MEANING AND IDENTITY IN A GREEK LANDSCAPE

In this interdisciplinary study, Hamish Forbes explores how Greek villagers have understood and reacted to their landscapes over the centuries, from the late medieval period to the present. Analysing how they have seen themselves belonging to their local communities and within both local and wider landscapes, Forbes examines how these aspects of belonging have informed each other. Forbes also illuminates cross-disciplinary interests in memory and the importance of monuments. Based on data gathered over twenty-five years, Forbes' study combines the rich detail of ethnographic fieldwork with historical and archaeological time-depth, showing how landscapes have important meaning beyond the religious sphere in terms of kinship and ideas about the past and in their role as productive assets.

Hamish Forbes is associate professor of archaeology at the University of Nottingham. He has excavated in the United States, Ireland, Britain and Greece and conducted ethnographic fieldwork in Greece on Methana, Crete and in the Southern Argolid. He is co-editor of *A Rough and Rocky Place: The Landscape and Settlement History of the Methana Peninsula, Greece.*

MEANING AND IDENTITY IN A GREEK LANDSCAPE

AN ARCHAEOLOGICAL Ethnography

HAMISH FORBES

University of Nottingham



> CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi

> > Cambridge University Press 32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521866996

© Hamish Forbes 2007

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2007

Printed in the United States of America

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Forbes, Hamish Alexander. Meaning and identity in a Greek landscape : an archaeological ethnography / Hamish Forbes. p. cm. Includes bibliographical references and index. ISBN-13: 978-0-521-86699-6 (hardback) ISBN-10: 0-521-86699-5 (hardback) I. Methana Peninsula (Greece) – History. 2. Methana Peninsula (Greece) – Antiquities. 3. Landscape archaeology – Greece – Methana Peninsula. 4. Excavations (Archaeology) – Greece – Methana Peninsula. I. Title. DF901.M54F67 2007 949.5'12 – dc22 2006039201 ISBN 978-0-521-86699-6 hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLS for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

> To the women in my life: Anne, Helen, Janet and Lin And to the memory of Michael H. Jameson, whose ideas on the Greek countryside led to the research described here

Lis	t of Illustrations and Tables	page xi
Pre	face and Acknowledgements	XV
Tra	insliteration Conventions	xxi
I	Introduction	Ι
2	Landscape Studies: From Frame-and-Tame to Visceral	
	Feeling	9
	The Development of Landscape Archaeology and	
	Anthropology	9
	Phenomenology: A Critical Overview	18
	Sensory and Visceral Landscapes	30
	Theoretical Approach of this Study	44
3	Historical Background to the Landscape of Methana	50
	Geology, Geomorphology and Soils	51
	Methana from the End of the Medieval Period to 1821	56
	From 1821 to the First World War	61
	The Interwar Years	83
	The Second World War Onwards	88
	Conclusion	95
4	Conducting Fieldwork on Methana	97
	Cultural Ecology Studies	97
	Archaeological Survey	108
	The Study of Households and Household Transformation	110

Cambridge University Press	
978-0-521-86699-6 - Meaning and Identity in a Greek Landscape: An Archaeological Ethnograph	ıy
Hamish Forbes	
Frontmatter	
More information	

	Archival Sources and Methana in the 1990s	III
	Presentation of the Evidence	113
	Conclusion	114
5	Kinship, Marriage and the Transmission of Names	
	and Property	116
	Introduction	117
	Family and Household	118
	Other Relatives	124
	Extent of the Kinship Group	127
	Greek Kinship and Anthropological Kinship	
	Theory	136
	Marriage Alliances	142
	Fictive Kin	149
	Neighbours	152
	Kinship Obligations	152
	Names and Naming	157
	Dowry and Inheritance	162
	Conclusions	173
6	The Productive Landscape	177
	Introduction	177
	The Methana Settlement Pattern as Part of a	
	Decision-Making Process	178
	Methana Communities in their Landscapes: Ethnographic	
	Data and Archaeological Approaches	184
	The Methana Landscape as a Vertical Economy	190
	The Crop Rotation System in the Landscape	195
	Site 'Territories' versus Household 'Territories' and the	
	Problem of Appropriate Analytical Levels	199
	Individual Plots and their Meanings	203
	Conclusion	205
7	The Historical Landscape: Memory, Monumentality	
	and Time-Depth	207
	Of Time and Time-Depth	207
	Oral Testimonies and the Memory of Past Events	210
	Kinship and History: The Effect of Name Recycling	212
	Oral Testimony, 'Official' History and Local History	219

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press	
978-0-521-86699-6 - Meaning and Identity in a Greek Landscape: An Archaeological Ethnography	
Hamish Forbes	
Frontmatter	
More information	

	The Meaningful Past	222
	Structures in the Landscape: Memorials of Past Time	224
	Settlements and their Locations as Historical	
	Monuments	224
	Houses as Monuments	227
	Field-Houses	236
	Fields and Terraces	238
	Wells, Cisterns and Loutses	239
	Ambaria	248
	Grape-Treading Floors	250
	Churches	257
	Cemeteries	259
	The War Memorial	263
	The Nature of Time	264
	Conclusions	284
8	The Kinship Landscape	286
	Introduction	286
	Kinship and the Village Landscape	287
	Kinship and the Landscape of the Dead	314
	Kinship and the Organisation of the Productive Landscape	318
	Kinship and the Wider Landscape of Methana	327
	The Kinship Landscape Beyond Methana	331
	Methanites in a Linguistically Related Landscape	333
	Conclusions: A Greek Landscape with Relatives	335
9	The Religious Landscape	343
	The Supernatural in the Landscape	345
	The Supernatural in Methanites' Lives	348
	The Supernatural in the Settlement Pattern	352
	Panighiria	358
	Extra-Mural Churches: Empty Spaces as Central Places	359
	The Religious Landscape in the 1990s	374
	The Dead in the Landscape: Cemeteries	380
	The Dead in the Landscape: Wayside Shrines	385
	The Supernatural and Movement Through the Landscape	387
	The Changing Importance of Churches in the Landscape	391
	Conclusions	392

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-86699-6 - Meaning and Identity in a Greek Landscape: An Archaeological Ethnography
Hamish Forbes
Frontmatter
More information

10 Conclusions: A Greek Landscape from Within	395
Glossary	409
Notes	413
References	417
Index	433

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS AND TABLES

ILLUSTRATIONS

Ι.Ι	Location of Methana	page 2
1.2	Panoramic view of Methana	3
2.1	Methana's spectacular volcanic landscape	II
3.1	Geological map of Methana showing the main domes	
	and lava flows	52
3.2	A terraced hillside on Methana with mixed arable and	2
	trees	53
3.3	Cross sections of Methana landforms and associated	
	soils	55
3.4	Changing settlement on Methana from the medieval	
	period onwards	59
3.5	Present settlements on Methana	63
3.6	The village of Meghalo Khori: note steeper slopes	· ·
	above the village and gentler slopes below	65
3.7	Inscribed stone on Kounoupitsa's main church, dated	-
	1824	67
3.8	Kypseli's original church: the western (left-hand) end	
	was probably added to an older church in the 1840s	69
3.9	Above: exterior view of a mud-roofed house. Below:	-
	interior view of a mud roof	77
4.1	Grafting domesticated pear scions onto a wild pear	
	tree	105
4.2	A laden mule on a mule track (kalderimi)	107
5.1	Two kinds of kinship systems	133

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-86699-6 - Meaning and Identity in a Greek Landscape: An Archaeological Ethnography
Hamish Forbes
Frontmatter
More information

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS AND TABLES

5.2	Barba Thanassis' fragmented landholding	163
6.1	A kalivi (field-house)	185
7.I	A medieval church. Above: the west end, showing	-
	ornamental tile work in masonry. Below: the east end,	
	with Byzantine tiles still in place on the apse	209
7.2	Fabvier's War of Independence fort – the Kastro	
	Phavierou	217
7.3	A gheranaki (swipe)	243
	A cistern-head inscribed with a date	247
7.5	An ambari. Above: exterior view. Below: interior view	251
7.6	The remains of an old rock-cut grape-treading floor	5
	near a mountain vineyard	253
7.7	The war memorial	265
8.1	Plan of the main study village	288
	The growth of the Petronotis neighbourhood in the	
	village of Liakotera	289
8.3	Kinship relationships continuing after death: a village	-
·	cemetery with kinship 'neighbourhoods'	317
8.4	Sketch plan of the ownerships of an area of small	57
-	irrigated plots	323
9.1	The distribution of Methana's churches	344
9.2	Byzantine wall paintings in a small Methana church.	511
-	The saints look down on worshippers from the vault of	
	the church as though from the vault of heaven	345
9.3	The church of Aghii Asomati (Taxiarkhai), with works	515
	in progress	361
9.4	The <i>panighiri</i> at the extra-mural church of Saint	5
	Barbara (Aghia Varvara). The people standing outside	
	are worshippers who cannot get inside the packed	
	church	365
9.5	Empty space transformed into a central place: the	5 5
	Moularobazaro. Buying wool after the service at the	
	panighiri at Saint Epiphanios' extra-mural church	367
9.6	Empty space transformed into a central place. Above:	5,
	Saint George's church in the mid-1980s. Below: the	
	same church in the late 1990s, with the war memorial	
	moved to a more prominent position	373
	÷ *	575

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-86699-6 - Meaning and Identity in a Greek Landscape: An Archaeological Ethnography
Hamish Forbes
Frontmatter
More information

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS AND TABLES

9.7	The road built in the 1960s passes close to extra-mural	
	churches	377
9.8	Saint Athanasios' church. The white objects on the	
	road in the foreground and beside the concrete	
	picnicking bench are abandoned party litter	379
9.9	Different signposts point to different places of interest	
	for different constituencies. None directs travellers to a	
	settlement	381
9.10	The cemetery illustrated in fig. 8.3. Above: External	
	view, showing the single entrance. Below: internal	
	view	383
9.11	Different kinds of proskinitario	387

TABLES

5.1	A sequence of closely related households	125
5.2	Greek kinship terms in regular use	126
5.3	Links between household head and non-household	
	members in work groups	154
5.4	Examples of naming in the 1879 census	159
7.I	Examples of discrepancies in ages reported in the	
	mitroo arrenon and the 1879 census	270
7.2	0/5 ages in the 1879 census: those significantly above	
	20% suggest many ages were guesswork	271
7.3	0/5 ages in the 1879 census: gender differences in	
	uncertainties over ages	272
8.1	A neighbourhood dominated by close kinship links	296
8.2	A sequence of closely related households	298
8.3	Two related groups on opposite sides of a street	300

PREFACE AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This book is an ethnography aimed primarily at archaeologists, but I hope it will also be of interest to cultural geographers and social and cultural anthropologists. Indeed, my original research on the peninsula of Methana in Greece, the focus of this study, was an ethnography, written up as my Ph.D. in cultural anthropology. Yet I never intended to be an anthropologist. I embarked on a British undergraduate degree in Archaeology and Anthropology having already had some experience of archaeology, in both the classroom and the field. My experiences as an undergraduate only confirmed my belief that archaeology was much to be preferred over social anthropology, which seemed to me at the time a way of turning the lives of real people into artificial conceptual categories, as often as not via arid exercises in kinship algebra.

My graduate studies in the United States in a Department of Anthropology in which archaeology was a sub-field, along with cultural and physical anthropology, initially left me with much the same views about anthropology and its practitioners. Here the situation was exacerbated by occasional disquisitions on why North American *cultural* anthropology was so much better than European *social* anthropology: the differences between the two versions seemed minor in comparison with the similarities. However, my views about anthropology changed when I met one of America's foremost cultural ecologists, Robert Netting. He showed me that some kinds of anthropology could remain firmly rooted on – indeed, in – the ground, in a pragmatic way that made sense. His empathy with dwellers in rural communities, as well as his mastery of the well-placed pun, have remained an inspiration for much of my own work.

PREFACE AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thus, although I considered myself at heart an archaeologist, I eventually found myself conducting ethnographic research for two years in a village on a small peninsula in Greece – Methana (pronounced with the stress on the first syllable). The understanding derived from that initial fieldwork on Methana forms the basis of much of this book. However, it was also significantly informed by a campaign of archaeological survey in the 1980s and by research into nineteenth-century documentary sources in the late 1990s. Over the period represented by these dates, I have seen many changes in the people, their livelihoods, and their landscape on Methana, which I have incorporated into this account.

The original germ of a book on Methana landscapes came from a discussion with a colleague who had also worked in Greece. After I had described aspects of Methana and its landscapes, she was very insistent that I should write what I knew. At the further urging of Lin Foxhall, my wife and closest colleague, I eventually applied for, and was awarded, a Leverhulme Research Fellowship for 1997–8. It was this award which allowed me to initiate this book and conduct research into documentary sources, the existence of some of which I had known about for almost a quarter of a century but had never had a suitable opportunity to study.

The other element in the germination of this book was the flurry of publications on prehistoric landscapes, starting in the 1990s, in which phenomenological approaches were frequently employed. As will rapidly become apparent, I felt dissatisfied with the ways in which landscapes, ancient and modern, were conceptualised and with the apparent desire to employ ethnographic parallels which seemed simultaneously maximally different from (aka 'most anthropological'), and also least relevant to, those of Europe. Many scholars discussing the meanings of ancient landscapes were mining the literature on modern societies and their landscapes within the narrow confines of university libraries. From my direct experience, I felt that some of their efforts were leaving the subject as little rooted in the realities of real people wresting a living from unforgiving and unpredictable environments as the kinship algebra which had turned me off anthropology as a student. Ultimately, the stance of the phenomenologist, dispassionately standing on the outside looking in, whilst purporting to empathise

PREFACE AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

with the experiential world of their objects of study, lacks the genuine empathy with dwellers in rural landscapes which is so necessary for understanding the reality and complexity of meanings that their landscapes have for them.

In this book, therefore, I present an alternative view of a set of rural landscapes, seen not from the outside, but from within. Of course, not being a Methanitis, I cannot give a direct personal description of the meanings of the peninsula's landscapes as one who has grown up there. However, much of this book represents the ethnographer's view-point gained as participant observer – as 'marginal native', or 'outsider within'. It also represents the understanding of someone accustomed to participating in rural communities and their landscapes in Britain, before I ever visited Greece, and since.

This presentation is also 'alternative' in being holistic in two senses. First, it represents a complex mix of sources of information. Traditional ethnographies have depended very largely on ethnographic data gathered via observation of life in the community or communities studied and via interviews which draw out information on a wide variety of topics. While this study depends greatly on such information, it also draws heavily on the material cultural record, much of it derived from archaeological survey. In addition, information from a unique set of nineteenth-century documents has been incorporated into the discussion and analysis to give a greater time-depth than is possible for most ethnographic studies.

Second, unlike many recent archaeological examples, this book goes beyond discussion of religious monuments in landscapes. Although these have had a pivotal role in Methanites' landscapes, so, too, have issues of production, reproduction and questions of remembering and forgetting in the secular sphere. Ultimately, the key which unlocks the greatest number of doors to understanding these landscapes is that most 'anthropological' aspect of so many small communities: kinship and the family.

Equally 'alternative' is the European-ness of the study community, in contradistinction with the exoticism of many of the ethnographic studies used in discussions of prehistoric European landscapes. The issue of 'valid'-'invalid' or 'more valid'-'less valid' ethnographic studies to assist in understanding specific archaeological problems has a long

PREFACE AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

and unresolved history to which I do not wish to contribute directly. However, many will have nagging doubts about the extent to which arctic reindeer herders or foragers from the Great Australian Desert can really shed light on the meanings of places and spaces for European agriculturalists and herders in prehistory. More important, I argue that using highly exotic ethnographic examples risks dehumanising the people thus involved. Ultimately, interpretation in archaeology is about trying to understand the lives and knowledge of real people in the past.

In a period of research now extending over more than thirty years, focusing on data collected over a span of twenty-five years, a large number of people and organisations have had inputs in one way or another. This book would never have been written had it not been for periods of study leave in which I was able to concentrate fully on research and writing. I gratefully acknowledge the award of a Leverhulme Research Fellowship noted earlier, generous study leave provision from the University of Nottingham, and a semester of study leave funded by the Arts and Humanities Research Board. I also owe an unrepayable debt of gratitude to all those Methanites who have accepted me into their villages, their churches and their homes, teaching me the true meaning of philoxenia (hospitality) and, equally important, giving me a glimpse of what a privilege it is to be a Methanitis. Particular thanks go to the president of the Demos of Methana, Panayiotis Lambrou, for permission to study and copy the archival sources held in the town hall and to the secretary and assistant secretary who made such study possible; also to Tobias Schorr, another non-Methanitis who has a special affection for Methana. Particular thanks, too, go to Athena Masst and her sister Penny, who have provided encouragement and important information on Methana from afar.

Many friends and colleagues have also contributed to this book in multifarious ways. Studying the cultural ecology of rural Greek communities was the inspired idea of the late Michael Jameson, who maintained an interest in my research on Methana throughout. The debt that I owe to the late Robert Netting is equally great – not least for showing me that it is possible to be both an archaeologist and a cultural anthropologist and to enjoy both. I would also particularly like to thank Karen Stears for her suggestion that I put what I have

PREFACE AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

learned about Methana landscapes into a book; Mari Clarke, with whom I shared my first period of fieldwork and on whose subsequent publications many aspects of the present work rely; Harold and Joan Koster, who introduced me to the benefits of working in the fields as part of fieldwork, and much more besides; Chris Mee, with whom I shared several summers during the Methana Survey and who has helped with a number of illustrations; Theo Koukoulis, who shared his knowledge and love of Greek churches with me; Bill Cavanagh for guidance on matters statistical; Lena Cavanagh for help on some abstruse aspects of Greek language and culture; Mercouris Georgiadis for correcting the Greek in the text; Jack Davis and Michael Given for pointing me towards some crucial references; David Taylor for most of the line drawings and diagrams; Keith Streb for his ingenuity in scanning a number of illustrations onto CD; Simon Whitmore and latterly Beatrice Rehl and Barbara Walthall for their advice on publication matters and for forbearance over missed deadlines; and two anonymous referees who provided supportive comments and insightful suggestions. Above all, Lin Foxhall has provided inspiration, support, advice and guidance while I have been involved in writing this book: she knows better than anyone what it is like to juggle teaching, administration, and research while also looking after an energetic family.

Particular thanks are owed to the following for permission to reproduce illustrations:

Mari Clarke: figs. 3.2, 3.9a, 3.9b, 4.1, 4.2, 7.4, 9.4 and 9.5

Liverpool University Press: figs. 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.7, 3.8, 7.1a, 7.1b, 9.2 and 9.6a

Modern Greek Studies Program of the University of Minnesota: figs. 3.9a and 3.9b

Stanford University Press: figs. 3.9a, 3.9b and 7.4 Stefanie Moehrle: fig. 9.9

Tobias Schorr: figs. 1.2, 2.1, 3.6 and the cover photo

TRANSLITERATION CONVENTIONS

There is no fully satisfactory system for transliterating modern Greek into Latin characters: the system used for ancient Greek is not entirely suitable. I have assumed that for those who do not know Greek, a simple transliteration into Latin letters will generally be of little value, so on occasions I simply give a word or phrase in Greek characters with its translation in English. However, where words or phrases in Greek are repeated, I give the Greek and a transliteration when they first appear and subsequently use a transliteration.

Many Greek characters have close equivalents in Latin letters, but a number do not. Where they do not, I generally transliterate them approximately phonetically.

 $\alpha = a$

 $\alpha_1 = e$

 $\alpha \upsilon = a \upsilon$ or af, depending on whether the following letter is voiced or unvoiced

 $\beta = v$

 $\gamma =$ gh (γ before ε , 1, υ , ε 1 and \circ 1 has a sound close to an English initial y, whereas before other vowels and diphthongs, it is closer to an aspirated g. Nevertheless, I use gh throughout in order to distinguish γ from $\gamma \kappa$)

 $\gamma \kappa = g$

 $\delta = dh$ (a sound similar to the th in 'then')

 $\epsilon = e$

 $\epsilon_l = i$

 $\epsilon \upsilon = \mathrm{ev}$ or ef, depending on whether the following letter is voiced or unvoiced

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-86699-6 - Meaning and Identity in a Greek Landscape: An Archaeological Ethnography
Hamish Forbes
Frontmatter
More information

TRANSLITERATION CONVENTIONS

 $\zeta = z$ $\eta = i$ θ = th (a sound similar to the th in 'thin') 1 = i $\kappa = k$ $\lambda = 1$ $\mu = m$ $\mu\pi$ = b if used in initial position, otherwise generally mb v = n $v\tau = d$ if used in initial position, otherwise nd $\xi = x$ o = o $o_l = i$ ov = ou (a sound similar to the oo in 'boot') $\pi = p$ $\rho = r$ $\sigma, \varsigma = s$ $\tau = t$ v = i $\phi = f$ $\chi = kh$ (a sound similar to the ch in "loch") $\psi = ps$ $\omega = 0$

The acute accent indicates where the stress falls.

Exceptions: Certain place names (e.g., Piraeus) and surnames (e.g., Triandaphyllou) do not conform strictly to these rules, if they are conventionally written in the form used for transliterating ancient Greek.

In addition, I use the following characters in Albanian place names: ë represents the 'er' sound as in the standard British English pronunciation of the word 'water' – that is, without the post-vocalic r.

j represents a sound like initial English y.