

TRUST, COURTS AND SOCIAL RIGHTS

Trust, Courts and Social Rights proposes an innovative legal framework for judicially enforcing social rights that is rooted in public trust in government or 'political trust'. Interdisciplinary in nature, the book draws on theoretical and empirical scholarship on the concept of trust across disciplines, including philosophy, sociology, psychology and political theory. It integrates that scholarship with the relevant public law literature on social rights, fiduciary political theory and judicial review. In doing so, the book uses trust as an analytical lens for social rights law – importing ideas from the scholarship on trust into the social rights literature – and develops a normative argument that contributes to the controversial debate on how courts should enforce social rights. Also global in focus, the book uses cases from courts in Africa, Europe, Latin America and North America to illustrate how the trust-based framework operates in practice.

DAVID VITALE is Associate Professor at the University of Warwick, School of Law. He holds law degrees from the UK (London School of Economics and Political Science), the US (New York University) and Canada (Osgoode Hall Law School), and a degree in psychology (University of Toronto). He has also worked as a judicial clerk in Canada and Israel, held various research positions globally and practised as a litigator in Canada.

CAMBRIDGE STUDIES IN CONSTITUTIONAL LAW

The aim of this series is to produce leading monographs in constitutional law. All areas of constitutional law and public law fall within the ambit of the series, including human rights and civil liberties law, administrative law, as well as constitutional theory and the history of constitutional law. A wide variety of scholarly approaches is encouraged, with the governing criterion being simply that the work is of interest to an international audience. Thus, works concerned with only one jurisdiction will be included in the series as appropriate, while, at the same time, the series will include works which are explicitly comparative or theoretical – or both. The series editors likewise welcome proposals that work at the intersection of constitutional and international law, or that seek to bridge the gaps between civil law systems, the US, and the common law jurisdictions of the Commonwealth.

Series Editors

David Dyzenhaus

Professor of Law and Philosophy, University of Toronto, Canada

Thomas Poole

Professor of Law, London School of Economics and Political Science

TRUST, COURTS AND SOCIAL RIGHTS

A Trust-Based Framework for Social
Rights Enforcement

DAVID VITALE

University of Warwick



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press & Assessment
978-1-009-09855-7 — Trust, Courts and Social Rights
David Vitale
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 8EA, United Kingdom

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India

103 Penang Road, #05-06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment,
a department of the University of Cambridge.

We share the University's mission to contribute to society through the pursuit of education,
learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781009098557

DOI: 10.1017/9781009089654

© David Vitale 2024

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions
of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take
place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press & Assessment.

First published 2024

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Vitale, David, author.

Title: Trust, courts, and social rights : a trust-based framework for social rights enforcement /
David Vitale, University of Warwick.

Description: Cambridge, United Kingdom ; New York, NY : Cambridge University Press, 2024. |

Series: Cambridge studies in constitutional law | Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2023038112 (print) | LCCN 2023038113 (ebook) | ISBN 9781009098557

(hardback) | ISBN 9781009096331 (paperback) | ISBN 9781009089654 (epub)

Subjects: LCSH: Social rights. | Human rights. | Courts. | Trust.

Classification: LCC K3239.8 (print) | LCC K3239.8 (ebook) | DDC 342.08/5–dc23/eng/20231003

LC record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2023038112>

LC ebook record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2023038113>

ISBN 978-1-009-09855-7 Hardback

Cambridge University Press & Assessment has no responsibility for the persistence
or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this
publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will
remain, accurate or appropriate.

CONTENTS

Acknowledgements viii
Table of Cases x

1 Introduction 1

1.1 Political Trust and Public Cooperation 3
1.2 The Book’s Objectives 11
1.3 The Book’s Scope 14
 1.3.1 Defining Constitutional Social Rights 15
 1.3.2 Political Trust, Not Social Trust 15
 1.3.3 Political Trust, Not Political Satisfaction 18
 1.3.4 ‘Warranted’ or ‘Intelligent’ Trust, Not Blind Trust 19
 1.3.5 Theoretical Arguments, Not an Empirical Investigation 19
 1.3.6 Restriction to Social and Constitutional Democracies 20
1.4 A Cautionary Note about the Applicability of the Trust-
 Based Framework 21
1.5 Situating the Trust-Based Framework in Existing Frameworks 22
1.6 The Book’s Structure 24

2 Conceptualising Trust in the Social Rights Context 26

2.1 Trust as a Relational Concept: Defining a ‘Trust Relationship’ 26
 2.1.1 The Trustee’s Control over a Good or Service 28
 2.1.2 The Trustee’s Discretion in Exercising Control and
 the Truster’s Corresponding Uncertainty 29
 2.1.3 The Resulting Vulnerability of the Truster 32
2.2 The Citizen–Government Relationship: A Trust Relationship 35
 2.2.1 The Elected Branches’ Control over Social Goods
 and Services 35
 2.2.2 The Elected Branches’ Discretion and
 Citizens’ Uncertainty 37
 2.2.3 Citizens’ Vulnerability to the Elected Branches 42
2.3 Defining Trust 43
 2.3.1 Cognitive versus Affective Trust 44
 2.3.2 Trust as a Set of Expectations 45

vi	CONTENTS
	2.3.3 A Behavioural Aspect to Trust? 47
	2.3.4 Trust's Focus on Procedure 49
	2.4 Applying the Definition of Trust to the Citizen–Government Relationship 52
3	The Citizen–Government Relationship in a Network of Trust Relationships 58
	3.1 The 'Network Conception of Trust' 59
	3.2 The Network Conception Follows on from a Relational View of Trust 64
	3.3 The Social Rights Network 66
	3.3.1 Defining the Boundaries of the Social Rights Network 66
	3.3.2 Constructing the Social Rights Network 68
	3.3.3 Trust in the Citizen–Government Relationship Depends on the Relationships in the Social Rights Network 80
4	A Trust-Based Framework for Enforcing Social Rights? 83
	4.1 Why the Courts? Justifying a Special Role for the Courts in the Social Rights Network 83
	4.2 How Trust? Transforming Political Trust into a Tool for Social Rights Enforcement 90
	4.2.1 What Is Trustworthiness? 90
	4.2.2 Promoting the Elected Branches' Trustworthiness via Judicial Constraints 92
	4.2.3 What Is a Trustworthiness-Promoting Judicial Constraint? 94
	4.3 Why Trust? Justifying Political Trust as the Basis for Social Rights Enforcement 97
	4.3.1 An Instrumental Justification 97
	4.3.2 A Theoretical Justification 100
	4.3.3 A Practical Justification 103
	4.3.4 A Democratic Justification 119
	4.4 A Point of Clarification: The Relevance of Constitutional Text 125
5	The Expectation of Goodwill 127
	5.1 The Expectation of Goodwill: Two Sub-expectations 127
	5.1.1 Sub-expectation 1: An Expectation of Procedural Fairness 127
	5.1.2 Sub-expectation 2: An Expectation of Good Intentions 138
	5.2 Enforcing the Expectation of Goodwill 140
	5.2.1 Requiring a Fair Decision-Making Procedure 140
	5.2.2 Responding to Government Intransigence 161

6	The Expectation of Competence	167
6.1	The Expectation of Competence: An Expectation of Evidence-Based Policy-Making	167
6.2	Enforcing the Expectation of Competence	175
6.2.1	Incorporating EBPM into Social Rights Enforcement	175
6.2.2	How Can the Courts Incorporate EBPM into Social Rights Enforcement?	180
6.2.3	Illustrations	186
7	The Expectation of Fiduciary Responsibility	195
7.1	Defining the Scope of the Expectation of Fiduciary Responsibility	195
7.2	Fiduciary Responsibility as Non-corruption	202
7.3	Enforcing the Expectation of Fiduciary Responsibility	211
7.3.1	Greater Probing into the State’s Financial Resources	217
7.3.2	Strictly Enforcing Public Procurement Law	223
7.3.3	Holding Non-state Actors Accountable to the Public	226
7.3.4	Involving Specialised Anti-corruption Agencies	228
7.3.5	Imposing Financial Sanctions on Government Actors	230
8	Conclusion	233
	<i>Bibliography</i>	237
	<i>Index</i>	265

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I thank all those who have helped and supported me through the book-writing process.

This book started as a PhD thesis at the London School of Economics and Political Science (LSE) Law School. I thus begin by thanking my supervisors, Tom Poole and Jo Murkens, for recognising promise in the topic of trust and social rights, challenging me to think critically about the topic, offering me invaluable guidance and providing encouragement. I am also grateful for their mentorship and support since completing the PhD. Thanks also to the academic and administrative staff at the LSE Law School, including the then-directors of the PhD programme (Linda Mulcahy and Susan Marks), my upgrade readers (Martin Loughlin and Grégoire Webber) and my fellow students, with special thanks to Velimir Živković, Wend Teeder, Bernard Keenan and Geetanjali Ganguly. I am also indebted to my examiners, Colm O’Cinneide and Aoife Nolan, who provided detailed feedback on my thesis, from which this book has benefited tremendously.

Next, I thank the various colleagues who have generously read draft chapters (or portions of draft chapters) and provided valuable feedback on them: Catherine Briddick, Mara Malagodi, Virginia Mantouvalou, Vanessa Munro, Tom Poole, Sharifah Sekalala and Ioanna Tourkochoriti. I am also appreciative to the two anonymous referees during the commissioning of this book.

Additionally, portions of this book were presented at various international conferences and benefited from feedback that I received from colleagues at those events: the Economic and Social Rights Academic Network: United Kingdom & Ireland (ESRAN-UKI) Workshop at the Irish Centre for Human Rights, National University of Ireland, Galway (June 2021); the Constitutional Works-in-Progress Workshop at the UCD Sutherland School of Law, Dublin (June 2019); the European Junior Faculty Forum at the LSE Law School (jointly organised by the European University Institute and WZB in Berlin) (June 2019); the

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

ix

‘Fiduciaries and Trust: Ethics, Politics, Economics and Law’ Workshop at Melbourne Law School (December 2018); the McGill Law Graduate Conference, Montreal (May 2018); the ‘New Voices in Administrative Justice’ Workshop at the University of Sheffield, School of Law (September 2017); the Social Justice Speaker Series at the University of Ottawa, Faculty of Law (September 2016); the International Society of Public Law (ICON-S) Conferences in Berlin and New York (June 2016 and July 2015); the Public Law Doctoral Research Colloquium at the LSE Law School (October 2015); and the Society of Legal Scholars (SLS) PhD Conference at the York Law School (September 2015).

Although rewritten for the book, some of the material was first published as the following publications: David Vitale, ‘A Trust Network Model for Social Rights Fulfilment’ (2018) 38 *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies* 706; David Vitale, ‘Political Trust as the Basis for a Social Rights Enforcement Framework’ (2018) 44 *Queen’s Law Journal* 177; and David Vitale, ‘The Relational Impact of Social Rights Judgments: A Trust-Based Analysis’ (2022) 42 *Legal Studies* 408.

For providing funding at the doctoral and post-doctoral stages, I am grateful to the LSE Law School, the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada (SSHRC) and the Minerva Center for the Rule of Law under Extreme Conditions, University of Haifa. For their assistance throughout the process, thanks also to the editorial team at Cambridge University Press. I am also appreciative to Clet Abraham for kindly providing the book’s cover art.

Lastly, my greatest debt is to my family and my friends (in Canada, the UK and elsewhere) who continue to offer me love and support. This book would not have been possible without you.

TABLE OF CASES

Canada

Auton (Guardian ad litem of) v. British Columbia (Attorney General), 2004 SCC 78
Eldridge v. British Columbia (Attorney General) [1997] 3 SCR 624
Gosselin v. Québec (Attorney General), 2002 SCC 84
R v. Kapp, 2008 SCC 41

Colombia

Corte Constitucional de Colombia (2008) Sala Segunda de Revisión, T-760

Germany

Asylum Seekers Benefits, 132 BVerfGE 134
Hartz IV, 125 BVerfGE 172

India

Hassan v. National Insurance Institute [2012] HCJ 10662/04
Olga Tellis and Others v. Bombay Municipal Corporation [1985] 2 Supp SCR 51
State of Maharashtra through CBI, Anti Corruption Branch, Mumbai v. Balakrishna Dattatrya Kumbhar [2012] 9 SCR 601
Vineet Narain and Others v. Union of India and Another, (1998) 1 SCC 226

Kenya

Governing Body of the Juma Musjid Primary School and Others v. Essay N.O. and Others [2011] ZACC 13
John Kabui Mwai and Others v. Kenya National Examination Council and Others [2011] eKLR

TABLE OF CASES

xi

Latvia

Disbursement Law, Case No. 2009-43-01

South Africa

AllPay Consolidated Investment Holdings (Pty) Ltd. and Others v. Chief Executive Officer, South African Social Security Agency and Others [2013] ZASCA 29
AllPay Consolidated Investment Holdings (Pty) Ltd. and Others v. Chief Executive Officer, South African Social Security Agency and Others [2013] ZACC 42
AllPay Consolidated Investment (Pty) Ltd. and Others v. Chief Executive Officer, South African Social Security Agency and Others (no. 2) [2014] ZACC 12
Black Sash Trust v. Minister of Social Development and Others (Freedom Under Law NPC Intervening) [2017] ZACC 8
Black Sash Trust v. Minister of Social Development and Others (Freedom Under Law NPC Intervening) [2017] ZACC 20
Black Sash Trust v. Minister of Social Development and Others (Freedom Under Law NPC Intervening) [2018] ZACC 36
City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality v. Blue Moonlight Properties 39 (Pty) Ltd. and Others [2011] ZACC 33
Glenister v. President of the Republic of South Africa and Others [2011] ZACC 6
Government of the Republic of South Africa and Others v. Grootboom and Others [2000] ZACC 19
Khosa and Others v. Minister of Social Development and Others [2004] ZACC 11
Madzozo and Others v. Minister of Basic Education and Others [2014] ZACEMHC 5
Minister of Basic Education and Others v. Basic Education for All and Others [2015] ZASCA 198
Minister of Health and Others v. Treatment Action Campaign and Others [2002] ZACC 15
National Coalition for Gay and Lesbian Equality and Another v. Minister of Justice and Others [1998] ZACC 15
Occupiers of 51 Olivia Road and Others v. City of Johannesburg and Others [2008] ZACC 1
Residents of Joe Slovo Community v. Thubelisha Homes and Others [2009] ZACC 16
Section 27 and Others v. Minister of Education and Another [2012] 3 All SA 579

Uganda

Centre for Health, Human Rights and Development and Others v. Attorney General,
 Constitutional Petition No. 16 of 2011

United Kingdom

Nzolameso v. City of Westminster [2015] UKSC 22
R (on the application of Good Law Project Ltd.) v. Secretary of State for Health and Social Care [2021] EWHC 346 (Admin)
R (on the application of Good Law Project Ltd. and EveryDoctor) v. Secretary of State for Health and Social Care [2022] EWHC 46 (TCC)
R v. Cambridge Health Authority [1995] EWCA Civ 49, [1995] WLR 898
R v. North West Lancashire Health Authority, ex parte A, D and G [1999] EWCA Civ 2022, [2000] 1 WLR 977