



The Cost of Doing Politics

Using quantitative and qualitative evidence, Sumner shows how consumer boycotts can work to dissuade companies from donating money to politicians, but may also encourage companies to attempt influence by largely invisible means. Boycotts do not work as many people expect – by threatening sales. Instead, Sumner shows how boycotts are less a statement of consumer behaviour than a way for people to signal their political inclinations, and they primarily hurt companies by tarnishing their reputation. Political influence is about building relationships, which means that companies have many more options for influence than just PAC contributions and formal lobbying. With these options available, companies can decide how to influence politics when they need to, and the tarnish of boycotts to a company's image can push some businesses to pursue options that are less noticeable to the public.

Jane L. Sumner is an Assistant Professor of Political Science at the University of Minnesota, Twin Cities. Her research has been published in the *Journal of Politics*, *Political Analysis*, *Political Science Research and Methods*, amongst other publications.

Business and Public Policy

Series Editor

ASEEM PRAKASH, University of Washington

Series Board

Sarah Brooks, Ohio State University
 David Coen, University College London
 Nathan Jensen, University of Texas, Austin
 Christophe Knill, Ludwig-Maximilians-University, Munich
 David Konisky, Indiana University
 David Levi Faur, Hebrew University, Jerusalem
 Layna Mosley, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill
 Abraham Newman, Georgetown University
 Leonard Seabrooke, Copenhagen Business School
 Mike Vandenberg, Vanderbilt University
 Edward Walker, University of California, Los Angeles
 Henry Yeung, Singapore National University

This series aims to play a pioneering role in shaping the emerging field of business and public policy. *Business and Public Policy* focuses on two central questions. First, how does public policy influence business strategy, operations, organization, and governance, and with what consequences for both business and society? Second, how do businesses themselves influence policy institutions, policy processes, and other policy actors and with what outcomes?

Other books in the series

KATHRYN HOCHSTETLER *Political Economies of Energy Transition: Wind and Solar Power in Brazil and South Africa*
 PAASHA MAHDAVI *Power Grab: Political Survival Through Extractive Resource Nationalization*
 STEFAN RENCKENS *Private Governance and Public Authority: Regulating Sustainability in a Global Economy*
 SARAH BAUERLE DANZMAN *Merging Interests: When Domestic Firms Shape FDI Policy*
 LILIANA B. ANDONOVA *Governance Entrepreneurs: International Organizations and the Rise of Global Public-Private Partnerships*
 NATHAN M. JENSEN AND EDMUND J. MALESKY *Incentives to Pander: How Politicians Use Corporate Welfare for Political Gain*
 RICHARD W. CARNEY *Authoritarian Capitalism Sovereign Wealth Funds and State-Owned Enterprises in East Asia and Beyond*
 TIMOTHY WERNER *Public Forces and Private Politics in American Big Business*

(continued after index)

The Cost of Doing Politics

How Partisanship and Public Opinion
Shape Corporate Influence

JANE L. SUMNER
University of Minnesota



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press & Assessment
978-1-009-12325-9 — The Cost of Doing Politics

Jane L. Sumner
Frontmatter

[More Information](#)

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre,
New Delhi – 110025, India

103 Penang Road, #05–06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781009123259

DOI: 10.1017/9781009128568

© Jane L. Sumner 2022

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2022

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978-1-009-12325-9 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

*This book is dedicated to the memory of my grandmother,
Sandra Lawrence. She would not have accepted it any other
way.*

Cambridge University Press & Assessment
978-1-009-12325-9 — The Cost of Doing Politics
Jane L. Sumner
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)

Contents

<i>List of Figures</i>	<i>page</i> x
<i>List of Tables</i>	xi
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xiii
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 What We Know About Political Influence	3
1.3 Who Seeks Political Influence and Does It Matter?	9
1.4 Political Influence Is Everywhere	12
1.5 Contributions	16
1.6 A Note on Terminology	17
1.7 Overview of Book	18
2 Where Does Political Influence Come From?	22
2.1 Introduction	22
2.2 Why Companies Seek to Influence Politics At All	25
2.3 The Nature of Political Influence	31
2.4 Common Problems and Solutions	38
2.4.1 Information Problems	38
2.4.2 Financial Problems	41
2.4.3 Performance Problems	44
2.5 Conclusion	49
3 How Does Public Opinion Shape Corporate Political Advocacy?	51
3.1 Introduction	51
3.2 How to Use Voice	53
3.2.1 Evading Activist Attention	74
3.2.1.1 Hidden Advocacy	75
3.2.1.2 Distanced Advocacy	77
3.2.2 Defusing the Public Response	79

3.2.2.1	Creative Advocacy	79
3.2.2.2	Careful Advocacy	81
3.3	Conclusion	82
4	Why Does the Public Care About Corporate Political Influence?	84
4.1	Introduction	84
4.2	The Claim	86
4.3	Why and How It Might Be Wrong	90
4.4	Sources of Evidence	93
4.4.1	Evidence from Survey	93
4.4.2	Evidence from Social Media	94
4.5	Why Do People Respond Negatively to Corporate Advocacy?	96
4.5.1	Test A: Reaction to Real-Life Information	98
4.5.2	Test B: Reaction to Fictional Situations	111
4.6	Are Boycott Tweets Political Signaling?	118
4.6.1	Do People Share Political Boycott Tweets More Frequently?	120
4.6.2	Does the Language of Boycott Tweets Suggest Partisan Signaling?	123
4.7	Conclusion	128
5	Why Do Companies Care About Public Opinion?	130
5.1	Introduction	130
5.2	The Claim	132
5.3	Why and How It Might Be Wrong	135
5.4	Sources of Evidence	137
5.4.1	Evidence from 10-Ks	137
5.4.2	Evidence from Interviews	139
5.5	Which Companies Fear Public Backlash?	141
5.6	Do Companies Connect Political Advocacy with Public Backlash?	154
5.7	Conclusion	159
6	Do Companies Try to Avoid Public Backlash?	162
6.1	Introduction	162
6.2	The Claim	163
6.3	Why and How This Might Be Wrong	167
6.4	Sources of Evidence	169

<i>Contents</i>	ix
6.4.1 Evidence from Federal Lobbying and Campaign Contributions	170
6.4.2 Evidence from Interviews	172
6.5 Are Some Companies Less Likely to Lobby in Their Own Name?	173
6.6 What Do Companies Do to Influence Politics?	182
6.6.1 Evading Attention	184
6.6.1.1 Hidden Advocacy	184
6.6.1.2 Distanced Advocacy	185
6.6.2 Defusing the Public Response	188
6.7 Conclusion	190
7 So What and Now What? Summaries and Concluding Thoughts	192
7.1 Summary of Book	192
7.2 Big Takeaways	196
7.3 Areas for Future Research	202
<i>Appendix A: Interview Methods</i>	206
<i>Appendix B: Chapter 4 Study Methodology and Full Results</i>	211
<i>Appendix C: Chapter 5 Robustness Checks</i>	224
<i>Appendix D: Chapter 6 Robustness Checks</i>	227
<i>References</i>	230
<i>Index</i>	238

Figures

3.1	The causal chain that links a company's political advocacy efforts with damage to its reputation	<i>page 55</i>
4.1	Approval of Walmart PAC's political donation	99
4.2	Approval of Walmart PAC's political donation by recipient	100
4.3	Approval of Walmart PAC's political donation by recipient and partisanship of survey-taker	103
4.4	Reported change in Walmart shopping frequency as a result of knowing about political donation, broken down by treatment	105
4.5	Reported changes in Walmart shopping behavior in response to the PAC information, broken down by treatment, party, and survey-taker's Walmart shopping frequency	108
5.1	The percentage of 10-Ks in each year citing as a concern sales/revenue, reputation/brand, social media, protest, and boycott	148
6.1	The percent of companies in the sample that filed at least one federal lobbying report in each year between 1999 and 2020	175
6.2	The percent of companies in the sample that donated at least once through a PAC to a candidate for federal office in their own name for every election year between 2004 and 2020	176
6.3	Participating in lobbying or campaign contributions by company size, 2018	178
6.4	Participating in lobbying or campaign contributions by gender of top manager, 2018	180

Tables

4.1	Results of ordered logistic regressions predicting a survey-taker's approval of Walmart on a five-point scale	<i>page</i> 101
4.2	Results of an ordered logistic model predicting professed changes in consumer behavior by party	107
4.3	Results of an ordered logistic model predicting professed changes in consumer behavior by shopping frequency	110
4.4	Results of ordered logistic regressions predicting respondent approval based on company's actions	114
4.5	Results of ordered logistic regressions predicting respondent approval based on company's actions among people who support the policy	116
4.6	Results of ordered logistic regressions predicting respondent approval based on company's actions among members of party that supports the policy	117
4.7	Regression results predicting retweets as a function of partisan tweet content	122
4.8	The results of a logistic regression predicting the presence of common insult words (idiot, stupid, dumb, and imbecile) as a function of partisanship	127
5.1	Top five industries in the data and representative companies from that industry	143
5.2	Results of a logistic regression predicting each of the three sets of terms – boycott, social media, and reputation/brand damage – in the 10-Ks as a function of company attributes plus sector random effects	150
5.3	Logistic regression that models having at least one sentence linking social media with brand or reputation damage. Model contains sectoral random effects	153

6.1	Logistic regressions predicting filing at least one lobbying report or donating to at least one campaign through a PAC in the company name in 2018	183
B1	Full results of ordered logistic regressions predicting a survey-taker's approval of Walmart on a five-point scale	214
B2	Full results of an ordered logistic model predicting professed changes in consumer behavior by party	216
B3	Full results of an ordered logistic model predicting professed changes in consumer behavior by shopping frequency	218
B4	Full results of models predicting the effect of a company's actions on respondent approval	219
B5	Full results of models predicting the effect of a company's actions on respondent approval among people who support the policy	221
B6	Full results of model predicting the effect of a company's actions on respondent approval among people in party that opposes policy	222
C1	Logistic regressions predicting the occurrence of topics in the 10-Ks as a function of company attributes. Omitted category for operating revenue is 0–10th percentile	225
D1	Logistic regressions predicting lobbying or contributions through PACs as a function of company size	228
D2	Logistic regressions predicting lobbying or contributions through PACs as a function of company size and CEO gender	229

Acknowledgements

I always enjoy reading the acknowledgements section of books because of the glimpse they provide into the journey of the book itself. Although this book has only one author, there are many people without whom it never could have been written. As such, I could write an acknowledgments section that might double the length of this book. Since I don't want to make this book much longer, I will attempt to be brief, so please know this list is not exhaustive.

First, no one outside my immediate family has listened to me and provided as much reassurance throughout this process as Emma Claire Foley, Emily Farris, and Ellen Key. Emma Claire has been listening to me agonize over every aspect of this book daily since before it even really existed. Emily and Ellen have been tireless cheerleaders, keeping my spirits up and believing in me when I did not believe in myself. All three have patiently talked me through difficult times with this book, during times when it's very possible I would have just short-circuited and given up. I'm sorry. Thank you. I can't wait to tell you all about my ideas for my next book.

Jennifer Gandhi was my dissertation adviser and is still my friend. I would have dropped out of grad school had it not been for her. Jen taught me most of what I know about thinking through difficult problems and being careful and thoughtful in my research. She has given me so much time, attention, and detailed feedback, and she's who I try to be as I make my way through academia. This book could not have happened without her training, support, and mentorship.

I would never have gone to grad school at all – let alone completed it – without the mentorship and encouragement of Andrew Kerner, Leanne Powner, Hans Noel, Jenna Bednar, Rob Franzese, and John Jackson. Andrew deserves particular mention for graciously giving me more time, energy, and attention than I warranted at that time, and, perhaps unintentionally, making me believe I had a future when I did

not believe I did. Leanne Powner responded to a pivotal sobbing phone call my third year of college and provided guidance that shaped the rest of my career. Thank you all for providing support and mentorship to a directionless, underperforming undergrad.

Josef Woldense is better than anyone I have ever met at seeing the big picture. More than once he helped me see the forest when I couldn't stop scrutinizing the patterns on the tree bark. Mirya Holman is just plainly a gift to humanity and academia and I'm glad to know her. She's given me more advice, feedback, accountability, and opportunities than I can even begin to list or process. Mirya and Tiffany Barnes both were instrumental in helping me figure how to even write a book in the first place. Tiffany very patiently talked me through several aspects of writing and publishing a book on several occasions. Mark Bell was always my go-to person for logistical questions about writing a book. He saved me countless hours of googling to figure out how to, for instance, make my citations actually show up. You should read all their books too.

In my time at the University of Minnesota, I've benefited enormously from very supportive colleagues. Nisha Fazal and Helen Kinsella have been extremely supportive mentors, always checking in on me, answering all my questions, and providing endless support. Paul Goren, Chris Federico, Joe Soss, James Hollyer, David Samuels, Andy Karch, Cosette Creamer, Tim Johnson, Nancy Luxon, Kathryn Pearson, Amy O'Connor, and Gurneeta Vasudeva Singh have all, at various points in this process, provided comments, advice, feedback, or support that made this book possible.

We also have an outstanding staff in the political science department, who do all the hard work that makes the department function. Without Alexis Cuttance, Lydia Bolder, Jessie Eastman, Kyle Edwards, Kersten Falvey, Sara Flannery, Becky Mooney, and Carrie Vorpahl, nothing at all would work and this book would not have happened. Tia Phan, apart from just generally being delightful, made all the arrangements for my book conference, and then handled all the week-and-day-of drama when all the flights and arrangements were thrown into question due to a snowstorm.

On a related note, I thank Nate Jensen, Sonal Pandya, and Rachel Wellhausen for braving Minnesota in February, dealing with the delayed and canceled flights due to the snow storm, and giving their time and energy to helping reorient and refocus the first full draft of

Acknowledgements

xv

the book. John Freeman did not have to deal with the canceled flights, but he was instrumental in not only providing detailed feedback but also serving to synthesize and organize all the feedback and help plan on next steps. Carly Potz-Nielsen sat through the entire book conference and took detailed notes so that I did not have to. The book in front of you is very different from the one you all provided feedback on, and that is largely due to the people who helped out at the book conference, so thank you all.

I have cultivated a large and wonderful professional network, who have all provided help in various ways throughout this process. In particular, I'd like to thank Sarah Bauerle Danzman, Rebecca Kreitzer, Melanee Thomas, Amanda Bittner, Grace Deason, Julia Azari, Erin Tolley, Erin Heidt-Forsythe, Erin Cassese, Jennie Sweet-Cushman, Melody Crowder-Meyer, Jamil Scott, Ray Block, Monica Schneider, Grace Deason, and, I'm sure, countless others I will kick myself for accidentally omitting. Whether through reading drafts, providing professional advice, or just listening when I needed some to listen, you've all been outstandingly helpful.

I've worked with many brilliant and wonderful students at UMN. If this book sometimes reads like I'm trying to teach research design, it's because that's how I spend most of my time. Writing instructions and explanations, and answering questions, for my undergrads shaped how I wrote this book, and I'm grateful for them and for that. I've also worked with an amazing group of undergraduate research assistants while working on this book. You may not all see your fingerprints here, but even if not, you helped me with foundational elements. Sometimes (many times) you helped me figure out I was wrong and made me change course. Thank you to Amari Bauer, Dasom Ham, Lydia McComas, Mahima Gupta, Sheradyn Romo, Savannah Wery, and Zi Xue.

Between the time I started writing this book and when I submitted the manuscript, I had two babies. All my gratitude goes to Dr. Rebecca Petersen, Brittany White, Angie Reed, Byrd Shuler, all the doctors and nurses in Labor and Delivery at HCMC, and everyone at the Women's Health and Pediatrics clinics at Hennepin County Medical Center, for getting me and the kids through two pregnancies, one rough delivery, and all the issues that pop up with infants and young children. Along similar lines, I'd like to thank Camille Carlson for helping untangle my

brain. Everyone should be lucky enough to be in therapy, especially if they're writing a book.

For similar reasons, this book literally could not have been written without the amazing teachers, directors, and staff at my kids' daycare. Without them, I wouldn't have had the time or the peace of mind of knowing my kids were in such capable and caring hands that enabled me to write this book. Thank you for giving such outstanding care to my children.

I also owe a big thank you to Kathleen Eischeid, my high school creative writing teacher, whose voice I periodically heard in my head when I was writing this. I've tried to retain the voice she helped me develop. I leave it to the reader to decide whether that's a good thing.

Solcana Fitness in Minneapolis has been fundamental to my physical and mental health while working on this book. Thanks to Hannah Wydeven, Morgen Larsen, KK Korbitz, Jerik Hendrickson, Garrett Hoffman, Garrett Ferderber, Jeff Lockhart, and other coaches and fellow athletes for helping me get strong without getting injured and giving me a break and physical outlet while I otherwise existed purely in my own head. I am pretty sure that taking an hour break most weekdays to lift heavy things was a crucial component to getting this all done.

I also want to thank a handful of my friends who've really been enormously helpful during this process: Lynzie Johnson, for always telling me my writing needs more sharks and ninjas; Ariel Trangle, Shira Rosenberg, and Zach Zeid for checking in on me regularly; Corinna Turbes for listening, reading, and letting me bounce ideas off her; Justin Esarey for being Justin Esarey; and Bethany Morrison, Rebecca Hartsough, and Ryan Tans for getting me through grad school.

Thank you to my parents, Peggie and Daniel Lawrence, and my sister, Stephanie Erickson, for always being there and never asking me how the book was going.

My husband, Ed, is the best person I have ever known. Thank you for being.

And finally, thank you to my kids, Lawrence and Cassandra, who have given me perspective, love, and many hours awake in the middle of the night to think.