

CONTENTS

<i>Preface and Acknowledgements</i>	ix
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xi
<i>Table of International Conventions</i>	xxiii
<i>Table of International Investment Agreements</i>	xxiv
<i>Table of Procedural Rules</i>	xxvii
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xxviii
Introduction	1
I.1 Three Reasons to Investigate Coherence	2
I.2 Coherence, from the ‘Bottom-up’	5
I.3 The Thesis in a Nutshell	8
I.4 Outline of the Book’s Chapters	9
1 The Content of Coherence	11
1.1 Introduction	11
1.2 A Primer on Concept Types	12
1.3 Coherence and Related Concepts	14
1.4 Coherence and the Dimensions of Substance and Method	30
1.5 Conclusion: Expanding the Debate on Coherence	33
2 Coherence and Legal Reasoning	36
2.1 Introduction	36
2.2 Two Points of Departure	37
2.3 Is the Format of Legal Reasoning ‘Theoretical’ or ‘Practical’?	43
2.4 Coherence and the Format of Practical Legal Reasoning	53
2.5 Conclusion	62
3 Two Models for Coherence	64
3.1 Introduction	64
3.2 The Two Dimensions of Law	65
3.3 Two Models for the Place of Coherence within Legal Reasoning	69

3.4	Assessing the Two Models for Coherence	79
3.5	Conclusion	92
4	Coherence and the Interpretation of Treaties	95
4.1	Introduction	95
4.2	ISDS and the Problem of Interpretation and Justification under the VCLT Rule	97
4.3	The Role for Coherence in the VCLT Rule	103
4.4	Coherence as Contextual Harmonisation: Practical Examples	120
4.5	Conclusion	144
5	Coherence and Analogical Reasoning	146
5.1	Introduction	146
5.2	The Format of Analogical Inference	147
5.3	Borrowing Meaning: The Defence of Necessity under Customary International Law	162
5.4	Borrowing Guiding Principles: <i>UPS v. Canada</i> and the Principle of Equality of Competitive Opportunity under WTO Law	171
5.5	Borrowing Methods: Proportionality and the Question of the Standard of Review	181
5.6	Conclusion	205
6	Coherence as Reflexivity	207
6.1	Introduction	207
6.2	Components of Reflexivity	208
6.3	Reflexivity at Play: Dissecting Nationality Planning Jurisprudence	227
6.4	Conclusion	249
7	Coherence as Moral Responsibility	252
7.1	Introduction	252
7.2	The Challenge of Collective Reflexivity	253
7.3	Towards Judicial Moral Responsibility in ISDS	260
7.4	The Virtue of Faith	267
7.5	The Virtue of Humility	273
7.6	The Virtue of Acquiescence	278
7.7	The Virtues of Integrity and Candour	285
7.8	Conclusion	293

CONTENTS

vii

Coda: Coherence and Investor–State Dispute**Settlement Reform** 296

C.1 ISDS Reform at UNCITRAL 296

C.2 An Assessment of the ISDS Reform Debate through the Prism
of Coherence 298**Epilogue** 303*Bibliography* 308*Index* 324