

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-86769-6 - International Law Reports, Volume 128

Edited by Elihu Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood, A. G. Oppenheimer and Karen Lee

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

---

INTERNATIONAL  
LAW REPORTS

VOLUME 128

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-86769-6 - International Law Reports, Volume 128

Edited by Elihu Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood, A. G. Oppenheimer and Karen Lee

Frontmatter

[More information](#)*Volumes published under the title:***ANNUAL DIGEST AND REPORTS  
OF PUBLIC INTERNATIONAL LAW CASES**

- |                   |   |   |
|-------------------|---|---|
| Vol. 1 (1919-22)  | } | Edited by Sir John Fischer Williams, K.C.,<br>and H. Lauterpacht, LL.D. |
| Vol. 2 (1923-24)  |   |   |
| Vol. 3 (1925-26)  | } | Edited by Arnold D. McNair, C.B.E., LL.D.,<br>and H. Lauterpacht, LL.D. |
| Vol. 4 (1927-28)  |   |   |
| Vol. 5 (1929-30)  | } | Edited by H. Lauterpacht, Q.C., LL.D., F.B.A.                           |
| Vol. 6 (1931-32)  |   |   |
| Vol. 7 (1933-34)  |   |   |
| Vol. 8 (1935-37)  |   |   |
| Vol. 9 (1938-40)  |   |   |
| Vol. 10 (1941-42) |   |   |
| Vol. 11 (1919-42) |   |   |
| Vol. 12 (1943-45) |   |   |
| Vol. 13 (1946)    |   |   |
| Vol. 14 (1947)    |   |   |
| Vol. 15 (1948)    |   |   |
| Vol. 16 (1949)    |   |   |

*Volumes published under the title:***INTERNATIONAL LAW REPORTS**

- |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|
| Vol. 17 (1950)  | } | Edited by Sir Hersch Lauterpacht, Q.C., LL.D.,<br>F.B.A.                            |
| Vol. 18 (1951)  |   |   |
| Vol. 19 (1952)  |   |   |
| Vol. 20 (1953)  |   |   |
| Vol. 21 (1954)  | } | Edited by Sir Hersch Lauterpacht, Q.C., LL.D.,<br>F.B.A., and E. Lauterpacht        |
| Vol. 22 (1955)  |   |   |
| Vol. 23 (1956)  |   |   |
| Vol. 24 (1957)  | } | Edited by E. Lauterpacht, Q.C.  |
| Vol. 25 (1958-I)  |   |   |
| Vol. 26 (1958-II)   | } | Edited by E. Lauterpacht, Q.C.  |
| Vols. 27—68 <i>and</i> Consolidated Tables and Index to Vols. 1—35 <i>and</i> 36—45   |   |   |
| Vols. 69—128 <i>and</i> Consolidated Index and Consolidated Tables of Cases<br>and Treaties to Vols. 1—80, Vols. 81—100<br><i>and</i> Vols. 1—125 |   |   |
|   |   | Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht, C.B.E., Q.C.,<br>and C. J. Greenwood, C.M.G., Q.C. |

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-86769-6 - International Law Reports, Volume 128

Edited by Elihu Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood, A. G. Oppenheimer and Karen Lee

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

*Lauterpacht Research Centre for International Law  
University of Cambridge*

# INTERNATIONAL LAW REPORTS

**VOLUME  
128**

*Edited by*

**SIR ELIHU LAUTERPACHT, CBE QC**

*Honorary Professor of International Law, University of Cambridge  
Bencher of Gray's Inn*

**C. J. GREENWOOD, CMG QC**

*Professor of International Law, London School of Economics and Political Science  
Bencher of Middle Temple*

**A. G. OPPENHEIMER**

*Associate Editor: Civil Law Jurisdictions  
Fellow of the Lauterpacht Research Centre for International Law, University of Cambridge*

**KAREN LEE**

*Assistant Editor  
Fellow of the Lauterpacht Research Centre for International Law, University of Cambridge  
Fellow of Girton College, Cambridge*

GROTIUS PUBLICATIONS



**CAMBRIDGE  
UNIVERSITY PRESS**

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-86769-6 - International Law Reports, Volume 128

Edited by Elihu Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood, A. G. Oppenheimer and Karen Lee

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo

Cambridge University Press

The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

[www.cambridge.org](http://www.cambridge.org)

Information on this title: [www.cambridge.org/9780521867696](http://www.cambridge.org/9780521867696)

© Sir Elihu Lauterpacht 2006

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2006

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

*A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library*

ISBN-13 978-0-521-86769-6 hardback

ISBN-10 0-521-86769-X hardback

---

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

---

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-86769-6 - International Law Reports, Volume 128

Edited by Elihu Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood, A. G. Oppenheimer and Karen Lee

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

## CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
PREFACE	vii
EDITORIAL NOTE	ix
TABLE OF CASES (alphabetical)	xiii
TABLE OF CASES (according to courts and countries)	xv
DIGEST (main headings)	xvii
DIGEST OF CASES REPORTED IN VOLUME 128	xix
TABLE OF TREATIES	xxxv
REPORTS OF CASES	1
INDEX	723

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-86769-6 - International Law Reports, Volume 128

Edited by Elihu Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood, A. G. Oppenheimer and Karen Lee

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

## PREFACE

The present volume includes the decisions and award given under Chapter 11 of the North American Free Trade Agreement in the *Loewen* case together with the United States judicial review decision. The jurisprudence of international tribunals is also reflected in the judgments of the International Court of Justice and the Special Court for Sierra Leone in the *Arrest Warrant* and *Taylor* cases respectively and in the judgment of the International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea on the application for prompt release in *The Juno Trader* case. Also included is the award in the arbitration between Newfoundland and Labrador and Nova Scotia. The national decisions are from Belgium, Canada, England, France, Germany, Italy, the Netherlands, Serbia, Spain, Sweden and the United States of America.

There are many people, to all of whom the Editors are most grateful, whose work has made this volume possible. Mr Joshua Brien, LL.M., prepared the summaries of the *Arrest Warrant*, *Taylor* and *The Juno Trader* cases. Ms Karen Lee, Assistant Editor, prepared the summaries of the Newfoundland and Labrador/Nova Scotia arbitration award and the *Bouzari* and *Mofaz* cases in addition to preparing the Tables of Cases and performing invaluable work in seeing the volume through the press. Mr Andrew Oppenheimer, Associate Editor for Civil Law Jurisdictions, prepared the cases from Belgium, France, Germany, Italy, the Netherlands and Spain. Dr Sanja Djajic translated and summarized the Serbian case and Dr Anna-Karin Lindblom, the Swedish case. Professor Greenwood prepared the summaries of the *Loewen* arbitration and *Re Bo Xilai*. We are also grateful to Mr Anastasios Xeniadis, LL.M., and Ms Sarah M. Miller, LL.M., for their summaries and to Professor Carlo Focarelli, University of Perugia, and Ms Emily Willmott, Assistant Legal Adviser, Foreign and Commonwealth Office, for supplying the texts of the *Ferrini* and *Mofaz* decisions. Ms Tara Grant prepared the Digest and provided invaluable general and secretarial assistance. Miss Maureen MacGlashan, CMG, compiled the Table of Treaties and the Index. Mrs Diane Ilott checked the copy and Mr Philip Riley read the proofs.

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-86769-6 - International Law Reports, Volume 128

Edited by Elihu Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood, A. G. Oppenheimer and Karen Lee  
Frontmatter

[More information](#)

viii

PREFACE

Finally, we would like to extend our thanks to all the others who have worked to complete this volume, particularly our publishers, Cambridge University Press, and typesetters, TechBooks, and their staff.

E. LAUTERPACHT

LAUTERPACHT RESEARCH CENTRE  
FOR INTERNATIONAL LAW,  
UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE

C. J. GREENWOOD

LAW DEPARTMENT,  
LONDON SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS  
AND POLITICAL SCIENCE

*March 2006*

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-86769-6 - International Law Reports, Volume 128

Edited by Elihu Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood, A. G. Oppenheimer and Karen Lee

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

## EDITORIAL NOTE

The *International Law Reports* endeavour to provide within a single series of volumes comprehensive access in English to judicial materials bearing on public international law. On certain topics it is not always easy to draw a clear line between cases which are essentially ones of public international law interest and those which are primarily applications of special domestic rules. For example, in relation to extradition, the *Reports* will include cases which bear on the exception of “political offences” or the rule of double criminality, but will restrict the number of cases dealing with purely procedural aspects of extradition. Similarly, while the general rules relating to the admission and exclusion of aliens, especially of refugees, are of international legal interest, cases on the procedure of admission usually are not. In such borderline areas, and sometimes also where there is a series of domestic decisions all dealing with a single point in essentially the same manner, only one illustrative decision will be printed and references to the remainder will be given in an accompanying note.

### DECISIONS OF INTERNATIONAL TRIBUNALS

The *Reports* seek to include so far as possible the available decisions of every international tribunal, e.g. the International Court of Justice, or *ad hoc* arbitrations between States. There are, however, some jurisdictions to which full coverage cannot be given, either because of the large number of decisions (e.g. the Administrative Tribunal of the United Nations) or because not all the decisions bear on questions of public international law (e.g. the Court of Justice of the European Communities). In these instances, those decisions are selected which appear to have the greatest long-term value.

*Human rights cases.* The number of decisions on questions of international protection of human rights has increased considerably in recent years and it is now impossible for the *Reports* to cover them all. As far as decisions of international jurisdictions are concerned, the *Reports* will continue to publish decisions of the European Court of Human Rights and of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights, as well as “views” of the United Nations Committee on Human Rights. Decisions of national courts on the application of conventions on human rights will not be published unless they deal with a major point of substantive human rights law or a matter of wider interest to public international lawyers



Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-86769-6 - International Law Reports, Volume 128

Edited by Elihu Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood, A. G. Oppenheimer and Karen Lee Frontmatter

[More information](#)

such as the relationship of international law and national law, the extent of the right of derogation or the principles of the interpretation of treaties.

*International arbitrations.* The *Reports* of course include arbitral awards rendered in cases between States which involve an application of public international law. Beyond this, however, the selection of arbitral decisions is more open to debate. As these *Reports* are principally concerned with matters of public international law, they will not include purely private law commercial arbitrations even if they are international in the sense that they arise between parties of different nationality and even if one of them is a State. (For reports of a number of such awards, see *Yearbook Commercial Arbitration* (ed. Pieter Sanders, under the auspices of the International Council for Commercial Arbitration).) But where there is a sufficient point of contact with public international law then the relevant parts of the award will be reported. Examples of such points of contact are cases in which the character of a State as a party has some relevance (e.g. State immunity, stabilization clauses, *force majeure*) or where there is a choice of law problem involving discussion of international law or general principles of law as possible applicable laws. The same criteria will determine the selection of decisions of national courts regarding the enforcement of arbitral awards.

#### DECISIONS OF NATIONAL TRIBUNALS

A systematic effort is made to collect from all national jurisdictions those judicial decisions which have some bearing on international law.

#### EDITORIAL TREATMENT OF MATERIALS

The basic policy of the Editors is, so far as possible, to present the material in its original form. It is no part of the editorial function to impose on the decisions printed in these volumes a uniformity of approach or style which they do not possess. Editorial intervention is limited to the introduction of the summary and of the bold-letter rubric at the head of each case. This is followed by the full text of the original decision or of its translation. Normally, the only passages which will be omitted are those which contain either statements of fact having no bearing on the points of international law involved in the case or discussion of matters of domestic law unrelated to the points of international legal interest. The omission of material is usually indicated either by a series of dots or by the insertion of a sentence in square brackets noting the passages which have been left out.

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-86769-6 - International Law Reports, Volume 128

Edited by Elihu Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood, A. G. Oppenheimer and Karen Lee

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

## EDITORIAL NOTE

xi

### PRESENTATION OF MATERIALS

The material in the volume has been typeset for this volume. The source of all such material is indicated by the reference to the “Report” in square brackets at the end of the case. The language of the original decision is also mentioned there. The bold figures in square brackets in the body of the text indicate the pagination of the original report.

### NOTES

*Footnotes.* Footnotes enclosed in square brackets are editorial insertions. All other footnotes are part of the original report.

*Other notes.* References to cases deemed not to be sufficiently substantial to warrant reporting will occasionally be found in editorial notes either at the end of a report of a case on a similar point or under an independent heading.

### DIGEST OF CASES

With effect from Volume 75 the decisions contained in the *Reports* are no longer arranged according to the traditional classification scheme. Instead a Digest of Cases is published at the beginning of each volume. The main headings of the Digest are arranged alphabetically. Under each heading brief details are given of those cases reported in that volume which contain points covered by that heading. Each entry in the Digest gives the name of the case concerned and the page reference, the name of the tribunal which gave the decision and an indication of the main points raised in the case which relate to that particular heading of the Digest. Where a case raises points which concern several different areas of international law, entries relating to that case will appear under each of the relevant headings in the Digest. A list of the main headings used in the Digest is set out at p. xvii.

### CONSOLIDATED INDEX AND TABLES

A Consolidated Index and a Consolidated Tables of Cases and Treaties for volumes 1-80 were published in two volumes in 1990 and 1991. A further volume containing the Consolidated Index and Consolidated Tables of Cases and Treaties for volumes 81-100 was published in 1996. A Consolidated Index, a Consolidated Tables of Cases and a Consolidated Table of Treaties for volumes 1-125 were published in 2004.

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-86769-6 - International Law Reports, Volume 128

Edited by Elihu Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood, A. G. Oppenheimer and Karen Lee

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

## TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

## ALPHABETICAL

(Cases which are reported only in a note are distinguished from cases which are reported in full by the insertion of the word “note” in parentheses after the page number of the report.)

- Arias v. Venezuela 684
- Arrest Warrant of 11 April 2000 (Democratic Republic of the Congo v. Belgium) (Request for the Indication of Provisional Measures) 1, 12
- Arrest Warrant of 11 April 2000 (Democratic Republic of the Congo v. Belgium) (Judgment) 1, 60
- Bo Xilai 713
- Bouzari and Others v. Islamic Republic of Iran 586
- District Public Prosecutor (Serbia) v. Nikolić (Case No Kž. I 1594/02) 691
- Ferrini v. Federal Republic of Germany (Decision No 5044/2004) 658
- FILT-CGIL Trento and Others v. United States of America (Decision No 530/2000) 644
- The Juno Trader* (Saint Vincent and the Grenadines v. Guinea-Bissau) (Application for Prompt Release) 267
- Kenyan Diplomatic Residence Case (Case No IXa ZB 19/03) 632
- LM v. Netherlands, Minister for Netherlands Antilles Affairs and Aruban Affairs and Others 681
- Loewen Case 334, 716
- Loewen Group Inc. and Raymond L. Loewen v. United States of America (ICSID Case No ARB(AF)/98/3) 334
- Loewen Group Inc. and Raymond L. Loewen v. United States of America (ICSID Case No ARB(AF)/98/3) (Competence and Jurisdiction) 334, 339
- Loewen Group Inc. and Raymond L. Loewen v. United States of America (ICSID Case No ARB(AF)/98/3) (Award) 334, 359
- Loewen Group Inc. and Raymond L. Loewen v. United States of America (ICSID Case No ARB(AF)/98/3) (Supplementary Decision) 334, 420
- Loewen, Raymond L. v. United States of America 716
- Mexico, United States of v. Feldman Karpa 610
- Mofaz 709
- Morocco, Kingdom of v. Stichting Revalidatiecentrum “De Trappenberg” 676
- Netherlands v. Azeta BV 688
- Newfoundland and Labrador/Nova Scotia Arbitration concerning Portions of the Limits of their Offshore Areas as defined in the Canada–Nova Scotia Offshore Petroleum Resources Accord Implementation Act and the Canada–Newfoundland Atlantic Accord Implementation Act (First and Second Phases) 425
- Nova Scotia/Newfoundland and Labrador Arbitration concerning Portions of the Limits of their Offshore Areas as defined in the Canada–Nova Scotia Offshore Petroleum Resources Accord Implementation Act and the Canada–Newfoundland Atlantic Accord Implementation Act (First and Second Phases) 425
- President of the Council of Ministers v. Marković and Others (Decision No 8157/2002) 652
- Prosecutor (Special Court for Sierra Leone) v. Taylor (Case SC SL-2003-01-1) (Immunity from Jurisdiction) (Appeals Chamber) 239
- Sassetti v. Multinational Force and Observers (Decision No 3857/1994) 640

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-86769-6 - International Law Reports, Volume 128

Edited by Elihu Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood, A. G. Oppenheimer and Karen Lee

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

xiv

TABLE OF CASES

Scimet v. African Development Bank 582	Västerås, Municipality of v. Iceland 705
State Marine Corporation and Currence v. United States of America 701	Yugoslavia, Federal Republic and National Bank of v. Republics of Croatia, Sloven- ia, Macedonia and Bosnia-Herzegovina 627
Stichting Revalidatiecentrum "De Trappen- berg" v. Kingdom of Morocco 676	
Taylor (Case SCSL-2003-01-I) (Immunity from Jurisdiction) (Special Court for Sierra Leone Appeals Chamber) 239	

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-86769-6 - International Law Reports, Volume 128

Edited by Elihu Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood, A. G. Oppenheimer and Karen Lee

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

## TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

ARRANGED ACCORDING TO COURTS  
AND TRIBUNALS (INTERNATIONAL CASES)  
AND COUNTRIES (MUNICIPAL CASES)

(Cases which are reported only in a note are distinguished from cases which are reported in full by the insertion of the word “note” in parentheses after the page number of the report.)

## I. DECISIONS OF INTERNATIONAL TRIBUNALS

*Arbitration Tribunal*

2001

Arbitration between Newfoundland and Labrador and Nova Scotia concerning Portions of the Limits of their Offshore Areas as defined in the Canada–Nova Scotia Offshore Petroleum Resources Accord Implementation Act and the Canada–Newfoundland Atlantic Accord Implementation Act (First Phase) 425, 435

2002

Arbitration between Newfoundland and Labrador and Nova Scotia concerning Portions of the Limits of their Offshore Areas as defined in the Canada–Nova Scotia Offshore Petroleum Resources Accord Implementation Act and the Canada–Newfoundland Atlantic Accord Implementation Act (Second Phase) 425, 504

*International Court of Justice*

2000

Case Concerning the Arrest Warrant of 11 April 2000 (Democratic Republic of the Congo v. Belgium) (Request for the Indication of Provisional Measures) 1, 12

2002

Case Concerning the Arrest Warrant of 11 April 2000 (Democratic Republic of the Congo v. Belgium) (Judgment) 1, 60

*International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea*

2004

*The Juno Trader* (Saint Vincent and the Grenadines v. Guinea-Bissau) (Application for Prompt Release) 267*NAFTA Chapter 11 Arbitration Tribunal*

2001

The Loewen Group Inc. and Raymond L. Loewen v. United States of America (ICSID Case No ARB(AF)/98/3) (Decision on Respondent’s Objections to Competence and Jurisdiction) 334, 339

2003

The Loewen Group Inc. and Raymond L. Loewen v. United States of America (ICSID Case No ARB(AF)/98/3) (Award) 334, 359

2004

The Loewen Group Inc. and Raymond L. Loewen v. United States of America (ICSID Case No ARB(AF)/98/3) (Decision on Respondent’s Request for a Supplementary Decision) 334, 420

*Special Court for Sierra Leone*

2004

Prosecutor v. Taylor (Case SCSL-2003-01-1) (Immunity from Jurisdiction) (Appeals Chamber) 239

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-86769-6 - International Law Reports, Volume 128

Edited by Elihu Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood, A. G. Oppenheimer and Karen Lee Frontmatter

[More information](#)

xvi

## TABLE OF CASES

## II. DECISIONS OF MUNICIPAL COURTS

<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Belgium</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">1997</p> <p>Scimet v. African Development Bank 582</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Canada</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">2004</p> <p>Bouzari and Others v. Islamic Republic of Iran 586</p> <p style="text-align: center;">2005</p> <p>United Mexican States v. Feldman Karpa 610</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>France</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">1999</p> <p>Federal Republic and National Bank of Yugoslavia v. Republics of Croatia, Slovenia, Macedonia and Bosnia-Herzegovina 627</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Germany, Federal Republic of</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">2003</p> <p>Kenyan Diplomatic Residence Case (Case No IXa ZB 19/03) 632</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Italy</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">1994</p> <p>Sassetti v. Multinational Force and Observers (Decision No 3857/1994) 640</p> <p style="text-align: center;">2000</p> <p>FILT-CGIL Trento and Others v. United States of America (Decision No 530/2000) 644</p> <p style="text-align: center;">2002</p> <p>President of the Council of Ministers v. Marković and Others (Decision No 8157/2002) 652</p> <p style="text-align: center;">2004</p> <p>Ferrini v. Federal Republic of Germany (Decision No 5044/2004) 658</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>The Netherlands</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">1991</p> <p>Stichting Revalidatiecentrum "De Trappenberg" v. Kingdom of Morocco 676</p> <p style="text-align: center;">1994</p> <p>Kingdom of Morocco v. Stichting Revalidatiecentrum "De Trappenberg" 676</p> <p style="text-align: center;">1998</p> <p>Arias v. Venezuela 684</p> <p>LM v. Netherlands, Minister for Netherlands Antilles Affairs and Aruban Affairs and Others 681</p> <p>Netherlands v. Azeta BV 688</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Serbia</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">2002</p> <p>District Public Prosecutor v. Nikolić (Case No Kž. I 1594/02) 691</p> <p style="text-align: center;">2003</p> <p>District Public Prosecutor v. Nikolić (Case No Kž. I 1594/02) 691</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Spain</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">1999</p> <p>State Marine Corporation and Currence v. United States of America 701</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Sweden</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">1999</p> <p>Municipality of Västerås v. Iceland 705</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>United Kingdom, England</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">2004</p> <p>Re Mofaz 709</p> <p style="text-align: center;">2005</p> <p>Re Bo Xilai 713</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>United States of America</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">2005</p> <p>Raymond L. Loewen v. United States of America 716</p>
--	---

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-86769-6 - International Law Reports, Volume 128

Edited by Elihu Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood, A. G. Oppenheimer and Karen Lee

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

## DIGEST OF CASES

## List of Main Headings

(Those headings for which there are entries in the present volume are printed in italics. For a guide to the Digest, see the Editorial Note at p. xi.)

Air	<i>International Tribunals</i>
Aliens	<i>Jurisdiction</i>
<i>Arbitration</i>	Lakes and Landlocked Seas
Canals	Nationality
<i>Claims</i>	Recognition
Comity	<i>Relationship of International Law and Municipal Law</i>
Conciliation	Reprisals and Countermeasures
Consular Relations	Rivers
Damages	<i>Sea</i>
<i>Diplomatic Relations</i>	Sources of International Law
<i>Economics, Trade and Finance</i>	Space
Environment	<i>State Immunity</i>
<i>Expropriation</i>	State Responsibility
Extradition	<i>State Succession</i>
Governments	<i>States</i>
<i>Human Rights</i>	Territory
<i>International Court of Justice</i>	Terrorism
<i>International Criminal Law</i>	<i>Treaties</i>
<i>International Organizations</i>	<i>War and Armed Conflict</i>

## DIGEST OF CASES REPORTED IN VOLUME 128

	<i>Page</i>
<b>Arbitration</b>	
Award — Binding nature — ICSID Additional Facility Rules, Articles 42 and 52 — Interpretation — When Award final — Whether requests for supplemental decisions rendering Award non-final until Tribunal decides on supplemental decision — Review by domestic courts — United States Federal Arbitration Act — Three-month period for serving notice of motion to vacate — Award final from issuance if arbitrators manifesting intent to decide all issues referred to arbitration — United States, District Court for the District of Columbia (Judicial Review)	
<i>Raymond L. Loewen v. United States of America</i>	716
Challenge — Arbitration tribunal constituted under North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), Chapter 11 — Arbitral award — Canadian court dismissing Mexico's application to set aside arbitral award — Mexico appealing decision — Proceedings in Canadian courts — Canada, Ontario Court of Appeal	
<i>United Mexican States v. Feldman Karpa</i>	610
Disclosure of confidential information — Adverse inference from failure to provide information — Article 34 of UNCITRAL Model Law — NAFTA Article 2105 — Canada, Ontario Court of Appeal	
<i>United Mexican States v. Feldman Karpa</i>	610
International maritime boundary award — Dispute regarding boundary line dividing offshore areas of two Canadian Provinces — Oil, gas and mineral rights on continental shelf — Accord Acts — Dispute settlement provisions — Terms of Reference — Canadian Provinces to be treated as States for purposes of arbitration — Arbitration in two stages — Phase One to determine whether boundary line resolved by agreement — 1964 Joint Statement — 1972 Communiqué — Subsequent practice of Parties — Relevance — Phase Two to determine line in absence of agreement — Applicable law — Arbitration Tribunal	
<i>Arbitration between Newfoundland and Labrador and Nova Scotia concerning Portions of the Limits of their Offshore Areas as</i>	



Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-86769-6 - International Law Reports, Volume 128

Edited by Elihu Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood, A. G. Oppenheimer and Karen Lee  
Frontmatter[More information](#)

xx

## DIGEST OF CASES

**Arbitration** (*cont.*)

*defined in the Canada–Nova Scotia Offshore Petroleum Resources Accord Implementation Act and the Canada–Newfoundland Atlantic Accord Implementation Act (First Phase) (Second Phase)* 425

Jurisdiction of Tribunal — Procedure — Reconsideration of award — Appointment of arbitrators — NAFTA Arbitration Tribunal

*The Loewen Group Inc. and Raymond L. Loewen v. United States of America (ICSID Case No ARB(AF)/98/3) (Decision on Respondent's Objections to Competence and Jurisdiction) (Award) (Decision on Respondent's Request for a Supplementary Decision)* 334

**Claims**

Investor claims under North American Free Trade Agreement (“NAFTA”) — Nationality — Whether continuous nationality of claimant required — Language of NAFTA not dispositive — Issue to be decided in accordance with applicable rules of international law — Continuous nationality to date of award required — NAFTA Arbitration Tribunal

*The Loewen Group Inc. and Raymond L. Loewen v. United States of America (ICSID Case No ARB(AF)/98/3) (Decision on Respondent's Objections to Competence and Jurisdiction) (Award) (Decision on Respondent's Request for a Supplementary Decision)* 334

Local remedies rule — Nature and application — Whether applicable to claims under NAFTA Chapter 11 — Effective and available remedies — NAFTA Arbitration Tribunal

*The Loewen Group Inc. and Raymond L. Loewen v. United States of America (ICSID Case No ARB(AF)/98/3) (Decision on Respondent's Objections to Competence and Jurisdiction) (Award) (Decision on Respondent's Request for a Supplementary Decision)* 334

**Diplomatic Relations**

Immunity — Property of foreign State in use by diplomatic staff — Whether subject to execution in receiving State — Federal Republic of Germany, Federal Supreme Court (BGH)

*Kenyan Diplomatic Residence Case (Case No IXa ZB 19/03)* 632

**Economics, Trade and Finance**

Foreign investment — NAFTA Article 1101 — Measures adopted or maintained by a Party — Whether State conduct inducing private settlement agreement included — NAFTA Article 1105 — Meaning of obligations to provide “fair and equitable treatment” and “full protection and security” — Whether free-standing obligations or obligations under customary international law — Whether bad faith and malicious intention required — Whether conduct of trial was so flawed that it constituted a manifest injustice and breach of minimum standards prescribed in NAFTA and international law — Gross failure of trial judge to afford due process breached minimum standards — NAFTA Article 1102 — Discrimination against foreign investors — Example of “most favourable treatment accorded in like circumstances” required — No example available to Tribunal — Breach not demonstrated — NAFTA Arbitration Tribunal

*The Loewen Group Inc. and Raymond L. Loewen v. United States of America (ICSID Case No ARB(AF)/98/3) (Decision on Respondent’s Objections to Competence and Jurisdiction) (Award) (Decision on Respondent’s Request for a Supplementary Decision)*

334

Foreign investment — Standards of treatment — International law minimum standard — Fair and equitable treatment — NAFTA Article 1105 — Whether differing from standards of international law — Whether judicial acts capable of breaching standard — Conduct of trial — Whether bad faith required — Requirement of finality — Whether implying duty to exhaust domestic remedies — NAFTA Arbitration Tribunal

*The Loewen Group Inc. and Raymond L. Loewen v. United States of America (ICSID Case No ARB(AF)/98/3) (Decision on Respondent’s Objections to Competence and Jurisdiction) (Award) (Decision on Respondent’s Request for a Supplementary Decision)*

334

Investment protection — NAFTA — Chapter 11 — National treatment — Non-discrimination — NAFTA Article 1102 — Less favourable treatment to investors — Canada, Ontario Court of Appeal

*United Mexican States v. Feldman Karpa*

610

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-86769-6 - International Law Reports, Volume 128

Edited by Elihu Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood, A. G. Oppenheimer and Karen Lee

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

xxii

DIGEST OF CASES

**Expropriation**

NAFTA Article 1110 — Judicial act — Claimant required to establish denial of justice under NAFTA Article 1105 — Claimant unable to establish breach of Article 1105 — NAFTA Arbitration Tribunal

*The Loewen Group Inc. and Raymond L. Loewen v. United States of America (ICSID Case No ARB(AF)/98/3) (Decision on Respondent's Objections to Competence and Jurisdiction) (Award) (Decision on Respondent's Request for a Supplementary Decision)*

334

**Human Rights**

Freedom from torture — Nature of prohibition of torture — Whether a rule of *jus cogens* — Consequences — Remedies for torture — Whether State entitled to claim State immunity when sued for torture — Whether other States required to provide remedy for acts of torture committed outside their territory — Convention against Torture, 1984, Article 14(1) — International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, 1966, Article 14 — Balance to be struck between prohibition of torture and State sovereignty — Canada, Ontario Court of Appeal

*Bouzari and Others v. Islamic Republic of Iran*

586

Universal Declaration of Human Rights, 1948 — International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, 1966 — Right to life — Whether right to life violated — Serbia, Prokuplje District Court (Chamber) and Supreme Court (Chamber)

*District Public Prosecutor v. Nikolić (Case No Kž. I 1594/02)*

691

**International Court of Justice**

Admissibility — Date at which question of admissibility to be determined — Effect of events which occurred after the filing of Application — Whether dispute transformed into one of a radically different character — Whether adjudication would seriously jeopardize principle of procedural fairness and administration of justice — Whether Application deprived of object — Whether request for provisional measures deprived of object — International Court of Justice

*Case Concerning the Arrest Warrant of 11 April 2000 (Democratic Republic of the Congo v. Belgium) (Provisional Measures) (Judgment)*

1

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-86769-6 - International Law Reports, Volume 128

Edited by Elihu Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood, A. G. Oppenheimer and Karen Lee

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

## DIGEST OF CASES

xxiii

Jurisdiction — Optional Clause — Statute of the Court, Article 36(2) — Declaration accepting jurisdiction of the Court — Date at which jurisdiction to be established — Definition of a legal dispute — Existence of a legal dispute concerning arrest warrant — Whether events subsequent to act instituting proceedings depriving Court of jurisdiction — International Court of Justice

*Case Concerning the Arrest Warrant of 11 April 2000 (Democratic Republic of the Congo v. Belgium) (Provisional Measures) (Judgment)*

1

Procedure — Claim in Application instituting proceedings not made in final submissions — Effect of *non ultra petita* rule — Whether Court permitted to rule on withdrawn claim in operative part of Judgment — Whether Court permitted to consider elements of withdrawn claim in reaching decision — International Court of Justice

*Case Concerning the Arrest Warrant of 11 April 2000 (Democratic Republic of the Congo v. Belgium) (Provisional Measures) (Judgment)*

1

Provisional measures of protection — Requirement of *prima facie* jurisdiction — Object of provisional measures — Preservation of respective rights of the Parties pending decision on the merits — Criteria for indication of provisional measures — Risk of irreparable prejudice to rights — Urgency — Whether provisional measures required in circumstances of case — International Court of Justice

*Case Concerning the Arrest Warrant of 11 April 2000 (Democratic Republic of the Congo v. Belgium) (Provisional Measures) (Judgment)*

1

**International Criminal Law**

Indictment of incumbent Head of State — International circulation of arrest warrant — Whether sovereignty of Ghana violated by transmission of arrest warrant — Special Court for Sierra Leone (Appeals Chamber)

*Prosecutor v. Taylor (Case SCSL-2003-01-I) (Immunity from Jurisdiction) (Appeals Chamber)*

239

Jurisdiction — Universal — Whether exercise of universal jurisdiction *in absentia* consistent with international law — Issue and international circulation of arrest warrant — Relationship between

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-86769-6 - International Law Reports, Volume 128

Edited by Elihu Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood, A. G. Oppenheimer and Karen Lee

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

xxiv

DIGEST OF CASES

**International Criminal Law** (*cont.*)

jurisdiction and immunities — Distinction between immunity from jurisdiction and impunity — War crimes — Crimes against humanity — International Court of Justice

*Case Concerning the Arrest Warrant of 11 April 2000 (Democratic Republic of the Congo v. Belgium) (Provisional Measures) (Judgment)*

1

War crimes — Crimes against humanity — Individual criminal responsibility — Statute of the Special Court for Sierra Leone, Articles 1, 2, 3, 4 and 6 — Special Court for Sierra Leone (Appeals Chamber)

*Prosecutor v. Taylor (Case SCSL-2003-01-I) (Immunity from Jurisdiction) (Appeals Chamber)*

239

War crimes — Deportation to forced labour — Article 6(b) of Charter and Judgment of Nuremberg International Military Tribunal — Provisions of Hague Convention, 1907, and Geneva Convention, 1929 — Whether recognized as declaratory of customary international law prior to outbreak of Second World War — Categorization of deportation to forced labour as international crime — Confirmation in Statutes of International Criminal Tribunals for Former Yugoslavia and Rwanda and Statute of International Criminal Court, 1998 — Italy, Court of Cassation (Plenary Session)

*Ferrini v. Federal Republic of Germany (Decision No 5044/2004)*

658

**International Organizations**

Immunity — Immunity from jurisdiction — African Development Bank — Scope of immunity — Whether absolute or limited — Khartoum Agreement, 1963, Article 50 — Object of immunity to enable Bank to achieve its purpose and perform its functions — Whether limiting scope of immunity — Belgium, Court of First Instance of Brussels

*Scimet v. African Development Bank*

582

Immunity — Jurisdictional immunity — National of receiving State employed by international organization — Contract of employment — Termination — Claim for unlawful dismissal and reinstatement — Whether international organization entitled to jurisdictional immunity — Whether examination of legality of dismissal of employee would involve interference with public

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-86769-6 - International Law Reports, Volume 128

Edited by Elihu Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood, A. G. Oppenheimer and Karen Lee

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

## DIGEST OF CASES

xxv

functions of international organization — Multinational Force and Observers (MFO) established under Egypt–Israel Peace Treaty, 1979 — Legal status of MFO — Whether entitled to jurisdictional immunity — MFO–Italy Headquarters Agreement, 1982 — Article 8 — Provision for immunity from jurisdiction of receiving State in absence of waiver — Whether express waiver required — Relevance of provision for settlement of employment disputes by arbitration — Italy, Examining Magistrate ( Pretore)

*Sassetti v. Multinational Force and Observers (Decision No 3857/1994)*

640

**International Tribunals**

Application for prompt release under Article 292 of the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea, 1982 — Rules of Procedure and Evidence — Rule 111(4) of Rules of Procedure — Implications for principle of equality of opportunity for parties — Rule 111(4) of Rules — Whether failure to file a Statement in Response placing detaining State in a position of unfair advantage — International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea

*The Juno Trader (Saint Vincent and the Grenadines v. Guinea-Bissau) (Application for Prompt Release)*

267

International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea — Applicable law — United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea, 1982 — Guinea-Bissau and Saint Vincent and the Grenadines both States Parties to Convention — Saint Vincent and the Grenadines instituting proceedings under Article 292 of Convention — Jurisdiction and admissibility — Relationship between International Tribunal and domestic courts and administration — Decision of the International Tribunal under Article 292 — International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea

*The Juno Trader (Saint Vincent and the Grenadines v. Guinea-Bissau) (Application for Prompt Release)*

267

Special Court for Sierra Leone — Legal basis for establishment of Special Court — Whether Special Court part of judicial system of Sierra Leone — Consideration of treaty establishing Special Court — Consideration of role of Security Council — Whether absence of Chapter VII powers in the Special Court bears upon characterization of Special Court — Special Court for Sierra Leone (Appeals Chamber)

*Prosecutor v. Taylor (Case SCSL-2003-01-I) (Immunity from Jurisdiction) (Appeals Chamber)*

239

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-86769-6 - International Law Reports, Volume 128

Edited by Elihu Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood, A. G. Oppenheimer and Karen Lee Frontmatter

[More information](#)

xxvi

DIGEST OF CASES

**International Tribunals** (*cont.*)

Special Court for Sierra Leone — Procedure — Rules of Procedure and Evidence, Rules 72 and 73 — Motion to quash indictment and set aside arrest warrant — Whether claim of immunity raises issue of jurisdiction — Consideration of rules requiring accused to submit to jurisdiction before bringing preliminary motion — Discretionary exception to application of rules where accused is incumbent Head of State — Exercise of inherent power and discretion of Appeals Chamber — Special Court for Sierra Leone (Appeals Chamber)

*Prosecutor v. Taylor (Case SCSL-2003-01-I) (Immunity from Jurisdiction) (Appeals Chamber)* 239

**Jurisdiction**

Coastal State jurisdiction — Exercise of sovereign rights of coastal State — *Juno Trader* flying flag of Saint Vincent and the Grenadines — Allegation of illegal fishing in EEZ of Guinea-Bissau — Seizure of vessel, fish and equipment — Bond for release of vessel set by order of Ministerial Maritime Control Commission of Guinea-Bissau — Order suspended pending final decision by Regional Court of Bissau — Saint Vincent and the Grenadines contesting legality of the bond — International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea

*The Juno Trader (Saint Vincent and the Grenadines v. Guinea-Bissau) (Application for Prompt Release)* 267

Failure to exhaust domestic remedies — Distinguished from waiver of domestic proceedings — Requirement to exhaust local remedies applicable to NAFTA — Remedies available to claimants not exhausted — NAFTA Arbitration Tribunal

*The Loewen Group Inc. and Raymond L. Loewen v. United States of America (ICSID Case No ARB(AF)/98/3) (Decision on Respondent's Objections to Competence and Jurisdiction) (Award) (Decision on Respondent's Request for a Supplementary Decision)* 334

Visiting forces — Civil claim for damages arising from acts of NATO forces — NATO Status of Forces Agreement, 1951 — Article VIII(5) — Whether right of action against receiving State dependent upon prior characterization of act in question as unlawful — Italy, Court of Cassation (Plenary Session)

*President of the Council of Ministers v. Marković and Others (Decision No 8157/2002)* 652

**Relationship of International Law and Municipal Law**

Act of State and justiciability — NATO bombardment of Serbian Television building in Belgrade — Use of bases in Italy by aircraft involved in bombardment — Whether action of Italian Government in allowing use of bases justiciable — Italy, Court of Cassation (Plenary Session)

*President of the Council of Ministers v. Marković and Others*  
(Decision No 8157/2002) 652

Application for judicial review of arbitral award — Annulment of award by national court — Article 34 of UNCITRAL Model Law — Jurisdiction to apply provisions not raised during arbitral proceedings — NAFTA Article 210 — Deference to arbitral award — International commercial arbitrators — Review of findings of fact — Article 34(2) and (3) of UNCITRAL Model Law — ICSID Article 53 — Breach of public policy — Article 34(2)(b)(ii) of UNCITRAL Model Law — Canada, Ontario Court of Appeal

*United Mexican States v. Feldman Karpa* 610

Crimes against international law — Customary international law — Jurisdictional immunity of foreign States for acts performed *jure imperii* — International crimes involving serious violations of fundamental human rights — Claims for damages by individuals for loss and injury arising from commission of such crimes — Whether States entitled to rely on immunity — Practice of national and international tribunals — Whether conclusive — Whether fact that acts performed *jure imperii* relevant — Whether priority now accorded to protection of fundamental rights of individuals over right of States to jurisdictional immunity — Whether any parallel between functional immunity of State organs and immunity of States — Italy, Court of Cassation (Plenary Session)

*Ferrini v. Federal Republic of Germany* (Decision No 5044/2004) 658

Customary international law — Jurisdictional immunity of foreign States for acts performed *jure imperii* — Whether constituting rule of customary international law automatically incorporated into municipal law — Italian Constitution, Article 10 — Whether such rule taking precedence over right to effective remedy before national courts — Italy, Court of Cassation (Plenary Session)

*FILT-CGIL Trento and Others v. United States of America* (Decision No 530/2000) 644



Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-86769-6 - International Law Reports, Volume 128

Edited by Elihu Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood, A. G. Oppenheimer and Karen Lee Frontmatter

[More information](#)

xxviii

DIGEST OF CASES

**Relationship of International Law and Municipal Law** (*cont.*)

Customary international law — Part of Canadian common law — Treaties — Effect in Canadian law of treaty not implemented by legislation — International law principles and values as aid to construction of legislation — Expert evidence on international law — Admissibility — Value — Canada, Ontario Court of Appeal

*Bouzari and Others v. Islamic Republic of Iran*

586

**Sea**

Delimitation of maritime boundaries between Parties — Continental shelf — Delimitation of continental shelf beyond 200 nautical mile limit to outer edge of continental margin — Method of delimitation — Practical method — Equitable result — Geographical context — Governing law — Whether fourth Geneva Convention on the Continental Shelf, 1958 applicable — Article 6 of Geneva Convention — Provisional strict equidistance line — Whether requiring adjustment — Conduct of Parties — Relevant geographical circumstances — Whether proportionality test relevant — Arbitration Tribunal

*Arbitration between Newfoundland and Labrador and Nova Scotia concerning Portions of the Limits of their Offshore Areas as defined in the Canada–Nova Scotia Offshore Petroleum Resources Accord Implementation Act and the Canada–Newfoundland Atlantic Accord Implementation Act (First Phase) (Second Phase)*

425

Exclusive Economic Zone (“EEZ”) — Arrest of vessel — United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea, 1982 — Articles 73 and 292 of Convention — Procedures for ensuring prompt release of vessels and crew — Declaration accepting jurisdiction of Court — International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea

*The Juno Trader (Saint Vincent and the Grenadines v. Guinea-Bissau) (Application for Prompt Release)*

267

**State Immunity**

Attachment and execution — Property for use in military activity — Consignment of goods including food required by United States troops stationed abroad — Whether covered by immunity from execution — Spain, Provincial Court of Cadiz

*State Marine Corporation and Currence v. United States of America*

701

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-86769-6 - International Law Reports, Volume 128

Edited by Elihu Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood, A. G. Oppenheimer and Karen Lee

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

## DIGEST OF CASES

xxix

- Attachment and execution — Property of foreign State — Premises owned by foreign State and used by diplomatic staff — Whether immune from execution — Relevance of fact that premises in the process of being sold — Test of whether or not the ability of mission to function would be impaired if forced sale of premises permitted — Whether sending State can be required to provide details of use of premises — Whether assurance from ambassador that premises in use for diplomatic functions sufficient — Federal Republic of Germany, Federal Supreme Court (BGH)
- Kenyan Diplomatic Residence Case (Case No IXa ZB 19/03)* 632
- Immunity from attachment — Embassy bank account — Whether funds in use for performance of sovereign functions of foreign State — Funds intended for maintaining and running embassy — Statement to this effect by foreign State — Whether further enquiry into intended use of funds constituting unreasonable interference in diplomatic mission — The Netherlands, District Court of Rotterdam
- Netherlands v. Azeta BV* 688
- Immunity from jurisdiction and execution — Foreign States — Funds belonging to State which has disappeared — Funds subject to dispute between States claiming succession — Whether such funds capable of being covered by immunity — France, Court of Cassation (First Civil Chamber)
- Federal Republic and National Bank of Yugoslavia v. Republics of Croatia, Slovenia, Macedonia and Bosnia-Herzegovina* 627
- Jurisdiction — United States forces stationed in Italy under NATO Treaty, 1949 — Low-flying military training flights — Whether constituting sovereign activity — Proceedings brought before national courts to restrict such flights on safety and human rights grounds following accidents involving loss of life — Whether United States entitled to jurisdictional immunity — Potential conflict between human rights protection and rule of jurisdictional immunity excluding recourse to courts — Immunity for acts performed *jure imperii* — Italy, Court of Cassation (Plenary Session)
- FILT-CGIL Trento and Others v. United States of America (Decision No 530/2000)* 644
- Jurisdictional immunities — Foreign minister — Purpose of immunities — Whether immunities of Head of State and diplomatic agents relevant to determining immunities of incumbent foreign

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-86769-6 - International Law Reports, Volume 128

Edited by Elihu Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood, A. G. Oppenheimer and Karen Lee

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

xxx

## DIGEST OF CASES

**State Immunity** (*cont.*)

minister — Whether incumbent foreign minister enjoying full immunity from criminal prosecution and inviolability during period of office — Whether official and private acts covered during term of office — Whether immunity remaining after person concerned has ceased to hold office — Whether exception to immunity in cases where person accused of war crimes and crimes against humanity — Issue and international circulation of arrest warrant — Whether violating immunity even if warrant not enforced — International Court of Justice

*Case Concerning the Arrest Warrant of 11 April 2000 (Democratic Republic of the Congo v. Belgium) (Provisional Measures) (Judgment)*

1

Jurisdictional immunities — Head of State — Whether incumbent Head of State immune from prosecution by Special Court for Sierra Leone — Statute of the Special Court for Sierra Leone, Article 6(2) — Whether Article 6(2) of Statute in conflict with peremptory norm of international law — Special Court for Sierra Leone (Appeals Chamber)

*Prosecutor v. Taylor (Case SCSL-2003-01-I) (Immunity from Jurisdiction) (Appeals Chamber)*

239

Jurisdictional immunity — Claim against State for slander by one of its officials — Claim brought before courts of another State forming part of same constitutional union — Whether defendant State entitled to jurisdictional immunity — Status of constituent parts of the Kingdom of the Netherlands — The Netherlands, Court of Appeal of the Netherlands Antilles and Aruba

*LM v. Netherlands, Minister for Netherlands Antilles Affairs and Aruban Affairs and Others*

681

Jurisdictional immunity — Claim by individual against foreign State for personal injury — Deportation to forced labour by German occupying forces during Second World War — Violation of rules of *jus cogens* — Whether grave violations of human rights precluding reliance on State immunity under customary international law — European Convention on State Immunity, 1972, Articles 11 and 31 — Whether entitlement to rely on immunity preserved for acts of armed forces — Whether commission of grave violations of human rights automatically implying waiver of immunity by State — Italy, Court of Cassation (Plenary Session)

*Ferrini v. Federal Republic of Germany (Decision No 5044/2004)*

658

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-86769-6 - International Law Reports, Volume 128

Edited by Elihu Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood, A. G. Oppenheimer and Karen Lee

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

## DIGEST OF CASES

xxxi

Jurisdictional immunity — Exceptions to immunity — Penal proceedings — Commercial activity — Torts occasioning personal or bodily injury — Whether exception to immunity for non-commercial torts extends to torture committed by defendant State in its own territory — Whether there is an exception to immunity for violations of *jus cogens* — Canada State Immunity Act 1982 — Canada, Ontario Court of Appeal

*Bouzari and Others v. Islamic Republic of Iran* 586

Jurisdictional immunity — Locally recruited foreign national employed as secretary by mission of foreign State — Contract of employment — Claim for wrongful dismissal — Whether foreign State entitled to jurisdictional immunity — Employee neither national of nor permanently resident in receiving State — Whether dismissal of such an employee covered by immunity — Draft international provisions on the matter — Whether reflecting current position under customary international law — The Netherlands, District Court of The Hague

*Arias v. Venezuela* 684

Jurisdictional immunity — Nordic Council Agreement on Common Upper Secondary School Education, 1992 — Payment of educational costs by Swedish education authority — Claim to recover costs from Iceland as State of nationality of student — Whether Iceland entitled to jurisdictional immunity — Whether conclusion and implementation of such agreement performed *jure imperii* or *jure gestionis* — Whether conclusion of agreement constituting waiver of immunity — Whether contractual choice-of-law clause implying waiver of immunity — Sweden, Supreme Court

*Municipality of Västerås v. Iceland* 705

Jurisdictional immunity — Tort — Alleged failure by foreign State to provide medical insurance for consular employee — Whether constituting a tortious omission — Whether foreign State entitled to sovereign immunity from jurisdiction — Distinction between acts performed *jure imperii* and *jure gestionis* — The Netherlands, Court of Appeal of Amsterdam and Supreme Court

*Stichting Revalidatiecentrum "De Trappenberg" v. Kingdom of Morocco; Kingdom of Morocco v. Stichting Revalidatiecentrum "De Trappenberg"* 676

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-86769-6 - International Law Reports, Volume 128

Edited by Elihu Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood, A. G. Oppenheimer and Karen Lee

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

xxxii

DIGEST OF CASES

**State Immunity** (*cont.*)

Officials — Defence Minister — Basis for State immunity claims — State immunity extending to serving Head of State, Head of Government and Minister for Foreign Affairs — Whether categories of office exclusive — Whether State immunity extending to Defence Minister — Whether current Israeli Defence Minister entitled to immunity *ratione personae* — England, Bow Street Magistrates' Court

*Re Mofaz* 709

Officials — Minister for Commerce — Basis for State immunity claims — Allegations of offences of torture committed by Minister during previous office — Whether Minister entitled to immunity *ratione personae* — Membership of a special mission — Convention on Special Missions, 1969 — Whether declaratory of customary international law — England, Bow Street Magistrates' Court

*Re Bo Xilai* 713

**State Succession**

Identity and continuity of States — Whether international law lays down any procedure for determining the existence of successor States — Whether Federal Republic of Yugoslavia constituting a continuation of Socialist Federal Republic of Yugoslavia — Claim not accepted by international community and opposed by other former Republics of Socialist Federal Republic of Yugoslavia — France, Court of Cassation (First Civil Chamber)

*Federal Republic and National Bank of Yugoslavia v. Republics of Croatia, Slovenia, Macedonia and Bosnia-Herzegovina* 627

**States**

Conduct of foreign relations — Choice of method of conducting hostilities in war — Whether subject to judicial review — Italy, Court of Cassation (Plenary Session)

*President of the Council of Ministers v. Marković and Others* (Decision No 8157/2002) 652

**Treaties**

Geneva Convention on the Continental Shelf, 1958, Article 6 — United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea, 1982, Article 83(1) and (4) — Customary international law — Law

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-86769-6 - International Law Reports, Volume 128

Edited by Elihu Lauterpacht, C. J. Greenwood, A. G. Oppenheimer and Karen Lee

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

## DIGEST OF CASES

xxxiii

governing maritime delimitation — Unity — Flexibility — Arbitration Tribunal

*Arbitration between Newfoundland and Labrador and Nova Scotia concerning Portions of the Limits of their Offshore Areas as defined in the Canada–Nova Scotia Offshore Petroleum Resources Accord Implementation Act and the Canada–Newfoundland Atlantic Accord Implementation Act (First Phase) (Second Phase)*

425

Individual rights — International humanitarian law — Rules intended to secure protection of civilians from armed attack — Whether creating rights for individuals to claim compensation for breaches — First Additional Protocol to Geneva Conventions, 1977 — Italy, Court of Cassation (Plenary Session)

*President of the Council of Ministers v. Marković and Others (Decision No 8157/2002)*

652

Interpretation — Relevance of subsequent practice — Convention against Torture, 1984 — Practice of States Parties — Reports to Committee against Torture — Response to declaration by United States of America — Canada, Ontario Court of Appeal

*Bouzari and Others v. Islamic Republic of Iran*

586

Interpretation and application — United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea, 1982 — Article 73(2), (3) and (4) — Meaning of expression “reasonable bond or other financial security” in Article 292 — Whether bond set for release of vessel reasonable — Consideration by International Tribunal of level and elements of bond — Consideration by International Tribunal of facts and circumstances of case — Valuation of vessel — Assessment of gravity of alleged offences — Whether international concern over illegal fishing relevant to assessment of gravity and to level of bond — Whether value of seized catch and fishing gear forming part of the bond — Determination of reasonable bond by International Tribunal — Arrangements for posting of bond — International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea

*The Juno Trader (Saint Vincent and the Grenadines v. Guinea-Bissau) (Application for Prompt Release)*

267

**War and Armed Conflict**

Conduct of military operations — Whether choice of method of conducting hostilities justiciable — Whether non-justiciability