The partition of India in 1947 was a seminal event of the twentieth century. Much has been written about the Punjab and the creation of West Pakistan; by contrast, little is known about the partition of Bengal. This remarkable book by an acknowledged expert on the subject assesses partition’s huge social, economic and political consequences. Using previously unexplored sources, the book shows how and why the borders were redrawn, as well as how the creation of new nation states led to unprecedented upheavals, massive shifts in population and wholly unexpected transformations of the political landscape in both Bengal and India. The book also reveals how the spoils of partition, which the Congress in Bengal had expected from the new boundaries, were squandered over the twenty years which followed. This is an original and challenging work with findings that change our understanding of partition and its consequences for the history of the sub-continent.
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## Glossary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Translation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>adhia</td>
<td>sharecropper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adivasi</td>
<td>original (tribal) inhabitant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anjuman</td>
<td>association (Muslim)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>antahpur</td>
<td>inner chambers of the household</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>atmiya</td>
<td>one’s own, related by blood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>atmiya-swan</td>
<td>kinsfolk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>babu</td>
<td>traditional (Hindu) title of respect; Anglo-Indian term (pejorative) for western-educated Hindus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bangal</td>
<td>native of eastern Bengal (pejorative: unsophisticated rustic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bastuhara</td>
<td>refugee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>benami</td>
<td>nominal transfer (of property) in another person’s name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bhadralok</td>
<td>gentlefolk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bustee</td>
<td>tenement, slum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>char</td>
<td>sandbank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>crore</td>
<td>ten million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dada</td>
<td>lit. elder brother; leader of party, faction or gang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>desh</td>
<td>nation, province, native place, village</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dewan</td>
<td>finance minister or financial steward</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dooars</td>
<td>lit. gateway; foothills of the Himalayas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gherao</td>
<td>lit. to surround; to gather round threateningly and hold captive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ghoti</td>
<td>native of western Bengal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>go-korbani</td>
<td>cow-sacrifice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>goonda</td>
<td>ruffian, thug</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>jamaat</td>
<td>(Muslim religious) association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>jhi</td>
<td>maidservant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kisan sabha</td>
<td>peasant association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lakh</td>
<td>hundred thousand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lascar</td>
<td>sailor, naval soldier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lathi</td>
<td>bamboo stave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lungi</td>
<td>long loincloth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>maidan</td>
<td>field, park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mastaan</td>
<td>a rowdy, gang-leader or boss of a locality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>maund</td>
<td>82.28 pounds (or 40 seers)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mofussil</td>
<td>district, countryside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mohalla</td>
<td>neighbourhood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>muhajir</td>
<td>lit. pilgrim; Muslim refugees in Pakistan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nawab</td>
<td>a (Muslim) prince or viceroy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pargana</td>
<td>administrative unit, revenue district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pice</td>
<td>1/64th of the old rupee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pie</td>
<td>1/192nd of the old rupee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>samaj</td>
<td>society</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sangathan</td>
<td>unity, consolidation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sardar</td>
<td>boss, gangleader, foreman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>satyagraha</td>
<td>lit. truth-force; campaign led by Gandhi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sharki</td>
<td>arrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shiksha</td>
<td>knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shuddhi</td>
<td>ritual purification (Hindu)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tebhaga</td>
<td>in three parts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thana</td>
<td>police station or criminal district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zamindari</td>
<td>landholding on which revenue is payable, large estate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zulum</td>
<td>oppression</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Straddling as it does a period of change and upheaval, the book has had to take a view on how to deal with entities and terminology which changed during the period, and again the approach has aimed at ease of understanding. After India adopted its constitution in 1950, ‘premiers’ in the provinces were known as ‘chief ministers’, and the ‘provinces’ were known as ‘states’: I have always plumped for the most appropriate and intelligible word given the context. The terms ‘western Bengal’ and ‘eastern Bengal’ refer to geographical regions of the undivided province; ‘West Bengal’ and ‘East Bengal’ describe the new political units after 1947. After 1956, ‘East Bengal’ came to be known as ‘East Pakistan’, but I have stuck with ‘East Bengal’ so as not to confuse the reader.
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