

## INDEX

### Abbreviations used in the index

- AAT (Asylum Access Tanzania)
- ACHPR (African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights (1981) (Banjul Charter))
- ACHR (American Convention on Human Rights (1969))
- ACoMHRP (African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights)
- ACtHPR (African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights)
- Brussels I *bis* (Regulation (EU) No 1215/2012 of 12 December 2012 on jurisdiction and entry into force of judgments in civil and commercial matters, entry into force 10 January 2015)
- CAT (UN Committee against Torture)
- CCCACP (Estonian Code of Criminal Court Appeal and Cassation Procedure)
- CDA (Crime and Disorder Act 1998 (UK))
- CFR (European Charter of Fundamental Rights (2000))
- CIL (customary international law)
- CJA (Criminal Justice Act)
- CLA (Civil Liability Act)
- CLCS (Commission on the Limits of the Continental Shelf)
- EAC (East African Community)
- EACJ (East African Court of Justice)
- EACT (Treaty for the Establishment of the East African Community (1986/2006/2007))
- ECHR (European Convention on Human Rights (1950))
- EComHR (European Commission of Human Rights)
- ECOWAS (Economic Community of West African States/ECOWAS Treaty (1993))
- ECOWAS CCJ (ECOWAS Community Court of Justice)
- ECSI (European Convention on State Immunity (1972) (Basle Convention))
- EOC (Elements of Crimes)
- FAJ (Federation of African Journalists)
- FCO (Foreign and Commonwealth Office)
- FIR (First Information Report (Pakistan))
- GC (1949) (Geneva Conventions (1949))
- Hague Convention (1954) (Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict (1954))
- HRC GC (UN Human Rights Committee General Comment)
- ICC (International Criminal Court/ICC Statute)
- ICCA (International Criminal Court Act 2001)
- ICCPR (International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (1966))
- ICJ (International Court of Justice/ICJ Statute)
- IHL (international humanitarian law)
- IHRL (international human rights law)
- ILC(SR) (International Law Commission, Articles on the Responsibility of States for Internationally Unlawful Acts)
- Incorporated Trustees (Incorporated Trustees of Laws and Rights Awareness Initiatives)

- IRPA (Immigration and Refugee Protection Act 2001 (Canada))  
 JISP (UN Convention on the Jurisdictional Immunities of States and their Property (2004))  
 JR (Judgments Regulation (Council Regulation (EC) No 44/2001 on jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters (22 December 2000))  
 Kenya–Somalia MOU (2009) (Kenya–Somalia MOU granting each other no-objection in respect of submissions to CLCS (7 April 2009))  
 LRV (Legal Representatives of Victims)  
 MA (Media Services Act 2016 (Tanzania))  
 MC/Montreal Convention (Montreal Convention for the Unification of Certain Rules for International Carriage by Air (1999))  
 Media Council (Media Council of Tanzania)  
 MFWA (Media Foundation for West Africa)  
 MHAI (Minister of Home Affairs and Immigration (Tanzania))  
 MOU (Memorandum of Understanding)  
 NPFL (National Patriotic Front of Liberia)  
 OSJI (Open Society Justice Initiative)  
 PTSD (post-traumatic stress disorder)  
 RC (Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees (1951))  
 ROC (Rules of Court/Regulations of Court)  
 ROP (Rules of Procedure)  
 SOLAS (International Convention for the Safety of Life at Sea (1974))  
 SPC (Stateless Persons Convention) (1954)  
 TFV (Trust Fund for Victims)  
 UDHR (Universal Declaration of Human Rights (1948))  
 UNC (United Nations Charter (1945))  
 UNCAT (UN Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (1984))  
 UNCLOS (UN Law of the Sea Convention (1982))  
 UNGA Resolution 60/147 (UNGA Resolution 60/147 on Basic Principles and Guidelines on the Right to a Remedy and Reparation for Victims of Gross Violations of International Human Rights Law and Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law (16 December 2005))  
 UNHCR (UN High Commissioner for Refugees)  
 UNSG (UN Secretary-General)  
 VCCR (Vienna Convention on Consular Relations (1963))  
 VCCR OP (Vienna Convention on Consular Relations (1963, Optional Protocol on the Compulsory Settlement of Disputes))  
 VCLT (Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (1969))  
 Victim Participation Decision (Decision on Victim Participation at Trial and on Common Legal Representation of Victims)  
 WHC (World Heritage Convention (1972))

**abuse of process**

burden/standard of proof 108

**abuse of rights (ICJ/PCIJ)**

alleged breach of treaty right as ground for other party's non-compliance with treaty 127

as merits issue 109-10

modification of the law applicable between the parties consequent on, exclusion 111

**abuse of rights/process, jurisprudence***Avena* 199*Immunities and Criminal Proceedings* 109*Jadhav* 108-10, 127, 199-207*LaGrand* 127*US Diplomatic and Consular Staff in Tehran (Hostages case)* 127**ACHPR**

jurisdiction/admissibility

*ratione materiae* (disputes concerning the interpretation of the Charter, the Protocol and other relevant human rights treaties (ACHPR Protocol 3(1)))

citation of specific provisions of ACHPR, relevance 234-5

*ratione materiae* (disputes concerning the interpretation of the Charter, the Protocol and other relevant human rights treaties (Protocol 3(1))), jurisprudence*Anudo* 234-5*Chacha* 235*Omary* 235*Thomas* 235**ACHPR Rules of Court by rule**

26(1)(a) (jurisdiction: interpretation of Charter and other relevant human rights instruments) 234

26(2) (jurisdiction: determination by Court in event of dispute) 234

35(3) (notification of application to chair of AU Commission) 232

39(1) (preliminary examination of competence: Court's right to request information/documentation) 234, 236

40 (conditions for admissibility) 236

40(6) (filing within a reasonable time) 238-9

45(2) (Court's right to person or institution of its choice for information, an opinion or a report) 233

63 (ruling on request for reparation) 249

**actio popularis/public interest litigation (PIL), possibility of**

ACtHPR/ECOWAS CCJ 332-4

jurisprudence (ECOWAS CCJ)

*Incorporated Trustees* 332-4*MFWA* 333-4*SERAP* 332-3*Solomon* 333

NGOs' role 332-4

personal damage/interest, relevance 333

**admissibility (timeliness of application (ACHPR 56(6)/ROC 40(6)))**

jurisprudence

*Abubakari* 238*Anudo* 238-9*Jonas* 238*Thomas* 238

"reasonable period of time" 238-9

relevant factors 238-9

**AI M (assurances and waiver), background (facts/procedural matters)**

issue (enforceability of applicant's assurances and waivers) 665

core of the issue 667

cited authorities

*Lodhi* 672, 673, 674*Othman* 664 n. 6, 670-1, 674, 676, 679-80*RB* 670-1, 673, 674

***AI M (assurances and waiver), background (facts/procedural matters) (cont.)***

Court's conclusion

evolving nature of the proceedings/possibility of modification of commitments to address matters of concern 684

failure of assurances statement/waivers of immunity to convince 683-4

matters remaining for final stage of the proceedings 684

legal context

formal State assurances to support private law application, extreme rarity 667

withdrawal, possibility of 668

parties' arguments (applicant)

assurances/unilateral declarations

acceptance of *Othman* criteria 670-1, 677

*Nuclear Tests* 669-70

possibility of withdrawal 678

waiver of immunity, scope 671-2, 677-8

parties' arguments (respondent)

assurances

Court's obligation to undertake rigorous testing 672-4

limitation to applicant 675

scope for more extensive assurances 674-5

UAE's human rights record 675

unilateral nature of/absence of formal record 668, 674

unreliability 672

enforcement prospects

absence of a dispute resolution mechanism 676

absence of an FCO view of assurances and waivers 675

applicant's failure to use diplomatic channels 668, 676-7

failure of applicant to assist Court/offer evidence 672, 675

non-intervention of British authorities over the abduction of Princess Shamsa 676

waivers

limitation to applicant 668-9

protection offer by applicant

assurances 665-6

as unilateral/unnegotiated documents 667-8

withdrawal, possibility of 668

likelihood of any attempt at abduction being undertaken by agents possessing

diplomatic immunity 682-3

limited nature of waiver document 683

waivers

limitation to respondent 668-9, 677

withdrawal, exclusion 668

waivers

waiver of immunity as clear statement of intent to be bound by any Court orders 682

waiver of inviolability of applicant's UK premises as a significant concession 682

***AI M (assurances and waiver), Court's analysis***

assurances/unilateral declaration of

binding effect under international law, prima facie presumption of 679

exceptional nature of a State's assurances in support of private law interests 678

obligation to undertake rigorous testing of/parties' agreement on 673-4

*RB/Lodhi/Othman* checklists 673-4

- Othman* checklist, concerns
  - absence of diplomatic or other monitoring systems 680
  - Princess Shamsa precedent/Princess Latifa as evidence of the likely ability of the UK to guarantee compliance 679-80, 681-2
- Othman* checklist, meeting the criteria
  - authority to bind the UAE 679
  - binding effect on the UAE/Dubai 679
  - disclosure of terms of assurances to the Court 679
  - lawfulness of assurances in the UAE/Dubai 679
  - specific and clear assurances 679
- RB* checklist, concerns
  - absence of diplomatic or other monitoring/dispute resolution mechanism 680-1
  - absence of evidence of likely intervention by the FCO in case of breach 680-1
  - absence of evidence of UAE/UK perceptions of diplomatic consequences of a breach 680-1
- RB* checklist, meeting the criteria
  - fulfilment of assurances as waiver of applicant's immunity 680
  - good faith nature of assurances 680
- Al Mahdi*: see *Al Mahdi* (Reparations Order) (background); *Al Mahdi* (Reparations Order) (Appeal), Appeal Chamber's analysis: ground 1 (limitation of individual reparations to those whose livelihoods exclusively depended on the Protected Building); *Al Mahdi* (Reparations Order) (Appeal), Appeal Chamber's analysis: ground 2:1 (delegation of "power of adjudication" to the TFV); *Al Mahdi* (Reparations Order) (Appeal), Appeal Chamber's analysis: ground 2:2 (error related to confidentiality); *Al Mahdi* (Reparations Order) (Appeal), Appeal Chamber's decision; *Al Mahdi* (Reparations Order) (Trial Chamber), Chamber's analysis of ICC reparations obligations; *Al Mahdi* (Reparations Order) (Trial Chamber), Chamber's application of the reparations principles and determination of liability; *Al Mahdi* (Reparations Order) (Trial Chamber), implementation**
- Al Mahdi* (Reparations Order) (background)**
  - parties' positions
    - Defence 439-40
    - LRV 439
  - preliminary issue (LRV's non-compliance with ICC Regulation 62(1) (additional evidence presented to the Appeals Chamber)) 485-7
  - procedural history in date order and parties' positions
    - Al Mahdi*'s conviction and sentence to imprisonment (27 September 2016) 436, 481
    - Calendar Decision (reparations phase) (29 September 2016) 436
    - agreement to reparations-related *amici curiae* requests (25/31 October 2016) 437
    - receipt of general submissions on the reparations proceedings (2 December 2016) 437, 481-2
    - transmission to the Chamber of first batch of victim applications (16 December 2016) 437-8, 482
    - provision of redacted versions of victim applications to the Defence (22 December 2016) 437
    - Chamber's appointment of experts (19 January 2017) 438
    - submission of supplementary materials/additional applications (24 March 2017) 437-8, 482
    - submission of experts' reports (1 May 2017) 438
    - final submissions of the Defence and TFV (16 June 2017) 438, 483
    - final submissions of the LRV (6 July 2017) 438, 483

***Al Mahdi (Reparations Order) (background) (cont.)***

- Reparations Decision (17 August 2017) 483
- LRV files appeal (21 September 2017) 483
- Order for amendment of notice of appeal to conform with ROP 57 (26 September 2017) 483
- LRV files corrigendum to its notice of appeal (9 October 2017) 483
- LRV files Appeal Brief (18 October 2017) 484
- Direction on the conduct of the appeal proceedings (7 November 2017) 484
- TFV submits observations on the LRV's Appeal Brief (29 November 2017) 484
- Al Mahdi's response to TFV's observations (11 December 2017) 484
- standard of review (*Kenyatta*), limitation to error of law, fact or procedure 488-9

***Al Mahdi (Reparations Order) (Appeal), Appeal Chamber's analysis: ground 1 (limitation of individual reparations to those whose livelihoods exclusively depended on the Protected Building)*** 489-97

- procedural matters
  - LRV's submission (error of law) 490-1
  - relevant part of the Reparations Order (impugned decision) 489-90
- Trial Chamber's discretion (ICC 75(1) and (2)/ROP 97(1) and (2)) 492
- analysis of decision in the light of 492-7
- LRV's failure to establish error of law 497

***Al Mahdi (Reparations Order) (Appeal), Appeal Chamber's analysis: ground 2:1 (delegation of "power of adjudication" to the TFV)*** 497-509

- Appeal Chamber's determination
  - identification of eligible victims/criteria of eligibility as "victim" (ICC 75) requirement
    - applicability in case of award on an individual basis 506-7
  - LRV's arguments (delegation of determinations to TFV, in particular the screening process to identify beneficiaries of individual reparations) 503
  - summary of Trial Chamber's decision 503-5
    - Trial Chamber's delegation of limited task to TFV/retention of high degree of control 504-5, 507-9
  - TFV's role in implementation of reparations order (ICC 75(2)/ROP 98(2)/TFV Regulations 59-68) 505-6
    - conformity of Trial Chamber's decision with ROP 98(2)/TFV Regulations 60-5, 505-6
    - TFV Regulation 60 ("names and/or locations of the victims are not known") 506
- Trial Chamber's discretion (ICC 75(1)/ROP 97(1)) 505, 507
- procedural matters
  - parties'/participants' submissions
    - Al Mahdi 501-2
    - LRV 499-500, 502-3
    - TFV 500-1
  - relevant part of the Reparations Order (impugned decision) 497-9

***Al Mahdi (Reparations Order) (Appeal), Appeal Chamber's analysis: ground 2:2 (error related to confidentiality)***

- Appeal Chamber's determination (requirement for applicants for individual reparation to "make their identity known to both the TFV and the Defence") (ROP 23 *bis* (1)) 512-20
- Appeal Chamber's conclusion (Trial Chamber's errors)
  - absence of explanation for change of approach after the Reparations Order 519
  - failure to take sufficient account of victims' concerns 519
  - wholesale ruling/limited justifying interest of the defence 519

- Court's obligation to balance the rights and interests of parties (ICC 68) 517-20
    - factors to be taken into account 517-18
    - proportionality and 517
  - pre-Reparations Order compliance with ROP 23 *bis*(1) including provision of redacted material to Al Mahdi 514-16
- procedural matters
  - Appeal Chamber's agreement to assess the merits despite non-compliance with ROP 58 (ROP 29(1)) 513-14
    - concerns about risks of transfer of information 514-15
    - Trial Chamber's explanation for the decision 514
  - parties'/participants' submissions
    - Al Mahdi 512
    - LRV 510-12, 513
  - relevant part of the Reparations Order (impugned decision) 510
- Al Mahdi (Reparations Order) (Appeal), Appeal Chamber's decision**
  - amendment of Reparations Order
    - confirmation of requirement for information to be made available to the TFV 520
  - participation in individual reparations by victims not wishing their identities to be disclosed to Al Mahdi 480, 520
  - right of victim applicants deemed ineligible to seek review/Trial Chamber's *ex proprio motu* right of review 480, 520-1
- key findings
  - Trial Chamber's obligation to maintain judicial control of screening process including endorsement of final result/possibility of amending 481, 520-1
  - Trial Chamber's right to request assistance in the administrative screening of individual beneficiaries 481
- Al Mahdi (Reparations Order) (Trial Chamber), Chamber's analysis of ICC reparations obligations**
  - cultural heritage, importance of/justification for protection 440-3
    - treaties and other international instruments relating to 441
  - ICC reparations (ICC 75), Court's obligation to establish principles (ICC 75(1))/  
sources
    - Lubanga* principles 443-6
    - UN Basic Principles of Justice for Victims of Crime and Abuse of Power (1985) 443
  - principles/underlying concepts (ICC 75(1)), examples 443-4
    - appropriate, adequate and prompt reparation 445
    - fair and equal treatment of victims 444
    - gender-sensitive approach to the protection of cultural heritage 445
    - measures to ensure victims' safety, physical and psychological well-being and privacy (ROC 87/ROC 88) 445
  - principles as general concepts capable of adaptation and development (*Lubanga*) 443-4
    - proportionality to
      - harm caused 448
      - nature of participation in specific circumstances of the case 448
    - reflection of non-discriminatory local cultural and customary practices 445
    - respect for the rights of the convicted person (ROC 97(3)) 445
    - treatment of victims with humanity/respect for their dignity and human rights 445
  - purpose
    - to deter future violation 444
    - to enable victims to recover their dignity 444
    - to ensure that offenders are held to account 444

***Al Mahdi (Reparations Order) (Trial Chamber), Chamber's analysis of ICC reparations obligations* (cont.)**

- to promote reconciliation 444
- to relieve suffering caused by the serious crime 444
- to repair the harm caused 444
- reparations order, requirements
  - causation
    - burden/standard of proof (balance of probabilities) 448
    - "but for" 447
    - case-by-case approach to standard 447 n. 71
    - proximate cause 447-8
  - definition of the direct and indirect harm caused 446
  - direct harm requirement (organizations) 447
  - "harm" as hurt, injury and damage 447
  - material, physical or psychological harm, acceptability 447
  - moral harm, irrelevance of the economic situation of the local population (*Katanga*) 447
  - personal to the victim requirement 447
  - direction against the convicted person 446, 448
  - eligible victims/criteria of eligibility as "victim" (ICC 75) 446
    - natural persons (ROP 85(a)) 446
    - organizations or institutions that have sustained direct harm to any of their "cultural" institutions (ROP 85(b)) 446-7
  - Victim Participation Decision 446
  - establishment of convicted person's liability for reparations/information for him/her 446
  - reasons for the type of reparations 446
  - types and modalities of reparations 446
    - concurrent resort to 448
    - individual award (ROP 98(1)) 448
    - restitution/compensation/rehabilitation as non-exhaustive possibilities (ICC 75) 448
    - symbolic reparations 448
- States Parties' obligations
  - compliance with reparations obligations under domestic law or international treaties 445
  - cooperation with reparations proceedings in particular at the implementation stage 445
- Al Mahdi (Reparations Order) (Trial Chamber), Chamber's application of the reparations principles and determination of liability* 449-73**
  - bodily harm, harm suffered/liability, absence of causal relationship between Al Mahdi's crime and the harm 462-3
  - damage to graves adjacent to the Protected Buildings
    - absence of evidence/claims for reparation 453-4
    - Al Mahdi's efforts to prevent damage to the graves 454
    - causal relationship between Al Mahdi's crime and the harm, insufficiency of evidence 454
  - damage to property other than the Protected Buildings, harm suffered/liability, absence of causal relationship between Al Mahdi's crime and the harm 463-4
  - damage to the Protected Buildings, harm suffered/liability
    - causal relationship between Al Mahdi's crime and the harm 453
    - Chamber's finding of liability 453



- effect on entire Timbuktu community 453
- third-party claims as outside the Chamber's remit 453
- UNESCO's involvement in the restoration of the buildings 453
  - as mitigating factor, Chamber's rejection of Defence's claim 453
- victims' requests for reparations 452
- damage to the Protected Buildings, modalities
  - Al Mahdi's apology, Chamber's approach to
    - acceptance of sincerity/rejection of request for further apology 454-5
    - collective reparations as the most appropriate 454
  - measures to rehabilitate the Protected Sites and to guarantee non-repetition 454
  - order to place excerpt on the ICC website and make available in other ways as "symbolic" gesture 455
- economic harm, Chamber's approach to modalities
  - collective reparations
    - objective 459
    - suggested modalities 459
  - extension of compensation to all who suffered loss, justification 457-8
  - individual vs collective award, distinguishing between 458
  - limitation of individual compensation to those whose livelihoods depended exclusively on the Protected Buildings 458, 459
- economic harm, harm suffered/liability
  - causal relationship between Al Mahdi's crime and the harm 456-7
  - collective nature of the harm 457
  - experts' reports and other evidence 456
  - victims' assertions 456
- harm suffered, types of reparations and modalities, summaries of
  - Chamber's approach to 450-2
  - Chamber's findings 465-6
- moral harm, Chamber's approach to modalities
  - extension of compensation to all who suffered loss, justification 461
  - individual vs collective award, distinguishing between 461-2
  - suggested modalities
    - aid and counselling programme 462
    - compensation 461-2
    - symbolic measures to give public recognition of the moral harm suffered 461-2
- moral harm, harm suffered/liability
  - causal relationship between Al Mahdi's crime and the harm 461
  - victims' assertions 459-60
  - other evidence of 460
- relevant victims (decision to limit assessment to Timbuktu community) 449-50
- scope of liability 466-73
  - consequential economic loss 469-71
  - damage to the Protected Buildings 468-9
  - factors considered
    - Al Mahdi's financial circumstances 467-8
    - limitation of time period for TFV to claim reimbursement from Al Mahdi 468
    - proportionality to harm caused/nature of participation in specific circumstances of the case 466
    - sums awarded falling short of full total of harm caused 466-7
  - moral harm 472-3
  - total liability 473

***Al Mabdi (Reparations Order) (Trial Chamber), implementation***

Chamber's guidelines

impossibility for the Chamber itself to identify all the victims meeting its parameters  
 for individual reparation/acceptability of TFV administrative screening 475

interim nature of Chamber's liability calculations, TFV's scope for implementation  
 complementary contribution 474  
 possibility of adjustment 474

mutually reinforcing nature of ordered reparations 474

optional inclusion of traditional justice mechanisms in implementation process 478  
 prioritization of individual claims vs TFV's preference for prioritization of collective  
 claims 474-5

TFV verification procedure for beneficiaries not identified by the Chamber 475-6  
 Chamber's screening parameters (including absence of a review mechanism)  
 476-8

TFV's implementation plan to address dichotomy between responsiveness to local  
 conditions and the Court's reparation principles 478

TFV's mandate as implementing agency/proposed implementation plan 473-4  
 deadline for completion/subsequent action 474

***Anudo***: see *Anudo* (nationality issues) (background, jurisdiction and admissibility); *Anudo*  
 (nationality issues) (merits) (Court's analysis and findings); *Anudo* (nationality  
 issues) (remedies (ACHPR 27(1)/ROC 63)/*dispositif*)

***Anudo (nationality issues) (background, jurisdiction and admissibility)***

admissibility (exhaustion of local remedies (ACHPR 56(5)/Protocol 6(2)))

absence of applicant from the country following expulsion 238

absence of information on means of challenge 236-8

admissibility requirements (ACHPR 56/ROC 40) 236

admissibility (timeliness of application (ACHPR 56(6)/ROC 40(6)))

"reasonable period of time" 238-9

background (factual in date order)

withdrawal of Tanzanian nationality/deportation to Kenya/expulsion to Tanzania  
 (2012) 229-30

letter to the MHAI asking reason for confiscation of travel document (2 September  
 2013) 230

investigation into applicant's parentage (April/May 2013) 230

MHAI informs applicant of negative results of investigation (21 August 2014) 230

alleged arrest, detention and beating following visit to the Immigration Office  
 (26 August 2014) 230, 239-40

further expulsion/forced attestation of Kenyan citizenship (1 September 2014) 230,  
 239-40

applicant's father seeks annulment of withdrawal of citizenship/deportation (5  
 October 2014) 230

applicant found injured in Kenya (3 November 2014) 230-1

applicant fined for illegal stay in Kenya (6 November 2014) 231

MHAI confirms expulsion (3 December 2014) 230

background (procedural)

alleged violations 231-2

parties 229

parties' prayers

applicant 233

respondent State 233-4

background (procedural history in date order)

Application (24/25 May 2014) 232

- service of Application on respondent State/notification to parties to ACHPR Protocol (15 September 2015) 232
- respondent State files response (30 December 2015) 232
- Court decides to provide applicant with legal assistance/AAT agrees to represent applicant (4 February 2016) 232
- Court requests AComHPR for opinion (ROC 45(2)) (25 March 2016)/absence of reply 232
- request for provisional measures (18 November 2016) 232
- Court requests OSJI for opinion (ROC 45(2)) (4 January 2017)/OSJI transmits its comments (7 March 2017) 233
- public hearing (17 March 2017) 233
- jurisdiction *ratione materiae*, objection to for want of citation of specific articles alleged to have been breached
  - Court's dismissal of objection 235
  - parties' positions 234
- Anudo (nationality issues) (merits) (Court's analysis and findings)**
  - Court's finding (breach of ICCPR 7(1)(a)-(c)) 247
  - i. right to nationality/protection from arbitrary deprivation of
    - applicant as stateless person (SPC 1(1)/customary international law) 245
    - burden of proof/analysis of the evidence 242-3
    - Court's conclusion (arbitrary deprivation in breach of UDHR 15(2)) 243
    - parties' arguments 239-41, 244-5
    - right not to be deprived of nationality for sole purpose of expulsion 244-5
    - right to nationality (ACHPR/ICCPR vs UDHR 15) 241
    - State's right to determine/accord nationality 241
  - ii. right not to be expelled arbitrarily
    - Court's conclusion (arbitrary expulsion in breach of ICCPR 13) 246
    - right not to be arbitrarily deprived of right to enter one's own country (ICCPR 12(4)) 244-5
    - right of alien (ICCPR 13) 245
    - right to leave/return to one's own country (ACHPR 12(2)) 244-5
  - iii. right to be heard (ACHPR 7(1)/ICCPR 14(1)) 246-7
    - absence of provision for
      - Citizenship Act 1995 247
      - Tanzanian Immigration Law 1995 247
      - Amnesty International v. Zambia* 247
  - iv. breaches of human rights treaties deriving from the main violations 248
    - deferral to remedies stage 248
- Anudo (nationality issues) (remedies (ACHPR 27(1)/ROC 63)/dispositif)**
  - costs (ROC 30), deferral 249
  - Court's findings
    - annulment of expulsion decision, lack of jurisdiction to determine 249
    - reparation, deferral of consideration in absence of submission from the parties 249
  - dispositif* 250
  - parties' arguments
    - applicant 248-9
    - respondent State 249
- Australia**
  - Civil Aviation (Carriers' Liability) Act
    - as implementation of the Montreal Convention (1999) 524
  - jurisprudence
    - Georgeopoulos* 529-30

**Australia** (*cont.*)

*Kotsambasis* 529-30

*Pel-Air* 523-48: *see also Pel-Air*

Civil Liability Act 2002 (NSW) by section

15(1) (damages for loss of capacity to provide domestic services: "attendant care services") 541

16 (non-economic loss) 537-8

**Canada**

Charter of Rights and Freedoms (CRF)

IRPA 98/RC 1F(b), relationship with 568-9

Immigration and Refugee Protection Act 2001 (IRPA) by section

95-8 (refugee protection) 554

98 (exclusion—Refugee Convention)

CFR, relevance 568-9

as incorporation of RC 1E/RC 1F/interpretation in accordance with VCLT 31/  
 VCLT 32 554-5

100-2 (examination of eligibility to refer claim) 553-4

112 (protection against a removal order) 554

Refugee Convention (1951)

implementing legislation/incorporation: *see* Immigration and Refugee Protection Act  
 2001 (IRPA) *above*; *Febles*

treaty interpretation

context (VCLT 31(2)) 557-9

object and purpose (VCLT 31(1)) 559-61

ordinary meaning (VCLT 31(1)) 556-7, 562, 564, 573

*travaux préparatoires* 562-3

**clean hands** (*inadimplenti non est adimplendum*)

admissibility and 52-4, 110-11

impact on the law applicable between the parties, exclusion 111

jurisprudence

*Certain Iranian Assets* 108, 110, 194

*Chorzów Factory* 111-12

*Delimitation in the Indian Ocean* 52-4

*Gabčíkovo/Nagymaros Project* 110-11, 193

*Jadhav* 110-11, 194

**compensation for breach of ECHR (just satisfaction obligation (ECHR 41 [50]))**  
**(non-pecuniary loss)**

distress and fear/anxiety 423

equitable basis 423

**compétence de la compétence (ICJ 36(6)), jurisprudence**

*Chorzów Factory* 52, 76

*Maritime Delimitation in the Indian Ocean* 52

**compulsory jurisdiction (Optional Clause) (ICJ 36(2))**

acceptance and implementation (Kenya) 45-52

interpretation

declaration as a whole 47

intention of State at time of declaration

preponderance of the arguments in favour of jurisdiction 46-8

jurisprudence

*Fisheries Jurisdiction (Spain v. Canada)* 47

*Maritime Delimitation in the Indian Ocean* 46-52

- reservations
  - as integral part of declaration accepting jurisdiction 75
  - jurisprudence
    - Border and Transborder Armed Actions* 46
    - Certain Norwegian Loans* 46, 78
    - Fisheries Jurisdiction (Spain v. Canada)* 46
    - Maritime Delimitation in the Indian Ocean* 46-52
- consular access (VCCR 36)**
  - customary international law (CIL), exclusion in view of VCCR regulation (VCCR preamble) 117
  - enforcement of rights
    - “[t]he said authorities shall inform the person concerned without delay of his rights under this subparagraph” (VCCR 36(1)(b)) 121
  - exercise of consular functions “in accordance with the laws of the receiving State” (VCCR 5) and 182-4
  - human rights, relationship with
    - death penalty 151-65
    - due process/fair trial rights (ICCPR 14) 148-51, 184-90
  - individual’s right, whether
    - as a human right 186-90
    - right of State to invoke (VCCR OP I) 126
  - jurisprudence
    - Avena* 124-5, 127, 128-9, 131-2, 133, 134, 165-6, 168-9, 171-2, 174, 176, 199, 216-17, 218-19, 221-2
    - Jadhav: see Jadhav*
    - Juridical Condition and Rights of Undocumented Migrants* (IACtHR Advisory Opinion 18/93) 141-2
    - LaGrand* 142-7
  - laws and regulations of receiving State, exercise in conformity with (VCCR 36(2)) 182-3
    - obligation to cooperate with the investigative process, whether 125-7
  - legal representation, right to arrange/right to converse and correspond with (VCCR 36(1)(c))
    - detainee’s decision to choose own lawyer, effect 125-7
    - unless detainee “expressly opposes” (VCCR 36(1)(c)) 125-7
  - “nationals”, establishment of status as 172-6
  - notification of detention (VCCR 36(1)(b))
    - “if he so requests” 122-5
    - travaux préparatoires* 123
    - “without delay” 124-5
  - notification of detention/“if he so requests”/obligation to inform person concerned of rights (VCCR 36(1)(b)), interrelationship 122-4, 159, 171-2, 217
  - terrorism/espionage-related cases, applicability to 112-17
    - anti-terrorism conventions as aid to interpretation of right 194-5
    - customary international law, exclusion in view of VCCR regulation of (VCCR preamble) 117
    - ordinary meaning 114
      - absence of VCCR reference to exceptions including terrorism/espionage 114
      - object and purpose (“development of friendly relations”) as counter to 114
    - travaux préparatoires* (discussion of “without delay”) 114-17
    - ILC draft (1960) 115-16
    - Vienna Conference (1963) 116
  - text of article 112-13

**continental shelf**

- coastal State's right of delineation (UNCLOS 76(7)) 30-1
- delineation of outer limits (UNCLOS 76) and delimitation (UNCLOS 83) distinguished 31-2

**Continental Shelf, Commission on the Limits of (UNCLOS Annex II) (CLCS)**

- functions (UNCLOS 76(1)) (delineation of outer limits), delimitation (UNCLOS 83) distinguished 30-1, 33-7

- functions (UNCLOS 76(8)) (coastal State's right to establish final and binding continental shelf limits beyond 200 nautical miles)
  - limitation to delineation (UNCLOS 76(1)/Annex II:9) 30-7
  - submission of information "as soon as possible . . . within 10 years of the entry into force of the Convention for that State" (Annex II:4) 12-13
  - amendment of requirement (2001) 13

## jurisprudence

*Delimitation of the Continental Shelf between Nicaragua and Colombia beyond 200 Nautical Miles from the Nicaraguan Coast* 31

*Maritime Delimitation in the Indian Ocean* 12-13, 30-7: *see also* *Maritime*

*Delimitation in the Indian Ocean*

## Rules of Procedure by Rule

- 46 (consideration of submissions to CLCS in case of an UNCLOS 83 delimitation dispute) 31

Annex I (submissions in case of a dispute between States with opposite or adjacent coasts or in other cases of unresolved land or maritime disputes)

- 5(a) (requirement of consent of all States concerned prior to consideration of submissions relating to) 13, 31-2

**costs (EACJ)** 131, 314**cultural property, importance of protection** 440-3: *see also* *Al Mahdi*

Director for Legal Protection of Cultural Heritage 442

**customary international law (CIL)**

- evolutionary nature/progressive development 390-1
- Rina* 383-92

**EAC (fundamental and operational principles (EACT 6/EACT 7)):** *see also* EACJ,

jurisdiction (EACT 27); freedom of expression/freedom of the press (ACHPR 9/ACHR 13/EACT 6(d) and EACT 7(2)/ECHR 10/ECOWAS 66/ICCPR 19(2)/UDHR 19)

## jurisprudence

*Burundian Journalists Union* (EACJ) 300-2

*Media Council* 299-313

*Mohochi* 300

*Rugumba* 300

**EAC (membership/admission (EACT 3/EAC Admission Protocol))**

admission as function of Summit (EACT 3(2)/Protocol 3(3)) 278

applicability of EACT in advance of admission 265-6

EAC Summit decisions relating to, EACJ jurisdiction 264-6

## procedure

application (Protocol 4(1)) 278-9

communication to Partner States (Protocol 4(2)) 278-9

Council of Ministers/Summit (Protocol 4(3), (4), (5), (6) and (7)) 278

negotiations "upon such terms and in such manner" as Partner States may determine (EACT 3(2)) 279

- prima facie compliance with EACT 3(2)(b) (human rights) as alleged precondition 279
- summary 264-5
- types of membership (EACT 3(2)), variety 279
- Walusimbi* 274-80: *see also* *Walusimbi* (EAC membership (EACT 3(2)))
- EACJ**
  - admissibility/jurisdiction
    - exhaustion of local remedies: *see* exhaustion of local remedies (EACJ)
    - jurisprudence
      - Anyang' Nyongo* 294-5
      - Katabazi* 297-8
      - Lukwiya* 295, 296-7
      - Mohochi* 265, 266
      - Rugumba* 296-7
      - Walusimbi* 261-71
    - res judicata* considerations 297-8
    - timeliness (“within two months of” (EACT 30(2)))
      - “the action complained of” 299
      - date *ab quo* 298-9
  - jurisdiction (EACT 27)
    - extraterritorial jurisdiction/jurisdiction over non-Member State 273
    - human rights issues as aspect of interpretation of EACT objectives and principles (EACT 27(2)) 265-6
    - jurisdiction of national courts (EACT 33/EACT 34)
    - primacy of EACJ 294-5
  - reference by legal and natural persons/standing (EACT 30(1)) 266-74
    - alleged infringement of a specific provision of the Treaty, sufficiency to constitute a cause of action 271-2
    - decision/action distinguished 273-4
    - interim decision, applicability to 274-5
    - jurisprudence
      - East African Law Society v. Kenya* 272
      - Independent Medical Legal Unit Case* 271
      - Mohochi* 272
      - Peter Anyang' Nyong'o* 267, 268, 270-1, 272, 294, 295
      - Sitenda Sebalu* 267, 270-1
      - Walusimbi* 266-71
    - reference against an organ of the Community, admissibility 272-3
    - summary of requirements 273
- EACJ Rules of Procedure (2013) by Rule**
  - 24 (references) 256
  - 24(4) (reference by a body corporate, requirements) 256
  - 111(1) (“costs shall follow the event”) 313
- EACT (1999) as amended 2006 and 2007, interpretation**
  - referral of question to EACJ for preliminary ruling (EACT 34) 294-5
  - restrictive interpretation as “not prudent”/“not warranted” 272-3, 279
  - uniformity of interpretation, importance/risk to 294-5
- ECOWAS CCJ, Rules of Procedure (2002)**
  - 66 (costs) 351
- ECOWAS Protocol (CCJ) (as amended in 2005 and 2006)**
  - jurisdiction (ECOWAS Protocol (CCJ) 9)
    - criteria (text of Statute in conjunction with substance of application) 328

**ECOWAS Protocol (CCJ) (as amended in 2005 and 2006) (cont.)**

- Bakary Sarre* 328
- Incorporated Trustees* 328
- Mr Chude MBA* 328
- human rights violations (Protocol 9(4)/ECOWAS Protocol 10(d)), jurisprudence
  - Akeem* 329
  - FAJ* 330-1
  - Habré* 329, 330
  - Incorporated Trustees* 329-30
  - Tandja* 329
  - Wade* 329
- standing (ECOWAS Protocol (CCJ) 10)
  - actio popularis*/public interest action, possibility of 332-3
  - NGOs' role 332-4
  - "[a]nyone who is a victim of human rights violations" (ECOWAS Protocol 10(d)) 331-4
  - "victim" 332
- standing/legal personality, jurisprudence
  - Akeem* 331-2
  - Hassam* 332
  - Incorporated Trustees* 331-4
  - SERAP* 331, 332-3
  - Solomon* 331

**ECOWAS Treaty (1993)**

- compliance obligation (ECOWAS 5) 329-30

**ECtHR Rules of Court (1998-2013)**

- 26(1) (constitution of Chamber) 413
- 52(1) (allocation of cases) 413

**ECtHR Rules of Court (2014)**

- 59(1) (individual applications: parties' observations) 413

**effective remedy before national authority (ACHPR 56(5)/Protocol 6(2)/ROC 40(5)), ineffectiveness**

- absence of applicant from the country following expulsion 238
- absence of information on means of challenge 237-8

**Estonia (1991-): see also Veeber**

- Constitution 1992 by article
  - 14 (guarantee of rights and freedoms) 603
  - 15 (rights and freedoms: right of recourse to the courts/petition for declaration of unconstitutionality of a law) 604
  - 32 (inviolability of property) 590
  - 123(2) (primacy of treaty) 603
- Criminal Code by section (pre-1993)
  - 148(1) (text) 415
- Criminal Code by section (1993)
  - 148(1) (text) 415-16
- Criminal Code by section (1995)
  - 47 (postponement of sentence/probation) 606
  - 143(1) (tax evasion: imprisonment) 591-3, 595, 597, 605
  - 148(1) (concealment of income/tax evasion) (text) 417-18
  - 148(1)(7) (tax evasion: imprisonment) 414-18, 419, 420-2, 590, 591-7, 604-9
  - 166(1) (tax evasion: sanctions) 591-4, 597, 608



- Criminal Court Appeal and Cassation Procedure Code (CCCACP) by section  
 77(7)(1) (grounds for correction of court errors) 602-3  
 77(7)(3) (grounds for review) 602-3
- Criminal Procedure Code (CPC) 2004, s 269(3) (acquittal of criminal charge: partial refusal to hear civil action requirement) 607
- ECHR Act 1996, primacy 603
- ECtHR, implementation of decisions: *see Veeber* (non-retroactivity of criminal offence (ECHR 7(1))) (Estonian Supreme Court)
- EU Directive 2009/15 (common rules and standards for ship inspection and survey organisations):** *see Rina*
- EU legal order**  
 international law, compliance obligation (TEU 3(5))  
 conflict, obligation to avoid/ensure respect for the EU's autonomy 392  
 customary international law as benchmark for determining/requirements (*ATA* principles) 390-1: *see also Rina*  
 as part of the EU legal order 390-6, 408-9  
 State immunity 366-70, 390-6, 408-9  
 State immunity: *see also Rina*  
 right to an effective remedy (CFR 47) 394-6  
 international law, compliance obligation (TEU 3(5)), jurisprudence (general)  
*Brita* 391, 408  
*Hungary v. Slovak Republic* 392  
*Kadi* 392-3  
*Racke* 408  
*Rina* 367-70
- EU treaties with third parties ("international agreements" (TFEU 216-19))**  
 as integral part of EU legal order 391-2  
 primacy over EU secondary legislation 391-2  
 jurisprudence  
*Bosphorus Queen Shipping* 406  
*Commune de Mesquer* 406
- European Convention on State Immunity (and Protocol) (Basle) (1972) (ECSI)**  
 Judgments Regulation (EC Regulation 44/2001), relationship with 390-1
- exhaustion of local remedies (ACtHPR)**  
*Anudo* 236-8
- exhaustion of local remedies (EACJ)**  
 absence of provision for 295-7  
 ACtHPR/ECOWAS practice distinguished 296-7  
 jurisprudence  
*Lukwiya* 295-6  
*Media Council* 291-7  
*Rugumba* 296-7
- extradition, deportation or expulsion to country where risk of torture (UNCAT 3)**  
 General Comments (CAT)  
 2 (implementation of UNCAT 2 by States parties) 634, 635-6, 659-60  
 4 (implementation of UNCAT 3 in the context of UNCAT 22) 634-5, 660-1
- fair hearing (right to be heard) (ACHPR 7)**  
 in deprivation of nationality cases 246-7  
 jurisprudence  
*Amnesty International v. Zambia* 247  
*Anudo* 246-7

***Febles* (“serious criminality” exclusion (RC 1F(b))) (dissenting opinion (Abella and Cromwell JJ)) 570-86**

- background (appellant’s criminal record/procedural history) 572
- analysis (interpretation of RC 1F, in particular RC 1F(b))
  - applicable law
    - VCLT 31(1) (good faith, ordinary meaning, context, object and purpose) 573
    - VCLT 31(3)(b) (subsequent practice by the parties) 573
    - VCLT 32 (*travaux préparatoires*) 573
  - cautious approach, need for 574-5, 576
  - consequences of exclusion under RC 1F 572
  - diversity of interpretation 577
  - human rights approach to RC 1F(b) 570-86
    - good faith interpretation, need for 584-5
  - incorporation of RC 1F into IRPA 572
  - object and purpose, suggestions
    - exclusion of undeserving persons 576, 577, 583-6
    - maintenance of the integrity of the system 578
    - protection of country of refuge from dangerous refugees at risk of re-offending 575, 581
    - review of the jurisprudence 575-83
    - UNHCR Guidelines 576
  - “serious non-political crime”
    - limitation of discussion to claimants who had not been convicted or served sentence 580
    - “serious crime”/“*un crime grave*” (RC 1F(b)) vs “*un délit particulièrement grave*” (RC 33(2)) 583
    - travaux préparatoires* 577-80
    - UDHR 14(2) as origin 577-80

***Febles* (“serious criminality” exclusion (RC 1F(b))) (Judgment) 552-69**

- overview 552-3, 569
  - the issue (effect of appellant’s criminal record on his eligibility for refugee status (IRPA 98/RC 1F(b))) 552
- parties’ arguments
  - appellant 553
  - respondent 553
  - UNHCR 553
- statutory scheme
  - interpretation, applicability of VCLT 31/VCLT 32 554-5
  - IRPA 95-8 (refugee protection) 554
  - IRPA 100-2 (examination of eligibility to refer claim) 553-4
  - IRPA 112 (protection against a removal order) 554
- facts (appellant’s criminal record/illegal entry into Canada) 552
- municipal law/Charter of Rights and Freedoms, applicability 568-9
- scope of RC 1F(b) (“crime committed”/fugitives from justice, alleged limitation to/
  - interpretation in conformity with VCLT 31(1)) 555-67
  - context (VCLT 31(2)) 557-9
  - text of article under consideration/article as a whole 557-9
- Court’s conclusion 567
- move away from the “limitation to” thesis 564-7
- object and purpose (VCLT 31(1)), suggestions for 559-61
  - exclusion of fugitives 560
  - exclusion of persons who have committed serious crimes 561

- exclusion of serious criminals 560
  - exclusion of undeserving claimants 560-1
  - ordinary meaning (VCLT 31(1)) 556-7, 562, 564
  - text/literal approach 556-7
  - review of the jurisprudence 563-7
  - variety of interpretations 556
  - “serious non-political crime”, classification as
    - length of imprisonment as determining factor 567-8
    - rebuttable presumption 568-9
    - UNHCR Handbook (1979) 568
- freedom of establishment/free movement of workers (TFEU 49-55)**
  - activities in which a State is concerned with the exercise of official authority (TFEU 51),
    - exclusion from the right of establishment provisions
  - Commission v. Portugal* (C-327/12) 407
  - exclusion from “civil and commercial matters” exception (JR 1(1)) 407
  - Grupo Itevelesa* 407
  - SOA Nazionale Costruttori* 407
- freedom of expression/freedom of the press (ACHPR 9/ACHR 13/EACT 6(d) and EACT 7(2)/ECHR 10/ECOWAS 66/ICCPR 19(2)/UDHR 19)**
  - compliance with treaty obligations (*Oakes/CORD* three-part test) 301-13
  - conventions compared 337-8
  - Declaration of Principles on Freedom of Expression in Africa (2019)
    - Principle 1(2) (obligation to “create an enabling environment for the exercise of freedom of expression and access to information”) 344-5
    - Principle 5 (protection of the right to freedom of expression and access to information) 345
    - Principle 6 (extension of protection to journalists and human rights activists) 345
    - Principle 9 (“in accordance with the law” requirement) 339-40
    - Principle 21 (protecting reputations) 345
    - Principle 22 (compatibility of criminal measures with international human rights law and standards) 345-6
  - definition/scope 338-9
  - as fundamental human right essential to democracy 299-302
  - as fundamental right essential to democracy 338-9
- freedom of expression/freedom of the press, jurisprudence**
  - AComHPR
    - Kenneth Good* 340
    - Media Rights Agenda* 312
    - Scanlen* 306
    - Zimbabwe Lawyers for Human Rights* 347-8
  - ACtHPR
    - Burundian Journalists Union* 301, 302, 305, 312-13
    - Konate* 303, 311, 344, 346-7
    - Umuboza* 338, 342-3
  - EACJ
    - Media Council* 285-314: *see also Media Council*
  - ECOWAS CCJ
    - FAJ* 308, 311, 338, 347
    - Incorporated Trustees* 318-51: *see also Incorporated Trustees*
  - ECtHR
    - Breyer* 341
    - Castells* 347

**freedom of expression/freedom of the press, jurisprudence** (*cont.*)

*Gavrilovici* 347-8  
*Khodorkovskiy* 342  
*Lehideux* 347-8

IACtHR

*Herrera Ulloa* 347-8  
*Kimel* 307, 347  
*Tristán Donoso* 347-8  
*Usón Ramírez* 342

municipal courts

Canada

*Oakes* 301

Kenya

*CORD* 301, 303, 304-5

Tanzania

*Ndyanabo* 301

Uganda

*Mwenda* 311

Zimbabwe

*Chavunduka* 309

**freedom of expression/freedom of the press, justified restrictions/interference by public authority/requirements** (ACHPR 9(2)/ACHPR 27(2)/ACHR 13(2)/EACT 6(d) and EACT 7(2)/ECHR 10(2)/ECOWAS 66/ICCPR 19(3))

balance of interests of individual and society as a whole 346

burden of proof/reversal 349-51

*Falana* 350

*Garba* 349-50

*Incorporated Trustees* 349-51

HRC General Comment 34 346

legal measure/"established by law" 300-13

"law", interpretation "in the light of international human rights standards/norms" 340, 342-3, 420-1

requirements

accessibility to citizens 300-13, 341

clarity and precision of the law 300-13, 339-40, 341, 342

predictability/foreseeability 340, 341-2

legitimate aim

absence of specific provision from ACHPR 9/ACHPR 27(2) alternative 343

direct link between specific threat and action taken (HRC GC 34) 308

protection of

"the honour of the founders of our nation" 307-8

"rights of others, collective security, morality and common interest" (ACHPR 27(2)) 343-4

public interest/purpose 300-13

margin of appreciation 343

necessity/proportionality (HRC GC 34) 346-7

"proportionate to the legitimate aim" 305, 311, 312-13

"least intrusive possible" (HRC GC 34) 308

**freedom of movement/right to leave/return to one's own country**

ACHPR 12(2) 244-5

ICCPR 12(4) 245

**General Comments (CAT)**

- 2 (implementation of UNCAT 2) (non-State actors) 634-5, 660-1
- 4 (implementation of UNCAT 3 in the context of UNCAT 22) 634-5, 660-1

**General Comments (HRC)**

- 27 (ICCPR 12 (freedom of movement: derogations)) 244
- 34 (ICCPR 19 (freedoms of opinion and expression))
  - “journalist” 306
  - legitimate aim, need for direct link between specific threat and action taken (HRC GC 34) 308
  - necessity for a legitimate purpose 346
  - proportionality 308
  - restrictions, requirements 338-9

**human rights treaties, limitation of scope of offences to the State 626****ICC (penalties (ICC 77-80)): see also ICC (reparations (ICC 75))**

- Al Mahdi* 435-521
- Katanga* 444
- Lubanga* 443-4

**ICC Regulations of the Court (ROC)**

- 23 *bis*(1) (filing of documents marked *ex parte*, under seal or confidential: classification as/treatment as throughout the proceedings) 512-20
- 57 (notice of appeal) 483
- 58(2) (appeal brief: requirements) 513-14
- 62(1) (additional evidence presented to the Appeals Chamber) 485-7

**ICC (reparations (ICC 75)): see also ICC (penalties (ICC 77-80)); ICC (reparations order, requirements) (*Lubanga* model)**

- jurisprudence
  - Al Mahdi* 435-521
  - Katanga* 444
  - Lubanga* 443-79, 487-520
  - Rohingya* 485-6

- principles (ICC 75(1)), Court’s obligation to establish (sources)
  - Lubanga* principles 443-6

*Note: Lubanga Reparations* is heavily referenced throughout *Al Mahdi Reparations*.

- UN Basic Principles of Justice for Victims of Crime and Abuse of Power (1985) 443
- principles/underlying concepts (ICC 75(1)), examples
  - appropriate, adequate and prompt reparations 445
  - fair and equal treatment of victims 444
  - equality of access to information 444
  - possibility of prioritizing victims most harmed 444
  - regardless of participation in the trial 444
  - right to participate in the proceedings/to receive adequate support 444
  - “without adverse discrimination” 444-5
  - gender-sensitive approach to the protection of cultural heritage 445
  - sustainable measures 445
  - measures to ensure victims’ safety, physical and psychological well-being and privacy (ROC 87/ROC 88) 445
  - principles as general concepts capable of adaptation and development (*Lubanga*) 443-4
  - proportionality to
    - harm caused 448
    - nature of participation in specific circumstances of the case 448

**ICC (reparations (ICC 75)) (cont.)**

reflection of non-discriminatory local cultural and customary practices 445  
 respect for the rights of the convicted person (ROC 97(3)) 445  
 treatment of victims with humanity/respect for their dignity and human rights 445

## purpose

to deter future violation 444  
 to enable victims to recover their dignity 444  
 to ensure that offenders are held to account 444  
 to promote reconciliation 444  
 to relieve suffering caused by the serious crime 444  
 to repair the harm caused 444

reparations order: *see* ICC Rules of Procedure and Evidence (2013)

## States Parties' obligations

compliance with reparations obligations under domestic law or international treaties 445  
 cooperation with reparations proceedings in particular at the implementation stage 445

**ICC (reparations order, requirements) (Lubanga model)**

## causation

burden/standard of proof (balance of probabilities) 448  
 "but for" 447  
 case-by-case approach to standard 447 n. 71  
 proximate cause 447-8

## definition of the direct and indirect harm caused 446

direct harm requirement (organizations) 447  
 "harm" as hurt, injury and damage 447  
 material, physical or psychological harm, acceptability 447  
 moral harm, irrelevance of the economic situation of the local population (*Katanga*) 447

personal to the victim requirement 447

## direction against the convicted person 446, 448

establishment of convicted person's liability for reparations/information for him/her 446

identification of eligible victims/criteria of eligibility as "victim" (ICC 75) 446, 506

implementation: *see* *Al Mahdi* (Reparations Order) (Appeal); *Al Mahdi* (Reparations Order) (Trial Chamber), implementation

reasons for the type of reparations 446

## types and modalities of reparations 446

award to an organization (ROP 98(2)) 448  
 collective award (ROP 98(2)) 448  
 concurrent resort to 448  
 individual award (ROP 98(1)) 448  
 restitution/compensation/rehabilitation as non-exhaustive possibilities (ICC 75) 448  
 symbolic reparations 448

**ICC Rules of Procedure and Evidence (2013)**

85(a) ("victims": natural persons) 446

85(b) ("victims": organizations or institutions) 446

87 (protective measures) 445

88 (special measures) 445

97(1) (determination of reparations: Court's discretion) 492, 505, 507

97(2) (determination of reparations: Court's right to seek assistance) 492

97(3) (assessment of reparations: respect for the rights of victims and the convicted persons) 445

- 98(1) (individual awards: direct payment) 448
- 98(2) (individual awards: deposit with a trust fund where direct payment impossible) 448, 505
- 98(3) (collective award: payment through a Trust Fund) 448
- 98(5) (use of other Trust Fund resources) 466
- 103(1) (third-party observations) 484
- ICC (trial (ICC 62-76))**
  - protection of victims and witnesses/participation in the proceedings (ICC 68/ROP 85-99)
  - Court's obligation to balance the rights and interests of parties (ICC 68) 517-20
  - definition
    - natural persons who have suffered harm from crime within the jurisdiction of the Court 446
    - organizations or institutions that have sustained direct harm to any of their "cultural" institutions (ROC 85(b)) 446-7
  - Victim Participation Decision 446
  - jurisprudence
    - Al Mahdi* 510-20
    - Bemba* 518
    - Blaškić* 512 n. 198
    - Gbagbo* 517
    - Katanga* 517-18
    - Lubanga* 515 n. 222, 517, 518
- ICJ Rules of Court (1978 as variously amended) by rule**
  - 43(1) (Court's directions on ICJ 63(1) notification) 98
  - 43(2) (construction of a convention involving an international organization) 9
  - 53(1) (copies of pleadings to State entitled to appear) 9
  - 53(2) (availability of documents to the public) 9, 98
  - 61(4) (Court's indication of points to be addressed by parties: timing of replies) 10
  - 74(4) (request to parties to "act in such a way" pending meeting of Court) 97
  - 79(1) (preliminary objections: time limits for lodging objection to jurisdiction/admissibility) 9
  - 79(5) (preliminary objections: suspension of proceedings on the merits and time limits for written observations) 9
  - 79(9) (Court's decision, alternatives: time limits for further proceedings) 11
- ICJ/PCIJ status and functions (ICJ 36(1) and ICJ 38/PCIJ 36(1) and PCIJ 38)**
  - discretion to decline jurisdiction
    - Chorzów Factory* 52, 76-8
    - Maritime Delimitation in the Indian Ocean* 52, 76-8
  - obligation to accept jurisdiction in absence of a clause which it considers sufficiently clear to prevent the possibility of danger of a denial of justice 52, 76-8
- Incorporated Trustees: see Incorporated Trustees** (freedom of expression (ACHPR 9/ICCPR 19), alleged breach) (background (procedural matters including jurisdiction and standing)); *Incorporated Trustees* (freedom of expression (ACHPR 9/ICCPR 19), alleged breach) (Court's decision); *Incorporated Trustees* (freedom of expression (ACHPR 9/ICCPR 19), alleged breach) (Cybercrimes Act 2015, s 24 as); *Incorporated Trustees* (freedom of expression, (ACHPR 9(2)/ICCPR 19(3)), alleged breach (facts invoked by applicant as))
- Incorporated Trustees (freedom of expression (ACHPR 9/ICCPR 19), alleged breach) (background (procedural matters including jurisdiction and standing))**
  - issues for determination
    - a. Court's competence/admissibility 327
    - b. Cybercrimes Act, s 24 as breach 327

***Incorporated Trustees (freedom of expression (ACHPR 9/ICCPR 19), alleged breach) (background (procedural matters including jurisdiction and standing)) (cont.)***

- c. facts invoked by applicant as breach 327
- d. action required from defendant 327
- jurisdiction (ECOWAS Protocol (CCJ) 9)
  - criteria (text of Statute in conjunction with substance of application) 328
  - human rights violations (ECOWAS Protocol (CCJ) 9(4)) 328-31
- parties' positions
  - applicant
    - facts alleged (arbitrary use of the Cybercrimes Act to intimidate) 319-22
    - reliefs sought 322-3
  - defendant State 323-7
- procedural history
  - institution of proceedings (6 November 2018) 319
  - issues for determination 327
  - oral hearings 319
- standing (ECOWAS Protocol (CCJ) 10)
  - actio popularis*/public interest action, possibility of 332-3
  - "[a]nyone who is a victim of human rights violations" (ECOWAS Protocol 10(d)) 331-4
  - NGOs' role 332-4
  - "victim" 332

***Incorporated Trustees (freedom of expression (ACHPR 9/ICCPR 19), alleged breach) (Court's decision)***

- a. Court's jurisdiction 351
- b. Cybercrimes Act, s 24 as breach of ACHPR 9(2)/ICCPR 19(3) 351
- c. applicant's failure to meet the burden of proof 351
- d. Order for amendment of law to conform to ACPHR 9(2)/ICCPR 19(3) 351
- e. costs (ROP 66) 351

***Incorporated Trustees (freedom of expression (ACHPR 9/ICCPR 19), alleged breach) (Cybercrimes Act 2015, s 24 as)*** 334-48

- introduction
  - Cybercrimes Act 2015, s 24 (freedom of expression on the internet/use of any computer device) (text) 334-5
- parties' arguments
  - applicant 335-6
  - defendant 336-7
- "freedom of expression", definition/scope
  - jurisprudence
    - Breyer* 341
    - FAJ* 338
    - Konaté* 340
    - Umubozza* 338
- treaties
  - ACHPR 1/ACHPR 9 338
  - ICCPR 19(3) 337
  - UDHR 19 337
- treaty-monitoring bodies
  - AComHPR Declaration of Principles of Freedom of Expression and Access to Information in Africa (2019) 339-40, 344-6
  - HRC GC 34 (ICCPR 19) 338-9



- justified restrictions, requirements (ACHPR 9(2)/ICCPR 19(3))
  - legal measure/“established by law” 339-41
    - accessibility of the law to citizens 339, 340, 341
    - clarity and precision of the law 339-40, 341, 342
    - Court’s finding (compliance/margin of appreciation) 343
    - “law”, classification as 340, 342-3
    - predictability/foreseeability of the law 340, 341-2
  - legitimate aim (protection of “the rights of others, collective security, morality and common interest” (ACHPR 27(2)))
    - absence of specific provision from ACHPR 9/ACHPR 27(2) alternative 343-4
    - Court’s finding (non-compliance) 348
    - protection of “the rights of others, collective security, morality and common interest” (ACHPR 27(2)) 343-4
  - necessity/proportionality (criminal penalties) 344-8
    - AComHPR Declaration 2019 344-6
    - Court’s finding (non-compliance) 348
    - HRC GC 34 344-6
    - jurisprudence 346-8
- Incorporated Trustees (freedom of expression (ACHPR 9(2)/ICCPR 19(3)), alleged breach (facts invoked by applicant as))***
  - burden of proof
    - applicant’s failure to meet/dismissal of claim 350-1
    - principles 349-50
  - parties’ arguments
    - applicant 348-9
    - defendant State 349
- inhuman or degrading treatment (ECHR 3/ICCPR 7), jurisprudence relating in particular to non-derogation principle***
  - as essential element of the rule of law 420
- Jadhav (consular access) (background)***
  - factual background in date order
    - detention of Jadhav by Pakistan authorities (3 March 2016) 102
    - India notes “purported arrest” and requests consular access “at the earliest” (3 March 2016) 102
      - follow-up Notes 102
    - Pakistan raises the issue with India and releases a video showing an apparent confession to espionage (25 March 2016) 102
    - Pakistan police authorities register a FIR with details of Jadhav’s alleged espionage and terrorism (8 April 2016) 103-4
      - supplementary FIR (6 September 2016) 102-3
    - confessional statement (22 July 2016) 103
    - Field General Court Martial begins (21 September 2016) 103
      - on charges of offences against the Pakistan Army Act 1952, s 59 and the Official Secrets Act 1923, s 3 103
    - Pakistan sends letter to UNSG informing him of Jadhav’s involvement in activities aimed at “destabilizing Pakistan” (2 January 2017) 103
    - Pakistan’s Letter of Assistance for Criminal Investigation against Indian National Kulbhushan Sudhair Jadhev seeking judicial assistance (13 January 2017) 103
      - absence of response from India 103

***Jadhav (consular access) (background)*** (*cont.*)

- Pakistan's Note indicating that India's request for consular access would be considered in the light of India's response to Pakistan's request for judicial assistance (21 March 2017) 104
- India responds that consular access was an essential prerequisite to verify facts and understand the circumstances (31 March 2017) 104
- release of information about the trial (10/14 April 2017) 104
- Pakistan's appeal on behalf of Jadhav's mother based on information in the public domain (26 April 2017) 104
- Pakistan announces Jadhav's mercy appeal to the Chief of Army Staff (22 June 2017) 104
  - parties' disagreement on extent to which assurances relating to the visit were observed 104-5
- Jadhav's wife and mother visit him (25 December 2017) 104-5
- parties' positions (India)
  - Application 99
  - Memorial 99-100
  - oral proceedings 101
- parties' positions (Pakistan)
  - Counter-Memorial 100
  - oral proceedings 101-2
  - Rejoinder 100
- procedural history in date order
  - India files Application alleging violation of the VCCR (1963) (8 May 2017) 97
    - proposed jurisdictional basis (ICJ 41(1)/VCCR OP I) 97
  - India requests provisional measures 97
  - ICJ President requests Pakistani Government "to act in such a way as will enable any order the Court may make on this Request [for provisional measures] to have its appropriate effect" 97
    - Pakistan confirms implementing action (8 June 2017) 98
  - Procedural Order fixing time limits for memorial/counter-memorial (13 June 2017) 98
    - appointment of ad hoc judge 98
    - Order authorizing submission/rejoinder (17 January 2018) 98
    - agreement to public availability of pleadings and documents (ROP 53(2)) 98

***Jadhav (consular access) (dispositif)***

- a. jurisdiction (VCCR OP I) 135
- b. admissibility 135
- c. failure to inform Jadhav without delay of his VCCR 36(1)(b) rights 135
- d. failure to inform appropriate Indian consular post without delay of Jadhav's arrest and detention 136
- e. failure to give India consular access (VCCR 36(1)(c)) 136
- f. Pakistan's obligation to inform Jadhav of his rights/provide consular access 136
- g. appropriate reparation (effective review by means of own choosing taking account of Court's decision) 136-7
- h. declaration of continued stay of execution 137

***Jadhav (consular access) (jurisdiction and admissibility)***

- admissibility (objection 1) (abuse of process)
  - a. India's alleged failure to draw Court's attention to appeal rights 106
    - Court's finding/reiteration of position in *Jadhav* (Provisional Measures) 106-7
    - India's response 106

- b. India's alleged failure to "give consideration" to VCCR OP II and VCCR OP III alternatives 106
    - Court's dismissal of VCCR OP II and VCCR OP III as preconditions 106-7
    - India's response 106
  - admissibility (objection 2) (abuse of rights)
    - India's alleged failure to provide evidence of Jadhav's nationality 108, 127
    - Court's response (Pakistan's claims as matter for the merits) 109
    - India's response 108-9
  - admissibility (objection 3) ("clean hands" doctrine)
    - Court's dismissal of objection for want of sufficient link to alleged Pakistan's alleged breach of obligation 110-11
    - India's response 110
    - Pakistan's allegations 110
  - jurisdiction (VCCR OP I)
    - Court's conclusion (jurisdiction under the VCCR OP) 105
    - exclusion of breaches of international law other than those under the VCCR 105
    - inclusion of consideration of other international law obligations relevant to the interpretation of the VCCR 105
    - limitation to the interpretation and application of the VCCR 105
- Jadhav* (consular access) (Merits)**
  - alleged abuse of rights/breach of treaty right as ground for other party's non-compliance with treaty
    - Court's conclusion 127
    - Pakistan's position 127
  - alleged failure to inform India without delay of Jadhav's arrest and detention (VCCR 36(1)(b)) 121-5
    - "if he so requests" 122-5
    - notification of detention/"if he so requests"/obligation to inform person concerned of rights (VCCR 36(1)(b)), interdependence 122-4, 127
    - "without delay" 124-5
  - alleged failure to inform Jadhav of his VCCR 36(1)(b) rights
    - absence of information/Court's presumption of Pakistan's breach of obligation 121
  - alleged failure to provide consular access (VCCR 36(a)/VCCR 36(c)) 125-7
    - Court's conclusion 126-7
    - Jadhav's decision to choose own lawyer, effect 125-7
    - laws and regulations of receiving State, exercise in conformity with (VCCR 36(2))/  
 requesting State's obligation to cooperate with the investigative process 125-7
    - right of State to invoke individual's right (VCCR OP I) 126
  - applicability of VCCR 36 to terrorism/espionage-related cases 111-20
    - Court's conclusion 120
    - customary international law alternative 117
    - ordinary meaning 144
    - Pakistan's arguments 111-12
    - travaux préparatoires* (discussion of "without delay") 114-17
  - applicability of VCCR 36 to terrorism/espionage-related cases, 2008 Agreement paragraph (vi), relevance
    - Court's analysis
      - incompatibility of 2008 Agreement with VCCR 73(2) 120
      - incompatibility of denial of access on political or security grounds with object and purpose of Agreement 119-20
    - parties' arguments
      - India 119
      - Pakistan 118-19

- Jadhav (consular access) (remedies) (India's requests/Court's response)** 102, 128-9
- a. declaration of egregious breach of VCCR 36 101, 128
    - Pakistan's breaches of VCCR 36 as internationally wrongful acts of a continuing character requiring immediate rectification 130
  - b. declaration that sentence passed on Jadhav is violative of international law including ICCPR 14 101, 129
    - limitation of Court's jurisdiction to breaches of VCCR 26 130-1
      - exclusion of matters relating to Jadhav's conviction and sentence [*Avena*] 131
  - c. *restitutio in integrum*/annulment of military court's decision 101, 128
    - choice of means/as obligation of result 134-5
    - continuing stay of execution, need for 135
    - effectiveness of review as imperative requirement/Court's doubts about effectiveness of Pakistan's provision for 133-4
    - exclusion by reason of the limitation of Court's jurisdiction to VCCR 36 matters 131
      - effective review vs partial or total annulment of sentence as appropriate remedy 131-2
- Jadhav (jurisdiction, admissibility and merits) (separate opinions and declarations)**
- Cançado Trindade J (separate opinion) 137-70
  - criticism of *LaGrand* and *Avena* 147-8
  - effective review and reconsideration, ineffectiveness as remedy 165-7
  - review of the jurisprudence
    - Avena* 143-82
    - Consular Assistance* (OC-16/99) 139-41, 143-51
    - Diallo* 142-3
    - Juridical Condition and Rights of Undocumented Migrants* (OC-18/03) 141-2
    - LaGrand* 143-82
  - summary of views 167-70
  - VCCR 36/human rights relationship
    - death penalty 151-65
    - due process/fair trial rights 148-51
  - Iwasawa J (declaration) 193-8
    - 2008 Agreement 195-8
    - clean hands (*inadimplenti non est adimplendum*) 194
    - terrorism/espionage-related cases, applicability of VCCR 36 194-5
  - Jillani, Judge ad hoc (dissenting) 198-223
    - abuse of rights
      - conflict between India's conduct and the VCCR's object and purpose 199-200
      - India's refusal to cooperate in investigation 200-7
    - compatibility of 2008 Agreement with VCCR 73(2) 207-16
    - Court's disregard for existing legal framework in Pakistan 220-2
    - Pakistan's compliance with VCCR 32(1) 216-20
    - summary of views 222-3
  - Robinson J (declaration) 184-93
    - 2008 Agreement
      - compatibility with VCCR 73(2) 190-3
    - consular access, relationship with human rights including due process/fair trial rights (ICCPR 14) 184-90
  - Sebutinde J (declaration) 170-84
    - 2008 Agreement
      - compatibility of denial of access on political or security grounds with object and purpose of Agreement 176-82
      - compatibility with VCCR 73(2) 176-82

- court's lack of jurisdiction to interpret 175-6
    - object and purpose, parties' differing views on 177
    - structural context 180
    - summary of provisions 179-80
    - travaux préparatoires* 180-1
  - exercise of consular functions in conformity with the laws and regulations of the receiving State (VCCR 5/VCCR 36(2)/VCCR 55) 182-4
  - "nationals", establishment of status as 172-3
    - as context for paragraph (vi) 180
    - multiple passports, significance 172-6
  - notification of detention/"if he so requests"/obligation to inform person concerned of rights (VCCR 36(1)(b)), interdependence 171-2
  - Jadhav (Provisional Measures)**
    - background (Application (India))
      - Pakistan informs Court of intention to call an expert to present audio-visual material/ rejection of requests (18/19 February 2019) 98-9
  - JISP (Jurisdictional Immunities of States and their Property Convention (2004))**
    - commercial transactions, exclusion (JISP 10)
      - entity established by State with an independent legal personality capable of suit (JISP 10(3)(a)) 386
      - "State"/"representatives of State acting in that capacity" (JISP 2(1)(b)(iv)) 385-6
  - judge ad hoc (ICJ 31(2) and ICJ 31(3)/PCIJ 31 and ROC 71)**
    - Jadhav* 98
    - Maritime Delimitation (Somalia v. Kenya)* 8-9
  - just satisfaction obligation (ECHR 41 [50])**
    - requirements: *see Veeber 2* (non-retroactivity of criminal offence (ECHR 7(1))) (ECtHR), Court's assessment and decision
- Kenya:** *see Maritime Delimitation in the Indian Ocean*
- legal certainty/legality principle**
- nullum crimen nulla poena sine lege*/non-retroactivity and: *see nullum crimen nulla poena sine lege*/non-retroactivity (ACHPR 7/ECHR 7/ICCPR 15/UDHR 11(2))
  - Reeves Taylor* 661
- Maritime Delimitation in the Indian Ocean:*** *see Maritime Delimitation in the Indian Ocean (Somalia v. Kenya)* (background); *Maritime Delimitation in the Indian Ocean (Somalia v. Kenya)* (preliminary objections) (Court's analysis and decision); *Maritime Delimitation in the Indian Ocean (Somalia v. Kenya)* (preliminary objections) (separate opinions and declarations)
- Maritime Delimitation in the Indian Ocean (Somalia v. Kenya)* (background)**
- facts (CLCS/UNCLOS)
    - CLCS's functions 12-13: *see also* Continental Shelf, Commission on the Limits of (UNCLOS Annex II) (CLCS)
    - obligation to submit information to CLCS on intention to establish continental shelf limits beyond 200 nautical miles 12-13
    - Somalia's geographical position 12
    - UNCLOS, Somalia and Kenya's status as parties to 12
    - history of the dispute/procedural history in date order

***Maritime Delimitation in the Indian Ocean (Somalia v. Kenya) (background)* (cont.)**

- Somalia submits information on the outer limits of the continental shelf beyond 200 nautical miles (attaching copy of the MOU) 13-14
  - Kenya submits information on the outer limits of the continental shelf beyond 200 nautical miles (6 May 2009) 14
  - Kenya makes oral presentation of its submission to the CLCS (3 September 2009) 14
  - Somalia objects to the CLCS's consideration of Kenya's 3 September 2009 submission 14
  - bilateral technical meeting (26-27 March 2014) 14
  - Somalia deposits submission on the outer limits (21 July 2014) 14
  - Somalia files Application instituting proceedings (28 August 2014) 8, 15
    - appointment of ad hoc Judge 8-9
    - optional clause (ICJ 36(2)) as claimed basis of jurisdiction 8, 16
  - Procedural Order fixing dates for memorial/counter-memorial (16 October 2014) 9
  - Kenya protests against Somalia's communications of 4 February 2014 aimed at blocking the CLCS's consideration of Kenya's submission (24 October 2014) 15
  - Kenya's objection to the CLCS's consideration of Somalia's submission (4 May 2015) 15
  - Kenya's withdrawal of its 4 May 2015 objection (30 June 2015) 15
  - Somalia's withdrawal of its objection to CLCS's consideration of Kenya's submission (7 July 2015) 15
  - CLCS sub-commission considers Kenya's submission at its 2015 and 2016 sessions with view to continuing in February 2017 15
  - Kenya raises preliminary objections to jurisdiction and admissibility (7 October 2015) 9
    - suspension of proceedings (ROC 79(5)) 9, 16
  - Procedural Order fixing time-limit for Somalia's written observations on Kenya's objections (9 October 2015) 9
  - notifications to parties to UNCLOS (ICJ 63(1)) 9
  - notification to EU/EU response on possibility of EU submitting observations (ROC 43(1)) 9
  - Colombia requests copies of pleadings and annexed documents (ROC 53(1)) (21 January 2016) 9
  - notification of agreement to Colombia's request (17 March 2016) 9
  - Somalia presents its submission to the CLCS (22 July 2016)/CLCS consigns its consideration to a wait in the queue 15
  - public hearings on preliminary objections (19-23 September 2016) 10
    - questions by member of the Court/replies (ROC 61(4)) 10
  - parties' opening positions
    - Kenya
      - oral proceedings 11-12
      - preliminary objections 11
    - Somalia
      - Application 10
      - Memorial 10-11
      - written observations on Kenya's objections 11
- Maritime Delimitation in the Indian Ocean (Somalia v. Kenya) (preliminary objections) (Court's analysis and decision)***
- Court's decision 54-5
  - preliminary objection I (jurisdiction), A. Kenya–Somalia MOU (2009), background 17-44

- history of the MOU in date order
  - signature (7 April 2009) 13
  - registration (UNC 102) at Kenya's request (11 June 2009) 14
  - rejection by Somali Parliament 19-20
  - Somalia's notification to the UNSG that the Somali Parliament had rejected the MOU which was therefore "non-actionable" 14, 19-20
  - Somalia's objection to the registration of the "purported MOU" 14
- parties' arguments (Kenya)
  - MOU para. 6 as agreement "to have recourse to some other method or methods of settlement" 16-17
  - reasons for reservation 16
- parties' arguments (Somalia)
  - priority of parties' ICJ 36(2) declarations over UNCLOS Part XV procedures 117
  - rejection of claim to MOU para. 6 as establishing a method for dispute settlement 17
- text 18-19
- preliminary objection I (jurisdiction), A1. Kenya–Somalia MOU (2009), legal status of the MOU under international law 17-25
  - entry into force (VCLT 24)
    - as provided for in the treaty or agreed by the parties (VCLT 24(1)) 22-3
    - on signature 22-3
  - evidence of
    - applicability of international law 22
    - authorized signature 22
    - effective entry into force 22-5
    - inclusion of a provision addressing the entry into force 22
    - registration in accordance with UNC 102 22
    - written form 22
  - parties' arguments
    - Kenya 21
    - Somalia 20-1
  - violation of internal law, effect (VCLT 46(1)) 23-5
    - manifest/within the knowledge of other State requirement (VCLT 46(2)) 24-5
- preliminary objection I (jurisdiction), A2. Kenya–Somalia MOU (2009), interpretation 25-43
  - applicable law (VCLT 31/VCLT 32 as codification of CIL) 29-30
    - "any relevant rules of international law applicable in the relations between the parties" (VCLT 31(3)(c)) 37-41
    - subsequent CLCS practice in relation to UNCLOS 76(8) (VCLT 31(3)(b)) 30-2
      - UNCLOS as related treaty providing framework 30-2
  - delineation of outer limits (UNCLOS 76) and delimitation (UNCLOS 83) distinguished 30-7
    - CLCS's exclusion of consideration/recommendations in case of a maritime dispute (CLCS ROP 46) 31-2
  - temporal link, whether 26-7
- MOU 6, whether providing a method for settling delimitation dispute 34-44
  - "area under dispute" (MOU 2) 36-7
  - "areas under dispute" (MOU 6), relevance of change to plural 36-7
  - Court's conclusion 43-4
  - "including the delimitation of the continental shelf", significance 35-6
  - "shall be agreed" as obligation to negotiate in good faith 40

- Maritime Delimitation in the Indian Ocean (Somalia v. Kenya) (preliminary objections) (Court's analysis and decision) (cont.)***  
 summary of Court's findings 40-1  
 text 35  
*travaux préparatoires* 41-3  
 UNCLOS 83(1) compared 38-9  
 object and purpose (VCLT 31(1))  
 MOU 1-3 as indication of context and purpose 32-3  
 MOU 1-5 references to "delimitation", significance 34-5  
 MOU 4-5 non-obstat of inclusion of "areas under dispute" on "no prejudice" basis 33  
 text of MOU as a whole 32-7  
 title as guide to 32, 33  
 parties' arguments  
 Kenya 25-7  
 Somalia 27-9  
 preliminary objection I (jurisdiction), B. UNCLOS Part XV: Section 2 (compulsory procedures entailing binding decisions) 44-52  
 Court's obligation to accept jurisdiction in absence of a clause which it considers sufficiently clear to prevent the possibility of danger of a denial of justice 52  
 Optional Clause declaration (ICJ 36(2)), interpretation  
 declaration as a whole 47  
 effect of reservations excluding disputes on which parties had agreed another method of settlement 51-2  
 intention of State at time of declaration 46-8  
 parties' arguments  
 Kenya 44-5  
 Somalia 45-6  
 UNCLOS dispute settlement (Part XV: Section 1, general, regional or bilateral agreements (UNCLOS 282))  
 applicability "in lieu of" Section 2 procedures 49, 51-2  
 optional clause declaration (ICJ 36(2)) as 47-52  
 "or otherwise" (ordinary meaning/*travaux préparatoires*) 49-51  
 UNCLOS dispute settlement (Part XV: Section 2 (compulsory procedures entailing binding decisions))  
 settlement by any peaceful means of own choice (UNCLOS 280) 48  
 preliminary objection II, admissibility 52-4  
 Court's conclusion (rejection of Kenya's arguments)  
 clean hands (*inadimplenti non est adimplendum*), effect on admissibility 53  
 parties' alleged agreement in the MOU to negotiate and only after CLCS's review of parties' submissions 53  
 Somalia's objection to CLCS's consideration of Kenya's submission as alleged breach of MOU 54-5  
 parties' arguments  
 Kenya 53  
 Somalia 53  
***Maritime Delimitation in the Indian Ocean (Somalia v. Kenya) (preliminary objections) (separate opinions and declarations)***  
 Bennouna J (dissenting) (interpretation of MOU/MOU 6 as method for settling delimitation dispute) 58-63  
 Court's failure to follow VCLT 31 general rule (good faith, ordinary meaning in context, object and purpose) 59-60, 61-2  
 Court's resort to *travaux préparatoires* in absence of ambiguity 63



- effect of reservations excluding disputes on which parties had agreed another method of settlement 58-9, 62-3
- legal status of MOU as binding treaty under international law 59
- MOU 6/UNCLOS 83(1) 61-3
  - temporal link between delineation and delimitation as distinguishing feature 63
  - parties' disputed positions 58-9
- Gaja and Crawford JJ (joint declaration) (interpretation of MOU/MOU 6 as method for settling delimitation dispute) 64-6
  - admissibility (parties' 2014 agreement to negotiate as waiver of MOU obligation to await CLCS recommendations) 65
  - delineation of outer limits (UNCLOS 76) and delimitation (UNCLOS 83), temporal link 66
  - effect of reservations excluding disputes on which parties had agreed another method of settlement 64-5
    - "including the delimitation of the continental shelf", significance 65-6
    - pactum de contrahendum/pactum de negotiando* distinguished 64-5
- Guillaume, Judge ad hoc (dissenting) (MOU interpretation/MOU 6 as method for settling delimitation dispute) 78-87
  - 2014 agreement to negotiate, effect 84-6
  - "areas under dispute" (MOU 6) 82-3
  - effect of reservations excluding disputes on which parties had agreed another method of settlement 78-80
  - MOU 6/UNCLOS 83(1) compared 80-1
  - MOU as binding treaty under international law/interpretation in accordance with VCLT 31 80
  - object and purpose (MOU title/MOU 1-5) 81
    - obligation to resolve dispute in accordance with MOU 6 (MOU 2) 81
  - temporal link between delineation and delimitation as distinguishing feature 81-2
  - travaux préparatoires*, silence on MOU 6 86
- Robinson J (dissenting) (preliminary objection I, B. Part XV: dispute settlement procedures) 66-78
  - Optional Clause declaration (ICJ 36(2))
    - effect of reservations excluding disputes on which parties had agreed another method of settlement 66-78
    - reservation as integral part 75
    - "or otherwise" (ordinary meaning/*travaux préparatoires*) 68-74
- Yusuf VP (declaration) (2009 MOU, impropriety of drafting by Norwegian official) 55-8
  - technical assistance with provision of information to CLCS distinguished 56
- Media Council (EACT 6(d)/EACT 7(2))**
  - background (procedural)
  - agreed issues for determination 290
  - parties 285
  - parties' arguments
    - applicants 286-8
    - respondent 288-90
  - Reference (Media Services Act 2016 (Tanzania) as breach of EACT 6(d), 7(2), 8(1)(c), 27(1) and 30(1)) 285
  - issue 1(a): exhaustion of local remedies
    - Court's determination
      - absence of EACT provision for 295-7
      - ACtHPR/ECOWAS practice distinguished 296-7

- Media Council (EACT 6(d)/EACT 7(2))** (*cont.*)
- identity of parties, subject matter and legal cause, need for 297-8
  - interpretation of EACT, referral to EACJ for preliminary ruling (EACT 34) 294-5
  - jurisdiction of the Court (EACT 23(1)/EACT 27(1)) 293
  - primacy of EACJ (EACT 33/EACT 34) 294-5
  - parties' arguments
    - applicants 292-3
    - respondent 291-3
  - issue 1(b): *res judicata*
    - Court's determination
      - conclusion 298
      - identity of parties, subject matter and legal cause, need for 207
      - parties' positions, absence of specific reference to 297-8
      - Union of Tanzania Press Clubs*, relevance 297-8
    - issue 1(c): timeliness ("within two months of" (EACT 30(2)))
      - parties' positions 298-9
        - Court's conclusion 299
    - issue 2: alleged breach of EACT 6(d) and EACT 7(2) in relation to freedom of expression/freedom of the press (Court's determination) 299-313
      - applicant's allegations 299-300
      - freedom of expression/freedom of the press as essential elements of democracy 299-302
      - review of the jurisprudence 300-2
      - three-part test (*Oakes/CORD*) 301-2
        - failure on one element as breach of treaty obligation 302
    - issue 2: alleged breach of EACT 6(d) and EACT 7(2) in relation to freedom of expression/freedom of the press (Court's determination), compliance of Media Act with EACT 6(d)/EACT 7(2), application of the three-part test
      - MA 7(3)(a) (prohibited information) 302-5
        - arguments of parties 302-3
        - Court's finding (breach of treaty obligation) 305
        - legitimate aim (ICCPR 19(3)) 304, 305
        - "prescribed by law"/clarity 303, 304-5
        - text 302
      - MA 13 and MA 14 (accreditation) (Court's finding of compliance) 305, 306
      - MA 19 (accreditation) (Court's finding (breach of treaty obligation)) 306
      - MA 20 and MA 21 (accreditation) (Court's finding of breach consequent on link with section 19) 306
      - MA 35-40 (criminal defamation), Court's determination
        - Court's finding (non-compliance with treaty obligation) 308
        - legitimate aim 307-8
        - parties' arguments (applicants) 307
        - parties' arguments (respondent) 307-8
        - "prescribed by law"/clarity 307
        - proportionality 308
        - text (s 35) 306-7
      - MA 50 and MA 54 (media-related offences) 308-9
        - Court's finding (non-compliance with treaty obligation) 309
        - "prescribed by law"/clarity 309
        - text (s 50) 308-9

- MA 52 and MA 53 (seditious offences) 310-11
  - Court's finding (non-compliance with treaty obligation) 311
  - parties' submissions 310
  - "prescribed by law"/clarity 310-11
  - proportionality 311
  - text (s 52) 310
- MA 58 and MA 59 (Minister's total discretion to prohibit importation of a publication) 311-13
  - legitimate aim (ICCPR 19(3)) 306
  - parties' submissions 312
  - "prescribed by law"/clarity 305-6, 312-13
  - proportionality 312-13
  - text 311
- issue 3: remedies
  - costs (ROC 111(1) (each to pay own)) 313, 314
  - measures to bring Media Services Act into compliance with EACT 314
  - parties' requests 313
- nationality:** *see also Anudo*
  - deprivation
    - prohibition of arbitrary deprivation (UDHR 15(2)) 241
    - requirements under international law 241-2
  - evidence of
    - burden/standard of proof in case of long-standing acceptance by State challenging the claim 242
    - passport, inconclusiveness 172-6
  - right to
    - ICCPR, absence from 241
    - UDHR 15(1) 241
  - State's right to determine/accord 241
    - as sovereign/discretionary right 241
- negotiation as obligation of result vs best efforts** 64-5
- non-refoulement to country where risk of torture (UNCAT 3):** *see* extradition, deportation or expulsion to country where risk of torture (UNCAT 3)
- nullum crimen nulla poena sine lege/non-retroactivity (ACHPR 7/ECHR 7/ICCPR 15/UDHR 11(2))**
  - continuing offence 420-2
    - definition 420
  - derogation (ECHR 15), exclusion 420
  - jurisprudence
    - Ecer and Zeyrek* 421
    - Kokkinakis* 420
    - Streletz, Kessler and Krenz* 420-1
    - SW v. UK* 420
    - Veeber* (ECtHR) 413-21: *see also Veeber*
  - legal certainty/legality principle and 420, 661
  - scope of provision (*nullum crimen sine lege*/restrictive interpretation) 420
- opinio juris**
  - State immunity, restrictive theory 384

- passports, significance of multiple passports** 172-6
- Pel-Air* (MC 17(1) (“bodily harm”))** 523-48
- background
    - facts (air accident/respondent’s injuries including psychiatric conditions) 523
    - procedural history (Supreme Court Common Law Division judgments of 15 May 2015 and 11 March 2016) 523-4
    - Pel-Air’s acceptance of respondent’s mental harm other than PTSD as “bodily injury” 525
    - primary judge’s conclusion from a review of the jurisprudence 525-6
    - PTSD, evidence of Pel-Air’s expert witness 525
  - costs 548
  - issue 1: PTSD as a “bodily injury” 524-36
    - Court’s conclusion
      - failure of respondent’s PTSD to qualify as “bodily harm” for purposes of MC 17(1) 536
      - evidence of respondent’s PTSD (experts’ report) 527-9
      - first instance proceedings, summary 525-6
      - jurisprudence 529-36: *see also* Warsaw Convention (1929) (WC)/Montreal Convention (1999) (MC) (“bodily injury” (MC 17(1)))
      - conclusions from the jurisprudence 535-6
    - issue 2: damages awarded to respondent (reductions consequent on finding that PTSD did not constitute “bodily harm”) 537-48
    - costs of funds management, justification for
      - Pel-Air’s arguments 543-4
      - sufficiency of claimant’s non-PTSD disabilities to warrant award 544-6
    - costs of funds management, quantum
      - parties’ arguments 545-7
      - rejection of Pel-Air’s challenge/increase of award to claimant 547
    - gratuitous care (elements)
      - dismissal of Pel-Air’s challenge 542-3
      - Pel-Air’s assertion that claimant would not use the commercial care provided for 542
      - points at issue 541-2
    - gratuitous care (past)
      - Court’s confirmation of primary judge’s award 541
      - Pel-Air’s arguments 540-1
    - hospitalization expenses
      - parties’ positions 540
      - rejection of Pel-Air’s challenge 540
    - non-economic loss (CLA (NSW 16))
      - as discretionary decision 538
      - parties’ positions (experts) 537-8
      - parties’ positions (Pel-Air) 537
      - primary judge’s recommendation 537
      - standard of proof/Pel-Air’s failure to discharge 538
    - parties’ positions 537
    - treatment expenses
      - as discretionary decision 539
      - parties’ positions 539
      - primary’s judge’s recommendation 539
      - rejection of both parties’ challenges 539

**preliminary ruling (CJEU/ECJ/CJEC) (TFEU 267 [TEC 234])**

- admissibility/requirements
  - in absence of the factual or legal material necessary to give a useful answer 402
  - dispute concerning single Member State with involvement of third State 402
  - immunity issues 364-5, 401-2
  - interpretation of national law/compatibility of national law with EU law, exclusion 365
  - practical/non-hypothetical question 407
  - questions of substance distinguished 402
  - relationship of interpretation of EU law sought to main action 362-3, 365, 402
- admissibility/requirements (jurisprudence)
  - Airbnb Ireland* 402
  - Kirschstein* 402
  - Lechouritou* 364-5
  - Mahamdia* 365
  - Rina* 363-5, 401-2
  - Saey Home & Garden* 365
  - Transportes Urbanos* 365
- choice of questions for referral as matter for national court or tribunal 404
- costs of proceedings for national court to determine 409
- interpretation of CIL, limitation to situations which come within the scope of EU law 368-9, 383-4
- interpretation of EU law, presumption of relevance 364-5, 402

**recognition/enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters (Council Regulation (EC) 44/2001) (Judgments Regulation/Brussels I)**

- interpretation in the light of CIL 367-8, 390-6
- State immunity and: *see also Rina*
  - coincidence of “civil and commercial matters” and *acta jure gestionis*, whether 367-70
  - effect of CIL on jurisdiction *ratione materiae* of Regulation 44/2001 367-70
  - Regulation 1215/2012 distinguished 371-2

**recognition/enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters (Council Regulation (EC) 44/2001) (Judgments Regulation/Brussels I) by article**

- preamble by recital
  - 7 (scope of Regulation) 371
- 1(1) (applicability to civil and commercial matters), “civil and commercial matters”
  - as autonomous concept to be determined by reference to object and purpose of regulation 369
  - broad interpretation 371
  - exercise of public powers test 372-83, 404-10
    - acts carried out on behalf of and in the interests of a State 375-8, 405
    - acts carried out on delegation from a State 374-5, 404-7
    - acts carried out in performance of a State’s international obligations 379-80
    - public liability for acts, relevance 378
  - nature of the legal relationship test 371, 375, 379-80
  - “revenue, customs or administrative matters”, exclusion 360, 370-2, 399, 403
    - non-exhaustive nature of the provision 372
  - shipping classification and certification operations by private-law bodies, classification 373-82, 404-10
    - range of powers/constraints 380-2, 406-7
  - 71 (continuing validity of Member State treaties relating to jurisdiction/recognition/enforcement) 390-1

**recognition/enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters (Council Regulation (EC) 44/2001) (Judgments Regulation/Brussels I), jurisprudence (“civil and commercial matters” (JR 1(1)/EEX 1))**

*Note:* also included here is jurisprudence relating to the Brussels Convention (1968) (EEX) and Regulation 1215/2012 (Brussels I *bis*).

*Apostolides* 371, 404  
*flyLAL-Lithuanian Airlines* 371, 372-3, 403-4  
*Frabuil* 375  
*Gradbeništvo Korana* 371  
*Henkel* 372-3  
*Kuhn* 371, 376  
*Lechouritou* 371  
*Pula Parking* 372-3, 375, 377-8, 405  
*Rina* 370-82, 389, 396, 401-9  
*Rüffer* 379  
*Sapir* 404  
*Sonntag* 378, 405  
*Sunico* 371, 373, 404

**recognition/enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters (Council Regulation (EU) 1215/2012) (Brussels I *bis*) by article**

1(1) (applicability to civil and commercial matters)  
 liability of the State for acts and omissions in the exercise of State authority (*acta jure imperii*), exclusion 371-2  
 as clarification of Regulation 44/2001 (Brussels I) 372

***Reeves Taylor* (torture by “person acting in an official capacity”)**

background  
 point of law at issue (interpretation of “person acting in an official capacity” (CJA 134)) 616  
 Court’s analysis (applicability of UNCAT 1/CJA 134 to a person acting in an official capacity on behalf of an entity exercising governmental control)  
 “any relevant rules of international law applicable in the relations between the parties” (VCLT 31(3)(c)) 640-1  
 State responsibility (ILC(SR) 10) 639-40  
 interpretation of CJA 134/UNCAT 1  
 identity of meaning 623  
 jurisprudence  
 UK authorities 642-5  
 US authorities 645-8  
 object and purpose (VCLT 31(1))  
 cautious approach to/adherence to intention of States Parties 625  
 creation of new crime/outlaw of torture, exclusion 625-6  
 ordinary meaning (VCLT 31(1))  
 appellant’s argument (limitation to conduct on behalf of a State) 624  
 Court’s rejection of appellant’s argument 624  
 point of law at issue 616  
 recognition of State/government, relevance 640-1  
 scholarly writings 625-32, 648-50  
 subsequent practice (VCLT 31(3)(b))  
 CAT jurisprudence 636-9  
 CAT reports and General Comments, status and functions 634-5  
 Kooijmans Report (19 February 1986) 632-3

- travaux préparatoires* (VCLT 32) 626-32
  - establishment of universal jurisdiction 632
  - private actors, exclusion 631-2
- Court's conclusion (applicability of UNCAT 1(1)/CJA 134(1) to person acting in an official capacity on behalf of an entity exercising governmental control . . .) 650-1
  - acceptance of appeal in the light of new evidence and the Supreme Court's judgment 653
  - applicability in time of peace or armed conflict 651
  - exercise of governmental functions as core requirement 651
  - military activity not involving any governmental function distinguished 651-2
  - test for governmental authority 652-3
- dissenting opinion (Lord Reed) (interpretation of "a public official or other person acting in an official capacity") 653-61
- context (VCLT 31(1))
  - exclusion of "pain or suffering arising only from, inherent in or incidental to lawful sanctions" (UNCAT 1(1)) 654
  - obligation to take measures to prevent torture in territory under its jurisdiction (UNCAT 2(1)) 654-5
- legal certainty requirement/cautious approach where criminal legislation is unclear 661
- non-retroactive application of UNCAT to events preceding its adoption/*nulla poena sine lege* 661
- object and purpose (VCLT 31(1))
  - active punishment and prevention of torture 655
  - emergence of torture as a *jus cogens* offence, effect 655
- ordinary meaning (VCLT 31(1))
  - "acting on behalf of the State" as core idea 654
  - extension to member of an insurgent group engaged in armed insurrection, exclusion 654
- scholarly writings 656-7
- UNCAT 1(1) as a deliberately narrow choice 655-7
  - adoption of narrow implementing legislation 657
  - pre-2003 CAT jurisprudence/post-2003 move towards acceptance of a non-governmental identity 657-61
  - universal jurisdiction as intrusion of State sovereignty, reluctance to accept 656-7
- parties' arguments
  - appellant 617, 622
  - prosecution/prosecution's expert witness 616-17, 622
- procedural history in date order
  - first hearing of application to dismiss CDA charges (26-27 March 2018)/ruling on applicability of CJA 134 (30 July 2018) 617-18
  - second hearing of application to dismiss CDA charges (4 October 2018)/ruling on case to answer (29 October 2018) 618
  - appeal against 30 July 2018 ruling (29 October 2018) 618
  - dismissal of appeal against 30 July 2018 ruling (21 December 2018) 618
  - permission to appeal to the Supreme Court (13 February 2019) 618
- relevant law
  - CJA 134 (torture)
    - consent of Attorney-General for prosecution under, need for 619
    - entry into force/applicability 619

- Reeves Taylor (torture by “person acting in an official capacity”) (cont.)**  
 as implementation of UNCAT 619-20  
 text (extracts) 619  
 ICCA 50/ICCA 51 (applicability to torture as a crime against humanity/war crime)  
 622-3  
 ICCA 65A (retrospective application of certain offences) 622  
 IHL  
 GC common Article 3 620-1  
 ICC 7(1)(f) (torture as crime against humanity) 621  
 ICC 8(2)(a)(ii) (torture as war crime/grave breach of GC (1949)) 621  
 ICC 8(2)(c)(i) (torture as serious violation of GC common Article 3) 621  
 ICTR/ICTY 620-1  
 torture (UNCAT) distinguished 621  
 UNCAT  
*aut dedere aut punire/judicare* (UNCAT 5(2)/UNCAT 7(1)) 620  
*non-refoulement* obligation 620  
 obligation to create offence (UNCAT 4) 620  
 obligation to “prevent other acts of cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or  
 punishment which do not amount to torture . . . committed by . . . a public  
 official or other person acting in an official capacity” (UNCAT 16) 620  
 obligation to take measures to prevent torture in any territory under its jurisdiction  
 (UNCAT 2) 620  
 “torture” (UNCAT 1) 619-20
- Refugee Convention (1951) (RC)**  
 interpretation  
 applicable law (VCLT) 554-5  
 context, RC 1F(b) 557-9  
 human rights approach to 570-88  
 good faith interpretation and 584  
 jurisprudence  
*Febles* 555-67  
*Januzi* 556  
 ordinary meaning (VCLT 31(1))  
 RC 1F(b) 556-7, 562, 564, 573  
 subsequent practice in application of treaty establishing agreement between the  
 parties regarding its interpretation (VCLT 31(3)(b)) 573  
 non-application provisions as balance between humanitarian aims of Convention and  
 integrity of refugee protection regime 578: *see also* Refugee Convention (1951)  
 (RC), non-application on grounds of “serious non-political crime outside the  
 country of refuge prior to admission” (RC 1F(b))  
 object and purpose  
 article as a whole 557-9  
 as guide to interpretation 559-61  
 humanitarian 570-86
- Refugee Convention (1951) (RC), non-application on grounds of “serious non-  
 political crime outside the country of refuge prior to admission” (RC  
 1F(b))**  
 “crime committed”/fugitives from justice, alleged limitation to  
 context (VCLT 31(2)) 557-9  
 jurisprudence 564-7  
 limitation to person not convicted/not having served a sentence, whether  
 583-4



- move away from the “limitation to” thesis 564-7
- object and purpose 559-61
- ordinary meaning 556-7
- travaux préparatoires* 563-4
- jurisprudence
  - Australia
    - Dhayakpa* 565, 581
    - Singh* 565, 581
    - SRYYY* 580-1
  - Belgium
    - X v. Commissaire général aux réfugiés et aux apatrides* 566, 582
  - Canada
    - Chan* 567-8
    - Ezokola* 558, 559, 574, 576
    - Febles* 552-86
    - Jayasekara* 567-8
    - Pushpanathan* 554, 559, 560, 564, 565, 567, 573-4, 575-7, 581
    - Thomson* 554
    - Ward* 560, 563-4, 565, 567, 574-7
  - CJEU
    - Bundesrepublik Deutschland v. B* 562, 580-1
  - France
    - Office français de protection des réfugiés et apatrides v. Hykaj* 566, 580-1
  - Germany
    - B (Area of Freedom, Security and Justice)* 562, 565-6
  - New Zealand
    - Tamil X* 565, 580-1
  - UK
    - AA (Palestine)* 582
    - AH (Algeria)* 565, 580-1, 582-3
    - Al-Sirri* 574, 582
    - Januzi* 556
    - JS (Sri Lanka)* 582
    - KK (Turkey)* 582
    - T* 564-5
- object and purpose, suggestions for
  - exclusion of fugitives 560
  - exclusion of persons abusing the system by evading prosecution 576-7
  - exclusion of persons who have committed serious crimes 561
  - exclusion of serious criminals 560
  - exclusion of undeserving claimants 560-1
  - exclusion of undeserving persons 560-1, 566, 576, 577, 583-6
  - protection of country of refuge from dangerous refugees at risk of re-offending 575, 581
  - “serious non-political crime”
    - length of imprisonment as determining factor 567-8
    - municipal law, relevance 568-9
    - rebuttable presumption 568
    - UDHR 14(2) as origin 577-80
    - UNHCR Handbook (1979) 568
- travaux préparatoires* 577-80
  - endorsement of consistency with UDHR 14(2) 577-80
  - limitation of discussion to claimants who had not been convicted or served sentence 580

- reparation for breach of treaty (EACT) (other than compensation)**  
 amendment of legislation to bring it into conformity with treaty 314
- reparation for breach of treaty (VCCR 36 (consular access))**  
 declaration of breach 130  
 jurisprudence  
   *Avena* 131-2  
   *Jadhav* 128-34  
 measures to ensure non-repetition 130  
   continuing act, need for 130  
   *restitutio in integrum* (*Chorzów Factory* principle) 131-2  
 review and reconsideration of conviction and sentence by means of own choosing 132-4  
   as obligation of result 134
- reparation for violation of IHRL/IHL, victim's right to**  
 UN Basic Principles of Justice for Victims of Crime and Abuse of Power (1985) 443  
 UN Basic Principles on Reparations for Victims (UNGA Res. 60/147) 443
- res judicata/non bis in idem principle (including double jeopardy rule)**  
 identity of parties, subject matter and legal cause, need for  
 jurisprudence  
   *Katabazi* 297-8  
   *Media Council* 297-8
- Rina (preliminary ruling) (background including facts, procedural history and legal framework)**  
 overview 358  
 facts of the case (sinking of the *Al Salam Boccaccio '98* (2-3 February 2006)) 360-1, 400  
 legal framework (EU law)  
   Directive 2009/15 (common rules and standards for ship inspection and survey organisations) by article  
     Recital 16 (legal safeguards and judicial protection for organization issuing certificates on behalf of the administration) 399  
     1 (measures for Member States in their relationship with organizations entrusted with the inspection, survey and certification of ships) 386-7, 400  
   Regulation 44/2001 (jurisdiction in civil and commercial matters) by article  
     1(1) (scope/exclusion of revenue, customs or administrative matters) 360, 371-2, 399  
     2(1) (suit against persons domiciled in Member State in courts of that State) 360, 399  
 legal framework (international law)  
   SOLAS  
     objective (minimum standards for the construction, equipment and operation of ships, compatible with their safety) 359, 398, 404-7  
     Regulation 3-1 of Annex, Chapter II-1, Part A-1 (compliance with the structural, mechanical and electrical requirements of a classification society recognized by the flag State) 360, 398  
     Regulation 6 of Annex, Chapter I (inspection and survey of ships) 360, 398-9, 406-7  
   UNCLOS 90 (right of navigation) 359, 397  
   UNCLOS 91(1) (nationality of ships: fixing of conditions for the right to fly flag) 359, 397  
   UNCLOS 91(2) (nationality of ships: issue of documents on the right to fly flag) 359, 397

- UNCLOS 94(1) (effective exercise of jurisdiction and control over flag ships) 359, 398
- UNCLOS 94(3)-(5) (measures to ensure safety at sea) 359, 398
- procedural history (proceedings in the Tribunale di Genova)
  - parties' arguments
    - applicants 361, 400
    - defendants 361, 400
  - suspension of proceedings/referral to the CJEU (12 October 2018) 361-2, 400-1
  - question referred for preliminary ruling 361-7, 400-1
- Rina* (preliminary ruling) (AG Szpunar's opinion)**
  - admissibility
    - absence of a reference to incompatibility between EU and national law, irrelevance 365
    - defendants' arguments 363-4
    - finding of admissibility 365
    - immunity issues, justification for raising 364-5
    - relationship of interpretation of EU law sought to main action, need for 364-5
      - defendants' claim of irrelevance as matter for the substance 362-3
  - customary international law
    - competence of Court to interpret in situations which come within the scope of EU law 368-9, 383-4
    - EU compliance obligation (TEU 3(5)) 366-70, 390-6
    - formation/requirements 383-92
  - Judgments Regulation/Brussels I (Council Regulation (EC) 44/2001)
    - applicability to "civil and commercial matters" (JR 1(1))
      - acts carried out on behalf of and in the interests of a State 375-8
      - acts carried out upon delegation from a State 374-5
      - as autonomous concept to be determined by reference to object and purpose of Regulation 369
      - broad interpretation 371
      - exercise of public powers test 372-83
      - nature of the legal relationship test 371, 375, 379-80
      - range of powers/constraints as relevant factor 380-2
      - "revenue, customs or administrative matters", exclusion/non-exhaustive list 360, 370-2, 399
      - shipping classification and certification operations by private-law bodies, classification 373-83
    - interpretation in the light of CIL 367-8, 390-6
      - coincidence of "civil and commercial matters" and *acta jure gestionis*, whether 367-70
      - effect of CIL on jurisdiction *ratione materiae* 367-70
      - immunity as procedural bar and liability distinguished 368
    - State immunity and
      - Regulation 1215/2012 distinguished/as clarification of 371-2
    - State immunity from jurisdiction (CIL)
      - CIL as dominant source 367
      - classification of act as *jure imperii* or *jure gestionis*
        - difficulty of distinguishing between/blurring of the lines 366-7, 383-5
        - international vs municipal law as applicable law 383-4
        - JISP 385-6
        - practice of international organizations 386-7
        - shipping classification and certification services 383-96
        - UNCLOS/SOLAS 386

- Rina* (preliminary ruling) (AG Szpunar's opinion) (cont.)**  
 limitations of CIL/failure of efforts to codify (ECSI/JISP) 367  
 measures for Member States in their relationship with organizations entrusted with the inspection, survey and certification of ships (Directive 2009/15) 386-7  
*par in parem non habet jurisdictionem* as basis 366  
 restrictive immunity, EU acceptance of 366-7
- Rina* (preliminary ruling) (Judgment)**  
 admissibility  
   defendants' arguments 401  
   defendants' claim of irrelevance as matter for the substance 402  
   finding of admissibility 402  
   immunity issues, justification for raising 401-2  
   requirements  
     dispute concerning single Member State with involvement of third State 402  
     factual or legal material necessary to give a useful answer 402  
     relationship of interpretation of EU law sought to main action, presumption of 402  
 international law, EU compliance obligation (TEU 3(5)) 408-9  
 Judgments Regulation/Brussels I (Council Regulation (EC) 44/2001)  
   applicability to "civil and commercial matters" (JR 1(1))  
     acts carried out on behalf of and in the interests of a State 405  
     acts carried out upon delegation from a State 404-7  
     exercise of public powers test 404-10  
     range of powers/constraints as relevant factor 406-7  
     "revenue, customs or administrative matters", exclusion 403  
     shipping classification and certification operations by private-law bodies, classification 404-10  
 State immunity from jurisdiction (CIL)  
   classification of act as *jure imperii* or *jure gestionis*  
     shipping classification and certification services 404-10  
   measures for Member States in their relationship with organizations entrusted with the inspection, survey and certification of ships (Directive 2009/15) 400  
   *par in parem non habet jurisdictionem* as basis 408  
   restrictive immunity, EU acceptance of 408
- Somalia:** *see Maritime Delimitation in the Indian Ocean*
- sovereign equality of States (UNC 2(1)) (*par in parem non habet jurisdictionem*)**  
 as basis for State immunity 408  
 coexistence with restrictive immunity approach 366, 408
- State immunity**  
 applicable law (CIL)  
   at time of acts complained of/as subsequently developed (contemporaneity vs intertemporal law) 386  
 CIL as the dominant source governing State immunity from jurisdiction 367  
 consistent and uniform practice/*opinio juris* requirement 384  
 failure of efforts to codify  
   ECSI 367  
   JISP 367  
 restrictive immunity and 366-7, 408  
*Rina* 366-70, 408-9

- classification of act as *jure imperii* or *jure gestionis*, distinguishing between
  - aids/sources
    - EU Directive 2009/15 386-7
    - JISP 385-6
    - practice of international organizations 386-7
    - UNCLOS/SOLAS 386
  - applicable law
    - customary international law (CIL), limitations 384-5
    - international vs municipal law 383-4
  - difficulty of making the distinction/blurring of the lines 366-7, 383-5
  - private law relationship 375, 403-9
  - shipping classification and certification services 383-96, 404-10
- effective remedy and
  - EU legal considerations including CFR 47 394-6
  - immunity as procedural bar and liability distinguished
    - EC Regulation 44/2001 and 368
- restrictive theory
  - CJEU recognition of (*Mahamdia*) 366
  - customary international law (CIL) and 366-7, 408
- stateless persons**
  - Anudo* 245
  - definition/“not considered as a national” (SPC 1) 245
  - as customary international law 245
- Tanzania:** *see also* *Anudo* (nationality issues)
  - Citizenship Act 1995
    - absence of judicial remedy in case of challenge to citizenship by birth 247
  - East African Community Act 2001
    - jurisdictional issues: *see Media Council*
  - Immigration Act 1995 236-8
  - Immigration Act 1995 by article
    - 10(f) (finality of MHAI declaration of “illegal immigrant” status) 247
    - 11(1) (unlawfulness of entry and presence of prohibited immigrant in Tanzania) 243-4
  - Media Services Act 2016 (MA): *see Media Council*
- Torture Committee (CAT) (UNCAT Part II)**
  - decisions of CAT (UNCAT 22(7)) 636-9
  - General Comments: *see also* General Comments (CAT)
    - status/binding effect 634-5
- Torture Convention (1984) (UNCAT)**
  - object and purpose (preamble) (“to make more effective the struggle against torture”)
    - active punishment and prevention of torture 655
    - cautious approach to/adherence to intention of States Parties 625
    - creation of new crime/outlaw of torture distinguished 625-6
    - emergence of torture as a *jus cogens* offence, effect 655
  - jurisprudence
    - Pinochet (No 3)* 625-6
    - Reeves Taylor* 625-6
  - temporal scope
    - nulla poena sine lege*/applicability of CAT interpretations subsequent to events under consideration 661
  - text (extracts) 619-20

**torture, definition/classification as**

- action “by a public official or other person acting in an official capacity” (UNCAT 1)
  - applicability to a person acting in an official capacity on behalf of an entity exercising governmental control over a civilian population 615-61
  - applicability in time of peace or armed conflict 651
  - exercise of governmental functions as core requirement 651
  - military activity not involving any governmental function distinguished 651-2
  - test for governmental authority 652-3
- applicability to a person acting in an official capacity on behalf of an entity exercising governmental control over a civilian population in a territory over which it exercises de facto control: *see Reeves Taylor*
- exclusion of private acts 631-2
- action “by a public official or other person acting in an official capacity” (UNCAT 1), interpretation, aids/guidelines
- context (VCLT 31(1))
  - exclusion of “pain or suffering arising only from, inherent in or incidental to lawful sanctions” (UNCAT 1(1)) 654
  - obligation to take measures to prevent torture in territory under its jurisdiction (UNCAT 2(1)) 654-5
- implementing legislation 630
- ordinary meaning (VCLT 31(1)) 624
- scholarly writings 625-32, 655-6
- statutory guidance (AARSG) 642
- subsequent practice (VCLT 31(3)(b))
  - CAT reports and General Comments, status and functions 634-5
  - Kooijmans Report (19 February 1986) 632-3
- travaux préparatoires* (VCLT 32) 626-32
- action “by a public official or other person acting in an official capacity” (UNCAT 1), jurisprudence
- CAT
  - Elmi* 629, 637-8, 641, 644, 650, 658-9, 660
  - GRB* 637, 657-8
  - HMHI* 637-9, 650, 658-9
  - MKM* 659, 660
  - MPS* 637, 658, 659
  - SS* 638, 659-60
  - SV* 636-7, 638-9, 658, 659
- ICTY
  - Furundžija* 612, 621
  - Kunarac* 612, 621
- UK
  - EO* 642
  - Jones v. Saudi Arabia* 625
  - Medical Justice* 642
  - Pinobet (No 3)* 623, 642
  - Reeves Taylor* 615-61
  - Zardad* 643-5, 651-2
- US
  - Ali Shafi* 647
  - Belfast* 647-8
  - Classic* 648
  - Kadić v. Karadžić* 614, 640, 645-6

- Mehinovic* 646-7
- Tel Oren* 647
- West v. Atkins* 648
- IHL 620-2
- municipal law, UK 622
- recognition of State/government, relevance 640-2
- torture, definition/classification as (IHL)**
  - GC common Article 3 620-1
  - ICC 7(1)(f) (torture as crime against humanity) 621
  - ICC 8(2)(a)(ii) (torture as war crime/grave breach of GC (1949)) 621
  - ICC 8(2)(c)(i) (torture as serious violation of GC common Article 3) 621
  - ICTR/ICTY
    - absence of statutory definition/tribunal adoption of UNCAT definitions 620-1
    - official involvement requirement (*Furundžija vs Kunarac* approaches) 621
    - torture (UNCAT) distinguished 621
- Torture Victim Protection Act 1991 (TVPA)**
  - interpretation
    - ordinary meaning
      - “acting on behalf of the State” as core idea 654
      - extension to member of an insurgent group engaged in armed insurrection, exclusion 654
- travaux préparatoires as supplementary means of interpretation (VCLT 32)**
  - ambiguity of treaty, relevance 63
  - in respect of
    - India–Pakistan Agreement on Consular Access (2008) 180-1
    - Kenya–Somalia MOU (7 April 2009) 41-3
    - Refugee Convention (1951): *see* Refugee Convention (1951) (RC)
      - interpretation
    - UNCLOS 282 50-1
    - VCCR 36 (consular access) 114
    - VCCR 36(1)(b) (consular notification) 123-5, 142-3
    - VCCR 73(2) 196-7
- treaties**
  - breach, effect on treaty as basis for jurisdiction 53
  - classification of an MOU as (VCLT 2(1)(a))
    - customary international law 22
    - evidence of status as treaty
      - applicability of international law 22
      - authorized signature 22
      - effective entry into force 22-5
      - inclusion of a provision addressing the entry into force 22
      - registration in accordance with UNC 102 22
      - written form 22
    - Maritime Delimitation in the Indian Ocean* 17-25, 59, 80: *see also* *Maritime Delimitation in the Indian Ocean*
  - conclusion (VCLT 6-18)
    - full powers, relevance (heads of State, heads of government and Ministers for Foreign Affairs (VCLT 7(2)(a))) 22
    - signature as consent to be bound (VCLT 12/CIL) 23
  - entry into force (VCLT 24)
    - as provided for in the treaty or agreed by the parties (VCLT 24(1)) 22-3
    - on signature 22-3

**treaties** (*cont.*)

interpretation of implementing legislation  
 applicable law

VCLT 31/VCLT 32 623-50

identity of meaning 623

*Reeves Taylor* 623-61

invalidity

acquiescence leading to loss of right to invoke (VCLT 45(b)) 25

violation of internal law, effect (VCLT 46(1))

*Land and Maritime Boundary (Cameroon/Nigeria)* 23-4

manifest/within the knowledge of other State requirement (VCLT 46(2)) 24-5

*Maritime Delimitation in the Indian Ocean* 23-5

**treaty interpretation**

context, points to be taken into account together with (VCLT 31(3))

“any relevant rules of international law applicable in the relations between the parties”  
 (VCLT 31(3)(c))

State responsibility (ILC(SR) 10) 639-40

treaties of a similar type/with same object and purpose 37-41

intertemporal law/developments subsequent to conclusion of treaty as “relevant rule”  
 (VCLT 31(3)(c)) (“living-tree” principle)

*nulla poena sine lege*/applicability of developing interpretations to earlier events 661

subsequent practice in application of treaty establishing agreement between the

parties regarding its interpretation (VCLT 31(3)(b))

CLCS practice in relation to UNCLOS 76(8) 30-2

*Reeves Taylor* 632-9

context (VCLT 31(2))

other treaties concluded by same parties

related treaty providing framework 30-2

same/minor variation of language, object and purpose, subsequent practice and  
*travaux préparatoires* 37-41

text of article under consideration/article as a whole 557-9

text of other articles in same treaty

discountable differences 36-7

treaty as a whole/holistic approach (relevant materials) 30-2

“within the framework of the entire legal system prevailing at the time of the  
 interpretation” 189-90

general rule (good faith, ordinary meaning of terms of treaty in their context and in the  
 light of its object and purpose)

jurisprudence

*Admission of a State to UN Membership* 62

*South West Africa* 62

ordinary meaning

text/literal approach 556-7

VCCR 36 113-14

multilingual treaties (VCLT 33) (“serious crime”/“*un crime grave*” (RC 1F(b)) vs “*un  
 délit particulièrement grave*” (RC 33(2))) 583

object and purpose

cautious approach to/adherence to intention of States Parties at time of conclusion  
 625

*Nicaragua v. Colombia (Delimitation of the Continental Shelf)* 32

titles/subtitles as guide to 32, 33

treaty as a whole 559-60



**UNCLOS (1982)**

EU participation (UNCLOS 305(1)(f)/Annex IX) 359, 386, 388  
 competences (Annex IX:4(1), 4(2) and 4(3)), CJEU authority to determine  
 406

reservations, exclusion (UNCLOS 309) 49

**UNCLOS dispute settlement (Part XV: Section 1 (general provisions))**

general, regional or bilateral agreements providing for interpretation or application of  
 UNCLOS, obligations under (UNCLOS 282)

applicability “in lieu of” Section 2 procedures 49, 51-2

optional clause declaration (ICJ 36(2)) as 47-51

effect of reservations excluding disputes on which parties had agreed another  
 method of settlement 51-2, 58-9, 62-3, 64-5, 66-78

“or otherwise”

ordinary meaning 49-50

UNCLOS *travaux préparatoires* 50-1, 68-74

procedure in absence of settlement (UNCLOS 281)

“agreement between the parties does not exclude any further procedure” (UNCLOS  
 281(1)) 48

settlement by any peaceful means of own choice (UNCLOS 280)

48

**UNCLOS dispute settlement (Part XV: Section 2 (compulsory procedures entailing binding decisions))**

choice of procedure (UNCLOS 287)

Annex VII arbitration in absence of UNCLOS 287(1) declaration 44-6

reservations, exclusion (UNCLOS 309) 49

**unequal treaties**

Kenya–Somalia MOU (2009), risk of 55-6

**unilateral declaration/undertaking (including validity and legal effects)**

binding effect/good faith obligation to act in accordance with commitment 665-84

jurisprudence

*Al M* 655-84: *see also Al M*

*Lodhi* 674

*Nuclear Tests* 669, 670, 679

*Othman* 670-1, 674, 677

*RB* 673-4, 680-1

requirements

authority to make statement 679

clear and specific terms 679

intention to be bound/create international legal obligations 669-70, 679

revocability/withdrawal 668, 678

**United Kingdom**

Criminal Justice Act 1988 (CJA) by section

134 (torture)

as implementation of UNCAT obligations 619

text 619

134(1) (torture: public officials): *see also Reeves Taylor*

*nulla poena sine lege*/applicability of CAT interpretations subsequent to events  
 under consideration 661

International Criminal Court Act 2001 by section

50(1) (genocide, crime against humanity and war crime: definitions) 621-2

51 (genocide, crimes against humanity and war crimes) 621-2

65A (retrospective application of certain offences) 622

**United Kingdom** (*cont.*)

- legal certainty/legality principle, cautious approach to interpretation 661
- Torture Convention (1984), incorporation into UK law (CJA 134) 619
- torture, definition/classification as
  - nulla poena sine lege*/applicability of CAT interpretations subsequent to events under consideration 661
  - “other person acting in an official capacity” (UNCAT 1/CJA 134(1))
    - applicability to a person acting in an official capacity on behalf of an entity exercising governmental control 615-61: *see also Reeves Taylor*
- treaties and municipal law, interpretation of implementing legislation
  - applicable law (VCLT 31/VCLT 32) 623-50
  - identity of meaning 623
  - UNCAT 1/CJA 134 623
- treaty interpretation
  - cautious approach to/adherence to intention of States Parties/avoidance of implied term 625

**Universal Declaration of Human Rights (1948) (UDHR), status/legal effect** 241**VCCR (1963) (procedural aspects)**

- “international agreements confirming or supplementing or extending or amplifying [VCCR provisions]” (VCCR 73(2)), parameters 120
- India–Pakistan 2008 Agreement on Consular Access, compatibility 120, 176-82, 190-3, 195-8
- as part of the VCCR’s context 179
- travaux préparatoires* (VCCR 36 (consular access)) 114, 123-5, 142-3

**VCCR Optional Protocol for the Compulsory Settlement of Disputes (1963) (VCCR OP)**

- alternatives to ICJ jurisdiction (arbitration (OP II)/conciliation (OP III))
  - preconditions, whether 106-7
- compulsory submission of disputes to ICJ (VCCR OP I)
  - applicability
    - “[d]isputes arising out of the interpretation or application of the [Vienna] Convention” 105
    - exclusion of breaches of international law other than those under the VCCR 105
    - inclusion of consideration of other international law obligations relevant to the interpretation of the VCCR 105
  - jurisprudence
    - Jadhav*: *see Jadhav* (jurisdiction and admissibility)
    - US Diplomatic and Consular Staff in Tebran* (*Hostages case*) 107

**Veeber**: *see Veeber 2* (non-retroactivity of criminal offence (ECHR 7(1))) (ECtHR), background; *Veeber 2* (non-retroactivity of criminal offence (ECHR 7(1))) (ECtHR), Court’s assessment and decision; *Veeber* (non-retroactivity of criminal offence (ECHR 7(1))) (Estonian Supreme Court)**Veeber 2 (non-retroactivity of criminal offence (ECHR 7(1))) (ECtHR), background**

- parties’ arguments
  - applicant 419
  - respondent government 419-20
    - observations on the merits (ROC 59(1)) 413
- procedural history (ECtHR) in date order
  - application (under ECHR 25 (1950)) to the EUComHR (28 September 1998) 413, 594

- transmission to the ECtHR (ECHR Protocol 11:5(2)) 413
  - allocation to the First Section (ROC 25(1)) 413
  - constitution of the Court (ROC 26(1)) 413
- declaration of partial admissibility (3 May 2001) 413
- reassignment to Fourth Section following change to Sections (ROC 25(1)) 413
- decision of the Court (*Veeber 2*) 594-5
- procedural history (Estonia) in date order
  - initiation of criminal proceedings for abuse of position as company chairman (4 December 1995) 414
  - city tax authorities' order to the company to pay due taxes (26 February 1996) 414
  - applicant charged with abuse of office, tax evasion and falsification (22 March 1996) 414
  - further charge of fraud (1 July 1996) 414
  - final charges (7 October 1996) 414
  - bill of indictment (11 November 1996) 414
  - committal for trial (4 March 1997) 414
  - service of copy of indictment (24 April 1997) 414-15
  - conviction and sentencing (13 October 1997) (including tax evasion charges dating from 1993) 414-15, 591-3
  - appeal to Court of Appeal on grounds of retroactivity (18 October 1997) 415, 593
  - dismissal of appeal on "continuing offence" grounds (12 January 1998) 415, 593-4
  - appeal to the Supreme Court on points of law (retroactive application of the law) (17 February 1998) 415, 594
  - partial satisfaction of appeals/dismissal of appeal on "continuing offence" grounds (8 April 1998) 415-16, 594
  - petition for correction of court errors (20 June 2003) 595
  - referral of petition to the Supreme Court (24 September 2003) 595-6
- relevant law and practice (domestic) (Criminal Code 148(1) (Lithuania))
  - continuing offence, applicability of most recent version 418
  - cumulative vs alternative liability (CC 148-1(7)) 418
  - texts in date order
    - pre-27 June 1993 416
    - 27 June 1993-13 January 1995 416-17
    - post-13 January 1995 417-18
- relevant law and practice (ECHR (1)) 418
- Veeber 2* (non-retroactivity of criminal offence (ECHR 7(1))) (ECtHR), Court's assessment and decision**
  - "continuing offence" 420
  - dispositif* 423-4
  - ECHR 7 as an essential element of the rule of law/non-derogability 420
  - just satisfaction (ECHR 41)
    - costs 423
    - default interest 424
    - non-pecuniary damage
      - for distress 423
      - equitable basis 423
    - pecuniary damage (failure to demonstrate causal link) 423
- Veeber* (non-retroactivity of criminal offence (ECHR 7(1))) (Estonian Supreme Court)**
  - background (procedural history) 592-6: *see also Veeber 2* (non-retroactivity of criminal offence (ECHR 7(1))) (ECtHR), background
  - parties' opinions
    - Chancellor of Justice 598-600

- Veeber (non-retroactivity of criminal offence (ECHR 7(1))) (Estonian Supreme Court)** (*cont.*)
- defence counsels 596-8
  - Minister of Justice 600
  - plaintiffs 601-2
  - Public Prosecutor's Office 600-1
  - Riigikogu 598
  - Court's analysis (competence to hear petition for correction of court errors based on ECtHR decision) 602-4
  - Court's decision (possibility of a post-ECtHR decision reopening of the case), requirements
    - absence of alternative remedies 604
    - appropriateness to the person in question 604
    - continuing and substantial violation 604
    - effectiveness of reopening 604
    - non-infringement of other people's rights 604
  - grounds for review/correction of a judgment (CCCACP 77(7)(3)/77(7)(1)) 602-3
    - exclusion of new hearing following an ECtHR judgment 603
    - possibility of Supreme Court proceeding in absence of specific provision for 604
    - primacy of ECHR (Constitution 123(2)) 603
    - revision to include ECtHR decisions, proposal for 603
  - Court's analysis (substance)
    - ECtHR's findings of non-violation (CC 143(1)/CC 166(1)) 605
    - ECtHR's findings of violation (CC 148(1)(7)) 604-5
      - analysis of the ECtHR's decision 606-7
    - pros and cons of reopening the CC 148(1)(7) proceedings 607-8
    - Court's decision to reopen/finding 609
  - Court's decision
    - annulment of judgments relating to conviction for tax offences in 1993 and 1994 590, 591
    - part refusal to hear the civil action of the Tartu Tax Board Office 591
    - part satisfaction of the criminal defence counsel's petition 391
    - reopening of criminal proceedings for acts committed before 1995 590
    - return of security 391
    - dissenting opinion (Jüri Pöld) (unconstitutionality of the CCCACP) 609-10
- Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (1969) (VCLT), customary international law (CIL) and**
- Application of the Genocide Convention* 29-30
  - Jadhav* 112-13
  - Maritime Delimitation in the Indian Ocean* 22-3, 29-30, 37-8
  - Navigational and Related Rights (Costa Rica v. Nicaragua)* 29-30
  - Nicaragua v. Colombia (Delimitation of the Continental Shelf)* 29-30
  - Territorial Dispute (Libya/Chad)* 29-30
- Walusimbi (EAC membership (EACT 3(2)))**
- background (factual) 257
  - background (procedural)
    - filing of Reference (10 October 2013) 256
    - parties' positions
    - applicants 257-8

- respondent 1 258
- respondent 2 259
- respondent 3 259
- respondent 4 259
- respondent 5 260
- respondent 6 260
- parties/striking off for non-compliance with ROP 24(4) 256
- Scheduling Conference (5 September 2014)
  - points agreed 260-1
  - points not agreed/for determination by the Court 261
- issue 1 (Court's jurisdiction (EACT 27)), Court's determination 264-6
  - Court's conclusion (Court's jurisdiction) 266
  - EAC membership/admission (EACT 3)
    - applicability of EACT in advance of 265-6
    - EAC Summit decisions relating to, EACJ jurisdiction 264-6
  - human rights issues as aspect of interpretation of EACT objectives and principles (EACT 27(2)) 265-6
    - Mohochi* 265, 266
- issue 1 (Court's jurisdiction (EACT 27)), parties' positions
  - applicants 261-3
  - respondent 1 263
  - respondent 2 263-4
  - respondent 3 264
  - respondent 4 264
  - respondent 6 264
- issue 2 (reference by legal and natural persons/standing (EACT 30(1))), Court's determination 271-4
  - Court's conclusion (confirmation of the existence of a cause of action) 274
  - extraterritorial jurisdiction/jurisdiction over non-Member State (EACT 27) 273
  - interpretation of the EACT (restrictive interpretation as "not prudent") 272-3
  - reference by legal and natural persons/standing (EACT 30(1))
    - alleged infringement of a specific provision of the Treaty, sufficiency to constitute a cause of action 271-2
    - decision/action distinguished 271-2
    - interim decision, applicability to 274-5
    - jurisprudence relating to 271-2
    - reference against an organ of the Community, admissibility 272-3
    - summary of requirements 273
- issue 2 (reference by legal and natural persons/standing (EACT 30(1))), parties' arguments
  - applicants 266-8
  - respondent 1 268-9
  - respondent 2 269
  - respondent 3 270
  - respondent 6 270-1
- issue 3 (alleged violation of EACT 3(3)(a), (b), (c), (e), (f), 6(d), 7(2) and 8(1)(c)), Court's determination 276-80
  - admission as function of Summit (EAC 3(2)/Protocol 3(3)) 278
  - admission procedure
    - application (Protocol 4(1)) 278-9
    - communication of application to Partner States (Protocol 4(2)) 278-9
    - Council of Ministers/Summit (Protocol 4(3), (4), (5), (6) and (7)) 278

**Walusimbi (EAC membership (EACT 3(2)))** (*cont.*)

- negotiations “upon such terms and in such manner” as Partner States may determine (EACT 3(2)) 279
- prima facie compliance with EACT 3(2)(b) (human rights) as alleged precondition 279
- text of relevant EACT provisions 276-8
- Court’s conclusion (validity of directive for the commencement of the negotiations) 280
- interpretation of the EACT (restrictive interpretation as “not warranted”) 279
- types of membership (EACT 3(2)), variety 279
- issue 3 (alleged violation of EACT 3(3)(a), (b), (c), (e), (f), 6(d), 7(2) and 8(1)(c)), parties’ positions
  - applicants 274-5
  - respondent 1, 275-6
  - respondents 2, 3, 4, 276
  - respondent 6, 276, 278-9
- issue 4 (applicants’ request for orders relating to the admissibility of South Sudan to the EAC)
  - Court’s determination (rejection of request), grounds
    - Court’s finding on compliance with EACT 3(4)(b) as a precondition 277
    - matter explicitly reserved for the organs of the Community 280
  - requested orders 280
  - respondents’ opposition to 280

**Warsaw Convention (1929) (WC)/Montreal Convention (1999) (MC) (“bodily injury” (MC 17(1)))** 523-48

- Air Crash at Little Rock* 531-2
- American Airlines (No 2)* 529-30, 535
- Bobian* 534, 535, 536
- Eastern Airlines v. Floyd* 530, 532-3, 536
- Jane Doe* 534-5, 536
- Kotsambasis* 529-30, 535
- Morris v. KLM* 531-3, 535-6
- Pel-Air* 523-48: *see also Pel-Air*
- Rosman* 530
- Weaver* 530-1, 536

**World Heritage List**

- criteria (WHC 11(2)) 442
- Timbuktu 442