

The Syntax of German

What do you know, if you know that a language has 'Object Verb' (OV) structure rather than 'Verb Object' (VO)? Answering this question and many others, this book provides an essential guide to the syntactic structure of German. It examines the systematic differences between German and English, which follow from this basic difference in sentence structure, and presents the main results of syntactic research on German. Topics covered include the strict word order in VO vs word order variation in OV, verb clustering, clause union effects, obligatory functional subject position, and subject—object asymmetries for extractions. Through this, a cross-model and cross-linguistic comparison evolves, highlighting the immediate implications for non-Germanic OV languages, and creating a detailed and comprehensive description of the syntactic differences that immediately follow from an OV type in contrast with a VO type like English. It will be of value to all those interested in syntax and Germanic languages.

HUBERT HAIDER is Professor in Linguistics at the University of Salzburg. He has worked in Germany, the USA, Norway, Sweden, Japan and Morocco, and his previous publications include *Deutsche Syntax*, *Generativ – Vorstudien zur Theorie einer projektiven Grammatik* (1993).



CAMBRIDGE SYNTAX GUIDES

General editors:

P. Austin B. Comrie J. Bresnan D. Lightfoot I. Roberts N.V. Smith

Responding to the increasing interest in comparative syntax, the goal of the Cambridge Syntax Guides is to make available to all linguists major findings, both descriptive and theoretical, which have emerged from the study of particular languages. The series is not committed to working in any particular framework, but rather seeks to make language-specific research available to theoreticians and practitioners of all persuasions.

Written by leading figures in the field, these guides will each include an overview of the grammatical structures of the language concerned. For the descriptivist, the books will provide an accessible introduction to the methods and results of the theoretical literature; for the theoretician, they will show how constructions that have achieved theoretical notoriety fit into the structure of the language as a whole; for everyone, they will promote cross-theoretical and cross-linguistic comparison with respect to a well-defined body of data.

Other books available in this series

O. Fischer et al: The Syntax of Early English K. Zagona: The Syntax of Spanish K. Kiss: The Syntax of Hungarian S. Mchombo: The Syntax of Chichewa H. Thrainsson: The Syntax of Icelandic P. Rowlett: The Syntax of French R.D. Borsley et al: The Syntax of Welsh C.-T. J. Huang et al: The Syntax of Chinese J. Aoun et al: The Syntax of Arabic



The Syntax of German

HUBERT HAIDER University of Salzburg





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Dubai, Tokyo

Cambridge University Press

The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521865258

© Hubert Haider 2010

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2010

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data Haider, Hubert.

The syntax of German / Hubert Haider.

p. cm. – (Cambridge syntax guides)

Includes bibliographical references.

ISBN 978-0-521-86525-8 (hardback)

1. German language-Syntax. I. Title. II. Series.

PF3361.H35 2009

435-dc22 2009031397

ISBN 978-0-521-86525-8 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Contents

	Fore Ack	of tables and figure page vii eword viii nowledgements xi			
	List	of abbreviations xiii			
1	A co	omparative survey: German – V2 and partially OV The V2 property of Germanic languages I	1		
	1.2	The linearization of heads and complements: lexically OV and functionally VO 5			
	1.3	German in comparison with other Germanic languages	7		
	1.4				
		VO properties of English 9			
	1.5	Summary 43			
2	The functional architecture of a German clause: facts and				
		troversies 45			
		Introduction 45			
	2.2	The position of the clause-final finite verb in German and Dutch: no overt V-to-'I' 54			
	2.3	The position(s) of the subject 68			
	2.4	Expletives for functional spec positions 72			
	2.5	Extraction out of subjects: no subject-object asymmetry	79		
	2.6	Summary 84			
3	Targeting the clause-initial position: German				
	wh-constructions 86				
	3.1	'Wh-movement': movement to the clause-initial			
		spec position 86			
	3.2	3 F · F			
	3.3	Partial wh-movement 107			
	3.4	1 &			
	3.5	0			
	3.6	1			
	3.7	Summary 128			

V



vi

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-86525-8 - The Syntax of German Hubert Haider Frontmatter More information

	Conte	ents			
4		geting left: clause-internal word order and word			
	4.1	'			
	4.2	ī			
	4.3	scrambling 141			
	1 1	· ·			
	4.4 4.5				
5	Tar	geting the right edge: extraposition 188			
	5.1	What is (at) the right edge? 188			
	5.2	What is the structure of the right edge? Problems and puzzles 196			
	5.3	Towards an adequate theoretical modelling of the right edge 203			
	5.4	Appendix: multiple shiftings to the left – Kayne (1994) and its extensions 233			
	5.5	Summary 235			
6	Case: a nominative–accusative language with a four-way case paradigm 237				
	6.1				
	6.2	· · · ·			
		Case of non-arguments: adverbials and nominal predicates 261			
	6.4				
	6.5	•			
		·			
7	Non-finite verbs and their constructions 272				
	7.1				
	7.2				
	7.3				
	7.4	Comparing and contrasting English with German infinitival constructions 298			
	7.5	The grammar of clausal and clause union infinitival constructions 309			
	7.6	Summary 343			
	7.7	Appendices 344			
	Bibl	iography 354			
	Inde	x 368			



Tables and figure

Table 1.1	Some conspicuous (morpho-)syntactic differences among
	Germanic languages 7
Table 2.1	CP-internal V2 in Germanic languages 53
Table 2.2	The lexical domain of V° and its functional extensions 57
Table 3.1	Synopsis of German–English wh-in-situ contrasts 117
Table 4.1	Checklist for the theoretical coverage of the characteristics
	of scrambling 186
Table 6.1	Case-licensing heads in German 239
Table 7.1	Optionally clustering verbs 279
Table 7.2	Synopsis of the types and forms of infinitival complementation
	in English and German 308
Table 7.3	Synopsis of the properties of infinitival clauses in comparison
	with clause union infinitivals 311
Table 7.4	Categories of infinitival complementation according
	to Wurmbrand (2001) 335
Figure 4.1	Tree splitting 176



Foreword

In general we look for a new law by the following process. First we guess it. Then we compute the consequences of the guess to see what would be implied if this law that we guessed is right. Then we compare the result of the computation to nature, with experiment or experience, compare it directly with observation, to see if it works. If it disagrees with experiment it is wrong. In that simple statement is the key to science.

It does not make any difference how beautiful your guess is. It does not make any difference how smart you are, who made the guess, or what his name is – if it disagrees with experiment it is wrong.

Richard Feynman, from a lecture he gave in 1964

What you read, when you read this book, is inspired by the desire to live up to Feynman's standard in the field of grammar research. (Un)fortunately, this very desire made it inevitable for me to leave the well-trodden mainstream paths more often than not, for a simple reason. The paths lead to reasonable accounts for VO languages, but to questionable analyses of OV languages. I must admit though that I am not sure whether I have put to test my own pet ideas as squarely as I dealt with most of the competing hypotheses. Falsification is just labour, creativity is gift. You will have to find out.

Here is the point of departure: German is a verb-final Germanic language. Germanic languages are V-'movement' languages. This means that in a declarative clause, the finite verb is placed at a position following a single, clause-initial constituent. This is the syntactic hallmark of Germanic languages, viz. the so-called *verb second* property. These two properties – the head-final VP and the 'movability' of (finite) verbs – are the core properties that trigger a cascade of implications within a universal grammar framework. It is the major concern of this book to demonstrate in detail how this minimal set of initial conditions is sufficient for a deeper understanding of the major syntactic properties not only of German and its Germanic kin, but also of the systematic contrasts between an OV organisation of sentence structure vis-à-vis a VO organization of sentence structure.

viii



Foreword ix

The background understanding of universal grammar (UG) in the domain of syntax endorsed here is this: UG is the mental instantiation of a system of principles and properties that constitutes and guides (the acquisition of) a uniquely human mental capacity, viz. the language faculty. This capacity enables us to efficiently and effectively compute the string-to-structure mapping, and conversely, the structure-to-string mapping in language processing.

Syntax is (in part) an algorithm that projects at least two-dimensional structures on one-dimensional arrays of terminals and compresses two-dimensional structures to one-dimensional strings of terminals. It thereby bridges a dimension gap. It enables the mapping of the one-dimensional representations (strings) of phonetic/phonological structure to the at least two-dimensional hierarchical box-in-box structure of semantic representations, back and forth. The dimension mismatch is an unavoidable consequence of the respective interfaces. Sound structures are organized along the time axis (linear organisation), conceptual representations are timeless, hierarchically organized complex structures (hierarchical, box-in-box organisation).

You should be aware that it is the persuasion of the author that the cognitive capacities underlying the grammar faculty are characterized best in terms of capacities for computing syntactic *patterns* (as a complex 'geometric' capacity, that is, as *pattern matching* capacity) rather than in terms of computing syntactic *derivations* (as a complex 'algebraic' capacity, that is, *pattern construction and derivation* capacity). A theory of UG may justly be formulated in terms of principles and rules, but the mentally implemented (core) grammar of a given language as the model of the linguistic capacities of the speaker/listener is not a derivational machinery for tree structures; it is a pattern matching capacity.

This conviction is bolstered by findings in other cognitive domains. Human vision is the solution for a dimension management problem, too. Three-dimensional relations must be reliably projected (i.e. mentally reconstructed) from two-dimensional retinal reception patterns (Hoffmann 1998). The UG of vision as a system of rules and principles for 3D-projections is not the blueprint for a derivational system. It characterizes a system that is applied instantaneously, not sequentially.

Be that as it may, the general approach in this book is a representational, and not a derivational, one. A convergent syntactic representation for an array of terminal elements is seen as nothing else but the well-formed syntactic structure of the given array, and not, in addition, as the endpoint of a cascade of derivations (that are even taken to bifurcate into a spell-out structure and a hidden post-spell-out representation). Derivational terminology (e.g. 'movement') is used without restraint, though, in this book, just for expository and familiarity reasons, without ontological commitments.



x Foreword

The agreed objective for me as a contributor to the Cambridge Syntax Guides series has been to produce a comprehensive survey of German syntax while keeping a low profile on the technical apparatus, but nevertheless following a theory-inspired road map. The focus will be on data and argumentation at a primarily descriptive level. If you nevertheless come to think that there are still too many technical details in some chapter, and not enough data in another, blame it on the author.



Acknowledgements

Any substantive piece of work of a researcher is much like a mushroom. It looks like an individually grown thing, but in fact it is just the surface appearance of the activity of a huge underground mycelium which the researcher is but a part of. By the way, mycelia love trees, and so do some syntacticians.

It is impossible for me to figure out a complete inventory of all my influential co-mycelians. So I apologize to those who fed my mushroom but have fallen victim to my mediocre source memory. After all, my concern with OV and VO took shape in the early nineties, presented first at the 1991 Utrecht conference, whose proceedings took their time (Haider 1992, in Coopmans, Everaert and Grimshaw 2000).

My mushroom cultivating award for German surely goes to at least the following eminent grammarians who readily come to my mind: Klaus Abels, Werner Abraham, Josef Bayer, Manfred Bierwisch, Daniel Büring, Gisbert Fanselow, Werner Frey, Günther Grewendorf, Tilman Höhle, Joachim Jacobs, Angelika Kratzer, Gereon Müller, Stefan Müller, Marga Reis, Inger Rosengren, Arnim von Stechow, Wolfgang Sternefeld, Sten Vikner, Angelika Wöllstein, Ellen Woolford, Susi Wurmbrand, Ilse Zimmermann.

My reminiscence gratefully retrieves that valuable input came from the audiences that were willing to pay attention to my ideas. Thanks to the syntax class at the 2002 DGfS summer school in Düsseldorf and to Dieter Stein for inviting me. Thanks to the 2004 syntax seminar group at U.Mass, Amherst, and to Ellen Woolford, Lisa Selkirk and Angelika Kratzer for the invitation to South College. Thanks to Masayuki Oishi for providing me with an interested audience in the syntax seminar at Sendai's Tohoku Gakuin in 2005.

Marga Reis and Gisbert Fanselow invested time and effort in reading (parts of) an earlier version and not only pointed out deficits and problems but supplied highly valuable suggestions, due scepticism and welcome encouragement. My special gratitude goes to them. Whatever blunders are still lurking for you in the present version are to be exclusively blamed on the author, of course.



xii Acknowledgements

The Cambridge University Press team, whose persistence got tested over the years between the first delivery deadline and the actual one, I thank for their endurance and continuous support.

Finally, the readability of the text has benefited enormously from my companion-in-life's untiring efforts in hunting relentlessly for typos, inconsistencies and barely understandable formulations during several metamorphotic stages of this book. In general, the extent to which it has gained a more butterfly-like than larva-shaped appearance is definitely thanks to her. Hartelijk bedankt, lieveke!

This book, I dedicate to the memory of my beloved younger brother Martin, whose brilliant brain happened to be fatally devastated by bacteria at the time I was finishing this book.



Abbreviations

AC absolute cartography

ACC accusative

ANS Algemene Nederlandse Spraakkunst ('grammar of standard

Dutch'); abbreviation for the title of Geerts et al. (1984)

ARB arbitrary (PRO_{ARB})

BBC basic branching constraint
BG Burzio's generalization
CC copy construction

CED condition on extraction domains

CL clitic

CS convergent structuring
CUS clause union syndrome

DAT dative
DIR directive
DO direct object

ECM exceptional case marking ECP Empty Category Principle

EPP extended projection principle $=_{def}$ 'clauses have subjects'

(Chomsky 1982: 9-10)

fem feminine FF focus fronting

FIN finite
GEN genitive
INF infinite
INTRANS intransitive
IO indirect object

IPP infinitivus pro participio, Latin for 'infinitive instead of

participle', in German: Ersatzinfinitiv

IS information structure LD left-dislocation LF logical form

xiii



xiv	List of abbreviations
-----	-----------------------

LFG Lexical Functional Grammar

LOC locative

MAC minimal argument complex

masc masculine

MLC Minimal Link Condition

neut neutrum, neuter NOM nominative OBJ object

OV type of language with head-final VP, that is, 'object-verb' order

P&P Principles & Parameter Model (Chomsky 1981)

Part Participle PASS passive

PDI Principle of Directional Identification

PF phonetic form pg parasitic gap

PRO silent subject in clausal infinitival constructions

PRT particle
REFL reflexive
SUBJ subject
TP tense phrase
TRANS transitive

UG Universal Grammar

VC verb cluster

VO type of language with head-initial VP, that is, 'verb-object' order

WC was (what) construction

XP phrase of an arbitrary category (x serves as a variable for the head

category)

< Read 'A < B' as 'A precedes B'