

## CONTENTS

<i>Preface</i>	<i>page</i> xv
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xviii
1	Constitutionalism and Democracy 1
	An Institutional Problem of Structural Nature
1.1	The Democratic Objection is Still There 5
1.2	Of History and Ideas 8
1.3	Three Clarifications 12
2	The Law As Conversation among Equals 16
2.1	Immigrants in the “Promised Land” 16
2.2	Six Basic Elements of a Conversation among Equals 21
2.3	“Constitutional Moments” As Collective Conversation 25
2.4	Autonomy, Self-Government, Rights, and Democracy in the Conversation of Equals 29
2.5	Autonomy and Self-Government 29
2.6	Rights and Democracy 30
3	“Democratic Dissonance” 32
	Elitism Translated into Institutions
3.1	Three Great Jurists from the Age of Revolutions: Madison, Alberdi, and Bello 32
3.2	Madison 33
3.3	Alberdi 35

- 3.4 Bello 36
- 3.5 Elitist Discourse in an Exclusive Framework:  
 Constitutions Conceived for Another  
 Time 38
- 3.6 Political Sociology 39
- 3.7 Elitism Translated into Principles and  
 Institutions 40
- 3.8 Assumptions, Principles, Institutions 41
- 3.9 What Has Stayed the Same and What Changed in  
 Constitutional Terms: The “Fact of  
 Democracy” 45
- 3.10 “Democratic Dissonance” and the Tight-Fitting Suit  
 of Constitutionalism 48
- 4 A Constitution Marked by a “Discomfort with  
 Democracy” 51
  - 4.1 Madison and “Factions” 51
  - 4.2 Direct Democracy 53
  - 4.3 Motivations 54
  - 4.4 Violations of Rights 54
  - 4.5 Majorities and Minorities: The Construction of a  
 Counter-Majoritarian Constitution 55
  - 4.6 A Constitution Made to Protect  
 Minorities 57
  - 4.7 Exactly Which of the Minorities Warrant  
 Protection? 59
  - 4.8 So What to Do About Majority Factions? (Another)  
 Counter-Majoritarian Response 61
- 5 Motivations and Institutions 66  
 “If Men Were Angels”
  - 5.1 How Far Off Were the Radical  
 Republicans? 69

## CONTENTS

ix

- 5.2 Egoism As “Fuel” for and the “Endogenous Product”  
of the Institutional System 71
- 5.3 No System Is “Neutral” 75
- 5.4 The Economic Preconditions of Political  
Self-Government 77
- 6 The Structural Difficulties of Representation 82
  - 6.1 The Bristol Debates 82
  - 6.2 Do We Need to “Filter” the Citizen Voice? 87
  - 6.3 The Problems of the Predominant Model: Isolation,  
“Capture,” Ignorance 90
  - 6.4 From “Virtual” Representation to Representation As  
“Mirror”: Representation and “Presence” 91
  - 6.5 The Structural Difficulty of  
Representation 94
- 7 The Rise and Fall of Popular Control 98
  - 7.1 Citizens and Representatives 98
  - 7.2 On Institutions Sensitive to Popular Will in Radical  
English Thought 100
  - 7.3 Instructions, Rotation in Positions, Annual Elections:  
Different Forms of “Popular” Control 102
  - 7.4 The Gradual Elimination of “Popular”  
Controls 104
- 8 The Periodic Vote, or “Electoral Extortion” 108
  - 8.1 Regular Voting As the Only Bridge Standing between  
Citizens and Representatives 108
  - 8.2 “Electoral Extortion” 109
  - 8.3 Elections from the Representative’s Point of View:  
Voting and Interpreting the Vote 115
  - 8.4 From the Agora to the Dark Booth: The Absence of  
Dialogue 118
  - 8.5 “Paper Stones” 119

	8.6 Irrational Voters or Dysfunctional System?	120
9	Checks and Balances	124
	Combining “Institutional Means and Personal Motives”	
	9.1 The Key to Modern Constitutionalism: “Checks and Balances”	124
	9.2 Institutionally Channeling “Civil War”	127
	9.3 Erroneous Antidemocratic Logic	129
10	Presidentialism	136
	Busting the Checks and Balances	
	10.1 Creating the Leviathan	139
	10.2 A Poorly Designed Institutional System	140
	10.3 The Problem with the Pact	141
	10.4 An “Unbalanced” System of “Checks and Balances”: The “Alberdian Error”	143
	10.5 The Political Left and Presidentialism	146
11	Rights	149
	Citizenship As Repository of Rights	
	11.1 Natural and Self-Evident Rights: Rights As “Planets”	151
	11.2 Bentham and the Idea of “Natural Rights” As “Nonsense upon Stilts”	155
	11.3 Rights versus Democracy	158
	11.4 Rights As “Trump Cards” against Majorities	160
	11.5 Rights and the “Sphere of the Undecidable”	162
12	Social Rights and the “Engine Room”	166
	12.1 The “Exorbitant” Mexican Constitution of 1917	166

## CONTENTS

xi

- 12.2 The Mexican Constitution of 1917: A “Conservative Moment” in the Revolutionary Movement 167
- 12.3 The Animal Stirs: From the Fourteenth Amendment to “Social Authoritarianism” in Latin America 172
- 12.4 The “New Latin American Constitutionalism”: Constitutionalism That Was Already Old at Birth 176
- 12.5 Rights versus “Engine Room” 177
- 12.6 Rights As Bribes? Another Take on Rights versus Democracy 179
- 13 Judicial Review 183  
 “It Seems Something of an Insult”
- 13.1 The “Democratic Objection” and Hamilton’s Defense of the Judiciary 183
- 13.2 The Most Famous Court Case in History: *Marbury v. Madison* 187
- 13.3 Judicial Review and Democracy: Failed Arguments 190
- 13.4 The “Interpretative Gap” Argument 193
- 13.5 Law Goes Global: International Courts 197
- 14 Constitutional Interpretation 202  
 When the “Interpretative Gap” Widens
- 14.1 What Interpretation Is Not 204
- 14.2 The Multiplicity of Interpretative Theories 205
- 14.3 Look to the Past or Think in the Present? 210
- 14.4 Looking to Past or Present for Often Opposite Results 213

- 14.5 Radicalizing the Critique of Constitutional Interpretation 216
- 14.6 Constitutional Interpretation in a Community of Equals (or “from How to Who?”) 223
- 15 Constitution Making 232
  - Speaking with One Voice in Multicultural Societies
  - 15.1 Ulysses and the Constitution 232
  - 15.2 Constitutions That Speak with One Voice in Multicultural Societies 234
  - 15.3 A Plebiscite for Everything Aggravates the Problem: The “Hourglass” 240
  - 15.4 Inclusive Constituent Assemblies 242
- 16 The Birth of Dialogical Constitutionalism 246
  - 16.1 The *Grootboom* Case in South Africa 247
  - 16.2 The “Notwithstanding Clause” in Canada 250
  - 16.3 The Spaces for Dialogue Expand: “Public Hearings,” “Prior Consultation,” and “Meaningful Engagement” 252
  - 16.4 The Potential of the Incipient Dialogical Constitutionalism 255
- 17 Why We Care About Dialogue 258
  - 17.1 The Debate Over Abortion in Argentina 258
  - 17.2 Why We Are Interested in Dialogue 260
  - 17.3 What Kind of Dialogue Are We Talking About, When We Talk About Dialogue? 263
  - 17.4 “Real-Life” Constitutional Dialogue 266
- 18 “Democratic Erosion” 270
  - 18.1 An Autobiographical Note 270

## CONTENTS

xiii

18.2	“Democratic Erosion”: A Previously Unidentified Species?	273
18.3	Too Slow a Death: From the Crisis of Rights to the Crisis of Democracy	275
18.4	Repairing a Ship at Sea: Restoring Democratic Controls	278
18.5	“The Final Stop”: Congress As the Best Democracy Can Do?	283
18.6	Between Mill’s “Best Judge” and Aristotle’s “Wise Crowd”	286
19	The New Deliberative Assemblies	290
19.1	The “Pots and Pans Revolution”	290
19.2	The Era of Assemblies: A Short Initial Balance Sheet	297
19.3	The Problem of “Capture”: When the Past Holds Back the Present, and the Old Will Not Let in the New	305
20	Conclusion	308
	For a Conversation among Equals	
20.1	The Different Pieces of “Institutional Decoupling”	311
20.2	What to Do?	315
20.3	Three Themes and Three Cases	318
20.4	Final Objections	321
20.5	So Then . . .	325
	<i>Bibliography</i>	327
	<i>Index</i>	338