

## INDEX

- acquiescence, judicial virtue of 278–285, 294, 306  
admissibility, doctrine of 202–203, 227–228, 230, 233, 235, 248, 283  
Alexy, Robert 65  
Allott, Philip 299  
Alvarez, José 182, 196  
*Ampal v. Egypt* 210–213  
analogy 147–162, 281, 285, 305  
precedent, as type of 147, 281  
purposiveness 154–155, 178, 189  
similarities 149–151, 163, 165, 169, 178  
steps of 148  
structural parallels 151–154, 172, 178, 182
- Barcelona Traction* 239  
Born, Gary 193–195, 197, 278  
Broches, Aron 239
- Calamita, Nicolas J. 184, 187  
candour, judicial virtue of 276, 286, 289–294, 307  
Caron, David 277  
Cass, Ronald A. 174–176, 178  
*Charanne v. Spain* 19–21  
*CMS v. Argentina* 163–165, 169–171, 284  
coherence  
axiological compatibility 5, 15, 34, 62, 207, 304  
bottom-up 2, 5–7, 33, 300, 302–303, 307  
double coherence, model of 75–76, 304  
global 6, 303  
local 6, 303  
methodological dimension 9, 30–33, 61–62, 146  
reflexivity. *See* reflexivity  
responsibility. *See* moral responsibility  
single coherence, model of 76–79, 304  
substantive/ideal dimension 8, 30–33, 61–62, 147  
top-down 4–5, 300, 302–303, 307  
collective enterprises, types of 254–257  
collegial 254, 256–257, 260, 265, 294, 306  
distributed 254  
redundant 254–255, 257  
team 254  
*competence-competence*, principle of 47, 49, 202  
comprehensiveness 26–28  
concepts, types of 12–14  
 criterial 12–14, 29, 76  
interpretative 12–14, 29–30, 32, 76  
natural-kind 12–14, 76  
consistency 16–22  
*Continental Casualty v. Argentina* 169–171  
contradiction, absence of. *See* consistency  
convergence. *See* coherence  
correctness 22–26  
accuracy 8, 47–48, 50, 258, 261, 265, 303  
demonstrable 24  
determinate 23

## INDEX

325

- Descombes, Vincent 48, 52  
 Dewey, John 209–210, 214, 225  
 Douglas, Zachary 49–50, 53, 202  
 Dworkin, Ronald 12–13, 80, 148, 265  
*Eiser v. Spain* 19–21  
*El Paso v. Argentina* 165–169  
 Energy Charter Treaty 19–20  
     article 26 127  
*Enron v. Argentina* 163–165  
 equity 86–90  
     *contra legem* 87, 90  
     *infra legem* 87, 89  
     *praeter legem* 87, 90  
 faith, judicial virtue of 267–273, 279, 285, 294, 306  
 Fauchald, Ole Kristian 101–102  
 Fish, Stanley 112–113  
 Fitzmaurice, Gerald 78, 114  
 fragmentation 4, 57  
 framing 9, 59, 61, 120, 129, 133–134, 145–146, 161, 165, 177, 200, 206–207, 210, 213, 217, 228, 239, 250, 274, 281, 291, 299, 301, 306–307  
 Fuller, Lon 106  
 Gardiner, Richard 101  
 GATT  
     article III 179  
     article XX 128, 181  
 Hart, Herbert 71, 106  
 Holyoak, Keith 148, 154  
 humility, judicial virtue of 273–278, 285, 294, 306  
 ICSID Convention  
     article 25(1) 18, 244, 246  
     article 25(2)(a) 49  
     article 25(2)(b) 237–238, 244  
     article 52(1)(a) 19  
     article 52(1)(d) 19, 128  
 incompletely theorised agreements 221–225, 228  
 instrumentalism 286–287  
 integrity, judicial virtue of 276, 286–289, 294, 307  
 international public policy 202, 204–205, 248  
 interpretivism 76–79, 304  
*Jan de Nul v. Egypt* 123–126  
 Jefferson Powell, Haywood 267, 273, 279, 286, 290, 294  
 judicial moral responsibility. *See* moral responsibility  
 jurisdiction, doctrine of 200–205, 231, 243  
*ratione materiae* 201, 231–232, 240, 243, 247  
*ratione personae* 47, 49, 227, 230, 236–238, 240, 243–244  
*ratione temporis* 231, 233, 241, 243  
*ratione voluntatis* 241  
*Jurisprudence constante* 223, 279, 281–282, 285  
*Kim v. Uzbekistan* 197–205  
 Klabbers, Jan 266  
 Kornhauser, Lewis 254  
 Kress, Ken 21  
 Lauterpacht, Hersch 233  
 legal positivism 69–75, 304  
     exclusive version 70  
     inclusive version 71  
     separability thesis 69–72  
 legitimate expectations 21, 102, 149, 153, 158, 186, 193, 287  
*LG&E v. Argentina* 165–169  
 Lucy, William 40–41  
 MacCormick, Neil 14–15, 48, 80, 271  
*Metal-Tech v. Uzbekistan* 121–123  
 moral responsibility 9, 224, 264–267, 272, 306  
 Mortenson, Julian Davis 115  
 nationality planning 227–249  
     abuse of rights/process 231, 233, 235, 239, 241  
     freedom of 228–231

- nationality planning (cont.)
  - good faith 231, 233, 235, 241–242, 244–245, 247
  - non-retroactivity 231–232
  - Phoenix v. Czech Republic* 240–249, 271
  - Tokios Tokelés v. Ukraine* 236–240, 244
- Navigational and Related Rights* 137–138
- normative contextualisation 9, 59, 103–108, 120, 123–126, 129, 135, 139, 145, 147, 162, 165–166, 206–207, 228, 239, 250, 274, 280–281, 299, 301, 306–307
- objectivity 22–26
  - epistemic 8, 25, 224, 265
  - ontological 8, 23, 25, 265
- Occidental v. Ecuador* (LCIA) 150
- Oil Platforms* 129–136
- overlapping consensus 260, 280
- paradigms, in ISDS 155–161
- Philip Morris v. Uruguay* 191–197, 278
- police powers, doctrine of 92, 215, 217, 275, 283
- precedent 40, 90–92, 223, 280–285
  - as analogy. *See* analogy
- predictability. *See* comprehensiveness
- proportionality 181–194, 196–197, 199–200, 203
- margin of appreciation 158, 189, 191–193, 196–197
- Qatar v. Bahrain* 115–118
- Rawls, John 218–219, 225, 260
- Raz, Joseph 41, 66, 80
- reasoning, types of
  - practical 8, 49–53, 305
  - theoretical 44–49, 305
- reasons, types of 39–43
  - adequate reasons 41, 220
  - compelling reasons 42, 57, 220
  - frivolous reasons 38, 40, 74
  - having reasons 40
- reflexivity 208–227, 305
- collective 252–265, 306
- knowing-in-practice 214, 216–217, 225, 275
- Phoenix v. Czech Republic* 240–249, 271
- reflection-in-practice 214, 217, 225–227
- reflection-on-practice 214, 217, 226
- reflective equilibrium 218, 220–221, 223, 225, 240, 250
- reflective equilibrium, narrow 219
- reflective equilibrium, optimal 220, 249–250, 306
- reflective equilibrium, wide 219
- thinking reflectively 209–213
- reform, of ISDS 2, 10, 16, 296–302, 307
  - advisory centre 297
  - appellate mechanism 297
  - Multilateral Investment Court 297, 300
- Ridi, Niccolo 280
- Sager, Lawrence 254
- Schön, Donald 59, 213, 216–217, 225
- Schultz, Thomas 32, 258
- Sempra v. Argentina* 163–165, 169–171
- Shapiro, Scott 66
- stare decisis*, doctrine of 75, 279, 282, 284, 286
- state responsibility, ILC Articles on
  - article 13 231
  - article 25 163, 165–166, 168–169, 171, 284
- Sunstein, Cass 221–224, 228
- systemic integration, principle of. *See* VCLT, article 31(3)(c)
- Thagard, Paul 148, 155
- The Volga* 138–141
- Tucker, Todd 274
- UNCITRAL Working Group III 2–3, 10, 296–302, 307
  - Indonesia 297
  - Japan 301
  - mandate of 297
  - Thailand 297

## INDEX

327

- UNCLOS  
    article 73(2) 138–140  
*UPS v. Canada* 171–180
- VCLT  
    article 28 231  
    article 31 98, 108–109  
    article 31(1) 136  
    article 31(2) 99  
    article 31(3) 99  
    article 31(3)(c) 4, 126–127, 130–131,  
        136, 162  
    article 31(4) 99, 118  
    article 32 100, 107–109, 114
- article 33 95  
contextual harmonisation 118, 120,  
    129, 145, 305  
intentionalism 108–115  
*Navigational and Related Rights*  
    137–138  
*Oil Platforms* 129–136  
textualism 109–114  
*The Volga* 138–141  
*travaux préparatoires* 106, 114–115,  
    117
- Waldock, Humphrey 111, 119  
Weil, Prosper 237–239, 244