

The City in the Roman West, c. 250 BC – c. AD 250

The city is widely regarded as the most characteristic expression of the social, cultural and economic formations of the Roman Empire. This was especially true in the Latin-speaking West, where urbanism was much less deeply ingrained than in the Greek-speaking East but where networks of cities grew up during the centuries following conquest and occupation. This up-to-date and well-illustrated synthesis provides students and specialists alike with an overview of the development of the city in Italy, Gaul, Britain, Germany, Spain and North Africa, whether their interests lie in ancient history, Roman archaeology or the wider history of urbanism. It accounts not only for the city's geographical and temporal spread and its associated monuments (such as amphitheatres and baths), but also for its importance to the rulers of the Empire as well as the provincials and locals.

RAY LAURENCE is Professor of Roman History and Archaeology at the University of Kent. He has published widely on the history of Ancient Rome, including Roman Pompeii: Space and Society (2nd edition, 2007), The Roman Empire: Rome and its Environs (The Traveller's Guide to the Ancient World) (2008) and Roman Passions: A History of Pleasure in Imperial Rome (2009).

SIMON ESMONDE CLEARY is Reader in Archaeology at the University of Birmingham. His previous books include *The Ending of Roman Britain* (1989) and *Rome in the Pyrenees: Lugdunum and the* Convenae from the First Century BC to the Seventh Century AD (2007).

GARETH SEARS is Lecturer in Ancient History at the University of Birmingham. He is the author of *Late Roman African Urbanism* (2007).





The City in the Roman West c. 250 BC – c. AD 250

RAY LAURENCE

SIMON ESMONDE CLEARY

GARETH SEARS





CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521701402

© Ray Laurence, Simon Esmonde Cleary and Gareth Sears 2011

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2011 3rd printing 2014

Printed in the United Kingdom by the CPI Group Ltd, Croydon CR0 4YY

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data

Laurence, Ray, 1963-

The city in the Roman West, c.250 BCc–c.AD 250 / Ray Laurence,

Simon Esmonde Cleary, Gareth Sears.

p. cm.

ISBN 978-0-521-70140-2 (pbk.)

1. Cities and towns – Rome. 2. Urbanization – Rome. 3. Sociology, Urban – Rome.

4. City planning - Rome. I. Esmonde Cleary, A. S. (A. Simon) II. Sears, Gareth,

1977- III. Title.

DG70.A1L38 2011

307.760937–dc22

2010053225

ISBN 978-0-521-87750-3 Hardback

ISBN 978-0-521-70140-2 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Contents

	List of illustrations Preface	page vi xiii
	Introduction	1
1	The creation of an urban culture	12
2	Colonisation and the development of Roman urbanism	37
3	City foundation, government and urbanism	64
4	The reception of Roman urbanism in the West	91
5	Town planning, competition and the aesthetics of urbanism	n 115
6	Defining a new town: walls, streets and temples	135
7	Assembling the city 1: forum and basilica	170
8	Assembling the city 2: baths and urban life	203
9	Assembling the city 3: theatres and sacred space	231
10	Assembling the city 4: amphitheatres	259
11	The Roman city in <i>c</i> . AD 250: an urban legacy of empire?	285
	Bibliography	320
	Index	349



Illustrations

1.1	Mid-Republican Rome (late third century BC). p	age	13
1.2	Central monumental area of pre-Roman Pompeii		
	(second-first century BC). New plan based on		
	Zanker (1988), p. 63.		18
1.3	Fregellae (second century BC): showing the position		
	of forum in relation to the Via Latina and walls of		
	the city. New plan based on Coarelli (1998), p. 122.		21
1.4	Córdoba (second century BC): showing the relationship		
	between the internal layout of the city, the forum and the		
	city's walls and gates. After Ventura et al. (1998), Fig. 1.		27
1.5	Emporiae: plan showing the relationship between the		
	earlier city and the Roman transformation of this		
	settlement. After Keay ed. (2006) Fig. 1.2.		29
1.6	Baetulo: the fully developed Roman city that demonstrates		
	the relationship of this new urban form to that of the earlier		
	city constructed on the coast. After Keay ed. (2006) Fig. 4.3.		31
2.1	Paestum: showing the addition of Roman structures		
	(forum and amphitheatre in particular) alongside		
	the earlier temples constructed in the Greek colonial		
	phase at this site.		41
2.2	Cosa: the colony was founded on the summit of this		
	promontory. After Fentress (2003), p. 22.		42
2.3	Cosa: plan of the second-century colony showing the		
	distribution of property and location of monuments. Photo		
	R. Laurence.		43
2.4	Cosa: the forum. After Fentress (2003), p. 24.		44
2.5	Cosa. Photo R. Laurence.		45
2.6	Aosta: plan of the Augustan colony. After Liberati,		
	A. M. and Bourbon, F. (2005), Rome, Splendours		
	of an Ancient Civilization, London, p. 152.		49

vi



List of illustrations vii

2.7	Mérida: plan of the Augustan colony. After Bendala	
	Galán, M. (1993), The Hispano-Roman Town,	
	Barcelona. p. 141.	52
2.8	Mérida: the image of the city as represented on coins minted	
	by this Augustan colony. Drawn by Mr Henry Buglass.	53
2.9	Luni: plan showing the relationship between the monuments	
,	of the city and the Via Aurelia, as well as the later monuments	
	(amphitheatre and theatre). After Potter (1987), p. 75.	56
2.10	Colchester: plans showing (above) the legionary fortress	50
2.10	and (below) the <i>colonia</i> . Some of the organisation of space in	
	these two plans shows the continuity between living in the	
	fortress as a soldier and living in a colony as a veteran. After	
	Crummy (1988), Fig. 2.2.	60
3.1	Curule Chair (sella curulis) and fasces on a funerary relief of a	00
	city magistrate Gaius Otacilius Oppianus (<i>quattuovir</i>). By	
	kind permission, Musée Calvet, Avignon.	68
3.2	Magistrate sacrificing with toga drawn over his head	
	with assistants. Photo R. Laurence.	75
3.3	View of the stage, upper image from the seats of the <i>ordo</i> of	
	decurions and lower image from the middle of the <i>cavea</i>	
	(seating area) – small theatre at Pompeii. Photo R. Laurence.	76
3.4	An inscribed listing of markets in Campania and Latium. After	
	Storchi Marino (2000), p. 102.	78
3.5	Lyon: plan showing the location of the major monuments of	
	the colony in relation to the Sanctuary of the Three Gauls and	
	the canabae. After Bedon (2001), p. 202.	87
4.1	Saint Bertrand: plan. After Esmonde Cleary (2007), Fig. 2.2.	97
4.2	Forum Novum: plan showing the development of urbanism.	
	Kindly provided by V. L. Gaffney.	100
4.3	Silchester: plan showing the distribution of monuments in	
	the pre-Flavian phase. After Fulford (2003), Fig. 10.1.	101
4.4	Tarragona: plan of the Temple of Rome and Augustus (first	
	century AD). After Dupré I Raventós (1995), Fig. 5.	104
4.5	Italica: plan showing the extension of the city in the second	
	century AD. After Keay (1998), Fig. 11.	107
4.6	Neuss: plan of the legionary fortress. After Chantraine, F. et al.	
	(1984), Das römische Neuss, Stuttgart. Fig. 32.	109
5.1	Naples: plan showing the organisation of the street grid in	
	relation to the monuments, city walls and harbour.	
	After Arthur (2002), p. 36.	119



viii List of illustrations

5.2	Falerii Novi: plan showing intersection of N-S and E-W	
	through-routes on the forum. After Keay et al. (2000), Fig. 7.	120
5.3	Engraving of the Septizodium.	125
5.4	Lepcis Magna: plan showing the development of Severan	
	monuments. After Mattingly (1995), p. 117.	128
5.5	Graph showing the number of building inscriptions per	
	annum in North African provinces.	132
5.6	Djemila: plan of the city showing the development of Severan	
	monuments. After Février (1964), Fig. 8.	133
6.1	Illustrations from texts of land surveyors (Agrimensores).	
	After Campbell (2000), pp. 285, 292, 293.	136
6.2	Norba: layout of the city. After Quilici Gigli (2003), p. 289.	143
6.3	Norba: the city walls. Photo R. Laurence.	144
6.4	Minturnae: shows the expansion of this city from the nucleus	
	of a small colonial fortress adjacent to the river Liris and the	
	building of monuments along the line of the Via Appia.	145
6.5	Rimini: the Arch in front of the city walls. Photo R. Laurence.	150
6.6	Amiens: a city without walls, featuring an extensive street grid	
	at the centre. After Bayard, D. and Massy, JL. (1983),	
	Amiens Romain, Amiens. Fig. 15.	152
6.7	Ribemont-sur-Ancre: showing the monumental development	
	of the sanctuary and (inset) its relationship with Amiens.	
	After Agache (1978), Fig. 36.	153
6.8	Jublains: plan showing the extent of urban development	
	focussed on the sanctuary. After Naveau ed. (1997), Fig. 10.	155
6.9	Paris: plan showing the relationship between the	
	through-route and bridging-point of the river Seine and	
	monumental development within the city. After	
	Bedon (2001), p. 249.	156
6.10	Bordeaux: plan showing the layout of the city in relation to	
	the ancient line of the river Garonne. After Bedon	
	(2001), p. 114.	157
6.11	Carthage: plan showing layout of city in relation to the	
	harbour and monumental developments and the extensive	
	grid of streets. After Ennabli (1997), p. 6.	161
6.12	Timgad: plan showing development of the city within the	
	original walled area and the expansion of the city, including	
	monumental development, beyond the walls. After	
	Ballu (1911), Plate prior to page 1.	162



List of illustrations

ix

6.13	Sbeitla: plan of the street grid and monuments within	
	the city. After Duval, N. (1982), 'L'Urbanisme de	
	Sufetula', ANRW II.10.2, Berlin. Fig. 2.	163
6.14	Haïdra: plan of the city showing the distribution of	
	monuments in relation to the main routes through the city.	
	After Duval (1982), Fig. 2.	164
6.15	Sabratha: showing two monumental zones each associated	
	with a different orientation of the grid of streets.	
	After Kenrick, P. M. (1986), Excavations at Sabratha	
	(1948–51), London. Fig. 122.	166
7.1	Pompeii: the forum in the first century BC and the forum in	
	the first century AD. After Zanker (1998), Figs. 19 and 37.	174
7.2	Brescia: the forum is bisected by a through-route creating a	
	division of space.	177
7.3	Augusta Bagiennorum (modern Benevagienna): the forum	
	with its temple complex. After Ward-Perkins (1970), Fig. 3.	178
7.4	Conimbriga: two phases of the forum. After Alarçao	
	and Etienne (1977), Planche VI.	179
7.5	Baelo: plan of the city showing the development of the forum	
	as an integral space through which no roads pass.	
	After Keay ed. (1998), Fig. 8.	181
7.6	Augst: the double forum. After Millett (1990), Fig. 19.	182
7.7	Glanum: the forum developed as a central space into which	
	temples were added. After Congès (1992), Fig. 10.	183
7.8	Verulamium: reconstruction of the plan of the forum.	
	After Millett (1990), Fig. 23.	184
7.9	London: the Hadrianic forum. After Perring (1991a),	
	Fig. 23.	185
7.10	Bavai: a huge effort was made to construct a raised forum	
	that would dominate the skyline with rooms and porticoes on	
	concrete vaults (cryptoporticus) surrounding a central	
	paved area. After Feytmans, G. F. (1957), Recueil des	
	Bronzes de Bavai, Paris: Planche hors-texte.	187
7.11	Bavai: the west end of the <i>cryptoporticus</i> complex. Photo	
	A. S. Esmonde Cleary.	187
7.12	Timgad: schematic plan of the city with the forum presented	
	in more detail. After Ballu (1911), Plate prior to page 1.	191
7.13	Thugga: plan of the forum. After Poinssot (1958).	193
7.14	Djemila: plan of the forum and its associated structures.	
	After Zimmer (1989), Fig. 16.	196



x List of illustrations

7.15	Segobriga: plan of excavated remains of the forum. After	
	Alfoldy et al. (2003), p. 231.	198
7.16	Velleia: plan of the excavated remains including the forum that	t
	has no temple and a relatively small paved area at its centre.	201
8.1	Pompeii: plan of the Stabian Baths. After Nielsen	
	(1990), Fig. 75.	206
8.2	Pompeii: plan of the Forum Baths. After Nielsen	
	(1990), Fig. 78.	208
8.3	Pompeii: plan of the Central Baths. After Nielsen	
	(1990), Fig. 79.	209
8.4	Glanum, a simple bath-building. After Nielsen	
	(1990), Fig. 109.	215
8.5	Saint-Bertrand North Baths: a second-century bath-complex.	
	After Esmonde Cleary (2007), Fig. 3.3.	216
8.6	Lepcis Magna: the Hadrianic Baths. After Di Vita et al.	
	(1999), p. 92.	217
8.7	Trier 'Barbarathermen': a complex of 'imperial-plan' baths	
	dating to the early second century. After Wightman	
	(1990), p. 83.	218
8.8	Conimbriga: upper figure shows the Augustan building; that	
	was replaced by the much larger complex in the late	
	first/early second century (lower figure). After Nielsen	
	(1990), Fig. 120.	220
8.9	Paris Cluny baths: a large-scale baths of 'imperial' plan.	
	After Duval, PM. (1968), Paris Antique, p. 149.	221
8.10	Thuburbo Maius: plan of the city showing the position of	
	baths in relation to other monuments within the excavated	
	areas. After Alexander et al. (1980), Plate 34.	225
9.1	Pietrabbondante: plan of the sanctuary. After Coarelli	
	and La Regina (1984), p. 233.	234
9.2	Gabii: plan of the sanctuary. After Coarelli (1987), p. 14.	235
9.3	Autun: plan of the city showing locations of theatre and	
	amphitheatre within the walls and the extra-mural theatre.	
	After Rebourg, A. (1993), Carte Archéologique de la	
	Gaule 71/1 Autun. p. 65.	241
9.4	Vieil-Evreux: plan of the site showing locations of the	
	temples and theatre. After Cliquet et al. (1998), fig. 5.	242
9.5	Lepcis Magna: plan of the theatre. After Sear (2006),	
	Plan 255.	247



List of illustrations xi

9.6	Thugga: plan of the sanctuary. After Saint-Amans (2004),	
	Fig. 11.	249
9.7	Pompeii: plan. After Zanker (1998), Fig. 12.	254
10.1	El Djem: the amphitheatre provides a model for the staging	
	of Hollywood's conception of the Roman games. Photo	
	G. M. Sears.	260
10.2	Distribution map of amphitheatres in the Roman West.	261
10.3	Nîmes: the amphitheatre. Photo A. S. Esmonde Cleary.	264
10.4	Amiens: the amphitheatre. After Bayard, D. and	
	Massy, JL. (1983), Amiens Romain, Amiens. Fig. 22.	269
10.5	Segobriga: plan of the city showing the position of the	
	amphitheatre and theatre located to exploit the existing slopes	
	of the site, whilst being also in close proximity to the forum.	
	After Keay et al. (2006), Fig. 14.1.	270
10.6	Lepcis Magna: standing remains of the amphitheatre.	273
10.7	Carthage: plan of the amphitheatre. After Golvin	
	(1988), Plate 21.	274
10.8	Lepcis Magna: plan of the amphitheatre. After Golvin	
	(1988), Plate 13.	275
10.9	El Djem: plan and section of the amphitheatre. After	
	Golvin (1988), Plate 47.	276





Preface

The city is widely regarded as the most characteristic expression of the social, cultural and economic formations of the Roman empire, perhaps especially in the Latin-speaking areas of the empire where urbanism was much less deeply engrained than in the Greek East. Yet there is no textbook (or book for that matter) that provides students with an overview of the city in the western Roman Empire. There are numerous reasons for this, one being that most academics focus on the study of the city in a single province or within a limited geographical region. We took a step to overcome this limitation to our understanding of the Roman city and began a dialogue that shared our expertise on the Roman city in North Africa (Sears); in Italy (Laurence) and in the North-West provinces (Esmonde Cleary). This three-way discussion produced some unexpected results that altered the way we conceived of the Roman city: certainly all of us gained from the experience. What it showed was that any single region could not encapsulate the variation in form, time and space associated with the Roman city. The results of our discussion are presented here.

We have used standard conventions and abbreviations for referring to ancient authors; these can be found in *The Oxford Classical Dictionary* (2nd edition edited by S. Hornblower and A.J. Spawforth) and for abbreviations of journals see *L'Année Epigraphique*. Although we have included numerous plans and illustrations etc., we refer readers to *The Barrington Atlas of the Greek and Roman World* (edited by R. J. A. Talbert) for locations of cities mentioned in the text.

We wish to acknowledge the support of colleagues in the Institute of Archaeology and Antiquity at the University of Birmingham, in particular Henry Buglass and Graham Norrie who went beyond the call of duty in the production of the line drawings and photographs respectively and deserve our warmest thanks. Michael Sharp at Cambridge University Press has consistently provided support for this project. The comments of the five anonymous referees, three who reported on the original proposal and two

xiii



xiv Preface

who reported on the text, have our warmest thanks for raising a number of issues that we had not foreseen. Sections of the book have been read by students at Birmingham, who offered further comments on the ease of use of the volume from their perspective. It has not been possible to take account of publications appearing since May 2009.