC reparations mandate, interaction	Tuol Sleng museum, 23, 283, 285
with, 201	, , ,
intermediary organisations, execution	UN Declaration of Basic Principles of Justice
through, 153	for Victims of Crime and Abuse of
origin, 144-146	Power (1985), 81-82
project-based approach, 259	UN General Assembly
purpose, 154	right to reparations, stance on, 1
rehabilitation mandate, nexus with,	UN Security Council, 32, 76
151	Resolution 808, 46
reparations function, distinction, 200	UN Trust Fund for Victims of Torture, 152
reparative purpose, 155, 157	UN Trust Fund to End Violence Against
assistance practice, 146–148	Women, 159, 266
collective and community-based	UNAKRT. See United Nations Assistance
assistance, 148–150	to the Khmer Rouge Tribunal
operational, working through	(UNAKRT)
intermediaries and, 154	Union des Patriotes Congolais (UPC), 17, 91
rehabilitation and reconciliation, focus	United Nations Assistance to the Khmer
on, 148–152	Rouge Tribunal (UNAKRT), 24
collective and community-based	reparations mandate and, 222
approach, 157	United Nations Claims Commission, 47
collective reparations, support for, 243	United Nations Declaration of Basic Principles of
discretion, 146	Justice for Victims of Crime and Abuse
dual nature of mandate, complications arising	of Power, 36
from, 154, 156	United Nations Transitional Authority in Cambodia (UNTAC), 22
eastern DRC and northern Uganda	Cambodia (ONTAC), 22
experiences, 147 establishment, 145	victim intermediaries 104
ICC, relationship with, 156	victim intermediaries, 104 victim participation, 83
identification of beneficiaries, 170	Cases 001 and 002, 93–95
institutionalised divide with ICC, 199–202	ECCC proceedings, 99
Lubanga case, role in, 171, 173	ICC legal framework, 87



INDEX

victim participation (cont.)	forgiveness, 120
ICC proceedings, 2, 89, 91	hierarchies of support, 269, 272
intermediaries, role of, 104	ICC framework, role in, 56
Katanga case, concerns in, 182	ICC negotiations, human rights advocacy
legal representation and, 106, 110-112	and, 64
perceptions of reparations	indirect, 95, 174, 180
influence on, 282	interests, organisation in Cambodia, 103
targeting practices, effects of, 110	international criminal justice
Victim Participation and Reparations Section	redress in, 43
(VPRS)	role in, 44-46
court-ordered reparations, criticism of, 186	Ituri conflict, 88
ICC application forms, 91	Khmer Rouge, 88
Katanga apology, 280	legal categories, 89
Katanga case, role in, 137-139	legal representation, 108
language challenges, concept of reparations	meaning, 35
and, 117	participation in ECCC proceedings, 73
Lubanga case submissions, 195	passive reparations recipients, 290, 294–296,
mandate, 86	299, 306
victimhood	reception of reparations, 274-275
blurring boundaries through targeting	recognition, 69, 97
practices, 218	representation in proceedings. See legal
construction, 88, 89, 91–92, 180	representation; representation of
criminal responsibility and, 89	victims
harm and, juridifying, 88–90	restorative and reparative justice, rights and, 40
juridified, 89	RPE definition, 88
legal categories, 88, 110	victims of situation versus victims of case, ICC
boundaries, 95, 97	distinction, 89
contesting or remaking, 306	Victims Rights Working Group
legal recognition, 94	(VRWG), 168
litigating, 95–98	Victims Support Section (VSS)
notions of, intergenerational dimension, 88	Cases 001 and 002 applications, 102
selective consideration, 162	client meetings, facilitation of, 109
victims	expanded mandate, 245
accountability and reconciliation, desire for,	focus, 87
120	funding, 221
agency, reparations and, 296-298	NJM, 158, 159, 266-268
amorphous category, 306	reparations funding strategy, 256
civil party status in ECCC, 110	reparations projects, 260, 266
communication, international justice and, 83	Tuol Sleng memorial project, 287, 288, 290
competition or politicisation, 42	Victims Unit (VU), 86, 99, 101, 130, 206,
consultations, 83	223
culturally diverse communities, impact and	voluntary contributions
efficacy of reparations for, 40	reparations funding, 193–194, 222, 229,
dominance of representatives, challenges	237, 257
arising from, 162	TFV, 145, 152, 157, 207
ECCC and ICCC approaches compared, 98	, - 13, 132, 131, 201
ECCC proceedings, 73	World War II
engagement, 81–87	international criminal justice and, 32
- 0-0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·