

Cambridge Elements =

Elements in Epistemology
edited by
Stephen Hetherington
University of New South Wales, Sydney

DEFINING KNOWLEDGE

Method and Metaphysics

Stephen Hetherington
University of New South Wales, Sydney







Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 8EA, United Kingdom
One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre,
New Delhi – 110025, India

103 Penang Road, #05-06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

We share the University's mission to contribute to society through the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781009095136

> DOI: 10.1017/9781009092203 © Stephen Hetherington 2022

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press & Assessment.

First published 2022

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978-1-009-09513-6 Paperback ISSN 2398-0567 (online) ISSN 2514-3832 (print)

Cambridge University Press & Assessment has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Defining Knowledge

Method and Metaphysics

Elements in Epistemology

DOI: 10.1017/9781009092203 First published online: November 2022

Stephen Hetherington
University of New South Wales, Sydney

Author for correspondence: Stephen Hetherington, s.hetherington@unsw.edu.au

Abstract: Post-Gettier epistemology is increasingly modalised epistemology – proposing and debating modally explicable conditionals with suitably epistemic content (an approach initially inspired by Robert Nozick's 1981 account of knowledge), as needing to be added to 'true belief' in order to define or understand knowing's nature. This Element asks whether such modalised attempts – construed as responding to what the author calls Knowing's Further Features question (bequeathed to us by the Meno and the Theaetetus) – can succeed. The answer is that they cannot. Plato's and Aristotle's views on definition reinforce that result. Still, in appreciating this, we might gain insight into knowing's essence. We might find that knowledge is, essentially, nothing more than true belief.

Keywords: Nozick on knowledge, Aristotle on definition, Socrates on knowledge, knowledge as true belief, defining knowledge

© Stephen Hetherington 2022

ISBNs: 9781009095136 (PB), 9781009092203 (OC) ISSNs: 2398-0567 (online), 2514-3832 (print)



Contents

1	A Quest	3
2	An Hypothesis	7
3	Modalised Epistemology	14
4	Knowing's Further Features Question	22
5	Knowledge and Luck	30
6	An Aristotelian Strengthening of the Argument	34
7	Knowledge-Minimalism	47
	References	58