

PART I

POLAND, TO 1795





T

Piast Poland, ?-1385

The Romans never conquered Poland: a source of pride to its first native chronicler, Bishop Vincent of Kraków, writing around 1200, but a nuisance to the modern historian. Since Rome neither subjugated nor abandoned Poland, there is no widely recognizable Year One from which to launch a historical survey. The year AD 966 has to serve, for in that year Mieszko, the ruler of what has come to be known as 'Poland', accepted (and imposed) Latin Christianity. We know as little about this event as we do about anything else that happened during the next hundred years or so. The written record begins to assume substantial proportions only in the fourteenth century. Some eighty years before Bishop Vincent, an unknown clergyman, possibly of French origin (he has come to be called Gall-Anonim, 'the anonymous Gaul'), produced the earliest chronicle emanating directly from the Polish lands. Archaeological and toponymic evidence, the accounts of foreign observers and travellers, inform the historian little better than the folk memory on which Gall relied to locate the founder of the ruling house in a successful peasant adventurer called 'Piast',* who had overthrown a tyrannical predecessor, Popiel (supposedly gnawed to death by some very hungry mice), at some point in the ninth century AD. Historians are more inclined nowadays to accept that Mieszko's immediate forebears, Siemowit, Lestek and

^{*} The label 'Piast' was attributed to the ruling dynasty only in the late seventeenth century by Silesian antiquarians. Medieval sources used formulae such as 'the dukes and princes of Poland' ('duces et principes Poloniae').



Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-85332-3 - A Concise History of Poland: Second Edition Jerzy Lukowski and Hubert Zawadzki Excerpt More information

Poland, to 1795

Siemomysł, were real persons, not just figments of Gall's imagination; and that it was under them that the early foundations of the future *Regnum Poloniae* were laid, with commercial and administrative centres in Gniezno and Poznań. Echoes of Lestek's realm are to be found in contemporary Byzantine and Germanic chronicles.

The later twentieth century has added its own myths. In the forty or so years after the Second World War, Polish historiography was wont to depict a 'Piast Poland' whose boundaries were curiously congruent with those of the post-1945 state. This reflected more than an attempt by a deeply unpopular communist regime to legitimize itself by appeal to an original past. It was also symptomatic of a genuine need for stability after a thousand years of a history when borders were rarely fixed, but could contract and expand, twist and disappear within the span of a lifetime, taking in or discarding groups of people some of whom even today cannot wholly decide on their own identity: 'Poles'? 'Germans'? 'Ukrainians'? 'Iews'? 'Belarusians'? 'Lithuanians'?

'Polak' (polonus, polanus, polenus were the commonly used medieval Latin forms) derives from pole, plain or clearing - the land of the Polanie, living in the basin of the middle Warta river, in the western part of modern-day Poland. Remote though these territories may have been, they were not isolated. Even in Roman times, a well-established trade route joined the southern shores of the Baltic and its much-prized amber resources to the Europe of the Mediterranean. By the ninth, tenth and eleventh centuries, in the shadow of the fortified strongholds, the grody, which housed garrisons and princely officials, and which preserved the ruler's authority, small commercial communities, even a scattering of Jewish trading centres, grew to service the arduous overland routes connecting the Rhineland, Germany and Bohemia with Kiev, Byzantium and the Orient. The primacy of these western lands came to be acknowledged in the thirteenth century with their designation as 'Old' or 'Great' Poland (Wielkopolska, Polonia Maior) - as opposed to 'Little' Poland (Małopolska, Polonia Minor) to the south and south-east. What linked the Polanie to their neighbours and to so many peoples of the great Eurasian plain was language - słowo - the word: those who spoke intelligibly to one another were Słowianie, Sclavinii, Slavs - as opposed



Piast Poland, ?-1385

to the 'Dumb Ones' (*Niemcy*) who spoke no tongue intelligible to 'Poles' or 'Czechs' or 'Russians'. The 'Dumb Ones' were mainly from the Germanic world – Saxons, Franks, Bavarians, Lotharingians. The Dumb Ones were little bothered by such linguistic insults. The pagan Slavs, whether living east of the Elbe or north of the Danube, were fair game as slaves. It was in Venice, one of the great entrepôts of the early medieval world, that the word *Sclavus* first came to be synonymous with both 'Slav' and 'slave' – so many were the numbers of southern, Balkan Slavs sold on by its merchants.

Linguistic community did not mean political solidarity. The Slav tribes of the lands between the Elbe and the Oder were as likely to be in conflict with their Polish/Silesian/Czech neighbours of the east as with incomers from the west. In 965, the knez, the prince of the Polanie, Mieszko I, thwarted a troublesome alliance between the Christian Czechs and his pagan, Slav neighbours to the west by his marriage to Dobrava, daughter of Duke Boleslay I of Bohemia. Conversion in the following year allowed Mieszko to tap into the manpower, military technology and politics of the German Empire in a way that would have been inconceivable if he had remained a heathen. Most of the early clergy who came to Poland were German; Mieszko and his successors were as willing to conclude marriage alliances with the great families of the Empire as with the ruling dynasties of Scandinavia, Hungary and the Rus' lands. They were quite prepared to furnish the emperors with tribute and warriors in return for recognition of their lordship over the borderlands that they disputed with the German marcher lords. Mieszko's marriage to Dobrava was something of an aberration - the Piasts and the Bohemian Přemyslids had too many conflicting interests for family ties to take root.

Political contacts with German potentates must have existed for a long time before Mieszko's accession. It was probably Mieszko's father, Siemomysł, who first pushed across the river Oder around Lubiąż (Lebus), and north-west into Pomerania. This westward drive was bound to lead to clashes with the rulers of the 'Northern Mark', a political unit of undefined borders established by the German emperor Otto I east of the middle Elbe. Its rulers had a free hand to convert the Slavs and annex their territories, which

5



Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-85332-3 - A Concise History of Poland: Second Edition Jerzy Lukowski and Hubert Zawadzki Excerpt More information

Poland, to 1795

they did with genocidal gusto. Christian German and pagan Slav rulers could, as occasion demanded, form alliances. Mieszko was clearly well informed of the feuding and power struggles within the German empire. Even before his conversion, he agreed in 964 to pay tribute to Otto I for at least some of his lands. For his part, the Emperor saw in rulers such as Mieszko a useful counterforce against the excessive ambitions of his own marcher lords. Conversion was also likely to strengthen the ruler's own position: among the *Polanie*, there was almost certainly no one central cult, but a variety of localized beliefs. Christianity could provide a central, unifying religious force, which of its very nature was bound to strengthen the ruler's authority.

Byzantium was too far away for its version of 'Orthodox' Christianity to be convenient, and similarly Kiev, which adopted Christianity in 988, saw 'Latin' Rome as distant and unimpressive. Mieszko preferred to receive his new faith from the Bohemians, perhaps to escape possible subordination to the planned new archdiocese for the North Mark, Magdeburg (established two years after Poland's conversion, in 968). The unstable German politics of the time required some deft footwork: Bohemia at this time fell within the jurisdiction of the Bayarian bishopric of Regensburg. It has even been speculated that Mieszko and his immediate entourage may have been christened in Regensburg, early in 966, before the missionaries came to Poland. There was a strong Bayarian connection, so much so that in 979, Otto II launched a punitive expedition against Mieszko for the support he gave to his opponents in Bavaria. The experience seems to have convinced the Polish ruler not to go too far. He loyally supported the young Otto III in the troubles which shook his early reign, not least in the great East Elbian pagan Slav revolt of 983. His second marriage to a German princess, Ode, daughter of Dietrich of the Northern Mark, around 980, signified that his Christianity and connections with Germany were there to stay.

According to the Arab-Jewish merchant, Ibrahim ibn Yakub, Mieszko had 3,000 heavily armed cavalry and infantry at his call. Even if this is a very flattering assessment (the emperor Otto I, ruling over lands perhaps five times as populous, had an army of 5,000 mounted knights), Mieszko's retinue of warriors was an



Piast Poland, ?-1385

impressive instrument, which enabled him to annex Silesia from his former Bohemian in-laws. His son, Bolesław I Chrobry, 'the Valiant' (992–1026), deprived them of the burgeoning commercial centre of Kraków and its southern hinterland, extending the Piast realm to the Carpathian mountains. The two rulers brought under their sway the Pomeranian lands between the Vistula and Oder deltas. It was probably Mieszko who founded the port town of Gdańsk around 980 to consolidate his grip on lands at the mouth of the Vistula. Bolesław's western forays, into lands still peopled by fellow Slavs, took him to the Elbe. In 1018, Emperor Henry II reluctantly acknowledged his rule over Militz and Lusatia, west of the Oder. In 1018, too, Bolesław intervened in Kiev, to secure his brother-in-law, Sviatopolk, on its throne. He was even briefly able to impose his rule over Bohemia, Moravia and much of modernday Slovakia

Almost annual expeditions for human and material plunder were essential to the 'economy' of the early medieval state. But Piast Poland, with a population of well below a million in lands densely tangled by forests, swamps and heaths, could not sustain such efforts indefinitely. The aggressive reigns of Mieszko II (1025-34), Bolesław II (1058-81) and Bolesław III (1102-38) were interwoven with periods of revolt, foreign invasion and recovery. Even Chrobry faced serious rebellions in 1022 and 1025. He had to pull out of Bohemia and his successors had to abandon Moravia and Slovakia. His protégé, Sviatopolk, was driven out of Kiev by his brother, Yaroslav 'the Wise', as soon as Polish forces withdrew. Mieszko II had to abandon Militz and Lusatia; he lost his kingdom and his life to domestic revolt. Between 1034 and 1039, Poland may have been without a ruler at all (some chroniclers tried to fill the gap with a Bolesław the Forgotten, but he is just as likely to have been a Bolesław the Non-Existent), as it threatened to disintegrate under the pressures of pagan reaction and Bohemian invasion. Mieszko II's son, Casimir (Kazimierz) 'the Restorer' (1039-58), needed at least fifteen years to stitch his lands back together with Imperial and Kievan help. It was during his reign that Kraków began to establish itself as Poland's capital: the old political and metropolitan centre of Gniezno was so devastated by the disorders as to be temporarily uninhabitable.



Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-85332-3 - A Concise History of Poland: Second Edition Jerzy Lukowski and Hubert Zawadzki Excerpt More information

Poland, to 1795



I One of the earliest surviving Polish churches: the collegiate church of the Blessed Virgin and St Alexis, *c.* 1150–61, at Tum, near Łęczyca, in western Poland.

Few, if any, of the Slav tribes east of the Elbe accepted Christianity gracefully. It was only in the course of the twelfth century that their marcherlands were effectively brought under the authority of German rulers. Only in 1157 did Slav Brunabor become German Brandenburg. The Polanie and their associated tribes were no exception. Christianity was the price that had to be paid to escape the fate of their more obdurate fellow Slavs to the west, such as the Wends, who kept faith with the pagan ways and suffered one murderous Christian onslaught after another, until they lost their gods, their independence and their identity. Today, between the Elbe and the Oder, some 50,000 Sorbs survive with their language, an ethnic and linguistic reminder that the peoples who lived in these lands were once not German but Slav.

To the bulk of the populace, Christianity brought burdens which only exacerbated those imposed by the ruler's war bands and garrisons. Bolesław I took his role as Christian ruler sufficiently seriously to be regarded by the young emperor Otto III as his



Piast Poland, ?-1385

partner in the conversion of Slavonic Europe. In the person of Vojtěch (Adalbert) of Prague, Bolesław furnished Poland with its first, albeit adopted, martyr - in 997 Vojtěch was slain by the heathens of Prussia whom the king hoped he would convert. He was canonized two years later. Like Voitěch, most of the early clergy came from abroad. They were supported with tributes and tithes exacted by a brutal ruling apparatus. A significant native clergy did not begin to emerge until at least three or four generations after Mieszko I's conversion. The deeper Christianization of Poland began only with the coming of the monasteries and friars in the twelfth and thirteenth centuries. Until then, the Church remained an alien, unpopular institution, foisted on the people by a ruling elite in pursuit of its own political and expansionist ambitions. But it differentiated Poland from its eastern Slav neighbours in one crucial respect. The new bishops, with their dioceses and synods, with their political and economic privileges, with their ties to Rome, came eventually to open a door to the differentiation and variegation of political authority, limiting the ruler's monopoly on power. Further east, the traditions of Orthodoxy and Byzantine caesaropapism were to direct the lands of Rus' along a very different path of political development.

The new institution of kingship which accompanied Christianity found little wider echo. The Polish word for king, król – a corruption of 'Karol' (Charles, Charlemagne) - reflects its alien character. Bolesław I, Mieszko II and Bolesław II were Poland's only crowned monarchs before 1296. All faced revolts almost immediately after their coronations (1025, 1026 and 1076). Opposition came not just from the lower orders. Mieszko II was murdered by a disgruntled courtier. Bolesław II emulated Chrobry in his forays into Kiev, Bohemia and Hungary; he backed the pope against the emperor in the Investiture Conflict; he was a generous benefactor of the Church - but it was an ecclesiastic, Bishop Stanisław of Kraków, who appears to have headed a reaction among the king's own notables against his demanding foreign policy, not least because the strains it imposed threatened their authority over their own peasantry. In 1078, Bolesław had Stanisław tried by a compliant synod, and hacked to pieces, a traitor's death. According to the chronicle of bishop Vincent, the king himself administered the



Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-85332-3 - A Concise History of Poland: Second Edition Jerzy Lukowski and Hubert Zawadzki Excerpt More information

Poland, to 1795

punishment. Whatever the facts of the matter, the king inadvertently produced Poland's first native martyr (Stanisław was to be canonized in 1253). Within a year, Bolesław was deposed, exiled (he died in Hungary in 1081) and replaced by his younger brother, Wodzisław Herman (1079–1102). Real power was exercised by the *palatinus*, Sieciech, head of the war bands and of the network of garrison-towns, the *grody*.

Wodzisław's elevation highlights a key weakness of the Piast state (though hardly one peculiar to it) - the absence of a secure means of succession. It is widely accepted (though the evidence is limited) that shortly before his death in 992, Mieszko I placed Poland under direct papal jurisdiction. It may well be that he nourished the hope that ecclesiastical influence might preserve the rights of his sons by his second marriage to the German princess Oda. Bolesław I settled the matter in his own way: he either exiled his rivals or had them blinded. Bishop Vincent's chronicle suggests a society in which any form of hereditary claim had to be reinforced with a more general acceptance of the individual ruler: Mieszko's lineal descendants may not have been wholly assured of their position until the full consolidation of Christianity, in the late twelfth century. The presence of a younger brother provided a figurehead for a revolt against Bolesław II; the availability of Wodzisław's sons, Zbigniew and Bolesław, facilitated revolts against their father and his over-mighty palatine, Sieciech, in 1097 and 1100. When the emperor Henry V invaded in 1109, it was in support of Zbigniew (who also had the backing of the Church hierarchy) against his ruthless younger half-brother. Despite a formal reconciliation, Bolesław III had Zbigniew blinded and killed in 1111. Bolesław's nickname, 'Wrymouth', may well refer to the ease with which he broke his oaths rather than to any physical deformity. He, too, tried to solve the problem of the succession, this time in more civilized fashion, in his testament of 1138, by a borrowing from Kievan practice: overall political authority would be vested in the *princeps*, the eldest of his five sons. The fertile and populous southern provinces of Kraków and Sandomierz would form the territorial basis of the princeps' power, but he would also retain the right to make appointments to all the leading lay and ecclesiastical offices of the Piast patrimony. The younger brothers