

# Roman Pottery in the Archaeological Record

This book examines how Romans used their pottery and the implications of these practices for the archaeological record. It is organized around a flow model for the life cycle of Roman pottery that includes a set of eight distinct practices: manufacture, distribution, prime use, reuse, maintenance, recycling, discard, and reclamation. J. Theodore Peña evaluates how these practices operated, how they have shaped the archaeological record, and the implications of these processes for archaeological research through the examination of a wide array of archaeological, textual, representational, and comparative ethnographic evidence. The result is a rich portrayal of the dynamic that shaped the archaeological record of the ancient Romans that will be of interest to archaeologists, ceramicists, and students of material culture.

J. Theodore Peña is Chair of the Department of Classics at the University at Buffalo, SUNY. A specialist in the archaeology of the Roman economy and ceramic analysis, he is the author of *The Urban Economy in the Early Dominate:* Pottery Evidence from the Palatine Hill and The Mobilization of State Olive Oil in Roman Africa: The Evidence of Late 4th Century Ostraca from Carthage.



Roman Pottery
in the
Archaeological
Record



J. Theodore Peña





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo

Cambridge University Press

32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521865418

© J. Theodore Peña 2007

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2007

Printed in the United States of America

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Peña, J. Theodore.

Roman pottery in the archaeological record / J. Theodore Peña.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN-13: 978-0-521-86541-8 (hardback)

ISBN-10: 0-521-86541-7 (hardback)

1. Pottery, Roman - Classification. 2. Archaeology - Methodology. 3. Material culture -

Rome. 4. Ethnoarchaeology - Rome. 5. Pottery - Recycling - Rome. 6. Pottery, Roman -

Social aspects. 7. Antiquities, Roman. I. Title.

DG140.P46 2007

937 - dc22 2006035047

ISBN 978-0-521-86541-8 hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



> En memoria de mi padre, José Ángel Peña, quien siempre anheló parir un libro.



### Contents

Tables • page ix
Figures • x
Maps • xv
Acknowledgments • xvii

Introduction

1 / .	A Model of the Life Cycle of Roman Pottery	Ó
2 /	Background Considerations	17
3 /	Manufacture and Distribution	32
4 /	Prime Use	39
5 /	The Reuse of Amphorae as Packaging Containers	61
	The Reuse of Amphorae for Purposes Other than as Packaging Containers	119
7 /	The Reuse of the Other Functional Categories of Pottery	193
8 /	Maintenance	209
9 /	Recycling	250
10 /	Discard and Reclamation	272
11 /	Modeling the Formation of the Roman Pottery Record	319



Appendix: Amphora Classes Referred to in the Text

Maps • 359

Endnotes • 369

Bibliography • 385

Index of Ancient Texts Cited • 409

General Index • 415

vii

353



### **Tables**



4.I	Complete amphorae with dated tituli picti from Pompeii.	page 51
4.2	Amphorae with dated tituli picti from the Castro Pretorio	
	structured landfill at Rome.	53
4.3	Amphorae with dated tituli picti from the First Amphora	
	Wall at Carthage.	54
5.I	Fish products amphorae bearing stamps from the Cabrera 3	
	shipwreck.	77
5.2	Presence of Tunisian and Portuguese fish products amphorae	
	among the cargos of third- and fourth-century shipwrecks	
	situated along routes linking Iberia and west central Italy and	Į.
	central/southern Tunisia and west central Italy.	79
5.3	Amphorae in CIL 4 bearing multiple tituli picti indicating two	
	instances of filling.	101
5.4	Tituli picti from CIL 4 interpreted as indicating a content	
	consisting of a nonstandard substance other than mola or	
	olivae strictae.	103
5.5	Amphorae in CIL 15 bearing two tituli picti indicating two	
	instances of filling.	110
5.6	Tituli picti from CIL 15 indicating content consisting of a	
	nonstandard substance (in every case olives).	111
8.1	Summary of information regarding known instances of repair	r
	by bracing to vessels belonging to the functional categories of	of
	pottery other than dolia.	233
	Amphora classes.	353
App. 2	Concordance of Schöne–Mau amphora forms mentioned in	
	the text and amphora classes.	358



## **Figures**



I.I	Flow diagram representing general artifact life cycle.	ge 7
I.2	Flow diagram representing the life cycle of Roman pottery.	- 9
2.1	Representative dolium.	21
2.2	Representative amphorae. Top: Dressel 2-4 amphora. Bottom	
	left: Dressel 20 amphora. Bottom right: Late Roman 5 amphora.	22
2.3	Representative lamps.	23
2.4	Representative cookware vessels. Left: Palestinian Cookware	
	cookpot. Right: Campanian Cookware casserole.	24
2.5	Representative utilitarian ware vessel. Mortarium with	
	pestle and stamp.	24
2.6	Representative tableware vessels. Left: African Sigillata D vessels.	
	Right: Pitcher.	25
4.I	Fragment of fresco from Caseggiato del Ercole at Ostia	
	depicting two men arguing about broken amphora.	55
4.2	Two joining fragments from African sigillata D Hayes Form 59,	
	55, or 67 dish from Palatine East site at Rome. Left: Detail of	
	interior surface showing cut marks. Right: Detail of exterior	
	surface showing extensive abrasion.	59
5.I	Plan of Officina del Garum degli Umbrici at Pompeii.	83
5.2	Dolia and amphorae in northwest corner of courtyard of Officina	
	del Garum degli Umbrici.	84
5.3	Amphorae in north end of garden of Officina del Garum degli	
	Umbrici.	85
5.4	Plan of Casa di Mestrius Maximus/Lupanar di Amarantus at	
	Pompeii.	88
5.5	Amphorae in impluvium and in northwest corner of atrium of	
	Casa di Mestrius Maximus.	89
6.1	Detail of mosaic from Oued Ramel depicting man using	
	amphora as water container for mixing of mortar.	134
6.2	Detail of silver cup from Castro Urdiales with relief decoration	
	depicting man using amphora to transfer mineral water into	
	wagon-mounted cask.	135



	FIGURES	xi
6.3	Keay 52 amphora from Agora at Athens with hole cut in shoulder, presumably to facilitate reuse as water jar.	137
6.4	Dressel 2–4 <i>amphora</i> from Villa Regina, near Pompeii, with top removed and hole cut in wall, perhaps to facilitate reuse as urinal/urine container. Second example of same class with top	
6.5	removed set in opening in top.  Detail of fresco from Tomb of Trebius Iustus on Via Latina, near Rome, depicting man carrying mortar in object perhaps to be identified as <i>amphora</i> half.	139
6.6	Amphora half from Dressel 26 amphora Type 2020 amphora, or Tripolitanian 1 amphora from house at Regio 5, Insula 3,	142
6.7	doorway 4 at Pompeii reused as container for mixing lime. Forli <i>amphora</i> with hole in bottom employed as libation conduit at Porto Recanati necropolis.	143 146
6.8	Top of Pseudo-Koan <i>amphora</i> reused as libation conduit at Porto Recanati necropolis.	
6.9	Amphorae with bottoms removed reused as libation conduits at Isola Sacra necropolis.	147
6.10	Three <i>amphora</i> spikes from Casa di Cerere at Pompeii perhaps reused as props for cooking vessels.	149
6.11	Fragments of handles and spikes from Dressel 1 <i>amphorae</i> from Allées de Tourney site at Bordeaux showing wear suggesting reuse as polishers.	151
6.12	Three disks fashioned from amphora sherds from Palatine East	153
6.13	ostraca fashioned from sherds from amphorae of Tunisian origin	155
6.14	from Ilôt de l'Amirauté site at Carthage. African 1 <i>amphora</i> with lower portion removed and reattached for reuse as sarcophagus for infant burial at Poggio Gramignano	163
_	necropolis.	167
6.15	African 2 amphora split into halves employed as sarcophagus for child burial at Site 10 necropolis at Lamta. Top: Amphora as found. Bottom: Amphora after removal of upper	
6.16	half. Three large <i>amphorae</i> of Tunisian origin employed as	168
	sarcophagus for adult burial at Site 10 necropolis at Lamta.	169
6.17	Wellhead constructed with Keay 26 amphorae in Semita dei Cippi at Ostia.	171



xii I	FIGURES	
6.18	Dressel 23 amphorae reused as lightening elements in cavea of	
	Circus of Maxentius, near Rome.	177
6.19	Drain constructed of Cintas 312 amphorae with bottoms	
	removed at Campanaio.	180
6.20	Section of built landscape feature consisting of two parallel rows of Dressel 1 <i>amphorae</i> set into land surface at different levels at	
	Ile des Sables site at Arles.	186
6.21	Section of built landscape feature constructed with Dressel 6A, 6B, and 7–11 <i>amphorae</i> set in position slightly inclined from vertical on bedding of river cobbles and sand at Ex-Campo	
	Fiera site at Verona.	187
6.22	Section of built landscape feature consisting of row of Dressel 1 <i>amphorae</i> with necks removed set on side as subfoundation for	
	wall at Monfo.	189
6.23	Built landscape feature consisting of pit filled with amphorae	
	with holed bottoms in inverted position at Università Cattolica	
	site at Milan.	191
7.I	Well lining constructed of <i>dolia</i> with bottoms removed at	
	Piammiano.	197
7.2	Portion of Hayes 67 dish in African Sigillata D with damage suggesting deliberate modification for uncertain purpose from	
	Palatine East.	199
7.3	Cookware <i>olla</i> employed as container for coin hoard from Musarna.	
		201
7.4	Locally manufactured cookpots in alley to east of <i>Insula 7</i> at Benghazi that perhaps were employed as urinals.	202
7.5	Cookware <i>olla</i> with lead lining and lid employed as ossuary at	203
/•3	Dragoncello necropolis, near Ostia.	204
7.6	Portion of handle of Hayes 45 bowl in African Sigillata C with	204
7.0	wear at end, presumably from reuse for polishing, from Palatine	
	East site.	205
7.7	Flanged basin employed as sediment trap in basin at Piammiano.	207
7.8	Fallen masonry from façade of apartment building at Ostia	
	with decorative pottery insets.	208
8.1	Panel depicting pitching of wine dolium from Rustic Calendar	
	mosaic from Saint-Romain-en-Gal.	213

Dolium defossum with horizontal crack repaired by means of

mortice and tenon technique at Caseggiato dei Doli at Ostia.

8.2

217



	FIGURES	xiii
8.3	Dolium defossum with two vertical cracks repaired by means of mortice and tenon technique at Caseggiato dei Doli.	219
8.4	Detail of mortice and tenon technique repair to <i>dolium defossum</i> at Caseggiato dei Doli. Double-dovetail tenon and fill, with fill above tenon stripped away, showing reworking of crack into	
	V-shaped channel.	220
8.5	Dolium defossum with two irregular cracks repaired by means of	
0.1	mortice and tenon technique at Caseggiato dei Doli.	221
8.6	Details of lead fillings on interior surface of dolia defossa at	
_	Magazzino Annonario at Ostia.	223
8.7	Dolium defossum with set of irregular cracks repaired by mortice	
	and tenon technique at Piammiano.	225
8.8	Upper portion of African Utilitarian Ware jug with crack filled	
0	with calcium-based (?) compound from Palatine East.	230
8.9	Details of African Utilitarian Ware jug depicted in Figure 8.8.	231
8.10	South Gallic Sigillata Dragendorff 35 dish repaired by hole and	
	clamp technique from Wroxeter.	236
8.11	Drawing of six sherds (five joining) from a Central Gallic	
	Sigillata Dragendorff 37 bowl repaired by hole and clamp	
	technique from Stonea.	237
8.12	Two joining sherds from African Sigillata D Hayes 104B	
	dish/bowl repaired by hole and clamp technique from Palatine	
	East.	238
8.13	Sherd from Black Gloss Ware plate/bowl (probably Morel 2272,	
	2273, or 2274) repaired by hole and clamp technique from	
	Piammiano.	240
9.1	Opus caementicium wall with facing consisting in part of recycled	
	pieces of pottery at Domus di Giove Fulminatore at Ostia.	259
9.2	Detail of pottery recycled as facing in wall shown in Figure 9.1.	260
9.3	Impluvium surfaced with recycled amphora sherds set on edge at	
	Casa dei Ceii at Pompeii.	261
9.4	Decomposing cocciopesto lining in basin at Campetti site at Veii.	262
9.5	Cocciopesto wall surfacing at amphitheater at El Djem.	263
IO.I	Plan of Porta di Nola/Tower 8 area of Pompeii.	280
10.2	Pompeii: Test trench against outer face of fortification wall to	
	west of Porta di Nola.	281
10.3	Plan of Wadi Umm Hussein settlement.	285
10.4	Plan of pottery workshop at Jesi.	203



xiv	FIGURES	
10.5	Monte Testaccio.	301
10.6	Plan of Villa Regina, near Pompeii.	313
II.I	Flow diagram representing life cycle of dolia.	325
11.2	Flow diagram representing life cycle of amphorae.	326
11.3	Flow diagram representing life cycle of lamps and cookwares	
	distributed beyond locale of manufacture.	327
11.4	Flow diagram representing life cycle of utilitarian wares	
	distributed beyond locale of manufacture.	328
11.5	Flow diagram representing life cycle of tablewares distributed	
	beyond locale of manufacture.	329
11.6	Flow diagram representing life cycle of lamps and cookwares	
	not distributed beyond locale of manufacture.	330
11.7	Flow diagram representing life cycle of utilitarian wares and	
	tablewares not distributed beyond locale of manufacture.	331
8.11	Flow diagram representing life cycle of Dressel 20 amphorae	
	emptied of content at conclusion of prime use in Rome area ca.	
	130.	333
11.9	Flow diagram representing life cycle of Dressel 20 amphorae	
	emptied of content at conclusion of prime use in lower Rhine	
	Valley region ca. 130.	334
II.IC	Flow diagram representing life cycle of Dressel 20 amphorae	
	emptied of content at conclusion of prime use in Rome area ca.	
	225.	335
II.II	Flow diagram representing life cycle of cylindrical amphorae	
	from Tunisia and Tripolitania emptied of content at conclusion	
	of prime use in Rome area ca. 225.	336



### Maps



Ι.	Areas covered by Maps 2–9. page	359
2.	Sites in United Kingdom mentioned in text.	360
3.	Sites in France, Germany, and the Netherlands mentioned in text.	361
4.	Sites in Spain and western Algeria mentioned in text.	362
5.	Sites in Switzerland, Croatia, and northern Italy mentioned in text.	363
6.	Sites in central/southern Italy, Sardinia, Sicily, and western	
	Mediterranean mentioned in text.	364
7.	Sites in eastern Algeria, Tunisia, and western Libya mentioned in	
	text.	365
8.	Sites in Romania, western Turkey, Greece, and eastern Libya	
	mentioned in text.	366
9.	Sites in southern Turkey, Cyprus, Syria, Israel, and Egypt	
	mentioned in text.	367



### Acknowledgments



This book could not have been produced without the help of numerous individuals and institutions, and I would like to express my sincere gratitude for their generous assistance. First and foremost, I would like to thank Simon Whitmore, my editor at the Cambridge University Press, for his open-mindedness in agreeing to take on responsibility for publishing a decidedly quirky study that falls somewhere between the traditional categories of Classical studies and archaeology. The Interlibrary Loan Department at the University at Buffalo's Lockwood Library did an outstanding job of obtaining a very large portion of the books and articles that I consulted in the course of my research. Donald Smith produced the background maps from which the maps at the end of the book were generated, and Samantha McCaw took the photographs of the several sherds from the Palatine East and Piammiano pottery assemblages that are illustrated in the book. John Dugan provided useful advice regarding several of the Latin texts cited, and Samuel Paley and Rabbi Irwin Tannenbaum rendered similar service for several of the texts in Late Hebrew/Aramaic. Jonathan Thornton provided valuable insights into pottery mending techniques, and Lynne Lancaster shared with me her knowledge of the use of amphorae as fill/lightening elements in Roman concrete construction. Roberta Tomber generously provided me an advance copy of sections of her manuscript on the pottery from Wadi Umm Hussein/Mons Claudianus. Bradley Ault, Mariette De Vos, Katherine Dubabin Sibyl Edwards, Joann Freed, Andrew Gardiner, Anne Hansen, Mark Lawall, Archer Martin, Myles McCallum, James McCaw, Matt Notarian, Elizabeth Peña, Kathleen Slane, Vivian Swan, Douglas Welle, and Andrew Wilson all provided useful bibliographical references. Roman Roth and Andrew Gardner Kindly invited me to present a condensed version of this study as part of a session on contemporary approaches to the study of Roman material culture that they organized at the Roman Archaeology Conference held at the University of Birmingham in the Spring of 2005. This provided me the opportunity to refine my thought on numerous points and to benefit from critical comments offered by several of the conference

xvii



#### xviii ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

participants. The three anonymous reviewers also made numerous helpful comments that allowed me to improve the overall organization of the work. Finally, my understanding of numerous topics touched on in this book has benefited in great and small ways from discussions held over the years with my three closest research collaborators and good friends, Eric De Sena, Janne Ikäheimo, and Victor Martínez.