AMERICAN PATENT LAW

Students and established scholars of intellectual property law often look for historical context when trying to understand the development and present-day contours of IP rules and systems. *American Patent Law* supplies this context, offering readers a comprehensive account of the evolution of the US patent system and patent doctrine beginning in 1790. From the technologies for harvesting wood and shoemaking in the earliest periods to computer software and biotechnology of the present, each chapter of the book covers the characteristic technologies of each historical era. The book also describes how businesspeople in each era acquired and enforced patents and used patents as the foundation of various business arrangements. This book is a landmark in the history of technologies, the US patent system, and the way private actors have deployed patents across American history.

ROBERT MERGES is a professor of law at Berkeley Law (University of California), where he co-directs the Berkeley Center for Law & Technology. He has been writing about patents and patent law for over thirty years.

American Patent Law

A BUSINESS AND ECONOMIC HISTORY

ROBERT P. MERGES

University of California, Berkeley





Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 8EA, United Kingdom

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314-321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi - 110025, India

103 Penang Road, #05–06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

We share the University's mission to contribute to society through the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781009123419

DOI: 10.1017/9781009129206

© Robert P. Merges 2022

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press & Assessment.

First published 2022

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data NAMES: Merges, Robert P., author.

TITLE: American patent law : a business and economic history / Robert P. Merges, University of California, Berkeley.

DESCRIPTION: Cambridge, United Kingdom ; New York, NY : Cambridge University Press, 2022. | Includes bibliographical references and index.

IDENTIFIERS: LCCN 2021057922 (print) | LCCN 2021057923 (ebook) | ISBN 9781009123419 (hardback) | ISBN 9781009125796 (paperback) | ISBN 9781009129206 (epub)

SUBJECTS: LCSH: Patent laws and legislation-United States-History.

CLASSIFICATION: LCC KF3114 .M463 2022 (print) | LCC KF3114 (ebook) | DDC 346.7304/86-dc23/eng/

20220208

LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2021057922 LC ebook record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2021057923

> ISBN 978-1-009-12341-9 Hardback ISBN 978-1-009-12579-6 Paperback

Cambridge University Press & Assessment has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

> This book is dedicated to the community of scholars that is the University of California at Berkeley. Being among you is one of the great satisfactions of my life.

Contents

List of Figures List of Tables Preface		page ix xv xvii
1	Introduction: Overview and Themes	1
2	Founding Era Patent Law, 1790–1820	43
3	The Jacksonian Era and Early Industrialization, 1820–1880	102
4	Corporatization, 1880–1920	190
5	1921–1982: Patents In and Out of the Headlines	275
6	The Federal Circuit Era	376
7	In Conclusion	476
Inde	X	497

Figures

1.1	Simple or naïve version of the Demsetz view of	
	property rights	page 34
2.1	Covered bridge with Ithiel Town-designed triangular trusses	68
2.2	Complex fractional patent shares assignment pattern	72
2.3	Plat map of a portion of Guthrie County, Iowa	83
2.4	Dr. William Thornton, first commissioner of patents	89
3.1	Population, GDP, and GDP per capita, 1820–1879	104
3.2	Patenting activity, 1840–1880	105
3.3	Patents in force, 1840-1880	105
3.4	US patent 9,340, "Self-Adjusting [Shoe] Peg Floater," issued to D. D.	
	Allen October 19, 1852. Used to cut wooden pegs projecting from shoe	
	soles; pegs attach the shoe bottom (sole) to the upper. The shoe	
	bottom is placed facing the peg-cutter; pegs are cut by the rotating	
	blade, first side E (for pegs on the front of the shoe being made) then	
	side D (for pegs in the heel)	108
3.5	Fairbanks platform scale, from US patent 6573X, issued June	
	13, 1831	110
3.6	Fairbanks scale works distribution strategy, 1833	113
3.7	Bessemer process vessel: Air injected on the underside, superheating	
	the liquid iron and making steel more efficiently. US patent 49,055,	
	issued to Henry Bessemer, July 25, 1865	118
3.8	Total cumulative railroad track mileage 1830–1914	122
3.9	A Baldwin Locomotive c. 1890	123
3.10	US patent RE 117, issued to Samuel F. B. Morse, original issue date	
	June 20, 1840, reissued June 13, 1848, "Improvement in	
	Electromagnetic Telegraphs," drawings p. 4. The device on the left	
	generates the signal by breaking the current in the circuit; the	
	"register" on the right receives the signal and records it on a spool of	

х

Cambridge University Press & Assessment 978-1-009-12341-9 — American Patent Law Robert P. Merges Frontmatter <u>More Information</u>

List of Figures

	paper (shown far right). The arrow between the two can represent a vast distance	127
3.11	Excerpt from Morse's "System of Signs" – codes for the letters a, b, c, and d: Morse Code. US patent RE 117, "Improvement in	
	Electromagnetic Telegraphs," drawings p. 1	127
3.12	Ashurst grain drill. Ashurst's seed drill, US patent 297,961, Assigned to Havana Press-Drill Co., Inc. in 1885. (Operator sits on seat, L; Bow- shaped runners in front open shallow furrow in the ground; seeds are	,
	dropped from the hopper (B) through a shaft; dropping controlled by the hand layer behind the rear wheels; then seeds are pressed into the	
	ground by the rear metal wheels (F), assisted by the weight of the	
	operator)	153
3.13	From US patent 355,715, "Planter," issued to John W. Rhodes, January 11, 1887. Handle R lifts the seed hopper and runners	
	underneath it (not shown), while gearbox of Figure 3 disengages seed	
	feeding mechanism	157
3.14	Multi-wheel seed drill, seen from the top (US patent 355,716, issued	
	to John W. Rule, January 11, 1887). The driver sits in seat B. The	
	framework over the wheels (W) distributes the weight of the driver to	
	push each set of wheels down evenly to plant the dropped seeds in	
	the soil	157
3.15	Ashurst sliding seat lift mechanism for lifting the runners (not	
	shown). US patent 325,583, issued to John L. Ashurst, September	
	1, 1885	158
3.16	Assets placed inside a corporation	159
3.17	Shares of stock in corporation X	159
3.18	Band saw, US patent X9303, January 2, 1849	162
3.19	Drawing from US patent 6,002, 1849	162
3.20	US patent 276,198, "Machine for Cutting Wooden Plates," issued	
	in 1883	164
3.21	Double-action spring in <i>Eagle Mfg. Co.</i> Double Patenting Case:	
	From US patent 222,767, "Wheel Cultivator," issued to E. A. Wright,	
	December 16, 1879. The key feature is the metal rod/spring marked	
	"D"; it can be configured to exert either downward or upward	
	pressure on the crossbar (C), which raises and lowers the plows	
	(not shown)	169
3.22	Depiction of young woman in US patent 54,321 (1866)	172
3.23	Typical coffin-related business notice (note the reference to the	/
, ,	patent), from Webb's New England railway and manufacturers'	
	statistical gazetteer (Webb Brothers & Co., Providence, 1860	
	available at https://catalog.hathitrust.org/Record/000607251)	172
		-/3

	List of Figures	xi
3.24	Merrill and Horner, US patent 38,713 (1863), at issue in Adams	
<i>.</i>	v. Burke	174
3.25	US patent 48,842, to Jacob C. Seelye, "Improvement in Hanging Coffin Lids," which Seelye said was "simpler and cheaper" then the	7 1
	Merrill design	177
3.26	Illustration from US patent 135,155, to George B. Ransom (January	11
/	21, 1873)	177
3.27	Number of patent office examiners, 1838–1801	170
3.28	The Old Patent Office Building, constructed between 1836 and 1865	//
-	(now the National Portrait Gallery, Smithsonian Institution)	180
4.1	US population, 1880–1920	193
4.2	Real GDP growth, 1880–1920	194
4.3	Patent applications and grants, 1881–1920	194
4.4	Corporate share of patent litigation	195
4.5	Corporate plaintiffs as a percentage of all cases	195
4.6	Percentage of corporate cases where patent owner is a corporation	196
4·7	Hilborne Roosevelt's hanging mouthpiece design for breaking a	,
• /	circuit and ending a phone call, from US patent 215,837 issued May	
	27, 1879	201
4.8	Western Telephone construction phone from 1898, switch hook	
•	holding hanging mouthpiece on the left	202
4.9	The Palace of Fine Arts, San Francisco (architect: Bernard Maybeck),	
• /	built for the Panama-Pacific Exposition, 1915, site of the first	
	transcontinental phone call using AT&T's newly developed voice	
	repeater circuits	207
4.10	Bell about to Call Watson, New York–San Francisco, January	,
	24, 1915	208
4.11	The Westinghouse Automatic Air Brake, from US patent RE5,504,	
-	July 29, 1873, reissued from original US patent 88,929, issued April	
	13, 1869	217
4.12	From US patent 1,279,471, "Gyroscopic Compass," issued to Elmer	
	A. Sperry, September 17, 1918	224
4.13	E. D. Rockwell, US patent 471,982, "Bicycle Bell," issued March 29,	•
. ,	1892. Assigned to New Departure Bell Co.	230
4.14	Duplex printing press design	232
4.15	Kidder patented printing press	232
4.16	Bags From Margaret Knight's revolutionary paper bag	-
•	making machine	239
4.17	Liddell paper bag folding patent	244
4.18	Word frequency (nGram) for "patent monopoly," 1800–1930	265
5.1	US population, 1921–1982	278
5.2	Real and nominal GDP, 1920–1982	279

xii

Cambridge University Press & Assessment 978-1-009-12341-9 — American Patent Law Robert P. Merges Frontmatter <u>More Information</u>

List of Figures

5.3 5.4	Patents applied for, issued, and in force, 1921–1982 Ginsburg-Henderson design for the original Ampex video tape	280
	recorder, the VTR-1000. From US patent 2,866,012, issued December	
	23, 1958, to Charles P. Ginsburg and Shelby Henderson. Note the	
	four tape heads on the right (elements 18, 19, 20, and 21), which gave	0
	the unit the name "Quadraplex"	284
5.5	From Ray Dolby, US patent 3,840,719 noise reduction systems,	
	the basic poise reduction patents. This diagram shows the signal	
	"compression" stage where filters (66–68–70, and 72) split the input	
	signal into four frequency bands, each of which is passed through a	
	"limiter" that reduces signals in ranges near the top of each band;	
	when the compressed signal is expanded in the second part of the	
	system (outside the diagram, to the right), the resulting signal is almost	
	completely free of residual "noise" (such as "hiss")	286
5.6	Original PTFE patent, issued to Roy Plunkett and assigned to Kinetic	
	Chemicals, Inc. (a DuPont subsidiary) in 1941. This is the material	
	behind Teflon and, later, Gore-Tex fabric	289
5.7	Making Gore-Tex by ultra-fast stretching of PTFE rods under high	
	temperatures (over 300 degrees Centigrade). US patent 3,953,566,	
	Process for Producing Porous Products, issued to Robert W. Gore	•
- 8	Basia structure of polypropulane. From Standard Oil Co. (Indiana)	290
5.0	v Montedison S b A 404 F Supp 270 276 (polypropylene	
	interference)	200
5.9	Excerpt from US patent 2.825,721, March 4, 1958, "polymers and	,
//	production thereof," issued to John Paul Hogan and Robert L. Banks,	
	assigned to Phillips Petroleum, Inc. A five-way interference finally	
	ending in 1980 determined that Hogan and Banks were the first to	
	make commercially useable Polypropylene	301
5.10	Chemical structure of the sulfonomide family of antibacterial drugs.	
	The "Rs" represent variable components of the structure; they can be	
	entire carbon rings, NH2 groups, etc.; so, a typical sultonomide	0
	(sultamethoxazole) has the chemical formula $C_{10}H_{11}N_3O_3S$	308
5.11	brazinan viper, <i>Botnrops jararaca</i> , whose venom was the chemical	
	drug, captopril	210
F 12	Diagram from the famous Selden "road engine" (automobile) patent	310
J.12	US patent 540.160, issued November 5, 1805	214
5.12	Two-stroke engine of George Brayton; US patent 125,166,)- 1
, ,	"improvement in gas engines," issued April 2, 1872	315

	List of Figures	xiii
5.14	Four-stroke gasoline-powered internal-combustion engine designed by Nicolaus A. Otto	316
5.15	Diagram in GM patent (US 1,713,242 to Samuel A. Stranahan in 1929) illustrating front axle "shimmying"; invention relates to a device)
5.16	attached to bracket "11" in the diagram Anti-shimmying device from US patent 1,713,242 to Stranahan,	324
- 1-	"steering gear check," May 14, 1929; car front axle is element "7," bracket that holds down axle is "11" From US potent 2 of 6 (17 (1026)) to C. S. Suppiger, Basic design of	324
5.17	salt tablet feeder	336
5.18	From US patent 2,060,645 (1936), to G. S. Suppiger: Rotating multi- tablet plate for tablet feeding	338
5.19	From US 2,308,188 patent (1943) assigned to competing firm, International Salt. Tablet intake mechanism, showing rotating tube (30) inside salt tablet hopper (12); tube has opening (36), which pulls in one tablet at a time for the rotating tube; this design would not infringe the Suppiger claims, implying there were viable substitutes for the Suppiger design and that Suppiger had little market power	339
5.20	Jungerson "lost wax" casting technique for fine jewelry pieces. The structure on the right contains the mold for the jewelry piece; the mold is rotated rapidly around the axis (with the heavy ball on the left providing a counterweight); liquid metal is injected into the mold during rotation, and centrifugal force pushes the liquid metal into all the nooks and crannies of the mold. From US patent 2,118,468, issued May 24, 1938, to Thoger	
5.21	C. Jungerson Lower homologue	341 248
5.22	Higher homologue. This molecule adds an additional methylene (CH_2) group between the initial CH (methylidyne) and the CH ₃ (methyl) group on the left. From In re Hass, 141 F.2d 130, 131 (C C P A 1044)	248
5.23	Mid-Continent automatic coal feeder device, from US patent 1,758,146, issued May 1930, to Walter M. Cross, licensed to Honeywell. The screw drive pushed coal into the combustion area (on the right), in response to a signal from the combustion	7.
5.24	stoker switch The combustion stoker switch in the Mid-Continent	367
)- 1	automated furnace	368
6.1	Google ngram graph: "Competitiveness" terms	380
6.3	Licensing income from Bayh–Doyle Act 2001–2015	304 388

xiv

Cambridge University Press & Assessment 978-1-009-12341-9 — American Patent Law Robert P. Merges Frontmatter <u>More Information</u>

List of Figures

6.4	Total number of issued patents and patent applications per year	
	1983–2018	394
6.5	Bell Labs semiconductor patent, US patent 2,502,488,	
	"semiconductor amplifier," issued to William Shockley, April	
	4, 1950	396
6.6	Basic circuit element in US patent 3,138,743, "miniaturized	
	electronic circuits," issued June 23, 1964, to Jack Kilby, assigned to	
	Texas Instruments, Inc.	397
6.7	Patent litigation by patent specialist and general practice law firms in	
	three time periods	417
6.8	Incentives to pledge (or cheaply license) standard plug patents	422
6.9	Standard electric plug	425
6.10	SEPs covering various components of a standard electrical plug	428
6.11	Patent lawsuit filings, 1971–2011	434
6.12	Outcome by claim, all AIA proceedings through June 2010	457

Tables

3.1	Evolution of claim practice	<i>page</i> 166
6.1	Bayh-Dole in action (2007–2017)	387
6.2	Patent cases filed in US district courts, 2010–2019	435

Preface

Many if not most books bear the imprint of biography, in their origin if not their content. This is definitely true of the book you're looking at. I took a fair number of undergraduate history courses at my American university, and so thought I knew something of the field. But when I arrived at Trinity College, Dublin, for a year of study in Irish history, the academic advisor assigned to me looked in horror at the scattershot selection of "micro-history" courses I had taken. Though a senior at Trinity, I was assigned straightaway to a freshman course whose title was "History of Ireland from 600 to 1550 AD." The primary textbook was the *Cambridge History of Ireland*, *Volume 1*: 600 to 1550. When I asked what course was set for the spring, I was told: "Irish History, II: 1550–1730." I had already guessed the main textbook – the *Cambridge History, Volume 2*, covering precisely the same years. The advisor never said it, but her attitude was: I don't know what that mishmash is on your transcript, but here we study history. So go start.

I was not displeased with my American university experience; it afforded me the chance to take a very wide assortment of classes, from chemistry to economics, from finance to intellectual history. But my Irish introduction to *longue-durée* (long duration) study as the cornerstone of history stuck with me.

Years later I often found myself casting about for a source to consult on some aspect or another of patent law and economic history. For almost any era or topic, there were helpful sources. But to get the full picture, I had to string together snippets of context and scattered insights regarding the coevolution of patent law and the American economy. It often felt like trying to reconstruct a fascinating news story from the strips of jumbled, shredded newsprint that had been packed around some item in a shipping box. Frustrating.

xviii

Preface

So, it occurred to me that my field, patent law, could use a single-volume history that covered the founding era up through current times.¹ As has happened to me more than once, I went in search of a book that I imagined should be found in the literature on my field. On not finding it, I ended up supplying it myself.

I will add, quickly: There are some outstanding history monographs in this field, and I draw on all of them in this book. Several show real mastery over important episodes, technologies, and economic eras: Chris Beauchamp on the telephone; Oren Bracha on intellectual history; Zorina Khan on the nineteenth century; Herb Hovenkamp on classical Gilded Age economic theory; Kara Swanson on the history of patent examiners, women inventors, and more; and Edward Walterscheid on the entire founding era. But there was not, to my knowledge, a single comprehensive volume that tried to integrate the insights and observations of these many deep but only loosely connected works. With this book, I can say I have now tried. I describe the place of patents in business and the American economy from the beginning in 1790 up to the present time.

If I sound as if writing this book was like scratching a long-standing itch, I don't want to give the impression that it was an irritating process. In fact, I enjoyed it immensely. But that was because I had so much support, help, and backing. I could immerse myself in the secondary historical literature, as well as census and GDP data, old patents, Patent Office reports, and patent cases, because I was not teaching classes for a year. And this sabbatical was made possible by my colleagues at the Berkeley Center for Law and Technology: Peter Menell, Pam Samuelson, Molly van Houweling, former Executive Directors Robert Barr and Jim Dempsey, and the talented, dedicated, and supportive staff, Richard Fisk, Jann Dudley, Irys Schenker, numerous student interns, and all the other members of the BCLT community. I could not have taken time off from my teaching and other obligations without all their help. They form an integral part of my corner of a great world university. In recognition, I dedicate this book to the place and values that bind us together: U.C. Berkeley – a lively, thrumming community, trying to live out its own enlightened progressive aspirations, that I have had the great fortune to be part of for over 25 years (and counting).

The list of others who helped me in immediate forms must begin with Su Li, Ph.D., Berkeley Law class of 2021. Su helped enormously with the many graphs and tables in this book, gathering data, formatting the results, and poring over the small details in many of the figures and illustrations. A law student with her empirical skills, and the patience and diligence to apply them so helpfully, was the perfect gift from the Writing Gods during the gestation of this book. I was probably not worthy of this level of help. But I am very glad I got it anyway.

¹ I might have been inspired by a book from one of my Trinity instructors, L. M. Cullen's Economic History of Ireland Since 1660 (London: Batsford Publishers, 1972).

Preface

The library staff at Berkeley Law, led and guided by esteemed colleague Katherine Vanden Heuvel, were indispensable to my research. They answered dozens of queries for books, articles, and online resources. I always had what I needed, in surprisingly short time, so forward progress was never stymied. It is a privilege and a luxury for any researcher to have a staff of skilled professionals two floors down or one email away, and I am profoundly grateful to yield up a long round of applause for those at Berkeley who perform this vital scholarly function.

I also owe a vast debt to the many colleagues, former students, and friends who I have met in the past ten years or so on my visits to and research about mainland China. Visiting China during these dynamic years of economic development, and watching the concurrent growth of a modern, progressive intellectual property system there, made me more curious than ever about the rapid years of "economic take-off" in the United States from roughly 1870 to 1910. Though the differences could fill a large book, there seemed to me significant similarities between the two periods of rapid growth. And - most strikingly for a scholar of intellectual property the Chinese government seemed intent on developing a world-class intellectual property system. Not primarily as the result of pressure from the United States and other countries but because of national self-interest. Here was evidence, in a contemporary setting, of a state undergoing rapid economic development and simultaneously feeling an urgent need to upgrade institutions for granting and enforcing IP rights. Watching it all, I was inspired to return to a similar moment in history when the United States was on the rise, and to explore more fully the codevelopment of the US patent system along with its economy.

To name a few names: Professors CUI Guobin and JIANG Ge of Tsinghua Law School; Professors YANG Ming, and ZHANG Ping, of Peking University Law School; my Berkeley colleagues, Mark Cohen and HAO Yuan of our Asia IP Law initiative; former students Professor CHEN Xiankai of Jinan University, BIAN Renjun of Peking University, SONG Haiyan of NBA-China, and LIU Fang (Helen Liu) of the Anjie Law Firm, Beijing; JIANG Nandi of Rouse Law firm, Beijing; HONG Yan, also of Tsinghua Law School; Jacob LI of National Tsinghua Law School, Taiwan; Jesse LU of the National Cheng-Chi University Law School, Taiwan; K. C. (Kungchung) LIU of Singapore Management University; LEE Jyh-An of the Chinese University of Hong Kong; former Judge HSIUNG Sungmei of Deloitte Law Partners, Taipei; and my friend SONG Haining, Fangda Partners, Beijing. I have no doubt missed many others, but, as with all my friends, I hope they will forgive my mental density and accept sincere apologies.

When it comes to acknowledgments, two provisos come to mind. Save the best for last; and keep it short. So, in that spirit I say: most of all, thanks to my family – wife Jo and children Robert and James (the true historian in the family). You keep me loose, absorb my horrific puns, and generally humor me in my digressions and other foibles. You are the pack this dog loves to run with. Love you, one and all.