

The European Foundation

Progressing European integration calls for an instrument to serve the European public good. The EC is already preparing the future framework of not-for-profit organisations which will be available to Europeans. The aim of the European Foundation Project is to develop the legislative draft for the legal form of a European Foundation, designed to provide an alternative to the national legal forms available in EU members states. A team of top-level experts in comparative law from across Europe, commissioned by the Bertelsmann Foundation, the Compagnia di San Paolo, and the ZEIT-Stiftung Ebelin and Gerd Bucerius, has undertaken feasibility research, and developed a proposal. The resulting draft legislation is clearly presented here in a way which makes it easy for the reader to locate information on specific legal issues. The draft is supported by comprehensive explanatory chapters on each issue which cover European countries, the USA and China. This book lays the groundwork for policy and advocacy initiatives in the European foundation and the not-for-profit sector.

KLAUS J. HOPT is the Director of the Max Planck Institute for Foreign and International Private Law, Hamburg.

W. RAINER WALZ is the Director of the Institute for Foundation and Charity Law at the Bucerius Law School, Hamburg.

THOMAS VON HIPPEL is a Senior Fellow of the Bucerius Law School and at the Max Planck Institute.

VOLKER THEN is Programme Director, Philanthropy and Foundations, at the Bertelsmann Foundation, Gütersloh.

Cambridge University Press & Assessment
978-0-521-86333-9 — The European Foundation
General editor Klaus J. Hopt, W. Rainer Walz, Thomas von Hippel, Volker Then
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)

The European Foundation

A project of the Bertelsmann Stiftung, Gütersloh, in cooperation with the
Compagnia di San Paolo, Torino, and the
ZEIT-Stiftung Ebelin and Gerd Bucerius, Hamburg

The European Foundation

A NEW LEGAL APPROACH

Edited by

KLAUS J. HOPT,

W. RAINER WALZ,

THOMAS VON HIPPEL,

VOLKER THEN



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press & Assessment
978-0-521-86333-9 — The European Foundation
General editor Klaus J. Hopt, W. Rainer Walz, Thomas von Hippel, Volker Then
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)



Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 8EA, United Kingdom
One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India
103 Penang Road, #05–06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

We share the University's mission to contribute to society through the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521863339

© Bertelsmann Foundation 2006

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press & Assessment.

First published 2006

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

ISBN 978-0-521-86333-9 Hardback

Cambridge University Press & Assessment has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Contents

Acknowledgements	<i>page</i> 3
Members of the Core Team of the European Foundation Project	5
CHAPTER 1 DRAFT	
Part 1 Civil Law	6
Preamble	6
Art. 1 Definitions	6
1.1 General Definition	6
1.2 Public Benefit Purpose	6
1.3 Non-Distribution Constraint, Split-Interest Endowments	7
Art. 2 Legal Status	7
Art. 3 Formation	7
3.1 Right to Establish	7
3.2 Form of Establishment	7
3.3 Formation Deed	7
3.4 No State Approval	8
3.5 Registration	8
3.6 Statutes	8
Art. 4 Governance	8
4.1 Board of Directors	8
4.1.1 Responsibilities, Rights and Duties	8
4.1.2 Board Members	9
4.1.3 Admission, Expulsion and Resignation	9
4.2 Supervisory Board	9
4.3 Rights of the Founder	9
4.4 Rights of the Beneficiaries	9
4.5 Rights of Third Parties	10
Art. 5 Reporting, Transparency and Disclosure	10
5.1 Public Accountability	10
5.2 Disclosure	10
5.3 Auditor	10
Art. 6 Activities	11
6.1 Asset Management	11
6.2 Economic Activities (Trading)	11
6.3 Political Activities	11
Art. 7 State Supervision	11
Art. 8 Fundamental Decisions	12
8.1 Amendment of Statutes	12
8.1.1 Changes of Administrative Provisions	12
8.1.2 Modification of Purpose	12
8.2 Liquidation	12
Art. 9 Applicable Law	13

Part 2 Tax Law	14
Preamble	14
Part 2A Harmonized Tax Law	14
Art. 1 Definitions	14
1.1 General Definition	14
1.2 Public Benefit Purpose	14
1.3 Non-Distribution Constraint, Split-Interest Endowments	15
Art. 2 Competence and Procedural Rules	15
Art. 3 Tax Benefits	15
3.1 Foundation	15
3.2 Donors	16
3.3 Beneficiaries	16
3.4 Volunteers	16
Art. 4 Use of Funds and Timely Disbursement	16
4.1 De Minimis Rule	16
4.2 Timing Rule	16
Art. 5 Economic Activities	16
5.1 Related Business Income	16
5.2 Unrelated Business Income	16
5.3 Turnover Ceiling for Tax-Exempt Unrelated Business Activities	16
5.4 Passive Income	17
Art. 6 Restructuring	17
Part 2B Non-Discrimination in a Non-Harmonized Context	17
Art. 1 General Rule	17
Art. 2 Tax Treatment	17
2.1 Tax Treatment of the European Foundation	17
2.2 Tax Treatment of Donors	17
2.3 Tax Treatment of Beneficiaries	18
CHAPTER 2 COMMENTARY AND COMPARATIVE VIEW	19
Part 1 Private Law	19
Preamble	19
Introduction Civil Law (Hopt/von Hippel/Then)	19
1. Preliminaries	19
1.1 The European Foundation – a New European Legal Instrument	19
1.2 Initiatives to Introduce a European Foundation Statute	20
2. Arguments for a European Foundation	21
2.1 Facilitation of Cross-Border Foundation Activities	21
2.1.1 Recognition and Transferring the Foundation's Office Abroad	22
2.1.2 Tax Relief for Donations	23
2.2 Positive Effects of a European Foundation	24
3. Governance and Foundations	24
4. Main Ideas of this Draft	25

5.	Comparison with the EFC Proposal	25
6.	Subsidiarity and National Regulatory Competences	26
7.	Questions of Implementation	27
	7.1 Legal Basis	27
	7.1.1 Potential Legal Basis: Art. 95 or Art. 308, EC Treaty?	27
	7.1.2 Applicability to the Establishment of European Non-Profit Legal Entities?	27
	7.2 Possibilities of Implementation	28
	7.3 Special Rules	28
	7.3.1 Co-determination	28
	7.3.2 Conversion of a National Foundation to a European Foundation and vice versa	28
	Art. 1.1	29
1.	Commentary on Art. 1.1 (Hopt/von Hippel)	29
	1.1 General Introduction to Art. 1	29
	1.2 The Four Criteria of Art. 1.1	29
	1.2.1 Organisational Independence	29
	1.2.2 Assets	29
	1.2.3 No Membership	30
	1.2.4 Public Benefit Purpose	30
	1.3 No Further Mandatory Criteria	30
	1.3.1 No European Dimension Necessary	31
	1.3.2 No Perpetuity Necessary	31
2.	Comparative View to Art. 1.1 (von Hippel)	31
	2.1 The Different Legal Approaches of Civil Law and Common Law	31
	2.2 Conceptual Criteria	33
	2.2.1 Organisational Independence	34
	2.2.2 Assets	34
	2.2.2.1 Minimum Value of Founding Assets	34
	2.2.2.2 Adequate Founding Assets to Fulfil the Purpose of the Foundation	34
	2.2.2.3 No Founding Assets Necessary	36
	2.2.3 No Membership	36
	2.2.4 Pursuance of a Specific Purpose	38
	2.2.4.1 Public Benefit Purpose	38
	2.2.4.2 'Useful' Purpose	39
	2.2.4.3 'Any Lawful' Purpose	39
	2.2.4.4 Relating Family Foundations to 'Private' Distributions	40
	2.2.4.5 Particularity of Purpose	40
	2.2.5 Duration	40
	Art. 1.2	42
1.	Commentary on Art. 1.2 (Fries)	42
	1.1 Introduction	42
	1.2 Proposed Rule	42
	1.3 Explanation	43
	1.3.1 The List of Art. 1.2, para. 2	43
	1.3.2 Meaning of 'Public'	43
	1.3.3 Public Policy	44
	1.3.4 Pursuance of More Than One Public Benefit Purpose	45
	1.4 Determination of Public Benefit Status	45

2.	Comparative View to Art. 1.2 (von Hippel)	46
2.1	Introduction: The Concept of Public Benefit in Organisational Law	46
2.2	Statutory Definition of Public Benefit Purposes	46
2.2.1	Closed List of Public Benefit Purposes	46
2.2.2	Open List of Public Benefit Purposes	46
2.2.3	No Definition	48
2.3	Accepted Purposes	48
Art. 1.3, para. 1		50
1.	Commentary on Art. 1.3, para. 1 (Melz)	50
1.1	Introduction	50
1.2	Clarifications	50
1.2.1	Self-Dealing and Remuneration	51
1.2.2	Relatives as Beneficiaries	51
2.	Comparative View to Art. 1.3, para. 1 (von Hippel)	52
Art. 1.3, para. 2		54
1.	Commentary on Art. 1.3, para. 2 (Moosmann)	54
1.1	The Need for Split-Interest Endowments	54
1.2	Proposed Rule	54
2.	Comparative View to Art. 1.3, para. 2 (von Hippel)	55
2.1	Family Foundations	55
2.1.1	Prohibition	56
2.1.2	Restrictions	56
2.1.2.1	Limited Circle of Family Members	56
2.1.2.2	Necessitous Family Members	57
2.1.2.3	Limited Duration	57
2.1.3	No Restriction	57
2.2	Split-Interest Endowments	57
Art. 1.3, para. 3		59
1.	Commentary on Art. 1.3, para. 3 (Melz)	59
2.	Comparative View to Art. 1.3, para. 3 (von Hippel)	59
2.1	Distribution Rules	59
2.2	Restrictions on the Accumulation of Income	60
2.3	Tax Law Rules	60
Art. 2		62
1.	Commentary on Art. 2 (Richter/Moosmann)	62
2.	Comparative View to Art. 2 (von Hippel)	62
2.1	Civil law countries	62
2.1.1	Legal Personality Without Restrictions	62
2.1.2	Limited Capacity	62
2.1.3	Acquisition of Legal Personality	63
2.2	Common Law Countries	63
2.3	Dependent Foundations and Trusts	64
Art. 3.1		65
1.	Commentary on Art. 3.1 (Künzle/von Hippel)	65
1.1	General Introduction to Art. 3	65
1.2	Right to Establish (Art. 3.1)	65
2.	Comparative View to Art. 3.1 (von Hippel)	65

2.1 Approval by an Administrative Body With Discretion	66
2.2 Approval by an Administrative Body Without Discretion	66
2.3 Control by the Registration Authority	66
2.4 Control by a Notary Public	67
2.5 No Formal Public Act Necessary	67
Art. 3.2	68
1. Commentary on Art. 3.2 (Künzle/von Hippel)	68
1.1 Foundations Established Inter Vivos (Art. 3.2(a))	68
1.2 Foundations Established Mortis Causa (Art. 3.2(b))	68
2. Comparative View to Art. 3.2 (von Hippel)	68
2.1 Establishment Inter Vivos	68
2.2 Establishment Mortis Causa	69
Art. 3.3	71
1. Commentary on Art. 3.3 (Künzle/von Hippel)	71
2. Comparative View to Art. 3.3 (von Hippel)	72
Art. 3.4	73
1. Commentary on Art. 3.4 (Künzle/von Hippel)	73
2. Comparative View to Art. 3.4 (von Hippel)	73
Art. 3.5	74
1. Commentary on Art. 3.5 (Künzle/von Hippel)	74
1.1 Registration in a National Public Register (Art. 3.5, para. 1)	74
1.2 Recommendations for National Legislators	74
1.2.1 Registration Procedure	74
1.2.2 Contents of the Register	75
1.3 Publication (Art. 3.5, para. 2)	75
1.4 Inspection Rights (Art. 3.5, para. 3)	75
1.5 Appeals (Art. 3.5, para. 4)	75
2. Comparative View to Art. 3.5 (von Hippel)	75
2.1 Registration of Foundations	75
2.2 Access to the Register	77
Art. 3.6	78
1. Commentary on Art. 3.6 (Künzle/von Hippel)	78
2. Comparative View to Art. 3.6 (von Hippel)	79
2.1 Minimum Requirements for the Statutes of a Foundation	79
2.2 Completion by a Public Authority	79
Art. 4.1.1, para. 1	81
1. Commentary on Art. 4.1.1, para. 1 (Doralt/Hemström/Kalss)	81
1.1 General Introduction to Art. 4	81
1.2 Responsibility and Delegation (Art. 4.1.1, para. 1)	81
1.3 Procedural Remarks	82
1.3.1 Meetings	82
1.3.2 Chairing the Board	82
1.3.3 Board Decisions	83
1.3.4 Auditor	83
2. Comparative View to Art. 4.1.1, para. 1 (von Hippel)	84
2.1 Responsibility of the Board of Directors	84

2.2	Delegation	84
2.3	Procedural Rules	85
Art. 4.1.1, paras. 2–4		86
1.	Commentary on Art. 4.1.1, paras. 2–4 (Doralt/Hemström/Kalss)	86
1.1	Duties of Loyalty, Diligence and Care (Art. 4.1.1, para. 2)	86
1.1.1	Introduction	86
1.1.2	Duty of Loyalty	86
1.1.3	Duty of Care	88
1.1.4	Provisions by Individual Member States	88
1.2	Rights of the Board of Directors; Reasonable Compensation and Reimbursement of Expenses (Art. 4.1.1, para. 3)	89
1.3	Liability (Art. 4.1.1, para. 4)	90
2.	Comparative View to Art. 4.1.1, paras. 2–4 (von Hippel)	91
2.1	Duty of Loyalty	91
2.1.1	Conflicts of Interests, and Self-Dealing	92
2.1.2	Remuneration	93
2.1.2.1	Permissibility of fair and reasonable remuneration	93
2.1.2.2	Restrictions	94
2.1.2.3	Prohibition of Remuneration	95
2.1.2.4	Enforcement	95
2.2	Duty of Care	95
2.3	Liability and Standard of Diligence	97
Art. 4.1.2		99
1.	Commentary on Art. 4.1.2 (Doralt/Hemström/Kalss)	99
1.1	At Least Three Members	99
1.2	Personal Requirements for Board Members	99
1.2.1	Eligibility and Other Restrictions	100
1.2.2	Natural Persons	100
1.2.3	Independence	100
1.2.4	Unrelated	101
2.	Comparative View to Art. 4.1.2 (von Hippel)	101
2.1	Number of Board Members	101
2.2	Personal requirements	103
2.2.1	Eligibility	103
2.2.2	Legal persons as Board Members	104
2.2.3	Board Members to be Independent of Each Other	104
2.2.4	Founders as Board Members	104
2.2.5	Beneficiaries as Board Members	105
2.2.6	Employees as Board Members	105
2.2.7	Persons with a Financial Interest as Board Members	106
2.2.8	Persons Who Supervise the Foundation as Board Members	106
2.2.9	State Officials as Mandatory Board Members	106
Art. 4.1.3		107
1.	Commentary on Art. 4.1.3 (Doralt/Hemström/Kalss)	107
1.1	Introduction	107
1.2	Election (Art. 4.1.3, para. 1)	107
1.2.1	Electoral Procedures	107
1.2.2	Initial Board Members	108
1.2.3	Subsequent Board Members	108

1.3	Limited Tenure of Office (Art. 4.1.3, para. 2)	109
1.4	Resignation (Art. 4.1.3, para. 3)	109
1.5	Dismissal (Art. 4.1.3, paras. 4 and 5)	110
2.	Comparative View to Art. 4.1.3 (von Hippel)	111
2.1	Appointment	111
2.1.1	Discretion of the Founder	111
2.1.2	Restrictions	111
2.1.3	Subsidiary Appointment by the State Supervisory Authority in Cases of Need	112
2.2	Limited Tenure of Office	112
2.3	Resignation	113
2.4	Removal	113
2.4.1	Discretion of the Founder	113
2.4.2	Removal by the State Supervisory Authority	114
Art. 4.2		115
1.	Commentary on Art. 4.2 (Doralt/Hemström/Kalss/von Hippel)	115
1.1	Introduction	115
1.2	Composition of the Supervisory Board (Art. 4.2, paras. 1 and 5)	116
1.2.1	At Least Three Members (Art. 4.2, para. 1)	116
1.2.2	Personal Requirements for Board Members (Art. 4.2, para. 5)	116
1.2.2.1	Eligibility, Natural and Legal Persons	116
1.2.2.2	Independent and Unrelated	117
1.2.3	Election of the Members of the Supervisory Board	117
1.2.4	Limited Tenure of Office	117
1.2.5	Resignation and Dismissal	118
1.3	Rights and Duties (Art. 4.2, paras. 2 and 3)	118
1.4	Procedural Remarks	118
2.	Comparative View to Art. 4.2 (von Hippel)	119
2.1	Discretion of the Founder	119
2.2	Mandatory Two-Tier System	119
2.3	Incompatibilities	120
Art. 4.3		121
1.	Commentary on Art. 4.3 (Doralt/Hemström/Kalss)	121
1.1	Introduction	121
1.2	Intervention Right	121
1.3	Further Rights of the Founder	121
2.	Comparative View to Art. 4.3 (von Hippel)	122
Art. 4.4		124
1.	Commentary on Art. 4.4 (Doralt/Hemström/Kalss)	124
2.	Comparative View to Art. 4.4 (von Hippel)	125
Art. 4.5		126
1.	Commentary on Art. 4.5 (Doralt/Hemström/Kalss)	126
2.	Comparative View to Art. 4.5 (von Hippel)	126
Art. 5.1		128
1.	Commentary on Art. 5.1 (Dawes)	128
1.1	Annual Report (Art. 5.1, para 1)	128
1.2	Annual Accounts	129
1.2.1	Coverage	129
1.2.2	Accounting Standards	129

1.3	Accounting Records	130
1.4	Credibility	130
2.	Comparative View to Art. 5.1 (von Hippel)	131
2.1	Annual Reports and Accounts	131
2.2	Standards	132
2.3	Accounting Records	133
Art. 5.2		134
1.	Commentary on Art. 5.2 (Crook)	134
1.1	Proposed Rule	134
2.	Comparative View to Art. 5.2 (von Hippel)	134
Art. 5.3		136
1.	Commentary on Art. 5.3 (Dawes)	136
2.	Comparative View to Art. 5.3 (von Hippel)	137
Art. 6.1		139
1.	Commentary on Art. 6.1 (Ferrer Riba/Moosmann/Then)	139
1.1	Capital Maintenance	139
1.2	Asset-classes and Investment Strategies	140
1.3	Infringement of the Rule and Imposition of Sanctions	141
1.4	Competence of the State Supervisory Authority	141
2.	Comparative View to Art. 6.1 (von Hippel)	142
2.1	Rule of Capital Maintenance	142
2.2	Investment Rules	143
2.3	Approval of Certain Transactions by the State Supervisory Authority	144
2.4	Administration Costs	144
2.5	Restrictions on the Ownership of Corporations	145
2.6	Distributions	145
Art. 6.2		146
1.	Commentary on Art. 6.2 (von Hippel/Habersack/Hansen)	146
1.1	Introduction	146
1.2	Proposed Rule	147
1.2.1	Trading Foundations (Art. 6.2, para. 1)	147
1.2.2	Foundations in Control of a Subsidiary Business Enterprise carrying on a Related Economic Activity (Art. 6.2, para. 2)	148
1.2.3	Foundations in Control of a Subsidiary Business Enterprise carrying on an Unrelated Economic Activity (Art. 6.2, para. 3)	148
1.3	European Foundation and Group Law	149
1.3.1	Group Direction	149
1.3.1.1	General Intra-Group Conflicts	149
1.3.1.2	Foundation-Specific Intra-Group Conflicts	149
1.3.1.3	No EU-Wide Harmonisation of the Foundation-Specific Intra-Group Conflict	149
1.3.1.4	Risk of Statutory Liability and Amount of Endowment	150
1.3.2	Corporate Group-forming	150
1.3.3	Group Accounting	150
1.3.4	Dependent Foundations	151
1.3.5	Conclusion	151

1.4	Should Co-determination Apply?	151
1.4.1	Introduction	151
1.4.2	Proposal	152
1.5	Foundations as an Anti-Takeover Device?	152
2.	Comparative View to Art. 6.2 (von Hippel)	152
2.1	Scope of the Permitted Economic Activities	153
2.1.1	No Special Restrictions	153
2.1.2	Trading Subordinated to Public Benefit Activities	154
2.1.3	Restriction on Foundations Having Trading Subsidiaries	155
2.1.4	Necessity of Using a Subsidiary Company	156
2.2	Comparable Standards to Business Organisations	156
2.2.1	Special Provisions for Foundations carrying on Economic Activities	156
2.2.1.1	The Danish Act on Enterprise Foundations	156
2.2.1.2	Other Countries	157
2.2.2	Application of the Rules of Commercial Law	158
2.3	Special Questions	158
2.3.1	Danger of Inefficient Trading because of the Non-Profit Objective?	158
2.3.2	Trade Protection Foundations	159
Art. 6.3		160
1.	Commentary on Art. 6.3 (Fries)	160
2.	Comparative View to Art. 6.3 (von Hippel)	160
Art. 7		162
1.	Commentary on Art. 7 (von Hippel/Trstenjak/van Veen)	162
1.1	Introduction	162
1.1.1	Meaning of State Supervision	162
1.1.2	Proposed Rule	162
1.2	State Supervision and Private Supervisory Mechanisms	163
1.2.1	The Need for State Supervision	163
1.2.2	State Supervision and Alternative Solutions to the Monitoring Problem	164
1.3	Supervisory Authority (Art. 7, para. 1)	164
1.4	Tasks of the Supervisory Authority	165
1.4.1	Overview	165
1.4.2	Review of the Annual Report and Annual Accounts (Art. 7, paras. 2 and 3)	165
1.4.3	Enforcement (Art. 7, para. 4)	166
1.4.1.2	Significant Breach of Law/Statutes (Art. 7, para. 4, clause 1)	166
1.4.1.2	Proportionality of Supervisory Procedures (Art. 7, para. 4, clause 2)	166
1.4.1.3	Necessary Supervisory Measures (Art. 7, para. 4, clause 2)	166
1.5	Appeal to a Court (Art. 7, para. 5)	166
2.	Comparative View to Art. 7 (von Hippel)	167
2.1	Introduction	167
2.2	State Supervisory Authority	167
2.2.1	Public Administrative Body	167
2.2.2	Public Independent Body	168
2.2.3	Combination of a Governmental Body and a Court	168
2.3	Extent of Supervision	169

2.3.1	State Supervisory Officials as Board Members	169
2.3.2	Approval for Certain Decisions of the Board of Directors	170
2.3.2.1	Acquisitions	170
2.3.2.2	Asset Management	171
2.3.2.3	Conflicts of Interest	172
2.3.2.4	Amendments, Liquidation	172
2.3.3	Information and Inquiries	172
2.3.3.1	Provision of Annual Reports and Annual Accounts	172
2.3.3.2	Special Inquiries	173
2.3.4	Enforcement Measures	173
Art. 8.1		176
1.	Commentary on Art. 8.1 (von Hippel)	176
1.1	Introduction	176
1.2	The proposed Rule	177
1.3	Changes of Administrative Provisions (Art. 8.1.1)	177
1.3.1	Special Reason (Art. 8.1.1, para. 1)	177
1.3.2	Procedural Requirements	178
1.3.2.1	Normal Procedure (Art. 8.1.1, paras. 2 and 3)	178
1.3.2.2	Exceptional Procedure (Art. 8.1.1, para. 5)	178
1.4	Modification of Purpose (Art. 8.1.2)	178
1.4.1	Qualified Special Reason	179
1.4.2	Procedural Requirements	179
1.5	Conversions, Mergers, and De-Mergers	179
2.	Comparative View to Art. 8.1 (von Hippel)	179
2.1	Amendment by a Foundation's Organ or by the Founder Without Public Intervention	179
2.2	Amendment by a Foundation's Organ, or by the Founder, with Approval From the State Supervisory Authority	180
2.2.1	Procedure	180
2.2.2	Cause	181
2.3	Amendment by the State Supervisory Authority	182
Art. 8.2		184
1.	Commentary on Art. 8.2 (von Hippel)	184
1.1	Qualified Special Reason	184
1.2	Procedural Requirements	184
2.	Comparative View to Art. 8.2 (von Hippel)	184
2.1	Termination by a Foundation's Organ or by the Founder Without Public Intervention	184
2.2	Termination by a Decision of the Foundation's Governing Body and with the Approval of the State Supervisory Authority	185
2.2.1	Procedure	185
2.2.2	Cause	185
2.3	Termination by the State Supervisory Authority	186
2.4	Transfer of the Remaining Endowment	186
2.4.1	<i>Cy-Près</i> Rule	186
2.4.2	Other Public Benefit	187
2.4.3	Determination by the Founder	187
Art. 9		189

1.	Commentary on Art. 9 (Hansen/von Hippel)	189
1.1	Introduction	189
1.1.1	Possible Solutions	189
1.1.2	The 'Real Seat' Principle as a Rule for the Existing European Legal Forms	189
1.1.3	New Developments in International Company Law	190
1.1.3.1	The Decisions of the ECJ in the Cases Centros, Überseering and Inspire Art	190
1.1.3.2	The Dominance of the Incorporation Doctrine in the New European Legislation	191
1.1.4	Relevance of the New Developments for Foundation Law	192
1.2	Proposed Rule	193
1.3	Alternative Solutions	193
1.3.1	State Supervision in the State of the Head Office of the European Foundation	193
1.3.1.1	Advantage of an Easier Enforcement	193
1.3.1.2	Complications	194
1.3.2	Application of the 'Real Seat' Principle	195
	Introduction Tax Law (Walz/von Hippel/Schäfers)	196
1.	Arguments For Facilitating Cross-Border Donations	196
2.	Initiatives to Facilitate Cross-Border Donations	197
3.	Questions of Implementation	198
3.1	Legal Basis	198
3.2	The Dual Approach of Implementation	198
4.	Does a General Non-Discrimination rule for Donations Already Exist?	198
4.1	Principle of Non-Discrimination	199
4.2	Application to Cross-Border Activities of, and Donations to, European Foundations and Other National Public Benefit Organisations?	199
	Art. 1 Tax Law	202
1.	Commentary on Art. 1 Tax Law (Walz/von Hippel/Fries)	203
1.1	Similarities and Differences Between Civil Law and Tax Law	203
1.2	Closed List	204
1.3	Questions of Determination	204
1.3.1	Divergences Between Civil Law and Tax Law	205
1.3.2	Divergences Between the Different National Tax Law Authorities	205
2.	Comparative View to Art. 1 Tax Law (Bater/von Hippel)	205
2.1	Organisational Requirements	206
2.2	Public Benefit Purpose	206
2.3	Non-Distribution Constraint	206
2.4	Family Foundations	206
2.5	Split-interest Endowments	207
2.6	Timing Rule	207
	Art. 2 Tax Law	208
1.	Commentary on Art. 2 Tax Law (Bater/Melz)	208
2.	Comparative View to Art. 2 Tax Law (Bater)	209
2.1	Income Tax	209
2.2	Net Wealth Taxes	210
	Art. 3 Tax Benefits	211

1.	Commentary on Art. 3.1, para. 1 Tax Law (Bater)	211
2.	Comparative View to Art. 3.1 Tax Law (Bater)	211
3.	Policy Recommendation for the Legislator	212
	Art. 3.1, para. 2 Tax Law	213
1.	Commentary on Art. 3.1, para. 2 Tax Law (Bater)	213
2.	Comparative View to Art. 3.1, para. 2 Tax Law (Bater)	213
3.	Policy Recommendation for the Legislator	214
	Art. 3.1, para. 3 Tax Law	215
1.	Commentary on Art. 3.1, para. 3 Tax Law (Bater)	215
2.	Comparative View to Art. 3.1, para. 3 Tax Law (Bater)	215
	Art. 3.1, para 4 Tax Law	216
1.	Commentary on Art. 3.1, para. 4 Tax Law (Bater)	216
2.	Comparative View to Art. 3.1, para. 4 Tax Law (Bater)	216
	Art. 3.1, paras. 5 and 6 Tax Law	217
1.	Commentary on Art. 3.1, paras. 5 and 6 Tax Law (Bater)	217
2.	Comparative View to Art. 3.1, paras. 5 and 6, Tax Law (Bater)	219
3.	Policy Recommendation for the Legislator	219
	Art. 3.2 Tax Law	222
1.	Commentary on Art. 3.2 Tax Law (Bater)	222
2.	Comparative View to Art. 3.2 Tax Law (Bater)	224
3.	Policy Recommendation for the Legislator	225
	Art. 3.3 Tax Law	226
1.	Commentary on Art. 3.3 Tax Law (von Hippel)	226
2.	Comparative View to Art. 3.3 Tax Law (Bater)	226
3.	Policy Recommendation for the Legislator	226
	Art. 3.4 Tax Law	227
1.	Commentary on Art. 3.4 Tax Law (Bater)	227
2.	Comparative View to Art. 3.4 Tax Law (Bater)	227
3.	Policy Recommendation for the Legislator	227
	Art. 4.1 Tax Law	228
1.	Commentary on Art. 4.1 Tax Law (Melz)	228
2.	Comparative View to Art. 4.1 Tax Law (Bater)	228
3.	Policy Recommendation for the Legislator	229
	Art. 4.2 Tax Law	230
1.	Commentary on Art. 4.2 Tax Law (Melz)	230
2.	Comparative View to Art. 4.2 Tax Law (Bater)	231
3.	Policy Recommendation for the Legislator	231
	Art. 5.1 and Art 5.2 Tax Law	232
1.	Commentary on Art. 5.1 and Art. 5.2 (Beltrame)	232
2.	Comparative View to Art. 5.1 and Art. 5.2 Tax Law (Bater)	233
	2.1 Distinction Between Related and Unrelated Business Income	233
	2.2 Demarcation	233
	2.3 No Preponderance of Unrelated Business Activity	234
3.	Policy Recommendation for the Legislator	235
	Art. 5.3 Tax Law	236
1.	Commentary on Art. 5.3 Tax Law (Beltrame)	236
2.	Comparative View to Art. 5.3 Tax Law (Bater)	236
3.	Policy Recommendation for the Legislator (Beltrame)	237

Art. 5.4 Tax Law	238
1. Commentary on Art. 5.4 Tax Law (Beltrame)	238
2. Comparative View to Art. 5.4 Tax Law (Bater)	238
3. Policy Recommendation for the Legislator (Beltrame)	239
Art. 6 Tax Law	240
1. Commentary on Art. 6 Tax Law (Habighorst)	240
1.1 Conceptual Considerations	240
1.2 The Path Towards European Foundation Status Through the Restructuring of National Non-Profit Corporations	241
1.2.1 Tax Treatment of Change of Form, (Cross-Border) Change of Location, Merger, De-Merger, and Asset Transfer, by Non-Profit Corporations	241
1.2.1.1 Change of Form	241
1.2.1.2 Change of Location of a National Tax-Privileged Corporation From One Member State to Another	241
1.2.1.3 Merger of a Non-Profit Corporation With a Foreign Tax Paying/Tax-Advantaged Corporation to Establish a Foundation as a European Foundation at Home or Abroad	242
1.2.1.4 De-Merger of (National) Non-Profit Corporations for the Purpose of Establishing European Foundations	242
1.2.1.5 Transfer of Assets	242
1.2.2 Treatment of Corporations Not Serving Non-Profit Purposes	243
2. Comparative View to Art. 6 Tax Law (Habighorst)	243
3. Policy Recommendation for the Legislator (Habighorst)	243
Art. 1 Tax Law Part B	245
1. Commentary on Art. 1 Tax Law Part B (Schäfers)	245
1.1 Introduction	245
1.2 Status Quo - International Tax Law	246
1.3 Treaty Law	247
1.4 Limited Possibilities for Private Initiatives	248
1.5 Conclusion	248
1. Commentary on Art. 2.1 Tax Law Part B (Schäfers)	249
1.1 Introduction	249
1.2 Existing Provisions	249
1.3 The Non-Discrimination Provision in Detail	250
Art. 2.2 Tax Law Part B	253
1. Commentary on Art. 2.2 Tax Law Part B (Schäfers)	253
1.1 Introduction	253
1.2 The Term 'Donation'	253
1.3 Tax Treatment of Donations	253
1. Commentary on Art. 2.3 Tax Law Part B (Schäfers)	256
1.1 Introduction	256
1.2 The Regulation in Detail	256
Bibliography	258
Abbreviations of Law Journals	266
Country Index	267

Acknowledgements

This book is being published as the result of a project which the Bertelsmann Stiftung initiated in 2001. Following two substantial comparative publications, which had each come out in the same year, and the initiation of a debate in the European foundation sector, the Bertelsmann Stiftung joined forces with the Compagnia di San Paolo, Torino, and the ZEIT-Stiftung Ebelin and Gerd Bucerius, Hamburg, to fund a project to develop and design in detail a proposal for the legal form of a European Foundation. The project has been directed by Dr. Volker Then at the Bertelsmann Foundation.

Professor Klaus J. Hopt, Director of the Max-Planck-Institute of Foreign Private and Private International Law in Hamburg and Vicepresident of the German Research Foundation, and Professor W. Rainer Walz, Director of the Institute for Foundation Law and the Law of Charitable Organizations were invited to direct a team of some 25 distinguished comparative law experts from across Europe to accomplish the task. Dr. Thomas von Hippel joined the project as senior researcher to co-ordinate and edit the individual contributions of the many team members. The Bertelsmann Stiftung and its foundation partners wish to express their gratitude to all these contributors for their enthusiastic and co-operative efforts far beyond their duties that they have put into the project to bring it to a successful conclusion.

The core members of the project team are listed in alphabetical order at the end of this preface. The result of this project truly is a collaborative result and many parts of the book can therefore only be acknowledged as the result of such a team effort. Wherever individual authors have contributed paragraphs or sub-chapters, they are acknowledged appropriately. The conceptual efforts of the team were greatly enhanced by Prof. Dr. Hans Rainer Künzle who provided the first version of the legal draft for further discussion.

In comparative efforts of such a dimension, numerous questions arose that reached beyond the competences even of a team as substantial as this. Additional advice was therefore sought from corresponding country experts. They have been added to the list of contributors of this project. Greyham Dawes merits particular mention for his editing of the manuscript which combined the skills of the expert on charity regulation compliance with those of the native speaker, an advantage that most of the team members who wrote in English as a foreign language do not possess.

The project results are being published at a timely moment. Following the advice of the High Level Group on European Corporate Governance, the European Commission has set out to explore the feasibility of a European Foundation in a mid term perspective in the action plan which it adopted in May 2003. The project has always profited from the advice and guidance of its chairman and member of the High Level Group, Professor Klaus J. Hopt, on these matters.

Andrea Leuck-Baumanns at the ZEIT-Stiftung, Dr. Markus Baumanns at the Bucerius Law School and Prof. Michael Göring at the ZEIT-Stiftung, as well as Dr. Piero Gastaldo at the Compagnia di San Paolo have provided their most valuable guidance as members of the steering committee of the project working in close co-operation with Dr. Volker Then at the Bertelsmann Stiftung. For the first three years, the project enjoyed the management support of Dr. Christian Meyn at the Bertelsmann Stiftung, now an attorney with Latham & Watkins LLP, Hamburg. Our thanks go also to Kim Hughes, Jane O'Regan and Caroline Murray at Cambridge University Press for taking care of the technical preparation of the manuscript.

Cambridge University Press & Assessment

978-0-521-86333-9 — The European Foundation

General editor Klaus J. Hopt, W. Rainer Walz, Thomas von Hippel, Volker Then

Frontmatter

[More Information](#)

- 4 -

All the contributors and supporters of the endeavour hope to provide both a thorough piece of academic scholarship and a valuable contribution to the political development of philanthropy in Europe. Europe needs the involvement and investment of its citizens in the common good. The European Foundation will be a good means to that end.

Klaus J. Hopt W. Rainer Walz Thomas von Hippel Volker Then

Members of the Core Team of the European Foundation Project

Prof. Dr. Dr. Dr. h.c. Klaus J. Hopt · Max Planck Institute · Hamburg (Chair)
 Prof. Dr. W. Rainer Walz · Bucerius Law School · Hamburg (Chair Tax Law)

Prof. Helmut K. Anheier · University of California · Los Angeles
 Paul Bater · International Bureau of Fiscal Documentation (IBFD) · London
 Prof. Pierre Beltrame · University of Marseille
 Andrew Crook · Bouloc
 Greyham Dawes · Horwath Clark Whitehill · London
 Prof. Dr. Peter Doralt · University of Wien
 Prof. Dr. Josep Ferrer Riba · University of Barcelona
 Richard Fries · International Center for Not-for-Profit Law (ICNL) · London
 Prof. lic. jur. Søren Friis Hansen · Syddansk University · Odense
 Bradley Gallop · BDG & Associates · Bruxelles
 Prof. Dr. Mathias Habersack · University of Mainz
 Oliver Habighorst · White & Case Feddersen · Frankfurt/Main
 Prof. Dr. Carl Hemström · University of Uppsala
 Dr. Thomas von Hippel · Bucerius Law School · Max Planck Institute · Hamburg
 Prof. Dr. Susanne Kalss · University of Wien
 Prof. Dr. Hans Rainer Künzle · University of Zürich · Kendris private AG · Zürich
 Prof. Dr. Michael Lang · University of Wien
 Prof. Dr. Peter Melz · Stockholm University
 Dr. Christian Meyn · Latham & Watkins · Hamburg (formerly Bertelsmann Stiftung)
 Dr. Kurt Moosmann · SIF Zürich
 Dr. Andreas Richter · LL.M. P+P Pöllath + Partner · Berlin
 Dr. Bernadette Schäfers · LL.M. oec. · Hamburg
 Hanna Surmatz · European Foundation Centre · Bruxelles
 Dr. Volker Then · Bertelsmann Stiftung · Gütersloh
 Prof. Dr. Verica Trstenjak · Court of Justice of the European Communities
 Luxembourg
 Dr. Wino J. M. van Veen · Baker & McKenzie · Amsterdam

Additional contributions were provided by corresponding country experts:

Dr. Victoria Athanassopoulou Athens (Greece)
 Ulrich Brömming Hertie School of Governance Berlin (Norway)
 Zoltan Csehi LL.M. (Heidelberg), PhD.; University of Budapest (Hungary)
 Julia Runte Bucerius Law School · Hamburg (France, Italy)
 Sebastian Sturm P+P Pöllath + Partner · Berlin (England & Wales, USA)