

Manual of Embryo Selection in Human Assisted Reproduction

Manual of Embryo Selection in Human Assisted Reproduction

Edited by

Catherine Racowsky

Hospital Foch

Jacques Cohen

VF 2.0, Guadalajara, Mexico and Althea Science, Hudson, New York, USA

Nick Macklon

London Women's Clinic



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press & Assessment
978-1-009-01637-7 — Manual of Embryo Selection in Human Assisted Reproduction
Edited by Catherine Racowsky , Jacques Cohen , Nicholas Macklon
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)



Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 8EA, United Kingdom
One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India
103 Penang Road, #05–06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

We share the University’s mission to contribute to society through the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781009016377
DOI: 10.1017/9781009025218

© Catherine Racowsky, Jacques Cohen, and Nick Macklon 2023

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press & Assessment.

First published 2023

Printed in the United Kingdom by TJ Books Limited, Padstow Cornwall

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data
Names: Racowsky, Catherine, editor. | Cohen, Jacques, editor. | Macklon, Nick S., editor.
Title: Manual of embryo selection in human assisted reproduction / edited by Catherine Racowsky, Jacques Cohen, Nicholas Macklon.
Description: Cambridge, United Kingdom ; New York, NY : Cambridge University Press, 2022. | Includes bibliographical references and index.
Identifiers: LCCN 2022037927 (print) | LCCN 2022037928 (ebook) | ISBN 9781009016377 (paperback) | ISBN 9781009025218 (epub)
Subjects: MESH: Single Embryo Transfer–methods | Embryo Disposition | Embryo Implantation | Sperm-Ovum Interactions–physiology | Embryonic Development–physiology
Classification: LCC RG135 (print) | LCC RG135 (ebook) | NLM WQ 208 | DDC 618.1/780599–dc23/eng/20220912
LC record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2022037927>
LC ebook record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2022037928>

ISBN 978-1-009-01637-7 Paperback

Cambridge University Press & Assessment has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

.....

Every effort has been made in preparing this book to provide accurate and up-to-date information that is in accord with accepted standards and practice at the time of publication. Although case histories are drawn from actual cases, every effort has been made to disguise the identities of the individuals involved. Nevertheless, the authors, editors, and publishers can make no warranties that the information contained herein is totally free from error, not least because clinical standards are constantly changing through research and regulation. The authors, editors, and publishers therefore disclaim all liability for direct or consequential damages resulting from the use of material contained in this book. Readers are strongly advised to pay careful attention to information provided by the manufacturer of any drugs or equipment that they plan to use.

Contents

<i>List of Contributors</i>	vi
<i>Preface</i>	ix
<hr/>	
1	Introduction: Why Do We Bother with Embryo Selection? 1
	<i>Arne Sunde and Kersti Lundin</i>
2	Embryo Developmental Programming 10
	<i>Virginia N. Bolton</i>
3	Embryo–Maternal Interactions 20
	<i>Lois A. Salamonsen, Tracey A. Edgell, Guiying Nie, and Jemma Evans</i>
4	The Sperm’s Role in Embryo Development 31
	<i>Nahid Punjani, Russell P. Hayden, and Peter N. Schlegel</i>
5	The Oocyte’s Role in Embryo Development 43
	<i>David F. Albertini</i>
6	The Laboratory’s Role in Embryo Development 53
	<i>Dean Morbeck</i>
7	Handling of Gametes and Embryos 62
	<i>Sharon T. Mortimer</i>
8	Noninvasive Morphological Selection of Oocytes 70
	<i>Giovanni Coticchio, Elena Borini, Catello Scarica, and Andrea Borini</i>
9	Prospects for Bioenergetics for Embryo Selection 81
	<i>Jonathan Van Blerkom</i>
10	Static Morphological Assessment for Embryo Selection 100
	<i>Thomas Ebner</i>
11	Dynamic Morphological Assessment for Embryo Selection 113
	<i>Alison Campbell</i>
12	Noninvasive Analysis of Embryo Nutrient Utilization for Embryo Selection 123
	<i>David K. Gardner, Laura Ferrick, Rebecca L. Kelley, and Yee Shan Lisa Lee</i>
13	Genomics for Embryo Selection 135
	<i>Elpida Fragouli and Dagan Wells</i>
14	Biopsy Techniques from Polar Body to Blastocyst 149
	<i>Danilo Cimadomo, Nicoletta Barnocchi, Letizia Papini, Federica Innocenti, Filippo Maria Ubaldi, and Laura Rienzi</i>
15	Cell-Free DNA Analysis for PGT-A 158
	<i>Luca Gianaroli, Silvia Azzena, and M. Cristina Magli</i>
16	What Science May Come for Embryo Selection? 168
	<i>Paolo Rinaudo and Eleni Jaswa</i>
<hr/>	
	<i>Epilogue</i> 177
	<i>Index</i> 178

Color plates can be found between pages 134 and 135.

Online resources

All videos mentioned in the book are available to view under the resources tab via:
www.cambridge.org/embryoselection
Password: EmbryoSelection23

Contributors

David F. Albertini, Bedford Research Foundation,
Bedford, MA, USA

Silvia Azzena, SISMeR Reproductive Medicine
Institute, Bologna, Italy

Nicoletta Barnocchi, G.EN.E.R.A. Umbria,
G.EN.E.R.A. Centers for Reproductive Medicine,
Umbertide, Italy

Virginia N. Bolton, King's College, London, UK

Andrea Borini, 9.baby, GeneralLife IVF, Bologna, Italy

Elena Borini, 9.baby, GeneralLife IVF, Bologna, Italy

Alison Campbell, CARE Fertility Group,
Nottingham, UK

Danilo Cimadomo, G.EN.E.R.A. Umbria, G.EN.E.R.A.
Centers for Reproductive Medicine, Umbertide,
Italy, and Clinica Valle Giulia, G.EN.E.R.A. Centers for
Reproductive Medicine, Rome, Italy

Jacques Cohen, IVF 2.0, Guadalajara, Mexico and
Althea Science, Hudson, New York, USA

Giovanni Coticchio, 9.baby, GeneralLife IVF,
Bologna, Italy

Thomas Ebner, Kepler University Hospital,
MedCampus IV, Linz, Austria

Tracey A. Edgell, Hudson Institute of Medical
Research and Monash University Department of
Molecular and Translational Science, Clayton,
Victoria, Australia

Jemma Evans, Hudson Institute of Medical Research
and Monash University Department of Molecular and
Translational Science, Clayton, Victoria, Australia

Laura Ferrick, School of BioSciences, University of
Melbourne, Australia

Elpida Fragouli, Juno Genetics, Oxford, UK
and Department of Life and Environmental
Sciences, Bournemouth University,
Bournemouth, UK

David K. Gardner, School of BioSciences, University
of Melbourne, Australia and Melbourne IVF,
Melbourne, Australia

Luca Gianaroli, SISMeR Reproductive Medicine
Institute, Bologna, Italy

Russell P. Hayden, Department of Urology, Weill
Cornell Medicine, New York, NY, USA

Federica Innocenti, G.EN.E.R.A. Umbria,
G.EN.E.R.A. Centers for Reproductive Medicine,
Umbertide, Italy, and Clinica Valle Giulia,
G.EN.E.R.A. Centers for Reproductive Medicine,
Rome, Italy

Rebecca L. Kelley, Melbourne IVF, Melbourne,
Australia

Yee Shan Lisa Lee, Melbourne IVF, Melbourne,
Australia

Kersti Lundin, Reproductive Medicine, Sahlgrenska
University Hospital, Göteborg, Sweden

Nick Macklon, London Women's Clinic, London, UK

M. Cristina Magli, SISMeR Reproductive Medicine
Institute, Bologna, Italy

Dean Morbeck, Department of Obstetrics and
Gynecology, Monash University, Melbourne, AU

List of Contributors

Sharon T. Mortimer, Oozoa Biomedical, Vancouver, Canada, and Division of Reproductive Endocrinology and Infertility, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada

Guiying Nie, Hudson Institute of Medical Research and Monash University Department of Molecular and Translational Science, Clayton, Victoria, Australia

Letizia Papini, G.EN.E.R.A. Umbria, G.EN.E.R.A. Centers for Reproductive Medicine, Umbertide, Italy

Nahid Punjani, Department of Urology, Weill Cornell Medicine, New York, NY, USA

Catherine Racowsky, Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Medicine, Hospital Foch, Suresnes, France

Laura Rienzi, G.EN.E.R.A. Umbria, G.EN.E.R.A. Centers for Reproductive Medicine, Umbertide, Italy and Clinica Valle Giulia, G.EN.E.R.A. Centers for Reproductive Medicine, Rome, Italy

Paolo Rinaudo, Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Sciences, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA

Eleni Jaswa, Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Sciences, University of California San Francisco, CA, USA

Lois A. Salamonsen, Hudson Institute of Medical Research and Monash University Department of Molecular and Translational Science, Clayton, Victoria, Australia

Catello Scarica, Casa di Cura Villa Salaria – Institut Marques Reproductive Medicine, Rome, Italy

Peter N. Schlegel, Department of Urology, Weill Cornell Medicine, New York, NY, USA

Arne Sunde, Department of Clinical and Molecular Medicine, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway

Filippo Maria Ubaldi, G.EN.E.R.A. Umbria, G.EN.E.R.A. Centers for Reproductive Medicine, Umbertide, Italy and Clinica Valle Giulia, G.EN.E.R.A. Centers for Reproductive Medicine, Rome, Italy

Jonathan Van Blerkom, Department of Molecular, Cellular and Developmental Biology, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA

Dagan Wells, Juno Genetics, Oxford, UK and Nuffield Department of Women’s and Reproductive Health, University of Oxford, John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford, UK

Preface

Most of us remember the first time we witnessed an embryo being selected for transfer, whether this was as a trainee embryologist or as a clinician visiting the mysterious IVF laboratory. Watching the careful selection of an embryo over others for a chance of life can border on the astounding.

Yet, just as doctors are expected to bring a professional objective detachment to the care of their patients, so are embryologists to the care of the gametes and embryos in their charge. And they do this many times, every day, all around the world.

However, to succeed as an embryologist requires more than an ability to bring a forensic approach to decision-making. It requires exquisite hand-eye coordination and, among other attributes, a “feel” for what indicates is a viable embryo. That is why, even when specific criteria can be applied to assess quality, embryologists often have difficulty in describing how they use these. Identifying the best embryo has been described as recognizing a hand-written signature. You know whose it is, but you can’t explain why.

Embryology and embryo selection have both evolved over the years. Although training as a clinical embryologist typically takes years of acquired knowledge, even then embryologists may not “know what they really know.” While numerous studies have demonstrated reassuring correlations between the main scoring systems they employ and treatment outcomes,

a number of developments in our field have put this approach under increasing pressure.

The most significant of these pressures has been the drive to reduce multiple pregnancies arising from IVF treatment. Agreement that the aim of treatment should no longer be a positive pregnancy test but a healthy singleton baby was an important milestone indicating the maturity of the discipline of IVF. However, this imposed a heavy and complex burden on embryologists. Reducing this most important risk of treatment while at the same time continuing the expected increase in success rates has without doubt brought to the forefront the continued central importance of embryo selection.

Embryology has responded to these challenges in a myriad of ways. Over the past 10 years, the speed and breadth of innovation in the field of embryo selection has been at times breathtaking. As with all rapid journeys, there have been notable bumps on the road and indeed the occasional wrong turn. As IVF waves to its second generation of children, the technologies that will lead us into the third generation are beginning to appear as valid and safe.

We hope that this manual will provide both embryologists and clinicians a clear and informative overview of the tools now available to assist in embryo selection, as well as evidence for their efficacy and safety and the broader considerations that must underlie these important clinical decisions.