

Medical Writing: a Prescription for Clarity

This is the third edition of a book that discusses style but is mostly about communication. Effective communication is the ultimate, but often daunting, purpose of any piece of medical research. This very helpful book provides practical information enabling first drafts to be turned into concise unambiguous text, without loss of individuality. Written by a consultant anaesthetist and an experienced medical editior, it is sympathetic to the problems and needs of medical writers. Like the preceding two editions, this expanded third edition deals with the basic craft of writing for publication, from spelling and grammar to choosing the best word or phrase.

Whether you are writing a simple clinical report or thesis, want to supervise others or just want to develop greater skill in effective writing, this book is the ideal guide and reference. Clear, simple and precise, and illustrated with apt cartoons, this is an invaluable handbook.

From Reviews of previous editions.

'engagingly written' BMJ.

'This book is packed with little gems. It provides not only excellent advice but highly enjoyable bedtime reading. Indeed, it is one of the most enjoyable texts I have read in recent times' *British Journal of Anaesthesia*.

'Anyone who aspires to medical or scientific authorship should read this book' *Journal of the Institution of Health Education*.

DR NEVILLE GOODMAN is currently Consultant Anaesthetist at Southmead Hospital, Bristol.

DR MARTIN EDWARDS, previously Senior Research Fellow at the Royal College of Surgeons of England, is currently a freelance medical editor.

DR ANDY BLACK, now retired, was Senior Lecturer in Anaesthesia at Bristol University.



Medical Writing

A Prescription for Clarity

THIRD EDITION

DR NEVILLE W. GOODMAN

Consultant Anaesthetist, Southmead Hospital, Bristol

DR MARTIN B. EDWARDS

Freelance Medical Editor (formerly Senior Research Fellow, Royal College of Surgeons of England)

and with cartoons by

DR ANDY BLACK

Retired Senior Lecturer in Anaesthesia, Bristol University





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo

Cambridge University Press The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521858571

© Cambridge University Press 1991, 1997, 2006

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 1991 Second edition 1997 Third edition 2006

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

ISBN-13 978-0-521-85857-1 paperback ISBN-10 0-521-85857-7 paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this book, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Every effort has been made in preparing this publication to provide accurate and up-todate information which is in accord with accepted standards and practice at the time of publication. Although case histories are drawn from actual cases, every effort has been made to disguise the identities of the individuals involved. Nevertheless, the authors, editors and publishers can make no warranties that the information contained herein is totally free from error, not least because clinical standards are constantly changing through research and regulation. The authors, editors and publishers therefore disclaim all liability for direct or consequential damages resulting from the use of material contained in this publication. Readers are strongly advised to pay careful attention to information provided by the manufacturer of any drugs or equipment that they plan to use



This third edition is rededicated to the memory of

Bob Torrance

and

Brian Phythian

who between them are largely responsible for everything in it.

It is also, sadly, dedicated to the memory of my co-author Martin, who died during its production. Martin and I shared views on English, and never once had a disagreement about anything while preparing all three editions. Although the book was my idea, his talent for sanding down my sharp edges is the reason that the first edition was published, and that another two have followed. Thanks to everything. [NWG]



We have frequent occasion to observe this tendency to neologism, and the avidity with which [writers] cover a certain crudity of reasoning and obscurity of thought, or endeavour to give weight to a shallow theory, by the selection of the very longest and most technical words which the medical vocabulary will supply. This is an error to be deplored and reprobated.

The Lancet 30 Sept 1885: quoted in the column 'From The Lancet' Lancet 1990; 336: 224.

It is remarkably easy not to say what you mean.

Appleton, D. R. Cross words. Br. Med. J. 1994; 309: 1737-8.

The first (and rarest) quality is brevity: short words, short sentences. Why is it that intelligent people (among whom I include doctors) become imbued with verbosity the moment they put pen to paper?

Paton, A. In How to Do It: 1. London: BMJ Publishing Group, 1985, pp. 207-11.

The more precisely we speak, the more effectively we are able to communicate our meaning to others. The English language has rules of grammar and individual words have definitions to facilitate effective communication.

Halperin, E. C. The right verb. Int. J. Radiation Oncol. Biol. Phys. 1987; 13: 143.

People who write obscurely are either unskilled in writing or up to some mischief.

Medawar, P. *The Threat and the Glory*, ed. Pyke D. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1990, p. xv.



Contents

Preface to the first edition

	Preface to the third edition	xi
	Acknowledgements	xiv
	PART I PROBLEM: THE ILLNESS	
1	Introduction	3
2	The malaise of medical manuscripts	5
	The writer at bay	ϵ
	The honest writer	16
	Summary	19
	PART II SOLUTION: SYMPTOMATIC RELIEF	
3	Guidelines to clearer writing	25
	Watson and Crick show how it's done	25
	Planning	27
	Getting started	28
	Some grammatical terms	29
	Using a word processor	31
	Spelling	33
4	Is there a better word?	45
	Alternative words	46
5	Superfluous words	95
	Some common examples	95

vii

page xi



viii	Contents	
	Unnecessary adverbs	101
	Repetition	102
6	Imprecise words and phrases	104
7	Superfluous phrases	116
	Common superfluities	116
	Other examples of repetition	122
8	Trouble with short words	123
	Following a verb	123
	Expanded to a phrase	126
	Variations of because	128
	THAT, WHICH, WHEN, WHERE, WHEREBY	132
	Words of comment: THEREFORE, HOWEVER,	
	and others	135
	Words of reference: FORMER AND LATTER,	
	RESPECTIVELY, SUCH	136
9	Use of the passive voice	139
	Some examples	140
	The verb 'TO PERFORM'	141
	Conclusion	143
10	Consistency: number and tenses	145
	Number: singular or plural?	145
	Tenses	150
11	Circumlocution, metaphor and cliché	152
	Circumlocution	152
	Metaphor and cliché	156
12	Word order and pronouns	161
	Missing words	161
	Nouns as adjectives	162
	Adverbs and verbs	167
	A troublesome word: ONLY	169
	Pronouns and nouns	170
13	Punctuation	173
	Commas between subject and verb	174



		Contents	ix
	Lists		175
	The lazy slash		178
14	Constructing sentences		179
	Balance		179
	Simplicity		183
	Emphasis and connections		183
	The first sentence		187
	Avoiding abbreviations		188
15	Drawing clear graphs		193
	Reprise		201
	PART III PRACTICE: RECUPERATION		
16	Some examples rewritten		205
	Postscript to the examples		227
17	Do the experts agree?		229
	Appendix: examples to rewrite		231
	References and further reading		239
	Index		244



Preface to the first edition

Doctors, nurses, paramedical workers and medical scientists need to communicate their ideas effectively. Writers in the field of medicine tend to use unfamiliar words in tortuous constructions, particularly when writing reports for submission to learned journals. Research can often be judged only by its final written report. A meticulous study can be let down by poor writing, which may lead a reviewer to wonder if lack of attention to detail in the writing indicates lack of attention to detail in the research. Certain usually superfluous words and phrases occur again and again in medical papers. Once able to recognize these, writers should be able to delete them or to find more appropriate constructions, guided by the suggestions made in this book.

Most of the examples are quotations from medical books and journals, though some, particularly those from more specialized texts, have been modified.

Words or phrases whose use in medical writing is discussed specifically in the text are in capitals:

- a where they occur as the 'heading' to a main entry, i.e. where the discussion takes place;
- b in cross-references to main entries, for example '(see REGIME)';
- c in the index.

Superscript numbers in the text refer to articles and books listed sequentially in the reference list at the end of the book. There is also a list of the standard texts to which we refer frequently, and these texts are identified by author's name or by an obvious shorthand: for instance, Greenbaum and Whitcut are the latest revisers of Sir Ernest Gowers' *The Complete Plain Words*, and this is referred to as *Gowers. COD* is the *Concise Oxford Dictionary*.

χi



Preface to the third edition

For the second edition, we included more examples and exercises, and a new chapter on that much abused diagram, the graph. For the third, there are new examples and fresh exercises. There are a number of new entries for words that we overlooked or have since and inadvisably become more prevalent. In the 15 years since the first edition, we have not detected any great change in the attitudes of medical writers to the way they write. For this reason, the introductory chapters, which attempt to explain the reasons for poor medical writing, remain much as they were in the previous two editions.

Some attitudes to higher education may make the book even more necessary in the future. Frank Furedi¹ quotes a professor of education who believes essay writing is elitist and that it is, therefore, unfair to ask university students to write them. Educationalists are having an increasing influence in undergraduate and postgraduate medical education in the United Kingdom; there is also the perceived preference of medical schools for 'privileged' students, which politicians want to prevent. These influences may make it even more difficult for future doctors to express themselves well on paper.

Frontline journals are receiving more papers now from authors whose first language is not English. We did not mention these EFL (English as a foreign language) authors in earlier editions, and it is not easy to cater for the different grammars that these authors carry mistakenly into their English. However, many of the faults in medical English are stereotyped and common: much of the effort of correcting them is in pattern recognition. Such authors should profit from reading this book rather than dipping into it. They will have picked up the bad habits of writing largely from reading the bad writing of others, rather than for the reasons given in

xii



Preface to the third edition xiii

our introductory chapters. They can, therefore, feel somewhat aggrieved to have been so corrupted, but we hope to uncorrupt them within our pages.

Some of our previously recommended texts are in new editions, but some are now out of print. Since our first edition appeared, other new books have also appeared, but these are listed only if they came to our attention for some reason; we do not intend to give a comprehensive list of books on writing English.



Acknowledgements

Many people have helped with this book, wittingly or unwittingly. Most of the examples are quotations from medical books and journals and we thank the writers for them; while they may recognize their own words, we hope others will be unable to make an attribution.

Earlier versions of the first edition were seen by a number of assessors, usually reviewers reporting to publishers. Many of their comments were added to the text and again they may be recognized. Because the reviewers were anonymous, we can do no more than give a general thanks.

xiv