

INDEX

Footnotes are indicated by n following the page number.

- AAH (Iraqi Kurds - internal relocation) Iraq CG* (UKUT), 186–7
- Abebe, Allehone, 27
- Abed El Kareem El Kott* (CJEU), 161–2, 163–4, 166, 168, 172
- Achiume, E. Tendayi, 22, 150n, 154, 158, 167, 171, 180
- AD (Palestine)* (NZ IPT), 157–8, 158n, 159–63, 164–5, 166
- Adeola, Romola, 27
- adequate refugee standards, 49–50
 for protection elsewhere. *See* third country transfers jurisprudence
- AE (Lebanon)* (NZ IPT), 169–71
- AE (Relocation, Darfur, Khartoum an option) Sudan* (UKAIT), 180–1
- African Charter on Human and People’s Rights 1981, 68
- Agamben, Giorgio, 142–3, 204
- age factors. *See* children; elderly persons
- Ahmedi, Farah, 30, 31, 32–3, 34, 35, 37
- Akram, Susan, 45
- Albanese, Francesca, 13, 26–7, 45, 46, 47, 49, 164
- alienage requirement of refugee definition, 152, 165
 IDPs, implications for, 175–6
- AM & AM (armed conflict: risk categories) Somalia CG* (UKAIT), 183n
- AME v Netherlands* (ECtHR), 97–8
- AMM and Others (Conflict; Humanitarian Crisis; Returnees; FGM) Somalia CG* (UKUT), 185
- AN v Switzerland* (UN CAT), 91
- Aotearoa/New Zealand
 internal protection alternative (IPA) jurisprudence, 177, 178, 179, 181, 182
- Palestinian refugees’ Article 1D claims
AD (Palestine) (NZ IPT), 157–8, 158n, 159–63, 164–5, 166
AE (Lebanon) (NZ IPT), 169–70
AQ (Jordan) 169n
 refugee community sponsorship programmes, 25
- Appeal No HX51798-2000 14 (Kabul - Pashtun)*
Afghanistan CG (UKIAT), 181
- Arbel, Efrat, 127, 142–3, 195, 197n
 on gender issues (with Dauvergne and Millbank), 15, 200, 201, 203
- Arboleda, Eduardo, 24
- Arendt, Hannah, 144
- Article 1D claims. *See* Palestinian refugees’ Article 1D claims
- AS v Slovenian Republic* (CJEU), 123n, 141
- AS v Switzerland* (ECtHR), 108–11
- Asady and Others v Slovakia* (ECtHR), 102
- asylum seekers
 definition, 3
 rights of. *See* rights of refugees and asylum seekers
- Australia
 offshore processing. *See* offshore processing
 Palestinian refugees’ Article 1D claims (*WABQ* (Fed Ct)), 156–7
 protection conditions, 53
 safe third country decisions, 17n
- Bartholomeusz, Lance, 27, 45–7, 159
- Bartlett, Katharine, 14
- Bashir, Halima, 31, 34, 37
- Baumgärtel, Moritz, 16, 57, 81, 82, 84–5, 87n, 94
- Belden Norman Namah* (PNG Sup Ct), 131–2, 144–5
- best interests of children assessment, 74, 91, 107–8, 141
- Besteman, Catherine, 25, 41
- Betts, Alexander, and Collier, Paul
 on refugee concept, 31, 32, 33, 40
 on refugees’ autonomy, 36, 42, 53, 66
 on responsibilities of states to provide protection, 28, 44–5
- Beyani, Chaloka, 174
- Biden, Joe, 114, 150
- Bolbol v Bevándorlási és Állampolgársági Hivatal* (CJEU), 157, 158
- Boochani v Papua New Guinea* (PNG Sup Ct), 147
- Boochani, Behrouz, 21, 53
- borders. *See* under third country transfers jurisprudence
- Briddick, Catherine, 7, 80, 81

- Calais 'Jungle' camp, 1, 2, 51, 79, 89, 98n
 Çalı, Başak, 96
 Canada
 Canada–US safe third country agreement, 112, 113–14
 Canadian Council for Refugees v Canada (Fed Ct, 2007), 116, 118–20, 125–7
 reversal on appeal, 133–4, 142, 143
 Canadian Council for Refugees v Minister for Immigration, Refugees and Citizens (Fed Ct, 2020), 134–6, 142, 143
 reversal on appeal, 136, 144
 gender decision-making guidelines, 202
 refugee resettlement programme, 25
 Cantor, David, 176
 care ethics, feminist theory on, 28, 180, 196
 Carens, Joseph, 1
 categorical approach to refugee concept, 29–30, 91, 194, 195–7, 201, 202
 exceptionality assessments as alternative to. *See* exceptionality assessments
 future research issues, 197
 group vulnerability, 59, 83–7, 90, 100
 in *Hirsi Jamaa v Italy* (ECtHR), 92–5, 101, 142n
 in *Kituo Cha Sheria* (Kenya), 59–63, 67, 72, 73, 77, 83
 in *MSS v Belgium and Greece* (ECtHR), 82–8, 92, 97, 100, 101, 103–4, 109, 122
 in Palestinian refugees' Article 1D claims, 159–60
 in *Plaintiff M70* (Aus HC), 117–18
 in putative refugees' claims. *See under* internal protection alternative (IPA) jurisprudence
 in *R. (ZAT) v SSHD* (UKUT), 88–90
 reversal on appeal, 98–9, 105
 in UN treaty body jurisprudence, 90–1
 CEDAW (Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women 1979), 46–7, 49, 159–60, 168
 cessation of refugee status, 38–9, 191–2
 chain *refoulement*, 95
 Charlesworth, Hilary, 14
 Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union, 120, 121
 Article 4 (inhuman or degrading treatment prohibition), 121, 122, 123, 141
 Article 18 (right to asylum), 137
 Chatty, Dawn, 24–5
 children
 alienage requirement of refugee definition, implications for, 152, 175
 educational opportunities. *See* educational opportunities in places of refuge
 functions of refuge for, 32–3, 34, 35, 37, 38
 special protection needs, 43, 46–7, 48, 90–1, 107–8, 201
 best interests assessment, 74, 91, 107–8, 141
 exceptionality assessments, 72–4, 75, 106n, 108
 unaccompanied minors, 88–9, 91, 98, 99, 141
 Chimni, B.S., 153, 154, 157, 165–6, 167
CK v Slovenia (CJEU), 97n, 121n, 140
Coalition for Reform and Democracy v Kenya (Ken HC), 75–7
 collective expulsion prohibition (ECHR Protocol 4 Article 4), 92, 100–1, 102
 Collier, Paul (co-author). *See* Betts, Alexander, and Collier, Paul
 commodity or gift, refuge viewed as, 41, 75, 102–3, 105
 Common European Asylum System, 121, 127
 Reception Conditions Directive (Recast) (2013/33/EU), 44, 99n
 community groups, functions of refuge for, 34, 37–8
 comparative legal analysis, 14, 115, 148
 'compelling reasons' exception to cessation of refugee status, 38–9
 complementary protection, 3, 26
 contactless control
 joint surveillance, interception and policing practices, 4, 81, 92, 139–40
 third country transfer agreements. *See* third country transfers jurisprudence
 containment mechanisms, 4
 Refugee Convention viewed as, 4, 8, 151–5, 175, 182, 193
 Article 1D. *See under* Palestinian refugees' Article 1D claims
 third country transfer agreements. *See* third country transfers jurisprudence
 Convention for the Protection and Assistance of Internally Displaced Persons in Africa 2009 (Kampala Convention), 13, 27, 48, 176n
 Convention Governing the Specific Aspects of the Refugee Problems in Africa 1969 (OAU Refugee Convention), 24, 26, 41, 44, 163n
 Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women 1979 (CEDAW), 46–7, 49, 159–60, 168
 Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities 2006 (CRPD), 43–4, 47, 49, 58, 61–2, 89, 159–60
 Convention on the Rights of the Child 1989 (CRC), 43, 46–7, 73, 91, 159–60
 Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees 1951. *See* Refugee Convention 1951
 Coronado-Angulo, Cecilia, 28
 corrective justice function of refuge, 22, 33, 171, 180
 Costello, Cathryn, 26, 80, 96, 108, 122
 CRC (Convention on the Rights of the Child 1989), 43, 46–7, 73, 91, 159–60
 critical race, postcolonial and TWAIL analyses of refugee law, 151–5, 165–7, 171, 175, 182
 Crock, Mary, 32, 43–4

- cultural and historical conceptions of refuge, 24–5
Cunningham, Stewart, 96
Cusick, Anne, 34
- Daiute, Collette, 25, 35, 37
Dauvergne, Catherine, 15, 200, 201, 203
DD v Spain (UN CRC), 91
Dembour, Marie-Bénédicte, 14, 16, 81, 84, 88, 89, 94, 107n
- deprivation of liberty. *See* freedom of movement
Desmond, Alan, 89
detention of refugees and asylum seekers, 18, 53
dignity, right to, 40, 42, 59–60, 86
disability
 alienage requirement of refugee definition, implications for persons with disabilities, 152, 175
 healthcare needs
 mental impairment, 88–9, 108–11, 140, 146
 palliative function of refuge. *See* palliative function of refuge
 physical impairment, 43–4, 53, 61–2, 108–10, 140
 special protection needs, 43–4, 46–7, 89, 106n, 108–10, 201
domestic violence. *See* sexual and gender-based violence
Douzinas, Costas, 78
Dublin System, 6, 7n, 80, 114
 challenges to. *See* European protection from refugee claims
Dudley, Sandra, 34
Duffy, Helen, 199
durable solutions to refugehood, right to, 30, 32, 36, 44
 European jurisprudence, narrow focus on reception conditions, 104–5
 Kenyan jurisprudence on, 60, 68, 75
 protracted situations of displacement, 53–4, 75–7
 for putative refugees and IDPs, 48, 181
Durieux, Jean-François, 14, 29, 40, 67–8, 148, 186n
duty, refuge viewed as, 40–1, 118, 160–1, 178–9
- Easton-Calabria, Evan, 34
ECHR. *See* European Convention on Human Rights 1950
economic contributions, drawbacks of focus on, 34, 65–7, 75
educational opportunities in places of refuge
 Palestinian refugees, UNRWA's education mandate, 45, 47
 regenerative potential, 34, 50
 right to, 42–3, 47, 48, 64, 69, 76, 125, 160–1
 shortages of, 1, 52, 53
Edwards, Alice, 14, 33, 43, 160n
effective protection standards, 49–50
 for protection elsewhere. *See* third country transfers jurisprudence
- elderly persons. *See also* disability
 alienage requirement of refugee definition, implications for, 152, 175
 special protection needs for, 43, 48, 201
Eli-Ali v SSHD (EWCA Civ), 156
employment opportunities in places of refuge
 drawbacks of focus on, 34, 65–7, 75
 movement in search of, 1
 regenerative potential, 34, 50
 right to, 33, 42–3, 47, 64, 85, 181
 shortages of, 1, 52, 53, 170
encampment policies, 4
 Kenyan jurisprudence on. *See* Kenyan forced encampment jurisprudence
 for putative refugees. *See* internal protection alternative (IPA) jurisprudence
ethics of care, feminist theory on, 28, 180, 196
ethics-based approach to refuge concept, 28
ethnographic studies, 25, 196
EU law
 Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union, 120, 121
 Article 4 (inhuman or degrading treatment prohibition), 121, 122, 123, 141
 Article 18 (right to asylum), 137
 Common European Asylum System, 121, 127
 Reception Conditions Directive (Recast) (2013/33/EU), 44, 99n
 Dublin System, 6, 7n, 80, 114
 challenges to. *See* European protection from refugee claims
 EU–Turkey refugee resettlement agreement, 114
 NF v European Council (CJEU), 136–9, 142
 solidarity principle, 123
European Convention on Human Rights 1950
 Article 3. *See under* inhuman or degrading treatment
 Article 8 (right to respect for private and family life), 88–90, 98–9, 105, 110
 Protocol 4 Article 4 (collective expulsion prohibition), 92, 100–1, 102
European protection from refugee claims, 6–7, 79–81, 95–6, 111
 categorical approach to refuge concept, 82, 91
 group vulnerability, 83–7, 90, 100
 in *Hirsi Jamaa v Italy* (ECtHR), 92–5, 101, 142n
 in *MSS v Belgium and Greece* (ECtHR), 82–8, 92, 97, 100, 101, 103–4, 109, 122
 in *R. (ZAT) v SSHD* (UKUT and EWCA Civ), 88–90, 98–9, 105
 in UN treaty body jurisprudence, 90–1
exceptionality assessments, 79, 96, 105–6, 111, 140–1
 ‘good’ refugee conduct, 100–2, 103
 Greece used as ‘litmus test,’ 209, 170, 103–4
 ‘peculiarly vulnerable’ refugees, 97–100, 102–3, 106, 140–1, 197, 201

- European protection from refugee claims (cont.)
 gender, age or disability factors, approaches towards, 106, 140–1
 gender-blind decisions, 107–8
 notional approaches to gender, 108–11
 Palestinian refugees' Article 1D claims, 156, 157, 158, 168, 170, 171
Abed El Kareem El Kott (CJEU), 161–2, 163–4, 166, 168, 172
 reception conditions, narrow focus on, 104–5, 127–8, 136–40
 scholarly views on, 81, 122, 137–9
 third country transfer agreements, validity
 challenges
 gender, age or disability factors, 140–1
NF v European Council (CJEU), 136–9, 142
NS and ME (CJEU), 116, 120–4, 127–8, 137
 vulnerability assessments
 group vulnerability, 83–7, 90, 100
 'peculiarly vulnerable' refugees, 97–100, 102–3, 106, 140–1, 197, 201
 exceptionality assessments, 19–20, 111, 194–5, 197–9
 in Australian jurisprudence, 145–7
 categorical approach as alternative to. *See* categorical approach to refugee concept
 in European jurisprudence. *See under* European protection from refugee claims
 'exemplary' refugees, 65, 66, 67, 75, 189
 in internal protection alternative (IPA)
 jurisprudence, 10, 187–91, 193
 in Kenyan jurisprudence, 57, 72–5
 in Palestinian refugees' Article 1D claims, 9
 'resilient' refugees, 97–8, 188–91, 193, 201–2, 203
 vulnerability and refugeehood. *See* vulnerability
 'exemplary' refugees, 65, 66, 67, 75, 189
 experiential approach to refugee concept, 25–6, 35, 63–7, 90
 externalisation strategies, 80–1, 114
 challenges to. *See* third country transfers jurisprudence
 family members, responsibility for protection delegated to, 184–6, 190
 family reunification, 43, 53
 palliative function, 91, 105, 110–11
R. (ZAT) v SSHD (UKUT), 88–90
 reversal on appeal, 98–9, 105
 Fawole, Charissa, 27
 female refugees and asylum seekers. *See* women and girls
 feminist theory
 on ethics of care, 28, 180, 196
 feminist approaches to international law, methodology, 14–16
 feminist engagement with refugee law, 15–16, 200
 on vulnerability, 65, 84–5, 190
 Fiddian-Qasmiyeh, Elena, 75
 Fineman, Martha, 65, 84, 85
 forced marriage, 168
 Foster, Michelle, 41, 115, 157, 178n, 192
 on rights of refugees, 27, 31, 47, 50
 freedom of movement
 for family reunification. *See* family reunification
 Kenyan jurisprudence on, 57, 68–71, 75–7
 restrictions on, 4, 19, 53
 third country transfer agreements. *See* third country transfers jurisprudence
 right to, 31–2, 48
 to seek work, 1
 Freier De Ferrari, Luisa, 137
 functions of refugee. *See under* refugee concept
 future research issues, 197, 199–200, 201–3
 Gammeltoft-Hansen, Thomas, 4, 57, 81, 93
 Gemignani, Marco, 37
 gender
 female refugees. *See* women and girls
 feminist theory. *See* feminist theory
 gender-based violence. *See* sexual and gender-based violence
 gender-blind decision-making, 107–8, 134–6, 186, 200
 LGBTQIA+ refugees, 44, 126–7, 198, 201, 202–3
 male refugees stereotyped as 'resilient,' 210.150, 189–91, 203
 Giannacopoulos, Maria, 199
 Gibney, Matthew, 28, 29, 31, 45
 gift or commodity, refugee viewed as, 41, 75, 102–3, 105
 Gil-Bazo, Maria-Teresa, 24, 40
 Giuffré, Mariagiulia, 26, 139
 Global North and South inequities, 8, 179–80
 in Article 1D claims. *See* Palestinian refugees' Article 1D claims
 critical race, postcolonial and TWAII analyses, 151–5, 165–7, 171, 175, 182
 international cooperation principle in Refugee Convention, 10, 40–1, 167, 171, 196
 in internal protection alternative (IPA)
 jurisprudence, 175, 179, 186, 192, 193
 Goodwin-Gill, Guy, 28, 31, 32, 39, 115, 158
 Greece
 freedom of movement restrictions, 53
 protection conditions, 51–2, 53
 alleged Dublin System violations measured against, 96–7, 103–4
MSS v Belgium and Greece (ECtHR), 82–8, 92, 97, 100, 101, 103–4, 109, 122
NS and ME (CJEU), 116, 120–4, 127–8, 137
 Turkey, refugee resettlement agreement with, 114
NF v European Council (CJEU), 136–9, 142
 group vulnerability, 59, 83–7, 90, 100
 group-based human rights, 58

- Guiding Principles on Internal Displacement, 13, 27, 48, 178n
- Guterres, António, 151
- Haddad, Emma, 203
- Haines, David, 35
- Hakakian, Roya, 30–1, 37
- Harley, Tristan, 24, 35, 40, 41
- Harrell-Bond, Barbara, 56, 77
- Harvey, Colin, 40
- Hathaway, James, 1, 4, 36, 39
 - on Palestinian refugees' Article 1D claims, 157
 - on refugee definition and status, 29, 103, 115, 166n, 178n
 - cessation of status, 192
 - internal protection alternative, 47
 - on refugees' rights, 12, 26, 27, 39, 43n, 43, 50, 91
 - basic survival rights, 32
 - durable solutions to refugeehood, 32, 36, 44
 - non-refoulement*, 103
 - restorative function of, 31
 - socio-economic rights, 34, 43n
 - on responsibilities of states to provide protection, 41, 178n
- health and healthcare, 18, 43–4
 - disability (mental impairment), 88–9, 108–11, 140, 146
 - palliative function of refuge. *See* palliative function of refuge
 - disability (physical impairment), 43–4, 53, 61–2, 108–10, 140
- health risks in places of ostensible refuge, 1, 52, 53, 106n, 189
- Palestinian refugees, UNRWA's healthcare mandate, 45–6
- pregnant women and new mothers' needs, 53, 140, 146
- right to, 48, 64, 66, 69, 76, 160–1
- HGMO (Relocation to Khartoum) Sudan CG (UKAIT)*, 184, 186, 187, 188
- Hirsi Jamaa v Italy* (ECtHR), 92–5, 101, 142n
- historical and cultural conceptions of refuge, 24–5
- Hosein, Adam Omar, 28
- housing
 - Palestinian refugees, UNRWA's housing mandate, 45
 - right to, 42–3, 83
- human dignity, right to, 40, 42, 59–60, 86
- human rights. *See* rights of refugees and asylum seekers
- humanitarian organisations, 41–2, 105, 108–11, 124, 178–9, 180
- humanitarianism, 28
- Hungary, protection conditions, 106n
- Hussein v Netherlands and Italy* (ECtHR), 107
- Ibrahim v Germany* (CJEU), 141
- ICCPR (International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights 1966), 12, 26, 43, 49, 68, 70
- ICESCR (International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights 1966), 12, 26, 43, 49, 160–1, 180
- identity papers, 31–2, 64, 69
- IDPs. *See* internally displaced persons (IDPs)
- Ignatieff, Michael, 25, 41
- immigration detention, 18, 53
- Inhorn, Marcia, 34
- inhuman or degrading treatment
 - CRC Article 37(a) prohibition, 91
 - ECHR Article 3 prohibition, 82, 93, 185n
 - extreme poverty, whether violation, 82–3, 85, 96–7, 103–4
 - healthcare cases, 109
 - positive obligations under, 86–7, 104–5
 - sexual and gender-based violence as violation, 107, 200
 - vulnerability and 'resilience', 106
- EU Charter Article 4 prohibition, 121, 122, 123, 141
- ICCPR Article 7 prohibition, 90–1, 98, 104
- internal protection alternative (IPA) jurisprudence, 9–10, 174–5, 176, 192–3. *See also* internally displaced persons (IDPs)
- categorical approach of early jurisprudence, 176–9, 192–3
 - refuge concept, 178–9, 180–1
 - rights-based arguments, 181–2
 - tests applied, 179–81
- later jurisprudence, 198
 - cessation of refugee status decisions, 191–2
 - exceptionality assessments, 10, 187–91, 193
 - refuge limited to physical survival, 182–7
- 'putative refugee' definition, 9, 27, 174
- refuge for putative refugees
 - functions of, 36, 38, 178–9, 180–1
 - scope of, 47–8, 175, 181
- rights of putative refugees, academic studies, 27
- internally displaced persons (IDPs)
 - alienage requirement of refugee definition, implications for, 175–6
 - definition, 10n, 27
 - IDP camps
 - claims resisting refuge in. *See* internal protection alternative (IPA) jurisprudence
 - conditions in, 174, 177, 178, 181, 184, 186, 189, 190
 - international law protections, 13
- Kampala Convention for the Protection and Assistance of Internally Displaced Persons in Africa 2009, 13, 27, 48, 176n
- refuge for, scope and content, 47–8
- rights of, 27, 178n, 181–2

- internally displaced persons (IDPs) (cont.)
IDPs as 'rightless persons' (Tuitt), 175–6, 182, 187
- international cooperation
Refugee Convention on, 10, 40–1, 167, 171, 196
Palestinian refugees' Article 1D claims, 167, 171
internal protection alternative (IPA)
jurisprudence, 175, 179, 186, 192, 193
solidarity principle of EU law, 123
- International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights
1966, 12, 26, 43, 49, 68, 70
Article 7 (inhuman or degrading treatment prohibition), 90–1, 98, 104
- International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights 1966 (ICESCR), 12, 26, 43, 49, 160–1, 180
- IPA jurisprudence. *See* internal protection alternative (IPA) jurisprudence
- Israel, asylum seeker relocation policy, 17n
- Italy
Libya, containment agreement with, 114, 139–40, 142
Hirsi Jamaa v Italy (ECtHR), 92–5, 101, 142n
Sentence No. 22917/2019 (Rome Court), 94
SS and Others v Italy (ECtHR), 92, 140n, 142
protection conditions, 52–3, 106n
AS v Switzerland (ECtHR), 108–11
Greek conditions compared with, 96–7, 103–4
NA (Sudan) and MR (Iran) v SSHD (EWCA Civ), 99, 105, 108
sexual violence risk, 107
Tarakhel v Switzerland (ECtHR), 96–8, 104–5, 108, 121n
UN treaty body jurisprudence on, 90–1
- JA v Netherlands* (ECtHR), 108
Januzi v SSHD (UKHL), 179, 182–3
Jasin v Denmark (UN HRC), 90–1, 104
Jawo v Germany (CJEU), 141
JK (Serbia) v SSHD (EWCA Civ), 189
- joint surveillance, interception and policing practices, 4, 81, 92, 139–40
- 'Jungle' camp in Calais, 1, 2, 51, 79, 89, 98n
- Juss, Satvinder, 80
- Kagan, Michael, 13
- Kälin, Walter, 27
- Kampala Convention for the Protection and Assistance of Internally Displaced Persons in Africa
2009, 13, 27, 48, 176n
- Kant, Immanuel, 28
- Karageorgiou, Eleni, 137
- karam* (Islamic notion of generosity and hospitality), 24–5
- Kayne, Catherine, 38
- Keitetsi, China, 30, 31, 32, 37
- Kenya
Bill of Rights, 58, 70
forced encampment jurisprudence. *See* Kenyan forced encampment jurisprudence
IDP protection legislation, 48n
Kenyan forced encampment jurisprudence, 6, 56–7, 78, 79, 196
human rights claims, inherent tensions and quandaries, 57–8
Kituo Cha Sheria v Attorney General, 56–7, 58–9, 82
categorical approach to refuge concept, 59–63, 67, 72, 73, 77, 83
experiential approach to refuge concept, 63–7, 90
Refugee Convention rights interpreted, 67–71, 75
later cases, 71, 197
closure of camps, challenges to, 77, 199
exceptionality assessments, 57, 72–5
individualisation of claims, 71–2
protracted encampment, challenges to, 75–7
- Kesby, Alison, 114, 129
- Keyes, Emily, 38
- KH (Sudan) v SSHD* (EWCA Civ), 187–8
- Khaled v SSHD No 1* (EWHC), 104n, 109n
- Khan v France* (ECtHR), 98n
- Kituo Cha Sheria v Attorney General*. *See under* Kenyan forced encampment jurisprudence
- Kiwanda Mbondenyei, Morris, 58
- KK (Unreported decisions - Practice Directions) Sudan* (UKAIT), 189
- Knop, Karen, 12, 60–1
- Krähenbühl, Pierre, 172–3
- Kritzman-Amir, Tally, 28, 180
- Kyriakides, Christopher, 152, 166–7
- Lambert, Hélène, 115, 148n
- Larking, Emma, 144, 203
- legal representation of refugees and asylum seekers, 18–19, 102
- LGBTQIA+ refugees, 44, 126–7, 198, 201, 202–3. *See also* feminist theory
- liberty, right to. *See* freedom of movement
- Libya–Italy containment agreement, 114, 139–40, 142
Hirsi Jamaa v Italy (ECtHR), 92–5, 101, 142n
Sentence No. 22917/2019 (Rome Court), 94
SS and Others v Italy (ECtHR), 92, 140n, 142
- Lilly, Damian, 27, 45, 53–4
- Locke, John, 28
- López-Farjeat, Luis Xavier, 28
- Macklin, Audrey, 171
- Maiani, Francesco, 80
- male refugees stereotyped as 'resilient', 189–91, 203
- Malkki, Liisa, 37–8, 64
- Mallia, Patricia, 87
- Malta, protection conditions, 93n, 123
- Mann, Itamar, 16, 115, 129, 139

- Manus Island detention centre, Papua New Guinea, 52, 53, 131–3, 144–5, 147, 199
- Marmo, Marinella, 199
- Mathew, Penelope, 24, 35, 40, 41
 on putative refugees, 27, 38, 47, 174n, 181, 182
- Mayblin, Lucy, 152–3, 154, 155, 167, 171
- McAdam, Jane, 39, 40
 on complementary protection, 3n, 26, 29
 on Palestinian refugees' Article 1D claims, 158
 on refugee protection, 26, 32, 39, 43n, 43, 49, 91
- Mégret, Frédéric, 58, 59, 60
- Melilla (Spanish enclave in Morocco), 91, 100
- Michigan Guidelines on Protection Elsewhere (2007), 50, 119, 202
- Michigan Guidelines on the Internal Protection Alternative (1999), 179n, 180n, 202
- Millbank, Jenni, 15, 200, 201, 203
- minimum protection standards, 49–50
 for protection elsewhere. *See* third country transfers jurisprudence
- Minow, Martha, 11
- Mishra, Mallica, 34
- MM (Zaghawa - Risk on Return - Internal Flight) Sudan* (UKIAT), 178
- MN and Others v Belgium* (ECtHR), 101n
- Mohammed, Seidu, 112
- MOJ & Ors (Return to Mogadishu) Somalia CG v SSHD* (UKUT), 185
- Moreno-Lax, Violeta, 40, 137, 139
- Mostafa Abed El Karem El Kott* (CJEU), 161–2, 163–4, 166, 168, 172
- Motz, Stephanie, 61, 89, 110
- Mouzourakis, Minos, 108
- MS v Slovakia and Ukraine* (ECtHR), 102n
- MSS v Belgium and Greece* (ECtHR), 82–8, 92, 97, 100, 101, 103–4, 109, 122
- NA (Sudan) and MR (Iran) v SSHD* (EWCA Civ), 99, 105, 108
- Nah, Alice, 41–2
- Nanima, Robert, 72
- nature of refuge. *See under* refuge concept
- Nauru offshore processing centre (for Australia), 52, 113, 129, 144
Plaintiff M68 (Aus HC), 129–31, 145, 199
Plaintiff S99 (Aus Fed Ct), 146
- ND and NT v Spain* (ECtHR), 91, 100–2
- neoliberal approach to refuge concept, 65–6, 67
- Neve, Alexander, 41
- New Zealand. *See* Aotearoa/New Zealand
- Neylon, Anne, 139n
- NF v European Council* (CJEU), 136–9, 142
- NGOs (non-governmental organisations), 41–2, 105, 108–11
- NO v SSHD* (CSIH), 189
- Noll, Gregor, 28
- non-refoulement*, 45, 90n, 103, 151
 Canadian jurisprudence on, 119, 125, 126–7
 chain *refoulement*, 95
 European jurisprudence on, 92, 95, 119
Kituo Cha Sheria (Kenya) on, 67–8
- non-state actors, 41–2, 105, 108–11, 124, 178–9, 180
- NS and ME* (CJEU), 116, 120–4, 127–8, 137
- Nyanduga, Bahame Tom Mukiyra, 41
- Nyaoro, Dulio, 24
- OAU Refugee Convention (Convention Governing the Specific Aspects of the Refugee Problems in Africa 1969), 24, 26, 41, 44, 163n
- Odhiambo-Abuya, Edwin, 25, 28, 40
- offshore processing, 52, 53, 113, 128–9, 199. *See also* third country transfers jurisprudence
- 'borders' in
 alignment approach (*Plaintiff M70* (Aus HC)), 124–5
 multiple and misaligned borders, 128–33, 144–5, 147
 refugees 'trapped' within borders, 144–7
- jurisprudence
Belden Norman Namah (PNG Sup Ct), 131–2, 144–5
Boochani v Papua New Guinea (PNG Sup Ct), 147
Plaintiff M68 (Aus HC), 129–31, 145, 199
Plaintiff M70. *See Plaintiff M70* (Aus HC)
Plaintiff S156 (Aus HC), 129, 144
Plaintiff S195 (Aus HC), 132–3, 145
Plaintiff S99 (Aus Fed Ct), 146
- Ogg, Kate, 137, 146, 201
- Oomen, Barbara, 25–6, 39
- Orchard, Phil, 175n
- Osogo Ambani, John, 58
- O'Sullivan, Maria, 178n, 191n
- OY (Chechen Muslim women) Russia CG* (UKAIT), 189n
- Paik, A. Naomi, 25
- Palestinian refugees, 13, 36, 53–4, 149–50
 Article 1D Refugee Convention claims by. *See* Palestinian refugees' Article 1D claims
- definition, 8
- rights of, academic studies, 26–7
- UNRWA's mandate for. *See* UNRWA (United Nations Relief and Works Agency for Palestinian Refugees in the Near East)
- work and study opportunities restricted, 52, 170
- Palestinian refugees' Article 1D claims, 9, 150–1, 154–5, 171–3
- Article 1D
 'continuative' or 'historically-bounded'
 interpretation debate, 156–8
 background to drafting, 155–6
 scope of refuge for Palestinian refugees, 45–7, 158–63, 164

- Palestinian refugees' Article 1D claims (cont.)
- involuntary flight requirement, 161–3
 - critical race, postcolonial and TWAIL theory critiques, 165–7
 - gendered implications, 167–9, 171, 200
 - refuge limited to physical survival, 163–5, 170–1, 197–8
 - UNRWA's lack of funding, claims based on, 169–71
 - jurisprudence
 - Abed El Kareem El Kott* (CJEU), 161–2, 163–4, 166, 168, 172
 - AD (Palestine)* (NZ IPT), 157–8, 158n, 159–63, 164–5, 166
 - AE (Lebanon)* (NZ IPT), 169–70
 - AQ (Jordan)* 169n
 - Bolbol v Bevándorlási és Állampolgársági Hivatal* (CJEU), 157, 158
 - Eli-Ali v SSHD* (EWCA Civ), 156
 - WABQ* (Aus Fed Ct), 156–7
 - palliative function of refuge, 36–9, 44, 60, 63–4, 74–5, 76–7, 188, 195–6
 - of family reunification, 91, 105, 110–11
 - Pan-Africanism, 24
 - Paposhvili v Belgium* (ECtHR), 109n
 - Papua New Guinea offshore processing centre (for Australia), 52, 53, 113, 129, 144, 199
 - Belden Norman Namah* (PNG Sup Ct), 131–2, 144–5
 - Boochani v Papua New Guinea* (PNG Sup Ct), 147
 - Plaintiff S156* (Aus HC), 129, 144
 - Plaintiff S195* (Aus HC), 132–3, 145
 - Passarlay, Gulwari, 30, 32–3
 - persecution requirement of refugee definition, 17n, 29, 152, 153n, 163, 166n, 198
 - philosophical approach to refuge concept, 28
 - Pickering, Sharon, 101n, 146n
 - Plaintiff M68* (Aus HC), 129–31, 145, 199
 - Plaintiff M70* (Aus HC), 128, 159
 - adequate refuge standard assessment, 116–18, 119, 120, 147, 196
 - juridical borders alignment, 124–5
 - Plaintiff S156* (Aus HC), 129, 144
 - Plaintiff S195* (Aus HC), 132–3, 145
 - Plaintiff S99* (Aus Fed Ct), 146
 - PO (Risk-Return-General) Sierra Leone CG* (UKIAT), 177
 - postcolonial, critical race and TWAIL analyses of
 - refugee law, 151–5, 165–7, 171, 175, 182
 - Price, Matthew, 24, 29, 36
 - process, refuge viewed as, 41, 64, 196
 - prospective IDPs, claims by. *See* internal protection alternative (IPA) jurisprudence
 - protection elsewhere agreements, challenges to. *See* third country transfers jurisprudence
 - protection from refuge claims, 2, 3, 10, 19–20, 203–4
 - age factors. *See* children; elderly persons
 - case studies
 - European claims. *See* European protection from refuge claims
 - IDP encampment, claims resisting. *See* internal protection alternative (IPA) jurisprudence
 - Kenyan claims. *See* Kenyan forced encampment jurisprudence
 - Palestinian refugees' claims. *See* Palestinian refugees' Article 1D claims
 - third country transfers, claims resisting. *See* third country transfers jurisprudence
 - disability factors. *See* disability
 - gender factors. *See* gender; women and girls
 - inherent tensions and quandaries, 3–5, 57–8
 - methodology of study, 11–14
 - comparative legal analysis, 14
 - critical race, postcolonial and TWAIL theories, 8, 150
 - feminist legal methodology, 14–16
 - refuge concept in. *See* refuge concept
 - rights-based arguments in, 12, 56, 78, 181–2
 - rights referenced. *See* rights of refugees and asylum seekers
 - scope of study, 16–19
 - sexuality factors, 44, 126–7, 198, 201, 202–3
 - protracted displacement, 53–4, 75–7
 - providers of refuge, 41–2
 - purposes of refuge. *See* refuge concept, functions of refuge
 - putative refugees' claims resisting IDP encampment. *See* internal protection alternative (IPA) jurisprudence
 - R (EM (Eritrea)) v SSHD* (UK Sup Ct), 97n, 121n
 - R (MA) v SSHD* (CJEU), 141
 - R (Tabrizagh) v SSHD* (EWHC (Admin)), 97
 - R (ZAT) v SSHD* (UKUT), 79, 88–90
 - reversal on appeal, 98–9, 105
 - RAA and ZM v Denmark* (UN HRC), 98, 104
 - Rabben, Linda, 40
 - Ramsay, Georgina, 1, 33, 35, 61
 - on female refugees' experiences, 25, 34, 65
 - on refuge as journey, 41
 - rape. *See* sexual and gender-based violence
 - Rawls, John, 28
 - readmission agreements, challenges to. *See* third country transfers jurisprudence
 - Reception Conditions Directive (Recast) (2013/33/EU), 44, 99n
 - refoulement*. *See non-refoulement*
 - refuge concept, 3–4, 5–6, 10, 19–20, 21–2, 54–5, 194–5
 - adequate refuge standards, 49–50
 - for protection elsewhere. *See* third country transfers jurisprudence
 - alternative terms distinguished, 22–3
 - dominant conceptions, 23–4

- categorical. *See* categorical approach to refugee concept
- experiential, 25–6, 35, 63–7, 90
- historical and cultural, 24–5
- philosophical, 28
- rights-based, 26–7
- functions of refugee, 30
- corrective justice function, 22, 33, 171, 180
- palliative function. *See* palliative function of refugee
- regenerative function, 33–6, 60, 64, 74–5, 76–7
- restorative function, 30–3, 60, 69, 93
- future research issues, 197, 199–200, 201–3
- nature of refugee
- as duty, 40–1, 118, 160–1, 178–9
- as gift or commodity, 41, 75, 102–3, 105
- as process, 41, 64, 196
- as remedy, 39–40, 60
- as right, 26–7, 40, 160–1
- providers of refugee, 41–2
- reality of refugee, 50–4
- scope of refugee, 42, 49
- for Convention refugees, 42–5
- for Palestinian refugees, 45–7, 158–63, 164
- for putative refugees and IDPs, 47–8, 175, 181
- Refugee Appeal No 76191* (NZ RSAA), 177, 178, 181
- Refugee Consortium of Kenya v Attorney General* (Ken HC), 71–4, 75
- Refugee Convention 1951, 7, 13, 103
- Article 1A. *See* refugee definition and status
- Article 1D claims by Palestinian refugees. *See* Palestinian refugees' Article 1D claims
- Article 31(1) (non-penalisation of illegal entrants), 103
- as a human rights instrument, 42–3, 45, 79, 81, 93, 179–80
- EU law instruments referencing, 121
- Kituo Cha Sheria* (Kenya), rights interpreted, 67–71, 75
- non-refoulement*. *See non-refoulement*
- scholarly views on, 12, 26, 31, 33–4, 39, 49
- comparative jurisprudence applying, 115
- critical race, postcolonial and TWAIL theory
- critiques of, 151–5, 175, 182
- international cooperation, 10, 40–1, 167, 171, 196
- in Palestinian refugees' Article 1D claims, 167, 171
- in internal protection alternative (IPA)
- jurisprudence, 175, 179, 186, 192, 193
- putative refugees, approaches to, 27
- regenerative aim, 33–4
- restorative aim, 32
- safety and security aim, 31–2
- state responsibilities under, 41
- temporal and geographical scope, 137n, 152–3
- refugee definition and status, 3, 14, 23, 86n, 103, 115, 198–9, *See also* internally displaced persons (IDPs)
- alienage requirement. *See* alienage requirement of refugee definition
- cessation of status, 38–9, 191–2
- critical race, postcolonial and TWAIL analysis of, 151–2, 153, 165, 166, 167, 175
- 'Palestinian refugee' definition, 8
- 'protection' afforded on basis of, 117
- 'putative refugee' definition, 9, 27, 174
- well-founded fear of persecution requirement, 17n, 29, 152, 153n, 163, 166n, 198
- regenerative function of refugee, 33–6, 60, 64, 74–5, 76–7
- regional containment instruments, challenges to. *See* third country transfers jurisprudence
- Rehaag, Sean, 26
- religious and cultural conceptions of refugee, 24–5
- remedies scholarship, 11, 39
- remedy, refugee viewed as, 39–40, 60
- reparation function of refugee, 22, 33, 171, 180
- 'resilient' refugees, 97–8, 188–91, 193, 201–2, 203
- restorative function of refugee, 30–3, 60, 69, 93
- rights of refugees and asylum seekers, 49
- asylum, right to (seek), 40, 137
- collective expulsion prohibition (ECHR Protocol 4 Article 4), 92, 100–1, 102
- dignity, 40, 42, 59–60, 86
- durable solutions to refugeehood. *See* durable solutions to refugeehood, right to
- freedom of movement. *See* freedom of movement
- group-based rights, 58
- inhuman or degrading treatment prohibition. *See* inhuman or degrading treatment
- inhuman or degrading treatment
- non-citizens' claims, local opposition to, 57–8
- non-refoulement*. *See non-refoulement*
- of putative refugees, 27, 178n, 181–2
- refuge conceived as right, 26–7, 40, 160–1
- in Refugee Convention. *See under* Refugee Convention 1951
- right to respect for private and family life (ECHR Article 8), 88–90, 98–9, 105, 110
- socio-economic rights, 180
- educational opportunities, 42–3, 47, 48, 64, 69, 76, 125, 160–1
- employment opportunities, 33, 42–3, 47, 64, 85, 181
- healthcare, 48, 64, 66, 69, 76, 160–1
- RM (Young Chechen Male - Risk - IFA) Russia CG* (UKAIT), 189
- safe third country decisions, 17–18, 114, 134–6
- Sale v Haitian Centers Council Inc.* (US Sup Ct), 25, 92
- Samow Mumin Mohamed v Cabinet Secretary et al* (Ken HC), 71, 72, 74–5
- sanctuary concept. *See* refugee concept
- Schnyder, Felix, 49
- Schultz, Jessica, 105n, 178n, 191
- on putative refugees, 27, 36, 38, 41, 47, 179, 181

- scope of refuge. *See under* refuge concept
Secretary of State for the Home Department v AH (Sudan) (UKHL), 174n
Secretary of State for the Home Department v MS (Somalia) (EWCA Civ), 191
Sentence No. 22917/2019 (Rome Court), 94
 sexual and gender-based violence. *See also* women and girls
 care needs of survivors, 44
 forced marriage as, 168
 as inhuman or degrading treatment, 107, 200
 in places of ostensible refuge, 51–2, 107, 131, 181, 185, 186, 190
 refugee status and *refoulement* risk founded on, 126–7, 167n
 state responsibility to prevent, 48
 unrecognised or notionally assessed needs of survivors, 105, 107, 108, 146, 200
 sexuality factors, 44, 126–7, 198, 201, 202–3
 Shachar, Ayelet, 114
 Shacknove, Andrew, 29
 Sharpe, Marina, 26, 50–1
SK (FGM - ethnic groups) Liberia CG (UKAIT), 185, 186, 188
 Skran, Claudia, 34
 Slaughter, Anne-Marie, 114–15, 118
 socio-economic rights. *See under* rights of refugees and asylum seekers
 solidarity principle of EU law, 123
 solutions to refugeehood. *See* durable solutions to refugeehood, right to
 Spijkerboer, Thomas, 16, 137, 138, 142, 169, 171, 190, 203
SS and Others v Italy (ECtHR), 92, 140n, 142
 Starfield, Gina, 89–90, 201
 Stephens, Murdoch, 25
 Stevens, Dallal, 36, 41, 50
 Stowe, Harriet Beecher, 40
 strategic litigation, 199–200
 Stråth, Bo, 37
Sufi and Elmi v United Kingdom (ECtHR), 18n, 181n
 surrogate state protection, 36, 39, 60
 Syrian refugee crisis in Europe, 102, 141, 154, 167
 EU–Turkey refugee resettlement agreement, 114
NF v European Council (CJEU), 136–9, 142

 Takkenberg, Lex, 13, 26–7, 45, 46, 47, 49, 164
 Tantalus, 204
Tarakhel v Switzerland (ECtHR), 96–8, 104–5, 108, 121n
 third country transfers, 50
 chain *refoulement* risk, 95
 Dublin System, 6, 7n, 80, 114
 challenges to. *See* European protection from refuge claims
 externalisation strategies, 80–1, 114
 challenges to. *See* third country transfers jurisprudence
 offshore processing for Australia. *See* offshore processing
 safe third country decisions, 17–18, 114, 134–6
 third country transfers jurisprudence, 7–8, 112–13, 147–8, 197
 ‘borders’ manoeuvring, 124
 alignment approach (*Plaintiff M70* (Aus HC)), 124–5
 extension approach (*Canadian Council for Refugees* (Can Fed Ct)), 125–7
 reticent approach (*NS and ME*) (CJEU), 127–8
 adequate refuge standard assessments, 113, 116
 high standard (*Plaintiff M70* (Aus HC)), 116–18, 119, 120, 147, 196
 lower standards
 Canadian Council for Refugees (Can Fed Ct), 116, 118–20, 125–7
 NS and ME (CJEU), 116, 120–4, 137
 Dublin System, challenges to. *See* European protection from refuge claims
 Italy–Libya containment agreements, challenges to, 114, 139–40, 142
 Hirsi Jamaa v Italy (ECtHR), 92–5, 101, 142n
 Sentence No. 22917/2019 (Rome Court), 94
 SS and Others v Italy (ECtHR), 92, 140n, 142
 multiple and misaligned juridical borders, 128
 Australian jurisprudence, 128–33
 Canadian jurisprudence, 133–6
 European jurisprudence, 136–40
 refugees ‘trapped’ between juridical borders, 140
 European/North African context, 140–2
 North American context, 142–4
 Oceanic region context, 144–7
 transjudicial communication in, 113–15, 148
 Ticktin, Miriam, 16
 Timmer, Alexandra, 84, 100
 torture victims, 91, 108–11
 transjudicial communication, 114–15, 148
 in third country transfers jurisprudence. *See* third country transfers jurisprudence
 trauma recovery. *See* palliative function of refuge
 Trump, Donald, 114, 149
 Tuitt, Patricia, 165–6, 180, 190, 193
 on IDPs, 175–6, 180, 182, 187
 Palestinian refugees, ideas applied to, 156, 161, 165, 166, 167, 172–3
 on refugee definition and status, 151–2, 153, 165, 166, 167, 175
 Turkey–EU refugee resettlement agreement, 114
NF v European Council (CJEU), 136–9, 142
 TWAIL, critical race and postcolonial analyses of refugee law, 151–5, 165–7, 171, 175, 182

- UN treaty body jurisprudence, 90–1, 98, 104
 unaccompanied minors, 88–9, 91, 98, 99, 141
 UNCCP (United Nations Conciliation Commission for Palestine), 45n, 155
 UNHCR (Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees), 23, 36, 41, 124
amicus curiae submissions
Kituo Cha Sheria (Kenya), 72
 NS and ME (CJEU), 121
 on Article 1D (Palestinian refugees), 160–1
 on cessation of refugee status, 192
 on the internal protection alternative (IPA), 38, 47–8
 on needs of refugees, 31, 32, 43, 202
 on rights of refugees, 34, 44
 on third country transfers, 50, 119
 United Kingdom
 Dublin System transfers, challenges to
Khaled v SSHD No 1 (EWHC), 104n, 109n
NA (Sudan) and MR (Iran) v SSHD (EWCA Civ), 99, 105, 108
R (EM (Eritrea)) v SSHD (Sup Ct), 97n, 121n
R (Tabrizagh) v SSHD (EWHC), 97
R (ZAT) v SSHD (UKUT and EWCA Civ), 79, 88–90, 98–9, 105
 internal protection alternative (IPA) jurisprudence
 categorical approach to refuge concept (early cases), 177–8, 181
 cessation of refugee status decisions (later cases), 191–2
 exceptionality assessments (later cases), 187–91, 193
 refuge limited to physical survival (later cases), 182–7
 tests applied, 179, 180–1, 183
 Palestinian refugees' Article 1D claims, 157n
Eli-Ali v SSHD (EWCA Civ), 156
 safe third country decisions, 17n
 United States
 Canada, safe third country agreement with, 112, 113–14
 challenges to. *See under* Canada
 immigration detention in, 53
 protection conditions, 53
 safe third country agreements with Guatemala, Honduras and El Salvador, 114
Sale v Haitian Centers Council Inc. (Sup Ct), 25, 92
 UNRWA funding by, 149–50
 Universal Declaration of Human Rights 1948, 40
 UNRWA (United Nations Relief and Works Agency for Palestinian Refugees in the Near East), 8–9, 13, 26–7, 33, 41, 155, *See also* Palestinian refugees' Article 1D claims
 funding crisis, 149–50, 158, 164, 167, 169–70, 171, 172–3
 mandate
 inability to fulfil, Article 1D claims based on, 169–71
 scope, 45–7, 159–62, 164, 168
 'Palestinian refugee' definition, 8
 utilitarianism, 28
 violence. *See also* sexual and gender-based violence
 care needs of survivors, 44
 torture victims, 91, 108–11
 in places of ostensible refuge, 51–2
 sexual violence, 51–2, 107, 131, 181, 185, 186
 vulnerability, 10, 53, 81
 feminist critiques of, 65, 84–5, 190
 group vulnerability, 59, 83–7, 90, 100
 jurisprudence addressing
 Australian jurisprudence, 145–7
 European jurisprudence, 83–7, 90, 97–100, 102–3, 106, 140–1, 197, 201
 internal protection alternative (IPA)
 jurisprudence, 187–91
 Kenyan jurisprudence, 59, 71, 72–4, 75, 83
 UN treaty body jurisprudence, 90–1
 'resilience' contrasted with, 97–8, 188–91, 193, 201–2, 203
 WABQ (Aus Fed Ct), 156–7
 Walzer, Michael, 28
 Wek, Alek, 35
 well-founded fear of persecution, 17n, 29, 152, 153n, 163, 166n, 198
 women and girls
 alienage requirement of refugee definition, gendered implications, 152, 175
 caregiving role, neglect by decision-makers, 34, 65, 66
 pregnant women and new mothers, healthcare needs, 53, 140, 146
 sexual and gender-based violence. *See* sexual and gender-based violence
 special protection needs, 43, 46–7, 48, 90–1, 201
 exceptionality assessments, 72–4, 75, 98, 106n, 187
 gender-blind decisions, 107–8, 134–6, 186, 200
 guidance on, 202–3
 notional assessments, 108, 146, 200
 'resilient' women, 188–9, 190, 201–2
 US protection conditions for, 127
 work opportunities. *See* employment opportunities in places of refuge
X and X v État belge (CJEU), 138
YJ (Non-Kurdish Speakers in KAA) Iraq CG (UKIAT), 177–8
 Zakrzewski, Rafal, 11
 Zimmermann, Susan, 25, 31, 33