

PRECLASSICAL CONFLICT OF LAWS

To better appreciate present-day private international law and its future prospects and challenges, we should consider the history and historiography of the field. This book offers an original approach to the study of conflict of laws and legal history that exposes doctrinal lawyers to historical context, and legal historians to the intricacies of legal doctrine. The analysis is based on an in-depth examination of Medieval and Early Modern conflict of laws, focusing on the classic texts of Bartolus and Huber. Combining theoretical insights, textual analysis, and historical perspectives, the author presents the preclassical conflict of laws as a rich world of doctrines and policies, theory and practice, context and continuity. This book challenges preconceptions and serves as an advanced introduction which illustrates the relevance of history in commanding private international law, while aspiring to make private international law relevant for history.

NIKITAS E. HATZIMIHAİL is Associate Professor of Private Law, Comparative Law, and Legal History at the University of Cyprus. His doctoral dissertation received the Addison-Brown commencement Prize at Harvard Law School.

CAMBRIDGE STUDIES IN INTERNATIONAL
AND COMPARATIVE LAW: 153

Established in 1946, this series produces high quality, reflective and innovative scholarship in the field of public international law. It publishes works on international law that are of a theoretical, historical, cross-disciplinary or doctrinal nature. The series also welcomes books providing insights from private international law, comparative law and transnational studies which inform international legal thought and practice more generally.

The series seeks to publish views from diverse legal traditions and perspectives, and of any geographical origin. In this respect it invites studies offering regional perspectives on core *problématiques* of international law, and in the same vein, it appreciates contrasts and debates between diverging approaches. Accordingly, books offering new or less orthodox perspectives are very much welcome. Works of a generalist character are greatly valued and the series is also open to studies on specific areas, institutions or problems. Translations of the most outstanding works published in other languages are also considered.

After seventy years, Cambridge Studies in International and Comparative Law sets the standard for international legal scholarship and will continue to define the discipline as it evolves in the years to come.

Series Editors

Larissa van den Herik

*Professor of Public International Law, Grotius Centre for
International Legal Studies, Leiden University*

Jean d'Aspremont

*Professor of International Law, University of Manchester
and Sciences Po Law School*

A list of books in the series can be found at the end of this volume.

PRECLASSICAL CONFLICT OF LAWS

NIKITAS E. HATZIMIHAİL
University of Cyprus



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-86302-5 — Preclassical Conflict of Laws
Nikitas E. Hatzimihail
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)

CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom
One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre,
New Delhi – 110025, India
79 Anson Road, #06-04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521863025

DOI: 10.1017/9781139016674

© Nikitas E. Hatzimihail 2021

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2021

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Hatzimihail, Nikitas, author.

Title: Preclassical conflict of laws / Nikitas E. Hatzimihail, University of Cyprus.

Description: Cambridge, United Kingdom ; New York, NY : Cambridge University Press, 2021. |

Series: Cambridge studies in international and comparative law ; 151 |

Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2020041335 (print) | LCCN 2020041336 (ebook) |

ISBN 9780521863025 (hardback) | ISBN 9781139016674 (epub)

Subjects: LCSH: Conflict of laws—History.

Classification: LCC K7030 .H38 2021 (print) | LCC K7030 (ebook) | DDC 340.909—dc23

LC record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2020041335>

LC ebook record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2020041336>

ISBN 978-0-521-86302-5 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Uxori et parentibus carissimis

Historia vero testis temporum, lux veritatis, vita memoriae, magistra vitae, nuntia vetustatis, qua voce alia nisi oratoris immortalitati commendatur?

And as History, which bears witness to the passing of the ages, sheds light upon reality, gives life to recollection and guidance to human existence, and brings tidings of ancient days, whose voice, but the orator's, can entrust her to immortality?

Cicero, *De oratore*, 2.36 (E. W. Sutton trans., Loeb Classical Library)

CONTENTS

	<i>List of Figures, Tables and Maps</i>	xvi
	<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xxii
1	Introduction	1
1.1	Prologue	1
1.2	The End of History for Private International Law?	3
1.3	An Historicism for the Age of Globalization	8
1.4	Revisiting the Early History of Private International Law	11
1.5	An Historical Outline	17
1.5.1	Theories of Statutes, or: Preclassical Conflict of Laws	18
1.5.1.1	History and Prehistory	20
1.5.2	The Choice-of-Law Method, or: Classical Conflict of Laws	21
1.5.3	Revolution, Crisis, Pluralism: Modern Conflict of Laws	24
1.5.4	Classical, Preclassical, and Modern	26
1.5.5	Theory and Practice	27
1.5.6	On Conflict of Laws, Private International Law, and Other Names	31
1.6	Conceptual Premises	33
1.6.1	The Discipline of Private International Law	34
1.6.2	The Governance Functions of Private International Law	35
1.6.2.1	International Governance and Private International Law	36
1.6.2.2	Private-Law Governance and Private International Law	37
1.6.2.3	Autonomy	38
1.6.3	A “Remarkable Trinity”	39
1.7	Structure of the Book	41
	PART I History and Historiography in the Conflict of Laws	45
2	Uses of History in Private International Law	47
2.1	Introduction	47
2.2	Materials	49

2.2.1	Conflicts History as Textbook History	51
2.2.1.1	Structure and Narratives	53
2.2.1.2	Historical Consciousness in the Common-Law World	54
2.2.2	Historical Studies	56
2.3	Conflicts Historiography in the Beginnings	58
2.3.1	Preclassical Historical Consciousness	58
2.3.2	Moments of Transition	60
2.3.2.1	Savigny	61
2.3.2.2	Demangeat	62
2.3.2.3	John Westlake in 1858	63
2.4	The Discipline Ascendant: Progress Narratives in Classical Private International Law	64
2.4.1	François Laurent in 1880	65
2.4.2	Armand Lainé in 1888	68
2.4.3	A Lost Cause? de Vareilles-Sommières in 1897	70
2.4.4	Eugen Ehrlich in 1906	71
2.5	The Discipline Persists: Interwar Progress Narratives	72
2.5.1	Scientific Optimism: Max Gutzwiller in 1929	72
2.5.2	Progress as Struggle: Meijers in 1934	74
2.5.3	The Triumph of Comparative Law: Ernst Rabel in 1945	75
2.6	History as Pedigree: Conflict and Eclecticism in Modern Private International Law	78
2.6.1	Variations on a Theme	79
2.6.1.1	History as Quest	79
2.6.1.2	History as Dialectics	80
2.6.1.3	History as Marxist Narrative	81
2.6.1.4	History in Circles	82
2.6.2	Historicism and Pedigree History	84
2.6.2.1	Explanatory Historicism	84
2.6.2.2	Normative Historicism	85
2.6.2.3	Archaeological Historicism	87
2.6.3	Historical Narratives as Opposition	88
2.6.3.1	The Genealogies of <i>Lex Mercatoria</i>	89
2.6.3.2	The End of History as Progress Narrative	89
2.7	Concluding Remarks	90
3	Preclassical Conflict of Laws in the Historical Consciousness	93
3.1	Introduction	93
3.2	The Narrative	94
3.2.1	Mutations	96
3.3	The Division into Schools	98
3.3.1	Schools as Paradigm	98
3.3.1.1	Italian School	99

CONTENTS

ix

3.3.1.2	French School	100
3.3.1.3	Dutch School	101
3.3.1.4	A Comment	102
3.3.2	National Schools	102
3.3.3	A Sociological Approach	104
3.3.4	A Contextual Definition	108
3.4	The Foundational Moment: “Si Bononiensis”	110
3.4.1	Of Founders	111
3.4.2	Foundations	115
3.4.3	An Alternative Foundational Moment? Aldricus: “potior et utilior”	122
3.5	Neglected Influences? Alternative Narratives about the Foundational Moment	124
3.5.1	A Role for Court Practice and Customary Law	124
3.5.2	Law Merchant and the Conflict of Laws	128
3.5.3	Canon Law and the Conflict of Laws	129
3.6	Conclusion	133
PART II Current Concerns		137
4	Conflict of Laws as a Conceptual Battlefield	139
4.1	Introduction	139
4.2	Territorialism and Personalism	140
4.2.1	Definitions	143
4.2.2	Personalist Approaches: Domicile v. Nationality	144
4.2.3	Territorial Approaches: <i>Actus v. Situs</i>	149
4.2.3.1	Public Policy Territorialism	151
4.3	Unilateralism in the Conflict of Laws	152
4.3.1	Doctrinal Unilateralism: The Choice-of-Law Process	154
4.3.1.1	Technically Unilateral Rules	154
4.3.1.2	Determining Who Determines the Scope of Foreign Law	155
4.3.1.3	Doctrinal Unilateralism as “International Governance”	156
4.3.1.4	Jurisprudential Aspects of Doctrinal Unilateralism	157
4.3.2	Political Unilateralism: Extraterritorial Effects of Regulation	158
4.3.3	Limited Unilateralism	160
4.3.4	Absolute Unilateralism	161
4.4	Universalism and Particularism	161
4.5	Perspectives and Ideologies: Internationalism, Nationalism	163
4.6	Conflict of Laws as a “Conflict of Sovereignties”	165
4.6.1	The “Conflict of Sovereignties” in Historical Narratives	167

	4.6.2 A Critique	168
	4.7 Conclusion	171
5	Conflict of Laws as a Doctrinal Exercise	172
	5.1 Introduction	172
	5.2 Legal Reasoning in Conflicts Works	173
	5.2.1 On Rules and Formalism	173
	5.2.2 The Invocation of General Principles	177
	5.3 The Classification of the Legal Subject Matter	179
	5.4 The Distinction between Formalities, Procedural, and Substantive Matters in the Conflict of Laws	183
	5.4.1 Form as a Private-Law Construct	184
	5.4.1.1 Formalities as a Burden or Intervention	185
	5.4.1.2 Formalities as the Liberal Course of Action	186
	5.4.2 Procedural Formalism and the Distinction between Substance and Procedure in Conflicts Doctrine	188
	5.5 Conflict of Jurisdictions and Conflict of Laws	189
	5.5.1 On the Relationship between Choice-of-Law and Jurisdiction Rules	191
	5.6 Individuals, Party Autonomy, and the Conflict of Laws	193
	5.6.1 On the Individual as the Epicenter of a Conflicts System	195
	5.6.2 On Party Autonomy	197
	5.7 Decisional Harmony	198
6	Conflict of Laws as a World System	201
	6.1 Introduction	201
	6.2 Mediating between Perspectives	203
	6.3 International Law as a Governance Project	204
	6.4 International Law as Doctrinal Foundation	210
	6.5 World Views and World Systems	211
	6.6 Conclusion	215
	PART III Bartolus da Sassoferrato and the Conflict of Laws in the Middle Ages	217
7	“<i>Nunc veniamus ad glossam</i>”: Bartolus on the Conflict of Laws	219
	7.1 Introduction	219
	7.2 The Commentary on <i>cunctos populos</i>	220
	7.2.1 Establishing the Text	222
	7.2.2 Basic Structure	224
	7.3 “ <i>Cujus occasione videnda sunt duo . . .</i> ”	225
	7.4 “. . . <i>utrum statuta porrigantur ad non subditos</i> ”	225
	7.4.1 Contracts (nus. 13–19)	225

CONTENTS

xi

7.4.2	Wrongs (nu. 20)	228
7.4.3	Testaments (nus. 21–26)	229
7.4.4	Rights over Real Property (nus. 27)	231
7.4.5	Clerical Privileges (nus. 28–31)	231
7.5	“... <i>utrum effectus statuti porrigat extra territorium statuentium</i> ”	233
7.5.1	Prohibitive Statutes (nus. 32–33)	233
7.5.2	Permissive Statutes (nus. 34–43)	235
7.5.2.1	Statutes Conferring a Privilege	236
7.5.2.2	Statutes Facilitating Permissible Acts	236
7.5.2.3	The English Question: Primogeniture and Effects as to Property	243
7.5.3	Punitive Statutes (nus. 44–49)	244
7.5.3.1	Statutes with Explicit Extraterritorial Effect	245
7.5.3.2	Statutes Expressed in General Terms	247
7.5.4	Effects of Judgments (nus. 50–51)	248
7.5.4.1	Judgments as to Persons (in personam)	248
7.5.4.2	Judgments as to Property (in rem)	249
8	The Political Context of Bartolan Conflict of Laws	252
8.1	Introduction	252
8.2	Polities and Hierarchies	253
8.2.1	A World Empire (with Its Common Law)	253
8.2.2	Cities (and the Power to Make Law)	256
8.2.2.1	The Legislative Jurisdiction of Cities	256
8.2.2.2	The “Internal Sovereignty” of Cities	260
8.3	Laws and Their Hierarchies	261
8.3.1	Of <i>Ius Gentium</i> and the Law Common to All Peoples	262
8.3.2	From City Laws to City Law	264
8.3.3	<i>Iura propria</i>	266
8.3.3.1	Citizens at Home	267
8.3.3.2	Foreigners Abroad	267
8.3.3.3	Foreigners in the City	268
8.3.3.4	Subjects Abroad	269
8.3.3.5	Legal Acts and Legal Rights	270
8.4	Bartolus, <i>Ius Commune</i> , and the Conflict of Laws	273
9	Doctrinal Aspects of Bartolan Conflict of Laws	277
9.1	Introduction	277
9.2	Legal Style	278
9.2.1	Structure of the Text	278
9.2.2	Mode of Reasoning	282
9.3	Organization of the Legal Subject Matter	284
9.3.1	Contracts	285
9.3.2	Delicts, Wrongs, and Crimes	288

9.3.3	Succession	289	
9.3.4	Property	291	
9.3.5	Bartolus and the Law of Persons	293	
9.3.6	Marriage and the Family	294	
9.4	Form, Substance, and Procedure	298	
9.4.1	Form, Solemnitas, and Formalities	299	
9.4.2	Formalities and the Validity of Legal Acts	301	
9.4.3	“Form,” “Substance,” and the Limits on the Reach of Local Law	304	
9.4.4	Substance and Procedure	306	
9.5	Conflicts of Jurisdictions and Conflicts of Laws	308	
9.6	Individual Autonomy and Bartolan Conflict of Laws	311	
9.7	Harmony of Solutions	313	
9.8	Conclusion	315	
10	Bartolan Conflict of Laws in the Conceptual Battlefield		317
10.1	Introduction	317	
10.2	Bartolus and the Basic Theory of Statutes	319	
10.2.1	Bartolus, the Basic Theory, and the Modern Historical Consciousness	320	
10.2.2	Territorialism, Personalism, and the Bartolan Doctrine	324	
10.2.3	Bartolus and the English Case	328	
10.3	Bartolus and the Limits of Unilateralism	336	
10.3.1	Permissive and Punitive Statutes	339	
10.3.2	Punitive Statutes and Unilateralism	341	
10.3.3	An a priori Unilateralism?	344	
10.4	Bartolus and the Conflict of Sovereignties	345	
10.5	Conclusion	347	
	PART IV Ulrik Huber and Conflict of Laws in the Early Modern Period		351
11	“<i>Saepe fit, ut negotia</i>”: Huber on the Conflict of Laws		353
11.1	Introduction	353	
11.2	<i>De Conflictu Legum Diversarum</i>	357	
11.2.1	The Text	357	
11.2.2	Structure of the Text	359	
11.3	Foundations	360	
11.3.1	Foregrounding (§ 1)	360	
11.3.2	Statement and Justification (§ 2)	361	
11.3.2.1	The Axioms	361	
11.3.2.2	Citing the Digest	361	
11.3.2.3	Discussing the Axioms	363	

CONTENTS

xiii

11.4	Primary Rule: <i>locus regit actum</i>	364	
11.4.1	Acts inter vivos and mortis causa	365	
11.4.1.1	Testaments (§ 4)	365	
11.4.1.2	Contracts (§ 5)	366	
11.4.2	Res judicata and Actions	367	
11.4.2.1	Res judicata (§ 6)	367	
11.4.2.2	Actions (§ 7)	368	
11.4.3	Marriage	369	
11.4.3.1	Validity (§ 8)	370	
11.4.3.2	Effects (§ 9)	371	
11.4.4	Limitations	372	
11.4.4.1	Intention of the Parties (§ 10)	372	
11.4.4.2	The Prejudice Exception (§ 11)	373	
11.5	Second Rule: Personal Status and Capacity	374	
11.5.1	The Rule: Personality (§ 12)	375	
11.5.2	The Rule Does Not Create Personal Statutes (§§ 13–14)	375	
11.6	Third Rule: The <i>lex rei sitae</i> Exception (§ 15)	376	
11.6.1	The Rule as to Immovables	376	
11.6.2	Intestate Succession and a Reference to Movable Property	378	
11.7	Concluding	378	
12	The Political Context of Huber's Conflict of Laws	380	
12.1	Introduction	380	
12.2	Ulrik Huber in Political Context	381	
12.2.1	A Commercial Republic (or Republics)	382	
12.2.2	Sovereignty and the State	384	
12.2.2.1	Citizens, Foreigners, and Domicile	389	
12.2.3	Religion	390	
12.2.3.1	Protestantism, Catholicism, and Conflicts in Huber's Friesland	391	
12.2.3.2	Religion and Early Modern Marriage	394	
12.3	Legal Sources and Their Hierarchies	395	
12.3.1	Natural Law and the Law of Nations	396	
12.3.2	Civil Law	400	
12.3.3	Judicial Power and Discretion	405	
12.4	Sovereignty and Jurisdiction in Huber	407	
12.4.1	Civil Jurisdiction over Foreigners in Huber	409	
12.4.1.1	Arrest-Based Jurisdiction	413	
12.4.2	Res Judicata, Jurisdiction, and Huber on the Conflict of Laws	416	
12.4.3	Jurisdiction Theories and Huber	418	
12.5	Conclusion	419	

13	Doctrinal Aspects of Huber's Conflict of Laws	422
13.1	Introduction	422
13.2	Legal Style	422
13.2.1	Structure	423
13.2.2	Rational Ordering	424
13.2.2.1	A Novel Method	427
13.2.3	Practice and Pragmatism	428
13.3	The Organization of the Legal Subject Matter	431
13.3.1	Huber as an Institutist	432
13.3.2	Contracts and Obligations	434
13.3.3	Law of Persons	438
13.3.3.1	Marriage	440
13.3.3.2	Marital Property	444
13.3.4	Testaments and Property	446
13.4	Form and Substance in Huber	448
13.4.1	From Testaments to Contracts	449
13.4.2	Formal and Substantive Validity of Marriages	450
13.4.3	Procedure: or, Control by the Forum through Carving an Area of Form	452
13.5	Huber and the Conflict of Jurisdictions	453
13.6	Individual Autonomy in Huber's Conflict of Laws	454
13.7	Harmony of Solutions	460
13.8	Conclusion	461
14	Huber's Conflict of Laws in the Conceptual Battlefield	463
14.1	Introduction	463
14.2	Huber and Territorialism	464
14.2.1	Huber and Actus Territorialism	464
14.2.2	Actus Territorialism and Personalism	465
14.2.3	Actus and Situs Territorialism	469
14.2.4	Huber and Public Policy Territorialism	472
14.3	Huber as Unilateralist	473
14.4	Comity in Huber's Doctrine	475
14.4.1	Huber's Third Axiom	477
14.4.2	Comity from Paul to Johannes Voet	483
14.4.3	Comity as Foundation	488
14.4.4	Comity and Exceptions	492
14.4.4.1	Fighting against Evasion	493
14.4.4.2	Defending Local Laws	497
14.4.4.3	Defending Local Rights: Third-Party Rights and Conflicts of Obligations	501

CONTENTS

xv

14.5	Huber and the Conflict of Sovereignties Discourse	502
14.6	Conclusion	504
Part V Epilogue 509		
15	Preclassical Conflict of Laws Configured	511
15.1	Introduction	511
15.2	<i>De Statutis</i>	513
15.3	Addressing Private Law Problems	517
15.4	Political Foundations and Legal Hierarchies	524
15.5	A Conflicts Literature (and Discourse)	529
15.6	From Preclassical Conflict of Laws to Private International Law	532
15.7	The Hedgehog and the Fox	539
	<i>Bibliography</i>	543
	<i>Index</i>	576

FIGURES, TABLES AND MAPS

Figures

- 3.1 Historical Narratives about Medieval and Early Modern Conflict of Laws 95
- 3.2 The “Italian School”, 1200-1500: Teacher – Pupil Links and
Bartolus ad C.1.1.1 106
- 10.1 Bartolus and the Conflict of Laws in Historiography and Historical
Consciousness 318

Tables

- 8.1 Grades of *Iurisdictio* in Bartolus (Bartolus ad D.2.1) 257
- 10.1 Territoriality, personality and the English Case (Bartolus ad
C.1.1.1, nu. 42) 332
- 10.2 Effects of punitive statutes over wrongs committed outside the city’s territory
(Bartolus ad C.1.1.1, nu. 48) 343

Maps

- 1 Europe in 1300 from J. Watts, *The Making of Politics: Europe 1300–1500* (Cambridge
University Press, 2009) xviii
- 2 Italy from C. Allmand (ed.), *The New Cambridge Medieval History, Volume VII
c.1415–c.500* (Cambridge University Press, 1998) xx
- 3 The Netherlands from M. Prak, *The Dutch Republic in the Seventeenth Century:
The Golden Age* (Cambridge University Press, 2005) xxi



1 Europe in 1300 from J. Watts, *The Making of Polities: Europe 1300–1500* (Cambridge University Press, 2009)



1 (cont.)



2 Italy from C. Allmand (ed.), *The New Cambridge Medieval History, Volume VII c.1415–c.500* (Cambridge University Press, 1998)



3 The Netherlands from M. Prak, *The Dutch Republic in the Seventeenth Century: The Golden Age* (Cambridge University Press, 2005)

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This book is a historical contribution to the study of contemporary private international law. Adopting a historical perspective was a gradual process, as I sought to understand this legal field and its place within the study and practice of law. One way of looking at modern doctrine is as the estuary of past notions, doctrines, and ideas. Such a metaphor highlights the complexity – and unique value – of our own legal environment, but is also an invitation to seek out the very different environments traversed by the main river and its tributaries – shaping them and, in turn, being shaped by them.

This is, accordingly, a story about Medieval, Early Modern, and subsequent jurists and the systems they constructed, as they employed tools both familiar and unfamiliar to us in the present day, dealing with problems we can identify with as well as with now-obsolete aspects of the evolving legal treatment of human existence. These discursive ancestors were children of their time but also strove to bequeath to worlds more different than they could have imagined. We certainly cannot grasp past or present conflicts theories, principles and norms, or potential alternatives, without an appreciation of the world in which they originated and where they are asked to operate. At the same time, so much of conflicts doctrine has shown remarkable resilience across time and space. Context is vital, yet it is clearly not everything.

It is customary to express frustration, or fascination, with the present state of conflict-of-laws doctrine. Indeed, such contrasting feelings have motivated this book. But, at its heart lies an earnest conviction about the value of private international law as a juristic approach to global governance and the management of legal diversity. Private international law is a distinct legal discipline, formed out of the creative tension between the “domestic” institutions and policies of what we conceive as private law, and the “public” or “quasi-public” institutions and aspirations of international governance. Private international law cannot be separated from – but neither should it be subordinated to – either. This

socio-epistemological understanding of the legal discipline has informed both my own historical narrative, as well as the book's emphasis on the importance of historical consciousness as well as on the inherent subjectivity of existing historical literature. Cicero's exhortation epitomizes the twin themes of the book: awareness of the oratorical – even instrumentalist – element in the writing of history, as well as hope for its potential to “shed light upon reality” and improve our better selves.

Preclassical Conflict of Laws began its life as a Harvard Law School doctoral dissertation on private international law and comparative legal history. That dissertation – which was awarded the Addison-Brown Prize at the 2003 Harvard commencement and, shortly thereafter, a book contract from Cambridge University Press – possessed many of the qualities and theoretical ambition associated with younger scholars. The overall conception of the dissertation survives in this book, along with many fragments, but that early work has been revised, significantly expanded, and re-revised substantially – albeit intermittently as professional obligations allowed – over a prolonged period, until it was finally completed in the midst of the COVID-19 pandemic. This is, therefore, a different, more mature work: perhaps less theoretically precocious (its author being all too aware of the vastness of existing but unexplored ideas), but more confident in the analysis of Medieval and Early Modern doctrine, the result of hands-on exposure to legal teaching and problem-solving (and more comfortable access to primary sources).

The book owes its existence to a number of people. First among them are my doctoral supervisors, David Kennedy and Charles Donahue Jr.: their approaches were very different from one another but my work clearly carries the influence of both. David introduced me to intellectual legal history, legal theory, critical approaches, and textual analysis. Charlie instilled in me the importance of context and appreciation for the hard toil of legal history. They also gave, by word and example, invaluable advice that I still carry with pride and gratitude. Next comes my third committee member, Duncan Kennedy, our *maître d'armes*, who started my journey in private law theory and the intellectual history of legal doctrine, and another gentle giant, the late Arthur Taylor von Mehren. I am especially grateful to Professor Bernard Audit, my external examiner, for his attention and ideas, as well as to Charalambos Pamboukis, who initiated me to the field of private international law, Joseph Singer, who supervised my master's thesis, and Richard Fentiman, who has influenced the pragmatic turn of my doctrinal outlook as reflected in the completed book. Of the many teachers and mentors

I have been blessed with, I must especially thank, for ideas, encouragement, and leading by example: Anthony Antapasis, Michael Blumm, Thomas Baker, Daniel Coquillette, Anghelos Foustoucos, Constantinos Kerameus, Eben Moglen, Setsuo Miyazawa, Emmanuel Roucounas, Symeon Symeonides, and Spyridon Troianos.

I cannot do justice to all my friends, comrades-in-arms, and colleagues who have contributed to this book. For reading parts of one or more of my drafts, I must particularly single out Ralf Michaels, Mikhail Xifaras, Michael Chatzipanagiotis, Carlos Esplugues Motta, José Augusto Fontoura Costa, Quim Forner Delaygua, Adolfo Giuliani, Emmanuel Guinchard, Saskia Lettmaier, Arnaud Nuyts, Lazaros Panourgias, Ilaria Pretelli, Gian Paolo Romano, Stelios Tofaris, Alexander Trunk, and Spyridon Tzounakas. Petja Toškan, my patient Harvard officemate, has been unfailingly supportive, an intellectual powerhouse, and a friend for life. My work on Latin texts, and growing appreciation for the subtleties of that language, owes a lot to Charles Donahue, my guide to the Medieval mind for over two decades (to whom I owe the translations of Aldricus, Accursius, Carolus, and the anonymous Parisian gloss in Chapter 3), and Spyridon Tzounakas, who introduced me to Stoicism and classical reception in Early Modern texts. My interaction with undergraduate and graduate students was in itself fulfilling but also helped me reflect upon and elaborate more clearly my ideas; I am thankful to all of them, especially to Kyriakos Kyriakides, Rafaella Charalambous, and George Sotiri for rewarding discussions and Christodoulos Christodoulou, who read a complete draft at a critical moment and provided an invaluable reader's perspective. I am also indebted, for their meaningful support, discussions, and friendship to William Alford, Lia Athanassiou, Moritz Bälz, Yas Banifatemi, Bojan Bugarič, Maria Canellopoulou Bottis, Antonis Bredimas, Theodore Christakis, Panagiotis Christias, Aurelia Colombi Ciacchi, Brooks Daly, James Filippatos, Spyridon Flogaitis, Maria Gavouneli, Martin Goyette, Gail Hupper, Michael von Hinden, Dionysia Kallinikou, Haris Konidaris, Katerina Konidaris, Konstantinos Kotoulas, Stacy Koumbis, P.J. Kozyris, Tania Kretsi, Dimitris Liappis, Peter Krug, Carole Moal-Nuyts, Nicholas Mitrokostas, Matjaž Nahtigal, Rossanna d'Onza Garcia, Andreas Papaconstantinou, Nicholas Papaspyrou, Stavros Papastavrou, Sophia Pavlaki, Ioannis Stribis, William Suarez Potts, George Serghides, Costas Synolakis, Jeanne Tai, Andrew Varcoe, Eleftherios Voglis, Nadine Watté, A.N. Yiannopoulos and Dongsheng Zang. Acknowledgments are also due to my fellow SJD travelers and the staff at the Harvard Law School,

my colleagues and staff at the University of Oklahoma, the Université Libre de Bruxelles (U.L.B.), and the University of Cyprus.

The work also benefited from the anonymous reviewers of the original manuscript, as well as from presentations made and feedback received in several venues, including the 2007 Annual Meeting of the Law and Society Association in Berlin; the 2013 Comparative Legal History Workshop at the University of Lund; the 2018 Law and Empire in the Longue Durée Workshop at the University of Cambridge; the 2019 International Congress on the Enlightenment in Edinburgh; and a lecture on Medieval Private International Law at the University of São Paulo in 2020 as the manuscript was nearing its final form. An article based on the dissertation's take on Bartolus was published as "Bartolus on the Conflict of Laws" in the *Revue hellénique de droit international*, 60 (2007), 11–69; Chapters 7–10 significantly expand upon and replace that article, but I remain grateful to its readers for feedback. An early version of Chapter 11 was published in the *Dignatio rerum Professor Elias Krispis* (Thessaloniki: Sakkoulas, 2018), 239–264. My essay on "Reflections on the International Dimension of Private International Law" in the *Liber amicorum Nadine Watté* (Brussels: Larcier, 2017), 287–302, includes sections of Chapter 6. Another article, "On the Doctrinal Beginnings of the Conflict of Laws," which has just appeared in the *Yearbook of Private International Law* 21 (2019/2020), 101–133, actually expands upon the argument in Section 3.4.

The initial research for this work was conducted at Harvard and its magnificent libraries, at a time prior to the massive digitization of old books. It was continued at the Université Libre de Bruxelles and the University of Cyprus, my places of employment; Bremen University and the University of Cambridge, where I was generously allowed visiting fellowships; but also over shorter visits at Heidelberg University, the University of Athens, the University of Southern California, Leiden University, the University of Groningen, the British Library, the Bibliothèque Nationale de France, and the Peace Palace Library.

I am also grateful to the Fulbright Program (Greece), the Alexander S. Onassis Foundation (Greece), and the A. G. Leventis Foundation (Greece) for financial support during my graduate studies at Harvard; the Clark Byse Fellowship program and the Reginald Lewis Fund at Harvard; the Crowe & Dunlevy Professorship fund at the University of Oklahoma for funding linked to teaching and professional opportunities; and, of course, the University of Cyprus for funding of my research activities over the past fourteen years.

I owe a great debt to Cambridge University Press. The book would not exist without James Crawford and Finola O’Sullivan. Judge Crawford saw its value at a time when the history of international legal doctrine was much less fashionable, and I was a brash young scholar. I wish I had heeded his warning and published earlier, but I do hope the end result was worth his wait. Finola ensured the completion of the book, with patience, encouragement, and wisdom, and tolerated a significantly larger manuscript than the one in the contract (almost double the size of the original script). I am especially grateful to Marianne Nield, who succeeded in finally getting the elusive and ever-growing script to production, as well as Laura Blake, who skillfully handled production, the production team led by Indra Siddharthan, and Diana Witt, who handled the indexing. I am eternally thankful to my copyeditor, Benjamin Johnson.

No acknowledgement can be enough when it comes to my family. My parents, Emmanuel and Despoina, to whom the dissertation was dedicated, have been patiently awaiting this book, as the conclusion of a long journey for which they set me off, endowed with their values, love, and support. The book itself is the result of my new family. My wife, Tsampika, bore with me all the hardships of this journey, including countless hours of toil and frustration. She was a constant companion, and unbiased arbiter, in my explorations of law and history, providing me with inspiration, courage, personal fulfillment, and the intellectual environment that made this book. To her, I pledge my love and promise new journeys.