

The Principle of Sufficient Reason

The Principle of Sufficient Reason (PSR) says that all contingent facts must have explanations. In this volume, the first on the topic in the English language in nearly half a century, Alexander Pruss examines the substantive philosophical issues raised by the PSR, which currently is considered primarily within the context of various cosmological arguments for the existence of God. Discussing several forms of the PSR and selected historical episodes from Parmenides, Aquinas, Leibniz, Hume, and Kant, Pruss defends the claim that every true contingent proposition must have an explanation against major objections, including Hume's imaginability argument and Peter van Inwagen's argument that the PSR entails modal fatalism. Pruss also provides a number of positive arguments for the PSR, based on considerations as different as the metaphysics of existence, counterfactuals and modality, negative explanations, and the everyday applicability of the PSR. Moreover, Pruss shows how the PSR would advance the discussion in a number of disparate fields, such as metaethics and the philosophy of mathematics.

Alexander R. Pruss is Assistant Professor of Philosophy at Georgetown University. He has published many papers on metaphysics, philosophy of religion, applied ethics, probability theory, and geometric symmetrization theory. With Richard M. Gale he is coeditor of *The Existence of God*.

CAMBRIDGE STUDIES IN PHILOSOPHY

General Editor WALTER SINOTT-ARMSTRONG (Dartmouth College)

Advisory Editors:

JONATHAN DANCY (University of Reading)
 JOHN HALDANE (University of St. Andrews)
 GILBERT HARMAN (Princeton University)
 FRANK JACKSON (Australian National University)
 WILLIAM G. LYCAN (University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill)
 SYDNEY SHOEMAKER (Cornell University)
 JUDITH J. THOMSON (Massachusetts Institute of Technology)

Recent Titles:

MARK LANCE and JOHN O'LEARY-HAWTHORNE *The Grammar of Meaning*
 D. M. ARMSTRONG *A World of States of Affairs*
 PIERRE JACOB *What Minds Can Do*
 ANDRE GALLOIS *The World Without, the Mind Within*
 FRED FELDMAN *Utilitarianism, Hedonism, and Desert*
 LAURENCE BONJOUR *In Defense of Pure Reason*
 DAVID LEWIS *Papers in Philosophical Logic*
 WAYNE DAVIS *Implicature*
 DAVID COCKBURN *Other Times*
 DAVID LEWIS *Papers on Metaphysics and Epistemology*
 RAYMOND MARTIN *Self-Concern*
 ANNETTE BARNES *Seeing Through Self-Deception*
 MICHAEL BRATMAN *Faces of Intention*
 AMIE THOMASSON *Fiction and Metaphysics*
 DAVID LEWIS *Papers on Ethics and Social Philosophy*
 FRED DRETSKE *Perception, Knowledge, and Belief*
 LYNNE RUDDER BAKER *Persons and Bodies*
 JOHN GRECO *Putting Skeptics in Their Place*
 RUTH GARRETT MILLIKAN *On Clear and Confused Ideas*
 DERK PEREBOOM *Living Without Free Will*
 BRIAN ELLIS *Scientific Essentialism*
 ALAN H. GOLDMAN *Practical Rules: When We Need Them and When We Don't*
 CHRISTOPHER HILL *Thought and World*
 ANDREW NEWMAN *The Correspondence Theory of Truth*
 ISHTIYAQUE HAJI *Deontic Morality and Control*
 WAYNE A. DAVIS *Meaning, Expression and Thought*
 PETER RAILTON *Facts, Values, and Norms*
 JANE HEAL *Mind, Reason and Imagination*
 JONATHAN KVANVIG *The Value of Knowledge and the Pursuit of Understanding*
 ANDREW MELNYK *A Physicalist Manifesto*

The Principle of Sufficient Reason

A Reassessment

ALEXANDER R. PRUSS

Georgetown University



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press & Assessment
 978-0-521-85959-2 — The Principle of Sufficient Reason
 Alexander R. Pruss
 Frontmatter
[More Information](#)



CAMBRIDGE
 UNIVERSITY PRESS

Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 8EA, United Kingdom
 One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA
 477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
 314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India
 103 Penang Road, #05–06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment,
 a department of the University of Cambridge.

We share the University's mission to contribute to society through the pursuit of
 education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521859592

© Alexander R. Pruss 2006

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions
 of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take
 place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press & Assessment.

First published 2006

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication data

Pruss, Alexander R.

The principle of sufficient reason : a reassessment/Alexander R. Pruss.

p. cm. — (Cambridge studies in philosophy)

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-521-85959-X (hardback)

1. Sufficient reason. I. Title. II. Series.

BD591.P78 2006

111-dc22 2005015857

ISBN 978-0-521-85959-2 Hardback

Cambridge University Press & Assessment has no responsibility for the persistence
 or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this
 publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will
 remain, accurate or appropriate.

For my father and mother

Contents

Acknowledgments page xiii

Part I The Principle of Sufficient Reason and the Causal Principle

1	Introduction	3
1.1.	The Significance of the PSR	3
1.2.	A Restriction to Contingent Truths	10
1.3.	Why Accept the PSR?	13
1.4.	What Are We Talking About?	16
2	Reflections on Some Historical Episodes	20
2.1.	Parmenides	20
2.2.	Thomas Aquinas	26
2.3.	Leibniz	28
2.4.	Hume	31
2.5.	Kant	37
3	The Causal Principle and the PSR	41
3.1.	Chains of Causes	41
3.2.	The <i>ex Nihilo Nihil</i> Principle, the PSR, and the CP	58
3.3.	Resisting the Extension to Necessary Truths	62
3.4.	Resisting the Restriction to Positive States of Affairs	64
3.5.	A Survey of Some Principles	66

Part II Objections to the PSR

4	A Modern Version of the Hume Objection	75
4.1.	Toy Models	75
4.2.	A Possibility Principle	76
4.3.	A Stronger Possibility Principle	77
4.4.	The Empty World	78
4.5.	Physicists Are Not Merely Logicians	79

5	The Anti-theological Argument That There Are No Necessary Beings	82
	5.1. Cosmological Arguments	82
	5.2. Necessary Beings and Absurdity	84
	5.3. Rescher's Alternatives to Invoking the Existence of a Necessary Being	85
	5.4. Is the Notion of a Necessary Being Absurd?	90
	5.5. Philosophy of Mind Objections	93
	5.6. Lawmakers and Laws	95
6	Modal Fatalism	97
	6.1. Van Inwagen's Argument	97
	6.2. The Existence of the Big Conjunctive Contingent Fact	99
	6.3. The Nature of Explanation	103
7	Free Will	126
	7.1. How to Explain Free Actions?	126
	7.2. Reasoned Choices	132
	7.3. Objections to Libertarianism	138
	7.4. Sufficient Reasons	142
	7.5. An Incredulous Stare	147
	7.6. Contrastive Explanations?	148
	7.7. The Modesty of This Account and Some Alternatives	155
	7.8. Conclusions	158
8	Quantum Mechanics	160
	8.1. The Problem of Indeterminism	160
	8.2. Rejecting Indeterminism	161
	8.3. Indeterminism and PSR	168
	8.4. Particles Coming into Existence <i>ex Nihilo</i>	169
9	Turning Leibniz against the PSR	171
	9.1. In Favor of (86)	171
	9.2. A Defense of the TPII	175
	9.3. Against (86)	177
	9.4. Against (87)	178
10	What Survives the Criticisms of the PSR?	184
Part III Justifications of the PSR		
11	Self-Evidence	189
	11.1. A Definition of Self-Evidence	190
	11.2. The Objection from Smart People Who Disagree	190
	11.3. But Isn't the PSR Easy to Understand?	191
	11.4. Two Ways Not to Understand	193

11.5.	More Detail	196
11.6.	Smart People Who Accept the PSR but Not as Self-Evident	198
11.7.	The Impasse	199
11.8.	Mathematical Analogies	200
11.9.	What Self-Evidence Could Be	205
11.10.	Paradoxes	207
12	Three Thomistic Arguments	209
12.1.	First Thomistic Argument: The Regress of Existence	209
12.2.	Second Thomistic Argument: The Interdependence of Existence and Essence	217
12.3.	Third Thomistic Argument: Substance–Accident Ontology	229
13	Modal Arguments	231
13.1.	The Strategy	231
13.2.	Sullivan’s Argument for the CP	232
13.3.	The Weak PSR	234
13.4.	Causality and Counterfactuals	239
13.5.	Conclusions	248
14	Is the Universe Reasonable?	249
15	Explanation of Negative States of Affairs	252
15.1.	The Argument	252
15.2.	The Defectiveness Objection	252
15.3.	The Nomic Necessity Objection	253
16	The Puzzle of the Everyday Applicability of the PSR	254
16.1.	The Argument	254
16.2.	An Abundance of Objections	255
16.3.	Laws of Nature	262
16.4.	Laws of Nature and the CP	267
17	Inference to the Best or Only Explanation	280
17.1.	Can Inference to Best or Only Explanation Be Rational without the PSR?	280
17.2.	Preference for Explanatory Theories	281
17.3.	The Sherlock Holmes Principle	283
17.4.	Alternatives to the PSR That “Do the Job”	285
18	Inductive Skepticism	295
19	The Nature of Possibility	299
19.1.	Alethic Modality	299
19.2.	A Formalist Account	301
19.3.	Lewis’s Theory	302

19.4. Platonism: The Main Extant Realist Alternative to Lewis	312
19.5. An Aristotelian Alternative	316
20 Conclusions	321
<i>Bibliography</i>	323
<i>Index</i>	331

Acknowledgments

I am grateful for encouragement, discussions, comments, and/or suggestions to Denis Bradley, Robert Brandom, Robert Clifton, William Lane Craig, Kevin Davey, Wayne Davis, William Dembski, James Dreier, Thomas Flint, Peter Forrest, Richard Gale, Jerome Gellman, Alfonso Gomez-Lobo, Michael Gorman, John Haldane, Jeremy Heis, Christian Jenner, Chauncey Maher, David Manley, Mark Murphy, Thane Naberhaus, J. Brian Pitts, Alvin Plantinga, Nicholas Rescher, Lionel Shapiro, Richard Sisca, Ernest Sosa, Thomas Sullivan, Joanna Tamburino, Peter van Inwagen, Linda Wetzel, and anonymous readers who went beyond the call of duty. I would also like to thank Mark Pitlyk for a thorough proofreading of the manuscript, and the National Endowment for the Humanities and Georgetown University for summer research support.

Portions of Chapter 13 are taken from my article “*Ex Nihilo Nihil Fit*: Arguments New and Old for the Principle of Sufficient Reason,” in: J. Campbell, M. O’Rourke, and H. Silverstein (eds.), *Explanation and Causation: Topics in Contemporary Philosophy*, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press (2006), copyright © 2006 MIT Press, with kind permission of the MIT Press. Chapter 19 is largely taken verbatim from my article “The Actual and the Possible,” in Richard M. Gale (ed.), *Blackwell Guide to Metaphysics*, Oxford: Blackwell (2002), pp. 317–333, copyright © 2002 Blackwell Publishing, with kind permission of Blackwell Publishing. The extended footnote 6 of Chapter 16 is adapted from footnote 2 in my article “The Cardinality Objection to David Lewis’s Modal Realism,” *Philosophical Studies* 104 (2001), pp. 167–176, copyright © 2001 Kluwer Academic Publishers, with kind permission of Springer Science and Business Media.

I would also like to thank Susan Thornton and Barry Koffler for patiently correcting many infelicities and unclarities in my original manuscript. Any remaining ones I take full responsibility for, of course.