

Cambridge Elements =

Elements in Epistemology
edited by
Stephen Hetherington
University of New South Wales, Sydney

THE EPISTEMIC CONSEQUENCES OF PARADOX

Bryan Frances
United Arab Emirates University





CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom
One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre,
New Delhi – 110025, India

103 Penang Road, #05–06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781009055963
DOI: 10.1017/9781009052948

© Bryan Frances 2022

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2022

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978-1-009-05596-3 Paperback ISSN 2398-0567 (online) ISSN 2514-3832 (print)

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



The Epistemic Consequences of Paradox

Elements in Epistemology

DOI: 10.1017/9781009052948 First published online: June 2022

Bryan Frances
United Arab Emirates University

Author for correspondence: Bryan Frances, bryan.frances@yahoo.com

Abstract: By pooling together exhaustive analyses of certain philosophical paradoxes, we can prove a series of fascinating results regarding philosophical progress, agreement on substantive philosophical claims, knockdown arguments in philosophy, the wisdom of philosophical belief (quite rare, because the knockdown arguments show that we philosophers have been wildly wrong about language, logic, truth, or ordinary empirical matters), the epistemic status of metaphysics, and the power of philosophy to refute common sense. As examples, the author examines the Sorites paradox, the Liar paradox, and the Problem of the Many – although many other paradoxes can do the trick too.

Keywords: paradox, metaphysics, wisdom, philosophical progress, common sense

© Bryan Frances 2022

ISBNs: 9781009055963 (PB), 9781009052948 (OC) ISSNs: 2398-0567 (online), 2514-3832 (print)



Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Our Six Topics	4
3	How to Analyze a Philosophical Problem: The Sorites	6
4	Each Disjunct Is Philosophically Counterintuitive	8
5	A Doxastically Distressing Disjunction: The Sorites	19
6	How Semantic Complexity Does and Does Not Matter	22
7	A Doxastically Distressing Disjunction: The Liar	28
8	A Doxastically Distressing Disjunction: The Problem of the Many	33
9	Knockdown Arguments and Philosophical Agreement	39
10	Metaphysical Bullshit	47
11	Philosophical Progress and Philosophical Refutations of Common Sense	50
12	The Philosophical Significance of Philosophical Counterintuitiveness	53
13	The Three Doxastic Responses to the Doxastically Distressing Disjunctions	57
14	The Inconsistency Response	60
15	The Confident Response	61
16	The Cautious Response	65
	References	69