

Index

- absolute value of production equipment, Japan–US comparison 20–22
- AFTA (ASEAN Free Trade Area) 231, 237, 238, 239, 249–250, 252
- AICO (ASEAN Industrial Cooperation) 231, 238–244
- American auto industry *see* US auto industry
- American Motors 137
- ASEAN (Association of Southeast Asian Nations) region
 - automobile industry in Thailand 252–255
 - complementary division of labor 237–245, 250–252
 - current options for Japanese auto makers 231
 - impact of China’s automotive industry 257–258
 - impact of the Asian economic crisis (1997) 230–231
 - Japanese auto makers’ strategy before the currency crisis 231
 - potential automobile market 230
 - presence of Japanese auto makers 234, 235–237
- Asia
 - automobile industry in Thailand 252–255
 - complementary division of labor 237–245, 250–252
 - current options for Japanese auto makers 231
 - history of local production 231–237
 - impact of China’s automotive industry 257–258
 - impact of the Asian economic crisis (1997) 230–231
 - Japanese auto makers’ strategy before the currency crisis 231
 - potential automobile market 230
 - presence of Japanese auto makers 231–237
 - Asian economic crisis (1997)
 - impacts of 230–231
 - measures taken by Japanese auto makers 246–250
 - Aston Martin 205, 210
 - Automotive Industry Action Group (AIAG) 83–84
 - auto-parts industry
 - changes in component procurement systems 155–160
 - formation of a global procurement system 185–194
 - mergers and acquisitions (mid 1990s) 155
 - modularization trend 161–163
 - restructuring and globalization 155–163, 185–194, 226–227
 - auto-parts standardization, Japanese restructuring (early 1990s) 102–103, 105–106
 - auto-parts suppliers
 - changing supplier relationships 161–163
 - cooperation with 106–108
 - development of skills 170–171
 - emergence of global suppliers 155
 - impacts of auto industry reorganization 155–163
 - influence of global platform and sourcing strategies 185–192
 - module building 194
 - need for strategic management 194
 - participation in design and development 161
 - quality issues related to cost reduction 197–198
 - strategic M&A activities 194

- auto-parts supply
 - approaches to modular systems 199
 - cost reduction and quality issues 197–198
 - drive to reduce number of suppliers 145, 155
 - evaluation of suppliers 155–160
 - see also keiretsu* supplier system
- auto-parts supply in Europe
 - disadvantages of European system 168, 169–170
 - European purchasing system 167–168
 - Fiat's reforms 183
 - horizontal transactions 181
 - in-house production 185
 - influence of the *keiretsu* system 171–174
 - level of in-house production 167
 - modular system and supplier parks 185
 - Opel's reforms 181–182
 - range of reform strategies 182
 - reform of the supplier system 181–185
 - reformed systems compared with *keiretsu* 184–185
 - Renault's reforms 182, 183–184
 - use of system suppliers 182
 - VW's reforms 182
- auto-parts supply in Japan *see keiretsu* supplier system
- auto-parts supply in the US
 - attempts to copy the *keiretsu* system 175, 176
 - benchmarking of suppliers 176–181
 - Big Three copying of the *keiretsu* system 175, 176
 - building databases of evaluations for suppliers 176–181
 - disadvantages of US systems 168, 169–170
 - evaluation of suppliers 176–181
 - influence of the *keiretsu* system 171–175
 - Japanese transplants in the US 65–72
 - level of in-house production 167
 - moves toward globalization 180–181
 - problems with copying the *keiretsu* system 176
 - reconstruction of the supplier system 176–181
 - reform of the supplier system 174–181
 - spin-out of parts divisions 176
 - strategic changes in the supplier system 176–181
 - US purchasing system 167–168
 - use of IT in supplier evaluation 176–181
 - vertical integration 174
- benchmarking of suppliers 176–181
- Big Three
 - causes of downfall 314–315
 - impact of the 2008 global financial crisis 314–315
 - impact of the lean production revolution 53–55
 - see also* Chrysler; Ford; GM (General Motors)
- Big Three reform
 - adaptation and integration of Japanese ideas 87–89
 - Automotive Industry Action Group (AIAG) 83–84
 - concurrent engineering system 86–87
 - difficulties in reforming traditional plants 86
 - establishing strategic management 84–85
 - expansion of the JIT concept 87
 - influence of Japanese production systems 83–84
 - introduction of IT 86–87
 - introduction of lean production systems 84, 85–86
 - lean management and business systems 87
 - lessons for the global auto industry 87–89
 - new model strategies 84–85
 - product development system reform 86
 - site management approach 88–89
 - speed of response to Japanese competition 86–87
 - strategic leadership 88–89
 - strategic use of IT 86–87
 - supplier system reform 85–86

- supply chain management
 - concept 87
 - TQM (total quality management) 88
- BMW, purchase of Rover 137
- Bosch 161, 226
- Brazil, importance in future markets 225
- BRICs (Brazil, Russia, India, China),
 - importance in future markets 225
- bubble economy in Japan *see* economic bubble in Japan
- CAD CAM (computer-aided design and manufacturing) 105–106, 144–145
- capital share, Japan–US comparison 23
- cash flow ranking of manufacturers 141–144
- CEPT (Common Effective Preferential Tariff) agreements 239, 252
- China
 - impact of emerging automotive industry 257–258
 - importance in future markets 225
 - presence of Japanese auto makers 232–233
- China's automotive industry
 - effects of entry into the WTO 269–272
 - effects of government policies 261–272
 - entry of US automotive companies 275–276
 - future of the industry 290–292
 - global environmental issues 283–285
 - growth and globalization challenges 283–292
 - history of development 261–272
 - Japanese auto makers' strategies 272–281
 - Japanese part-makers' strategies 281–283
 - joint ventures with foreign companies 263–264
 - potential size of the market 260
 - problems faced by foreign investors 273–283
 - risks faced by foreign investors 285–290
 - Shanghai Automotive–VW joint venture 263–264, 275
- Chrysler
 - financial position in early 1980s 10
 - quality issues 197
 - see also* Big Three; Daimler–Chrysler merger
- component suppliers *see* auto-parts industry
- component supply strategy, Ford's Project 2000 150
- “concept-in” process 196
- concurrent engineering system 86–87
- cost focus in global sourcing 209
- cost reduction, quality issues in auto parts 197–198
- Daewoo 205–206, 211, 225, 233–234
- Daihatsu 137
- Daimler, focus on quality 197
- Daimler–Chrysler merger 137–141, 206
 - alliance strategy 138–139
 - background to the merger 137–138
 - business performance outcomes 140
 - changing nature of competition 137–138
 - global funding opportunities 140–141
 - global strategy 208–209
 - impact on other manufacturers 137
 - integrating different enterprise cultures 213–215
 - strategic errors 211
- Delphi 161, 181, 193, 226
- Denso 226
- design, localization in the US 72–78
- design-in process
 - development of 95–99
 - from “design-in” to “concept-in” 196
 - involvement of local suppliers 60, 69, 71
- Dongfeng Automotive Company 262, 265
- economic bubble in Japan
 - change in product development strategy 93–102
 - change in profit structure during 93
 - effects of collapse 57–59
 - impacts on Japanese auto makers 92–102
 - restructuring following the collapse of 94–108

- economic bubble in Japan (cont.)
 - role in Japan's loss of competitiveness 92–102
- economic indices, Japan–US
 - productivity comparison 9–25
- economies of scale
 - as a global strategy 208–209
 - 4 million unit scale theory 3–4, 136, 151–155
- environmental strategies 145, 225–226
- equipment utilization rate, Japan–US
 - comparison 20
- European auto industry
 - adoption of Japanese-style production systems 89
 - influence of Japanese production systems 83–84
- FAW Group (China) 211
- Fiat
 - cooperation with GM 137, 205–206, 210
 - reform of supplier system 183
- financial crisis *see* global financial crisis (2008)
- First Auto Works 265
- Ford
 - financial position in early 1980s 10
 - global engine series 145
 - global M&A activities 137, 210, 211–212
 - integrating different enterprise cultures 215
 - productivity compared with Japanese companies 11–25
 - see also* Big Three
- Ford System of mass production 1
- Ford's Project 2000 global strategy
 - 145–151, 204, 205, 206–209
 - component supply strategy 150
 - reform of corporate culture 150–151
 - world car concept 150–151
- 4 million unit scale theory 3–4, 136, 151–155
- Fuji Heavy Industry 205–206, 210–211, 217, 220
- funding for global businesses 140–141
- Ghoshn, Carlos
 - restructuring of Nissan 115–122
 - view on *keiretsu* supplier systems 168–169
- global automotive industry
 - adaptation and integration of Japanese ideas 87–89
 - changing industrial paradigms 294–312
 - downfall of the US Big Three 314–315
 - future prospects for Japan 309–312
 - history of development 294–312
 - impact of the 2008 global financial crisis 314–316
 - role of IT innovation 298–299, 302–303
 - role of Japanese auto industry innovation 298–302
 - social environment issue 297
 - threats of saturation and stagnation 294–297
- global financial crisis (2008)
 - downfall of the Big Three 314–315
 - impacts on the Japanese auto industry 315–316
- global platform strategies, influence on the auto-parts industry 185–192
- global reorganization of the automotive industry
 - accommodating market change 152–155
 - aims and methods of supplier reduction 155–160
 - alternatives to economies of scale 151–155
 - cash flow ranking of manufacturers 141–144
 - changes in component procurement systems 155–160
 - changing nature of competition 137–138
 - changing relationships with suppliers 161–163
 - competition in product development 152–155
 - drivers for international alliances and mergers 144–145
 - economies of scale argument 3–4, 136, 151–155
 - evaluation of suppliers 155–160
 - features of globalization 135–136

- flexible production systems strategies 151–155
- four million unit scale theory 3–4, 136, 151–155
- global funding opportunities 140–141
- global strategies 151–155
- impacts of CAE and digital CAD/CAM 144–145
- impacts on the auto-parts industry 155–163
- importance of environmental strategies 145
- Japanese pursuit of flexible production 152–155
- modularization trend 152, 161–163
- production ranking of manufacturers 141–144
- reduced number of component suppliers 145
- reducing component costs 145
- reduction in the number of platforms 144–145, 152
- reorganization strategies 136–151
- sharing of global platforms 144–145, 152
- situation before globalization 135
- supplier participation in design and development 161
- unification of basic components 145
see also Daimler–Chrysler merger; Ford's Project 2000
- global sourcing strategies
 - cost and quality issues 209
 - influence on the auto-parts industry 185–192
- global strategies
 - burden of legacy costs 203, 207
 - cost focus in global sourcing 209
 - Daimler–Chrysler merger 206, 208–209, 211
 - effects of platform strategies 207–208
 - European auto makers 204
 - focus on economies of scale 208–209
 - Ford 204, 205, 206–209, 210, 211–212 *see also* Ford's Project 2000
 - four million unit scale theory 3–4
 - future of global restructuring 225–227
 - global sourcing of parts 209
 - GM 204, 205–209, 210–212
 - importance of BRICs 225
 - Japanese development of global business 220–224
 - lessons from global strategies 209–212
 - M&A focus 210–212, 213–217
 - M&As in future 225–227
 - quality issues in global sourcing 209
 - reasons for deadlocked business operations 206–209
 - restructuring among suppliers 226–227
 - restructuring in the Japanese auto industry 217–219
 - sources of problems 206–212
 - strategic errors 206–212
 - US auto makers 204, 206–209
 - Volkswagen (VW) 204
 - GM (General Motors)
 - cooperation with Fiat 137, 205–206, 210
 - financial position in early 1980s 10
 - global M&A activities 210–212
 - global strategy 204, 205–209
 - Nummi joint venture with Toyota 69–70, 89
 - productivity compared with Japanese companies 11–25
see also Big Three
 - GM Opel *see* Opel
 - GM–Suzuki relationship 137
- Heisei recession, effects of 57–59
- high-profit financial structure, US auto industry 10
- Honda
 - cultural change (early 1990s) 122–129
 - localization of design and R&D 77–78
 - localization of management in the US 60–61, 62–63, 64
 - pressures to restructure 217
 - strategic restructuring 218–219, 220
 - strategy conversion (early 1990s) 122–129
 - TQM revolution 126–129
 - working with local suppliers 66–67
- horizontal components procurement systems 155, 181
- Hyundai Motors 206, 233–234

- India
 - importance in future markets 225
 - presence of Japanese auto makers 233
- Indonesia *see* ASEAN region
- Isuzu 205–206, 210–211, 217, 220
- IT
 - databases of evaluations for suppliers 176–181
 - introduction of 86–87
 - overdependence on effects of 212
 - role of IT innovation in globalization 298–299, 302–303
 - strategic use of 86–87
 - use in supplier evaluation 176–181
- ITS (Intelligent Transportation System) 295
- Jaguar 137, 205, 210
- Japanese auto industry
 - approaches to modularization 161–163, 185
 - approaches to restructuring 217–219
 - benefits of restructuring 218
 - changing basis for competition 39–50
 - changing supplier relationships 161–163
 - development of global business 220–224
 - economic indices compared to US 9–25
 - emergence of comparative advantage 8–9
 - foreign-affiliated companies 220
 - future prospects 309–312
 - global competitiveness 220–224
 - global strategies 217–219
 - impact of China's automotive industry 257–258
 - impacts of 1973 and 1981 oil shocks 92
 - impacts of the 2008 global financial crisis 315–316
 - impacts of the bubble economy 92–102
 - impacts of the yen exchange rate 93, 104–105
 - international competitiveness 10
 - kanban system (just-in-time) 1–2, 45–50, 87, 171
 - labor productivity indices 26–34
 - loss of international competitiveness (early 1990s) 92–102
 - micromanagement approach 41–50
 - national and independent makers 220
 - pressures to restructure 217
 - process technology innovations 41–50
 - process yield 45–50
 - product development strategy in the economic bubble 93–102
 - production cost 41–44
 - production processes and management 40–50
 - production system 1–2
 - productivity gap with the US 8–9, 40–50
 - profit structure during the economic bubble 93
- Japanese auto industry globalization
 - design-in activities with local suppliers 60, 69, 71
 - drivers for globalization 57–60
 - effects of the bubble economy collapse 57–59
 - elimination of non-lean practices 58–59
 - expansion of local parts procurement in the US 65–72
 - lean hybridization concept 80–81
 - lean optimization challenge 79, 80–81
 - local participation in QC activities 63–65
 - localization of management 60–65
 - localization of production 59–60
 - localization of R&D in the US 72–78
 - main regional targets 59
 - measures to lower costs 58–59
 - North American focus 59–60
 - recession-resistant industry structure 79, 80–81
 - requirement for global sourcing 191–192
 - restructuring in the Heisei recession 57–59
 - strategic significance 59–60
 - working with local suppliers 65–72
- Japanese auto industry restructuring
 - (early 1990s)
 - consequences of previous strategy mistakes 108–109

- contrasting strategies of Japan's auto makers 129–132
- cooperation with parts suppliers 106–108
- development of strategies for restructuring 102–108
- differences in strategy-building ability 108–109
- export challenges 104–105
- Honda cultural change 122–129
- Honda strategy conversion 122–129
- Honda TQM revolution 126–129
- impact of the yen exchange rate 104–105
- Mitsubishi 131–132
- Nissan alliance with Renault 115–122
- Nissan restructuring 109–122
- on-site development engineers 108
- parts standardization 102–103, 105–106
- product development organization 103–104
- reduced lead-time to launch 107–108
- reduced number of prototypes 105–106
- simultaneous engineering activities 106–108
- streamlining design and development 105–108
- targets for restructuring 94–102
- Toyota's introduction of TQM 130–131
- use of digital CAD CAM 105–106
- Japanese production system
 - adaptation and integration in the US 87–89
 - influence in the US and Europe 83–84
 - see also* kanban system (just-in-time); *keiretsu* supplier system
- Johnson Control 226
- just-in-time (JIT, *kanban* system) 1–2, 45–50, 87, 171
- kanban system (just-in-time) 1–2, 45–50, 87, 171
- keiretsu* supplier system
 - attempts to copy outside Japan 175, 176
 - comparison with reformed European systems 184–185
 - competitive advantages 194–195
 - contributions to the auto industry 170–171
 - development of skills in suppliers 170–171
 - disadvantages 195
 - drivers for transformation 195–196
 - enduring merits of the system 198–199
 - environment for optimum functioning 195
 - features of the system 170
 - from “design-in” to “concept-in” 196
 - impact of global sourcing of parts 191–192
 - impacts of new technologies 195–196
 - influence in Europe and the US 171–175
 - pyramid-like trading structure 170
 - QCDE among parts makers 170–171
 - role in Japanese success 167
 - roles for small independent suppliers 196
 - semi-vertical integration 194–195
 - sources of competitive advantage 170–171
 - structure 194–195
 - theoretical framework 170–171
 - transformation 194–197
 - VA (value analysis) activities 171
 - variable efficiency of 168–169
 - VE (value engineering) 171
- Kia Motors 206, 233–234
- Korean GM 211
- labor distribution rate, Japan–US
 - comparison 19, 23
- labor productivity index
 - Japanese auto industry indices 26–34
 - relationship to wage index 23–25
- labor productivity in the US 34–40
- lead-time to launch, reducing 107–108
- lean hybridization concept 80–81
- lean management and business systems 87
- lean manufacturing 1–2
- lean optimization challenge 79, 80–81
- lean production systems, introduction in the US 53–55, 84, 85–86
- Lear 226

- Lincoln 210
- local suppliers, working with 65–72
- localization of management 60–65
- localization of production 59–60
- M&As (mergers and acquisitions)
 - auto-parts suppliers 194
 - future activities 225–227
 - global strategies 210–212, 213–217
 - integrating different enterprise cultures 213–217
 - see also* Daimler–Chrysler merger; Renault–Nissan alliance
- Magna 226
- Malaysia *see* ASEAN region
- Malcolm Baldrige Award 83–84
- management systems and productivity, Japan–US comparison 50–53
- mass production
 - disadvantages 2
 - Ford System 1
 - Sloanism 1
- Mazda 205, 210, 217, 220, 225
- micromanagement approach 41–50
- MIT International Motor Vehicle Program 300
- Mitsubishi 131–132, 220
- model strategies, US auto industry 84–85
- modular systems
 - approaches to 199
 - European auto makers 185
 - Japanese auto makers 185
 - modules built by auto-parts suppliers 194
 - trend toward 152, 161–163
- Nissan
 - foreign affiliation 220
 - inefficiency of *keiretsu* system 168–169
 - localization of design and R&D 73–77, 78
 - localization of management in the US 61, 63
 - partial restructuring (early 1990s) 109–115
 - pressures to restructure 217
 - productivity compared with US companies 11–25
 - restructuring under Carlos Ghosn 115–122
 - strategy mistakes 109–115
 - working with local suppliers 67–69
 - see also* Renault–Nissan alliance
- NUMMI joint venture (Toyota–GM) 69–70, 89
- production system at Opel 181–182
- oil shocks (1973 and 1981), impact on the Japanese auto industry 92
- on-site development engineers 108
- Opel 89
 - affiliation with GM 210
 - quality issues 197
 - reform of supplier system 181–182
- parts *see* auto-parts
- per head yearly wages, Japan–US comparison 22
- Philippines *see* ASEAN region
- platforms
 - effects of platform strategies 207–208
 - reduction in number 144–145
 - sharing of global platforms 144–145
- process technology innovations in Japan 41–50
- process yield, Japan–US comparison 45–50
- product development
 - during Japan’s economic bubble 93–102
 - reform of the US system 86
- production cost, Japan–US comparison 41–44
- production equipment value per head, Japan–US comparison 19
- production processes and management, Japan–US comparison 40–50
- production ranking of manufacturers 141–144
- production systems, flexible production strategies 151–155
- production volume per employee, Japan–US comparison 12–13
- productivity comparison (early 1980s)
 - absolute value of production equipment 20–22
 - capital share 23
 - change in US competitive focus 34–40

- changing basis for competition 39–50
- economic indices 9–25
- emergence of Japanese comparative advantage 8–9
- equipment utilization rate 20
- factors affecting the US auto industry 8–9
- factors contributing to the productivity gap 40–50
- high-profit financial structure in the US 10
- importance of technological innovations 40–50
- influence of management systems 50–53
- Japanese international competitiveness 10
- Japanese micromanagement approach 41–50
- labor distribution rate 23
- labor equipment ratio 19
- labor productivity in Japan 26–34
- labor productivity in the US 34–40
- per head yearly wages 22
- process technology innovations in Japan 41–50
- process yield 45–50
- production cost 41–44
- production equipment value per head 19
- production processes and management 40–50
- production volume per employee 12–13
- productivity gap between Japan and the US 8–9
- profit structure and investment in the US 34–40
- quality and reliability 44
- reasons for national differences 8–9
- strategic investment patterns in the US 34–40
- US value added per vehicle approach 40–41
- value added for each employee 12
- value added per vehicle 13–19
- wage index related to productivity index 23–25
- productivity comparison (present day), impact of the lean production revolution 53–55
- profit structure and investment in the US 34–40
- profit structure during Japan's economic bubble 93
- prototypes, reducing numbers of 105–106
- PSA Citroën 89
- QC (quality control) activities, local participation 63–65
- QC circles 88, 171
- QCDE (quality, cost, delivery, engineering) in auto-parts supply 170–171
- quality and reliability, Japan–US comparison 44
- quality issues
 - cost reduction in auto parts 197–198
 - global sourcing 209
- R&D, localization in the US 72–78
- Range Rover 205, 210
- recession-resistant industry structure 79, 80–81
- Renault 89
 - purchase of American Motors 137
 - reform of supplier system 182, 183–184
- Renault–Nissan alliance 115–122, 137
 - integrating different enterprise cultures 215–217
 - reform of Nissan *keiretsu* system 168–169
- Rover 137
- Russia, importance in future markets 225
- Saab 205–206, 210
- Samsung Motors Inc. 234
- Shanghai Auto Maker 265
- Shanghai Automotive–VW joint venture 263–264, 275
- simultaneous engineering activities 106–108
- site management approach 88–89
- Sloan, A.P., mass production system 7–19, 28

- South Korea, presence of Japanese auto makers 233–234
- spin-out of parts divisions 176
- strategic investment patterns in the US 34–40
- strategic leadership 88–89
- strategic management
 - establishment of 84–85
 - requirement for auto-parts suppliers 194
- strategy-building ability, Japanese auto makers 108–109
- strategy mistakes, consequences for Japanese auto makers 108–109 *see also specific firms*
- supplier parks 185
- supplier system reform, US auto industry 85–86
- suppliers *see* auto-parts industry
- supply chain management concept 87
- Suzuki 137, 205–206, 210–211, 220

- Taiwan
 - presence of Japanese auto makers 234–235
 - working with local suppliers 72
- technological innovations and productivity, Japan–US comparison 40–50
- Thailand, emerging global production base 252–255 *see also* ASEAN region
- Toyota
 - introduction of TQM 130–131
 - localization of design and R&D 78
 - localization of management in the US 61–62, 63–64
 - NUMMI joint venture with GM 69–70, 89
 - pressures to restructure 217
 - productivity compared with US companies 11–25
 - Shimoyama Engine Factory 153–154
 - strategic restructuring 218–219, 220
 - working with local suppliers 69–72
- Toyota–Hino 137
- TQC (total quality control), expansion into TQM 88
- TQM (total quality management) 88, 130–131

- US
 - design and R&D for Japanese transplants 72–78
 - focus of Japanese globalization effort 59–60
 - Japanese auto production transplants 59–60
 - management of Japanese transplants 60–65
- US auto industry
 - change in competitive focus 34–40
 - changing basis for competition 39–50
 - economic indices compared to Japan 10
 - high-profit financial structure 10
 - labor productivity 34–40
 - production cost 41–44
 - productivity gap with Japan 8–9, 40–50
 - profit structure and investment 34–40
 - strategic investment patterns 34–40
 - value added per vehicle 40–41
- US auto industry reform
 - adaptation and integration of Japanese ideas 87–89
 - Automotive Industry Action Group (AIAG) 83–84
 - concurrent engineering system 86–87
 - difficulties in reforming traditional plants 86
 - establishing strategic management 84–85
 - expansion of the JIT concept 87
 - influence of Japanese production systems 83–84
 - introduction of IT 86–87
 - introduction of lean production systems 84, 85–86
 - lean management and business systems 87
 - lessons for the global auto industry 87–89
 - new model strategies 84–85
 - product development system reform 86
 - site management approach 88–89
 - speed of response to Japanese competition 86–87
 - strategic leadership 88–89
 - strategic use of IT 86–87
 - supplier system reform 85–86
 - supply chain management concept 87
 - TQM (total quality management) 88

Index

327

- VA (value analysis) activities, *keiretsu* system 171
- value added per employee, Japan–US comparison 12
- value added per vehicle
 - Japan–US comparison 13–19
 - strategic approach 40–41
- VE (value engineering), *keiretsu* system 171
- vertical integration
 - components system 155
 - keiretsu* supply system 194–195
 - parts supply system (US) 174
- Visteon 150, 161, 181, 193, 226
- Volkswagen (VW) 89
 - emphasis on quality 197
 - global strategy 204
 - reform of supplier system 182
- Volvo 137, 205, 210, 225
- wage index
 - Japan–US comparison 23–25
 - relationship to labor productivity index 23–25
- yen exchange rate, impacts on the Japanese auto industry 93, 104–105