

# ARCHAIC GREEK EPIGRAM AND DEDICATION

By the end of the archaic period, Greek sanctuaries were bursting with dedications, including many that bore epigrams. This study views dedications comprehensively as sites of ritual efficacy, and in particular it recovers epigrams' reflections of and contributions to that efficacy and restores them to an important place in the panorama of Greek religious practice. In order to reconstruct the archaic experience of reading and viewing, the book draws on studies of traditional poetic language as resonant with immanent meaning, early Greek poetry as socially and religiously effective performance, and viewing art as an active response of aesthetic appreciation. It argues that reading epigrams while viewing dedications generated effects of religious ritual and poetic performance, and that visual and verbal representation of the dedicator's act of offering associated that rite with similar effects, thereby framing the experiences of readers and viewers as reperformances of the earlier occasion.

JOSEPH W. DAY is Professor of Classics at Wabash College, Indiana and frequent Senior Associate Member of the American School of Classical Studies at Athens. He is the author of *The Glory of Athens: The Popular Tradition in Aelius Aristides* (1980); but subsequently he has focused on earlier inscribed Greek epigram, contributing to many journals and edited collections on that subject.



# ARCHAIC GREEK EPIGRAM AND DEDICATION

Representation and Reperformance

JOSEPH W. DAY

Wabash College, Indiana





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS
Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore,
São Paulo, Delhi, Dubai, Tokyo, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521896306

© Joseph W. Day 2010

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2010

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data
Day, Joseph W.
Archaic Greek epigram and dedication: representation and
reperformance / Joseph W. Day.

p. cm. Includes bibliographical references and indexes. ISBN 978-0-521-89630-6

Epigrams, Greek – History and criticism. 2. Inscriptions, Greek.
 Ritual in literature. 4. Gods, Greek in literature. 5. Shrines – Greece.
 6. Sacred space – Greece. 7. Art and literature – Greece.

8. Greece – Religious life and customs. I. Title.

PA3123.D39 2010 888′.010209 – dc22 2010029490

ISBN 978-0-521-89630-6 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



ΤΥΔΕΔΕΞΑΙΠΟΤΝΙΑΛΙΤΣΑ



### Contents

List of illustrations		page ix
Pr	eface	xiii
Li	List of abbreviations	
I	(Re)presentation and (re)performance	1
	Questions about reception	1
	Straightforward representation	5
	A thesis: (re)presentation generates (re)performance	14
	The argument of the book	17
	Scholarly context	21
	Telesinos again	24
2	Contexts of encounters and the question of reading	26
	Did Greeks view dedications and read their inscriptions?	26
	Reading Mantiklos' epigram (CEG 326)	33
	Efforts to attract and guide reading	48
	Literary evidence for epigraphic literacy	59
	Circumstances of viewing and reading	64
	Table 1: How readings are attracted	76
	Table 2: How readings are guided	80
3	Presenting the dedication	85
	Naming the dedication agalma	85
	The theme of agalma	88
	Agalma as performance frame	106
	Agalma: theme, frame, reperformance	120
	Appendix: How not to define <i>agalma</i> in inscriptions	124
4	Presenting the god	130
	Epigraphic divine names: representation and effects	130
	Activation of ritual: praising, conjuring, and constructing gods	141
	(Re)presentation of ritual: the Athenian Akropolis and the Panathenaia	159
	Conclusion: reperforming the Panathenaia	176

vii



viii	Contents	
5	Presenting the dedicator	181
	Piety or display?	181
	The dedicator's family	187
	The dedicator's achievement, especially athletic	198
	Piety and display	228
6	Presenting the act of dedicating	232
	Charis: then, now, forever	232
	The theme of <i>charis</i>	234
	The frame of <i>charis</i>	246
	The <i>charis</i> of the encounter	254
	The reperformance of <i>charis</i>	272
Bil	bliography	281
Index of inscriptions and passages discussed		312
Greek index		315
Subject index		316



## Illustrations

_	Т	- 1-1:	
1.		o dedications from the Athenian Akropolis:	page 2
	I.I.	Melesô's Athena Promachos (inscribed with <i>IG</i> 1 <sup>3</sup>	
		540 = <i>DVA</i> 647): Athens, National Museum, Bronze	
		6447. Photograph: ©Deutsches Archäologisches	
		Institut ATHEN, Neg. Nr.: D-DAI-ATH-	
		Nationalmuseum 4742 (EM. Czakó).	
	1.2.	Telesinos' column (inscribed with CEG 227): Athens,	
		Akropolis Museum 6505. Photograph: Hellenic	
		Ministry of Culture, 1st Ephorate of Prehistoric and	
		Classical Antiquities.	
2.		ntiklos' dedication (CEG 326), ?Thebes:	34
	2.I.	Inscribed statuette: Boston, Museum of Fine Arts,	
		Francis Bartlett Collection, 03.997. Photograph: the	
		Museum of Fine Arts.	
	2.2.	Drawing of <i>CEG</i> 326 for Jeffery 1990, plate 7.1 (right).	
		Scan: L. H. Jeffery Archive, Centre for the Study of	
		Ancient Documents.	
3.	Alk	imachos' dedication (CEG 195), Athenian Akropolis:	51
	3.I <b>.</b>	Ionic capital and inscribed column (Athens, Akropolis	
		Museum 124) and "scribe" statue $(629 + 3541)$ .	
		Photograph: Hellenic Ministry of Culture, 1st Ephorate	
		of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities.	
	3.2.	Drawing from Raubitschek 1939–40: 37, figure 4.	
		Reproduced with permission of the family of A. E.	
		Raubitschek. Scan: L. P. Day.	
	3.3.	"Scribe" statue with recently associated head fragments	
	, ,	(Akropolis 306 and plaster cast of Louvre 2718).	

Photograph: C. M. Keesling.



X	List of illustrations	
4.	Aischinês' dedication ( <i>CEG</i> 202), Athenian Akropolis: 4.1. Inscribed capital (Athens, Akropolis Museum 3759) and plinth with korê's feet (456). Photograph from Raubitschek 1939–40, plate 9.23. Reproduced with permission of the family of A. E. Raubitschek. Scan: M. Eaby.	52
	4.2. The capital (to the viewer's right of 4.1). Photograph: ©Deutsches Archäologisches Institut ATHEN, Neg. Nr.: D-DAI-ATH-Akropolis 1969/1655 (Dekoulakou).	
5.	Dêmokudês and Telestodikê's dedication ( <i>CEG</i> 414), Paros: 5.1. Inscribed pillar base, church of St. John Theologos (or Spiliotis), Paros. Photograph: R. F. Sutton.	54
	5.2. Squeeze of <i>CEG</i> 414 ( <i>IG</i> XII.5 215): Archiv der Inscriptiones Graecae an der Berlin-Brandenburgischen Akademie der Wissenschaften. Photograph: K. Hallof.	
6.	Aiglatas' dedication (CEG 374), Sparta:	56
	6.1. Inscribed stele: Sparta Museum 953. Photograph: O. Palagia, with permission of the Hellenic Ministry of Culture, 5th Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities.	
	6.2. Drawing of <i>CEG</i> 374 for Jeffery 1990, plate 36.22. Scan: L. H. Jeffery Archive, Centre for the Study of Ancient Documents.	
7.	Kuniskos' dedication ( <i>CEG</i> 383), Olympia:	218
•	7.1. Westmacott athlete: London, British Museum 1857,0807.1. Photograph: ©The Trustees of the British Museum (00398490001). All rights reserved.	
	7.2. Kuniskos' inscribed statue base: Olympia Museum 165. Photograph: H. Goette.	
8.	Lusôn's dedication (CEG 205), Athenian Akropolis:	235
	8.1. Inscribed pillar: Athens, Akropolis Museum 6503. Photograph: ©E. Babnik, with permission of the Hellenic Ministry of Culture, 1st Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities.	
	8.2. Associated korê: Athens, Akropolis Museum 612 + 304. Photograph: ©Deutsches Archäologisches Institut ATHEN, Neg. Nr.: D-DAI-ATH-Hege 790 (W. Hege).	
	8.3. Associated pillar capital (Athens, Akropolis Museum 9986) and plinth with korê's feet (464). Photograph:	



#### List of illustrations

хi

Hellenic Ministry of Culture, 1st Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities. 8.4. Drawing from Raubitschek 1939–40: 37, figure 11. Reproduced with permission of the family of A. E. Raubitschek. Scan: L. P. Day.



# Preface

Together with sacrifice, prayer, and libation, the offering of dedications was one of the basic religious activities of the ancient Greeks. From the ninth century BCE, they began filling sanctuaries with lasting gifts in fabric, wood, terracotta, metal, and stone to accompany sacrifices and other transient offerings such as processions, competitions, and performances of hymns. By 700, donors had begun inscribing their dedications with metrical texts, or epigrams, this book's chief focus. By the end of the archaic period, sanctuaries were bursting with dedications, many of which, especially finer objects like bronze and marble statues, bore epigrams.

Still, the corpus of extant epigrams is modest, around 250 physically surviving texts down to the end of the fifth century, plus a handful of genuine inscriptions preserved in literature. Most are brief, one or two hexameters, and after the middle of the sixth century, one or occasionally two elegiac couplets. Something over 500 verses are preserved, many very fragmentary. Dedications, the book's secondary focus, can be associated with epigrams only in a few cases; more commonly, an inscribed stone base for a statue or other offering survives, but no specific object can be matched to it. The class of object can usually be determined, however, and surviving examples of its type allow us to reconstruct the general look of inscribed monuments.

This study's scope is significantly determined by Hansen's corpus of archaic and fifth-century metrical inscriptions, *CEG* I, with additions and corrections in *CEG* II and the work of other scholars. Readers of this book will wish to have *CEG* to hand: I generally refer to epigrams with Hansen's

xiii

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Prose inscriptions, mostly gathered in Lazzarini's *DVA*, provide a comparative corpus. Gallavotti 1979 construes in lyric meters many inscriptions treated as prose or faulty hexameters or pentameters by most scholars. Following Hansen (see 1984, also *CEG* I, page xi, *CEG* II, page xi), I categorize inscribed verse and prose in the traditional manner, and I note that phraseology supports Hansen: epigraphic words and motifs that most resemble poetry appear largely or exclusively in inscriptions conventionally labeled epigrams.



xiv Preface

numbers alone, supplying other corpus numbers and references to studies only when cited for specific information or if not given in *CEG*. I have not pursued dedicatory epigrams beyond the fifth century, because my chief interest lies in the earlier material, and in any case carrying such a broad effort at cultural contextualization further forward was not feasible.

As much as possible, arguments are made from surviving texts; but reasonably secure restorations are printed, and more heavily restored inscriptions are cited as parallels in the notes. When a restoration is printed in the main text in CEG or my other source, the item number is bracketed in my notes: e.g., in a discussion of  $\mathring{\alpha}\gamma\alpha\lambda\mu\alpha$ , CEG [180] indicates that Hansen restores the word in his text. In the case of restorations suggested in Hansen's or other commentaries but not printed in their texts, a question mark is added: e.g., CEG [?203], where Hansen mentions Raubitschek's restored  $\mathring{\alpha}\gamma\alpha\lambda\mu\alpha$  only in his note.

For the transliteration of Greek words, personal names and most other proper nouns in inscriptions, and less well-known authors and historical figures, I follow common epigraphical practice, with these options: ch for *chi*, ê for *êta*, ô for *ômega*, u for *upsilon*. Thus, for example, Aiglatas, Alkman, Chêramuês, Lusôn, Mantiklos. Well-known ancient names and places appear in conventional English form (Apollo, Athena, Delphi, Pindar), though I prefer akropolis to acropolis. Translations of ancient authors and inscriptions are my own unless otherwise indicated.

This book has been long in the making. It began with an insight, hardly original to its author, that the archaic Greek epigram, together with the object on which it was written, stood in some relationship to an original ritual or ceremonial occasion: epitaph and grave marker memorialized the funeral; inscribed dedications recalled the rite of offering. Exploration of this idea has involved, at one level, analysis of epigraphic and iconographic representations of the original event and its effects; but already in my earlier work, the evidence was drawing me toward an hypothesis of reperformance, namely, that reading or hearing epigrams while viewing monuments, in their ancient physical, religious, and social contexts, reenacted the represented rites or occasions. Developing a mature concept of reperformance has progressed slowly, in part because of the need to attain a degree of proficiency in areas not previously central to my scholarship, specifically, in approaches to traditional poetic language as resonant with immanent, often metonymic meaning, to poetry and ritual as socially and religiously effective performance, and to viewing art as an active response of aesthetic appreciation and social or religious negotiation. The resulting argument of this book is twofold. First, reading and hearing epigrams



Preface xv

while viewing dedications generated effects of religious ritual and poetic performance such as emotional heightening, negotiation of social position, and perception of divine presence. And second, a dedication's visual and verbal representation of the act of offering associated that rite with similar effects, thereby framing the immediate experience of readers, hearers, and viewers as repetitions of the earlier occasion. Epigram and dedication thus memorialized dedicatory ritual by generating its reperformance in people's encounters with them.

In the course of this scholarly evolution, I have incurred a great many debts to generous colleagues and institutions. It gives me great pleasure to acknowledge major debts here and others in the notes, with the customary assertion that I alone bear responsibility for mistakes and excesses. I hope I have not overlooked too many kindnesses.

First come three fundamental debts. The late A. E. Raubitschek, my mentor at Stanford, originally suggested epigram to me as a topic of study and supported me in that project over many years in countless ways, always insisting that the texts be considered in their archaeological and literary contexts. Peter Hansen supplied amazingly detailed, sensible, constructive responses to drafts of articles, generous recommendations for funding, and personal hospitality in Oxford. My most persistent but patient critic, with whom I shared many pleasant working lunches in Athens, sadly not to be repeated, was the late Malcolm Wallace of Toronto.

I thank Ronald Stroud for generous sharing of his prodigious epigraphical experience, letters of recommendation to grant-giving institutions, and a careful reading of Chapter 2, also read by Alan Boegehold with his customary acuity and good sense. Eva Stehle commented helpfully on many matters and produced an extraordinary dissection of a draft of Chapter 6, which Anne Stewart also read, offering special insight on divine images. Catherine Keesling shared freely and often of her remarkable perceptiveness about Athenian Akropolis dedications and wrote several recommendations. Mary Depew (who also wrote recommendations), Nancy Felson, Leslie Kurke, and Michael Martin provided guidance for a rapid immersion in cultural studies and theories of discourse, performance, practice, and pragmatics. The late Marleen Flory, sorely missed, discussed the project at an early stage and supplied recommendations. I acknowledge others only by naming them: Judith Barringer, John Fischer, Greta Ham, Jeremy Hartnett, David Jordan, Nancy Klein, Robert Lamberton, Julia Lougovaya, Elizabeth Meyer, Paula Perlman, Andrej Petrovic, Molly Richardson, Kent Rigsby, Alan Shapiro, James Sickinger, Andrew Stewart, Stephen Tracy, Christos Tsagalis, William West, Bronwen Wickkiser.



xvi Preface

No part of this book reproduces any of my earlier publications or papers or substantial portions of them; but ideas, examples, and arguments developed there have found their way here, and I thank those who offered me a podium (or a press) and my wonderfully responsive audiences. David Mitten invited me to deliver a James Loeb Classical Lecture at Harvard in 1992 (revised as Day 1994), effectively an early outline of parts of this book. Peter Bing organized a symposium on Greek epigram at Emory in 1993, where I spoke on dedications; his comments and those of my respondent, William Race, challenged me to clarify my approach. Later, Prof. Bing and Jon Bruss asked me to contribute to Brill's Companion to Hellenistic Epigram an article that, with their assistance, became Day 2007, which contains part of this volume's Chapter 3 in nuce. Mary Depew and Dirk Obbink welcomed me into the 1996 colloquium on genre at the Center for Hellenic Studies; much improved by their suggestions and those of other participants (especially Glenn Most, Ian Rutherford, and Stephanie West), that talk appeared as Day 2000. At the kind invitation of Peter Liddel and Polly Low, I joined their stimulating conference on inscriptions in literature at the University of Manchester in 2009, which I wish had occurred earlier in this project.

Other audiences responded helpfully to presentations at the American School of Classical Studies at Athens (1991, 1994, 2001, invited by Directors James Muhly and the late William Coulson), APA annual meetings (1989, 1991, 1992, 2003), Gettysburg (1998, invited by Carolyn Snively), Indiana (1998, at the invitation of Kevin Glowacki), Tulane (1990, invited by Jane Carter), and Wabash (1992, 1995, 1999, 2002).

Heartfelt thanks go to Robert Bridges, the staff of the Blegen Library, and the other employees and administrators of the American School, where much of my research and writing has taken place. I am also grateful to the School for appointing me NEH Senior Research Fellow for work on this monograph in 2000–01. The National Endowment for the Humanities supported me with an earlier fellowship as well, also spent at the School, for a study of inscribed epitaphs (1984–85). Wabash College has been a stalwart supporter, providing sabbaticals (1990–91, 1997–98, 2005–06), summer travel courtesy of the Coss Faculty Development Fund, a McLain-McTurnan-Arnold Research Scholarship (spring 1994), and Mitchell Brown as a most helpful research assistant (2008). Sabbatical and fellowship income was augmented by grants from the American Council of Learned Societies (1990–91), the American Philosophical Society (1997–98), and the Wabash Center for the Teaching of Religion and Theology (2000–01).



Preface xvii

I thank the Greek Archaeological Service for permission to study, photograph, and publish objects in the Akropolis Museum (with the help of Christina Vlassopoulou), Epigraphical Museum (Dina Peppa-Delmousou and Chara Karapa-Molisani), and Sparta Museum (Adamantia Vasilogambrou). Maria Pilali, Assistant to the Director of the American School, secured permits for museum study and photography. For other help with images, I thank Charles Crowther (Centre for the Study of Ancient Documents, Oxford), Hans Goette and Joachim Heiden (Deutsches archäologisches Institut), Klaus Hallof (*Inscriptiones Graecae*), Erin Schleigh (Museum of Fine Arts, Boston), and Kristen Wenger (British Museum), as well as Pierre Aupert, Erin Babnik, Melissa Eaby, Catherine Keesling, Olga Palagia, John Raubitschek for the family of A. E. Raubitschek, Andrew Stewart, Robert Sutton and Susan Sutton, and Natalia Vogeikoff-Brogan.

At Cambridge University Press, Michael Sharp has been a most patient, responsive, and helpful editor, and his assistant editor Elizabeth Hanlon has rescued me repeatedly. The comments of the Press's anonymous readers proved immensely useful in my efforts to revise and enhance several arguments and to make the final version more "user-friendly" than the first.

Leslie Preston Day, to whom this volume is affectionately dedicated, has provided the constant support of a loving spouse over the whole period of the book's genesis; and she has unstintingly shared her professional expertise in countless discussions about epigrams, their monuments, and my work on both, always with the rigor and concision of an astonishingly perceptive field archaeologist and scholar of Aegean and Greek material culture.

Crawfordsville, Indiana 23 November 2009 JWD



### Abbreviations

Abbreviated forms of ancient authors and titles follow LSJ xvi–xxxviii, except Bacch. for Bacchylides and Pind. for Pindar. I generally follow *L'année philologique* for abbreviated journal titles; but I employ standard American forms (e.g., *CP*, not *CPh*) and follow *AJA* 104 (2000) 10–24 for archaeological publications (e.g., *AM*, not *MDAI[A]*, *JdI*, not *JDAI*).

ABV	J. D. Beazley, Attic Black-Figure Vase-Painters
110 /	j. D. Beable j, invite Butter i truit i tust i univers

(Oxford 1956)

Addenda<sup>2</sup> T. H. Carpenter, Beazley Addenda (2nd edn., Oxford

1989)

AM Akropolis Museum, Athens

ARV<sup>2</sup> J. D. Beazley, Attic Red-Figure Vase-Painters, 3 vols.

(2nd edn., Oxford 1963)

BE Bulletin épigraphique, published in REG (Paris 1888–)
Campbell D. A. Campbell (ed.), Greek Lyric, 5 vols., with trans.

(Cambridge, Mass. 1982–93)

CEG P. A. Hansen (ed.), Carmina epigraphica Graeca,

vol. I, saeculorum VIII-V a. Chr. n., vol. II, saeculi IV a. Chr. n. Texte und Kommentare 12, 15 (Berlin and New York 1983, 1989). Item numbers unless pages

indicated.

CVA Corpus vasorum antiquorum

DAA A. E. Raubitschek (ed.), Dedications from the

Athenian Akropolis (Cambridge, Mass. 1949). Item

numbers unless pages indicated.

DK H. Diels and W. Kranz (eds.), Die Fragmente der

Vorsokratiker, 3 vols., with trans. (6th edn., Berlin

1951-2 [1961 reprint])

xix



xx	List of abbreviations
DNP	H. Cancik and H. Schneider (eds.), <i>Der neue Pauly</i>
DVA	(Stuttgart 1996–) M. L. Lazzarini (ed.), <i>Le formule delle dediche votive</i> <i>nella Grecia arcaica</i> . MAL 8.19.2 (Rome 1976). Item numbers unless pages indicated.
EBGR	the Epigraphic Bulletin for Greek Religion in  Kernos
FGE	D. L. Page (ed.), Further Greek Epigrams, with comm. (Cambridge 1981)
FGrH	F. Jacoby (ed.), <i>Die Fragmente der griechischen Historiker</i> , with trans. and comm. (Berlin and Leiden, 1923–)
FH	P. Friedländer and H. B. Hoffleit (eds.),  Epigrammata, with trans. and comm. (Berkeley 1948). Item numbers unless pages indicated.
Graef-Langlotz	B. Graef and E. Langlotz, <i>Die antiken Vasen von der Akropolis zu Athen</i> , 2 vols. in 5 (Berlin 1925–33)
GVI	W. Peek (ed.), <i>Griechische Vers-Inschriften</i> vol. I (Berlin 1955)
ICret	M. Guarducci (ed.), <i>Inscriptiones Creticae</i> , 4 vols. (Rome 1935–50)
IErythrai 11	H. Engelmann and R. Merkelbach (eds.), <i>Die Inschriften von Erythrai und Klazomenai</i> vol. 11 = <i>IK</i> 11 (Bonn 1973)
IG	Inscriptiones Graecae (Berlin 1873–)
IGASMG	R. Arena (ed.), <i>Iscrizioni greche arcaiche di Sicilia e</i> <i>Magna Grecia</i> , 5 vols. (Milan 1989–98)
IK	Inschriften griechischer Städte aus Kleinasien (Bonn 1972–)
LfgrE	B. Snell et al. (eds.), <i>Lexikon des frühgriechischen Epos</i> (Göttingen 1955–)
LIMC	Lexicon iconographicum mythologiae classicae (Zürich and Munich 1981–97)
LP	E. Lobel and D. L. Page (eds.), Poetarum Lesbiorum
LSJ	fragmenta (Oxford 1955) H. G. Liddell, R. Scott, H. Stuart Jones, R. McKenzie, P. G. W. Glare, <i>Greek-English Lexicon</i> , with revised Supplement (9th edn., Oxford 1996)
M	H. Maehler (ed.), <i>Pindari carmina cum fragmentis</i> , Part 11 (Leipzig 1989)



	List of abbreviations xxi
ML	R. Meiggs and D. Lewis (eds.), A Selection of Greek Historical Inscriptions to the End of the Fifth Century BC, with comm. (2nd edn., Oxford 1988). Item
MW	numbers. R. Merkelbach and M. L. West (eds.), <i>Fragmenta Hesiodea</i> (Oxford 1967)
NM OCD³	National Archaeological Museum, Athens S. Hornblower and A. Spawforth (eds.), <i>Oxford</i> <i>Classical Dictionary</i> (3rd edn., Oxford 1996)
PCG	R. Kassel and C. Austin (eds.), <i>Poetae comici Graeci</i> (Berlin and New York 1983–)
PGM	K. Preisendanz and A. Henrichs (eds.), <i>Papyri Graecae magicae: Die griechischen Zauberpapyri</i> , 2 vols. (2nd edn., Stuttgart 1973–4)
РНІ	Packard Humanities Institute corpus, accessed either as "Greek Documentary Texts," CD-ROM 7 (Los Altos 1991–6) or "Searchable Greek Inscriptions" (http://epigraphy.packhum.org/inscriptions/)
PMG	D. L. Page (ed.), <i>Poetae melici Graeci</i> (Oxford 1962)
PMGF	M. Davies (ed.), <i>Poetarum melicorum Graecorum</i> fragmenta vol. 1 (Oxford 1991)
RE	A. Pauly, G. Wissowa, et al. (eds.), <i>Real-Encyclopädie</i> der classischen Altertumswissenschaft (Stuttgart and Munich 1893–1980)
RO	P. J. Rhodes and R. Osborne (eds.), <i>Greek Historical Inscriptions</i> , 404–323 BC, with trans. and comm. (Oxford 2003)
SEG SGO	Supplementum epigraphicum Graecum (Leiden 1923–)
360	R. Merkelbach and J. Stauber (eds.), <i>Steinepigramme</i> aus dem griechischen Osten, 5 vols., with trans. and comm. (Stuttgart, Leipzig, and Munich 1998–2004)
SLG	D. L. Page (ed.), Supplementum lyricis Graecis (Oxford 1974)
SM	For Bacchylides: H. Maehler, after B. Snell (eds.), Bacchylidis carmina cum fragmentis (Leipzig 1970) For Pindar: H. Maehler, after B. Snell (eds.), Pindari carmina cum fragmentis, Part 1 (Leipzig 1987)
ThesCRA	Thesaurus cultus et rituum antiquorum (Basel and Los Angeles 2004–6)



xxii	List of abbreviations
TLG	Thesaurus linguae Graecae, accessed either as
	CD-ROM D (Los Altos 1992) or on line
	(http://www.tlg.uci.edu/)
TrGF	B. Snell, R. Kannicht, and S. Radt (eds.),
	Tragicorum Graecorum fragmenta (Göttingen 1971–)
V	EM. Voigt (ed.), Sappho et Alcaeus: fragmenta
	(Amsterdam 1971)
W	M. L. West (ed.), Iambi et elegi Graeci ante
	Alexandrum cantati, 2 vols. (2nd edn., Oxford
	1989–92)