

## CONTENTS

|   |                  |
|---|------------------|
| <i>Preface</i>  |                  |
| BY JAMES C. HATHAWAY  | <i>page</i> xiii |
| <i>Acknowledgements</i>   | xiv              |
| <i>List of Abbreviations</i>  | xv               |
| <b>1 Journeys in Search of Refuge</b>   | <b>1</b>         |
| 1.1 Introduction  | 1                |
| 1.2 Protection from Refuge: Tensions and Queries                                      | 3                |
| 1.3 What Is Refuge and What Are the Different Types of Protection from Refuge Claims? | 5                |
| 1.4 Methodology: Tracing Litigation across the Refugee Journey                        | 11               |
| 1.5 Scope   | 16               |
| 1.6 Conclusion  | 19               |
| <b>2 Refuge as a Concept and a Place</b>  | <b>21</b>        |
| 2.1 Introduction  | 21               |
| 2.2 Refuge, Sanctuary, Asylum and International Protection: Synonymous Terms?         | 22               |
| 2.3 Conceptualising Refuge: Where to Begin?   | 23               |
| 2.3.1 Historical and Cultural   | 24               |
| 2.3.2 Experiential  | 25               |
| 2.3.3 Rights-Based  | 26               |
| 2.3.4 Philosophical   | 28               |
| 2.3.5 Categorical   | 29               |
| 2.4 What Are Refuge's Functions?  | 30               |
| 2.4.1 Being Reborn in Exile: Restoration of a Meaningful Life                         | 30               |
| 2.4.1.1 Restoring Refugees to a Position Where They Can Be Alive and Have a Life      | 31               |
| 2.4.1.2 Not Just Surviving, but Thriving in Exile                                     | 33               |
| 2.4.2 Dreaming of and Creating a Future   | 35               |
| 2.4.3 Living with the Past  | 36               |
| 2.5 What Is the Nature of Refuge?   | 39               |
| 2.6 What Is the Scope of Refuge?  | 42               |
| 2.6.1 Scope of Refuge for Convention Refugees   | 42               |
| 2.6.2 Scope of Refuge for Palestinian Refugees  | 45               |

|          |  |            |
|----------|--|------------|
| 2.6.3    | Scope of Refuge for Putative Refugees and IDPs   | 47         |
| 2.7      | What Is the Threshold for Adequate Refuge?   | 49         |
| 2.8      | The Reality of Refuge  | 50         |
| 2.9      | Conclusion   | 54         |
| <b>3</b> | <b>Using Human and Refugee Rights to Resist Encampment</b>   | <b>56</b>  |
| 3.1      | Introduction   | 56         |
| 3.2      | Refugees' Human Rights Claims  | 57         |
| 3.3      | Categorical and Experiential Approaches to Conceptualising Refuge  | 58         |
| 3.3.1    | Understanding Refugeehood  | 59         |
| 3.3.2    | Understanding Refugees   | 63         |
| 3.3.3    | Understanding Refugee Rights   | 67         |
| 3.4      | From Categorical and Experiential to Exceptionality Reasoning  | 71         |
| 3.4.1    | The Individualisation of Rights Claims   | 71         |
| 3.4.2    | The Exceptional Refugee  | 72         |
| 3.4.3    | An End to Encampment?  | 75         |
| 3.5      | Conclusion   | 78         |
| <b>4</b> | <b>Using Human Rights Law to Travel in Search of Refuge in Europe</b>                                      | <b>79</b>  |
| 4.1      | Introduction   | 79         |
| 4.2      | The Role of Human Rights in Determining 'Whose Refugee'  | 80         |
| 4.3      | Human Rights as a Prism to Engage with the Concept of Refuge   | 82         |
| 4.3.1    | The European Court of Human Rights' Categorical Approach to a Dublin System Challenge                      | 82         |
| 4.3.2    | Categorical Approaches to Qualified Rights in Challenging the Dublin System                                | 88         |
| 4.3.3    | Categorical Approaches to Dublin System Challenges before UN Treaty Bodies                                 | 90         |
| 4.3.4    | A Categorical Approach to the First Challenge to Externalisation before the European Court of Human Rights | 92         |
| 4.4      | Judicial Disengagement with Refuge   | 95         |
| 4.4.1    | From Vulnerable Refugees to the 'Peculiarly Vulnerable' Refugee  | 96         |
| 4.4.2    | From Vulnerable Refugees to the 'Good' Refugee   | 100        |
| 4.4.3    | Fragmented and Rudimentary Refuge  | 102        |
| 4.5      | The Peculiarly Vulnerable Refugee  | 106        |
| 4.5.1    | Gender-blind Decisions   | 107        |
| 4.5.2    | Notional Consideration of Gender, Childhood and Disability   | 108        |
| 4.6      | Conclusion   | 111        |
| <b>5</b> | <b>Direct Challenges to Regional Containment Instruments</b>   | <b>112</b> |
| 5.1      | Introduction   | 112        |
| 5.2      | Borders and Boundaries   | 113        |
| 5.3      | Setting a Threshold for Adequate Protection: The Role of Borders in Judicial Reasoning                     | 115        |
| 5.3.1    | Refuge Beyond <i>Non-refoulement</i> ?   | 116        |
| 5.3.1.1  | A High Standard of Refugee Protection in the Oceanic Region  | 116        |

## CONTENTS

xi

|          |  |            |
|----------|--|------------|
| 5.3.1.2  | A Lower Standard for Protection for Refugees Crossing the Canada–US Border               | 118        |
| 5.3.1.3  | Missed Opportunities in Setting the Standard for Refugee Protection in the EU            | 120        |
| 5.3.2    | Manoeuvring Juridical Borders in Protection Elsewhere Challenges                         | 124        |
| 5.3.2.1  | Alignment of Australia’s Juridical Borders   | 124        |
| 5.3.2.2  | Extending the Reach of Judicial Borders in Canada  | 125        |
| 5.3.2.3  | The Conundrum of Borders and Refugee Protection in the EU                                | 127        |
| 5.4      | Misaligned Borders   | 128        |
| 5.4.1    | Asymmetrical Borders and Judicial Dissonance in the Oceanic Region                       | 128        |
| 5.4.2    | Malleable and Misaligned Borders in North America  | 133        |
| 5.4.3    | Dissonant Juridical Borders in Europe  | 136        |
| 5.5      | The Trapped Refugee  | 140        |
| 5.5.1    | Navigating Borders and Bifurcation in Europe   | 140        |
| 5.5.2    | State of Exception or State of Exceptionality in North America?                          | 142        |
| 5.5.3    | Beyond the Pale of Law or Trapped between Dissonant Legal Systems in the Oceanic Region? | 144        |
| 5.6      | Conclusion   | 147        |
| <b>6</b> | <b>Seeking Refuge as a Palestinian Refugee</b>   | <b>149</b> |
| 6.1      | Introduction   | 149        |
| 6.2      | The Refugee Convention as a Containment Mechanism  | 151        |
| 6.3      | Article 1D as a Containment Mechanism  | 155        |
| 6.4      | A Broad Scope of Refuge for Palestinian Refugees   | 159        |
| 6.5      | From Rights Protection to Mere Survival: The Involuntary Refugee                         | 163        |
| 6.5.1    | Refuge as Physical Survival  | 163        |
| 6.5.2    | Involuntary Flight: A Neocolonial and Racialised Oxymoron?                               | 165        |
| 6.5.3    | Gendered Understandings of Involuntariness   | 167        |
| 6.6      | A Pathway to Protection?   | 169        |
| 6.7      | Conclusion   | 171        |
| <b>7</b> | <b>Resisting the Prospect of Refuge in an IDP Camp</b>                                   | <b>174</b> |
| 7.1      | Introduction   | 174        |
| 7.2      | IDPs: Neglected in Refugee Law and Scholarship   | 175        |
| 7.3      | Real and Meaningful Refuge   | 176        |
| 7.4      | Refuge as the Chance of Mere Survival  | 182        |
| 7.5      | The Resilient Refugee  | 187        |
| 7.6      | Internal Protection and Cessation of Refugee Status                                      | 191        |
| 7.7      | Conclusion   | 192        |
| <b>8</b> | <b>Elusive Refuge</b>  | <b>194</b> |
| 8.1      | Introduction   | 194        |
| 8.2      | Robust Refuge  | 195        |
| 8.3      | Rudimentary Refuge   | 197        |
| 8.4      | The Gendered Exceptional Refugee   | 200        |
| 8.5      | Conclusion   | 203        |
|          | <i>Index</i>   | 205        |