

# 1

## Basic concepts

### 1.1 Elementary properties of Lie algebras

A **Lie algebra** is a vector space  $L$  over a field  $k$  on which a multiplication

$$L \times L \rightarrow L$$

$$(x, y) \rightarrow [xy]$$

is defined satisfying the following axioms:

- (i)  $(x, y) \rightarrow [xy]$  is linear in  $x$  and in  $y$ ;
- (ii)  $[xx] = 0$  for all  $x \in L$ ;
- (iii)  $[[xy]z] + [[yz]x] + [[zx]y] = 0$  for all  $x, y, z \in L$ .

Axiom (iii) is called the **Jacobi identity**.

**Proposition 1.1**  $[yx] = -[xy]$  for all  $x, y \in L$ .

*Proof.* Since  $[x+y, x+y] = 0$  we have  $[xx] + [xy] + [yx] + [yy] = 0$ . It follows that  $[xy] + [yx] = 0$ , that is  $[yx] = -[xy]$ .  $\square$

Proposition 1.1 asserts that multiplication in a Lie algebra is anticommutative.

Now let  $H, K$  be subspaces of a Lie algebra  $L$ . Then  $[HK]$  is defined as the subspace spanned by all products  $[xy]$  with  $x \in H$  and  $y \in K$ . Each element of  $[HK]$  is a sum

$$[x_1y_1] + \cdots + [x_r y_r]$$

with  $x_i \in H, y_i \in K$ .

**Proposition 1.2**  $[HK] = [KH]$  for all subspaces  $H, K$  of  $L$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in H$ ,  $y \in K$ . Then  $[xy] = [-y, x] \in [KH]$ . This shows that  $[HK] \subset [KH]$ . Similarly we have  $[KH] \subset [HK]$  and so we have equality.  $\square$

Proposition 1.2 asserts that multiplication of subspaces in a Lie algebra is commutative.

**Example 1.3** Let  $A$  be an associative algebra over  $k$ . Thus we have a map

$$\begin{aligned} A \times A &\rightarrow A \\ (x, y) &\rightarrow xy \end{aligned}$$

satisfying the associative law

$$(xy)z = x(yz) \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in A.$$

Then  $A$  can be made into a Lie algebra by defining the Lie product  $[xy]$  by

$$[xy] = xy - yx$$

We verify the Lie algebra axioms. Product  $[xy]$  is clearly linear in  $x$  and in  $y$ . It is also clear that  $[xx] = 0$ . Finally we check the Jacobi identity. We have

$$\begin{aligned} [[xy]z] &= (xy - yx)z - z(xy - yx) \\ &= xyz - yxz - zxy + zyx. \end{aligned}$$

We have similar expressions for  $[[yz]x]$  and  $[[zx]y]$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} [[xy]z] + [[yz]x] + [[zx]y] &= xyz - yxz - zxy + zyx + yzx - zyx - xyz \\ &\quad + xzy + zxy - xzy - yzx + yxz = 0. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

The Lie algebra obtained from the associative algebra  $A$  in this way will be denoted by  $[A]$ .

Now let  $L$  be a Lie algebra over  $k$ . A subset  $H$  of  $L$  is called a **subalgebra** of  $L$  if  $H$  is a subspace of  $L$  and  $[HH] \subset H$ . Thus  $H$  is itself a Lie algebra under the same operations as  $L$ .

A subset  $I$  of  $L$  is called an **ideal** of  $L$  if  $I$  is a subspace of  $L$  and  $[IL] \subset I$ . We observe that the latter condition is equivalent to  $[LI] \subset I$ . Thus there is no distinction between left ideals and right ideals in the theory of Lie algebras. Every ideal is two-sided.

**Proposition 1.4** (i) If  $H, K$  are subalgebras of  $L$  so is  $H \cap K$ .  
 (ii) If  $H, K$  are ideals of  $L$  so is  $H \cap K$ .

- (iii) If  $H$  is an ideal of  $L$  and  $K$  a subalgebra of  $L$  then  $H+K$  is a subalgebra of  $L$ .  
 (iv) If  $H, K$  are ideals of  $L$  then  $H+K$  is an ideal of  $L$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $H \cap K$  is a subspace of  $L$  and  $[H \cap K, H \cap K] \subset [HH] \cap [KK] \subset H \cap K$ . Thus  $H \cap K$  is a subalgebra.

(ii) This time we have  $[H \cap K, L] \subset [HL] \cap [KL] \subset H \cap K$ . Thus  $H \cap K$  is an ideal of  $L$ .

(iii)  $H+K$  is a subspace of  $L$ . Also  $[H+K, H+K] \subset [HH] + [HK] + [KH] + [KK] \subset H+K$ , since  $[HH] \subset H, [HK] \subset H, [KH] \subset H, [KK] \subset K$ . Thus  $H+K$  is a subalgebra.

(iv) This time we have  $[H+K, L] \subset [HL] + [KL] \subset H+K$ . Thus  $H+K$  is an ideal of  $L$ .  $\square$

We next introduce the idea of a factor algebra. Let  $I$  be an ideal of a Lie algebra  $L$ . Then  $I$  is in particular a subspace of  $L$  and so we can form the factor space  $L/I$  whose elements are the cosets  $I+x$  for  $x \in L$ .  $I+x$  is the subset of  $L$  consisting of all elements  $y+x$  for  $y \in I$ .

**Proposition 1.5** *Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $L$ . Then the factor space  $L/I$  can be made into a Lie algebra by defining*

$$[I+x, I+y] = I+[xy] \quad \text{for all } x, y \in L.$$

*Proof.* We must first show that this definition is unambiguous, that is if  $I+x = I+x'$  and  $I+y = I+y'$  then  $I+[xy] = I+[x'y']$ .

Now  $I+x = I+x'$  implies that  $x = x' + i_1$  for some  $i_1 \in I$ . Similarly  $I+y = I+y'$  implies  $y = y' + i_2$  for some  $i_2 \in I$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} I+[xy] &= I+[x'+i_1, y'+i_2] \\ &= I+[i_1y'] + [x'i_2] + [i_1i_2] + [x'y'] \\ &= I+[x'y'] \end{aligned}$$

since  $[i_1y'], [x'i_2], [i_1i_2]$  all lie in  $I$ . Thus our multiplication is well defined. We also have

$$[I+x, I+x] = I+[xx] = I$$

and the Jacobi identity in  $L/I$  clearly follows from the Jacobi identity in  $L$ .  $\square$

Now suppose we have two Lie algebras  $L_1, L_2$  over  $k$ . A map  $\theta: L_1 \rightarrow L_2$  is called a **homomorphism of Lie algebras** if  $\theta$  is linear and

$$\theta[xy] = [\theta x, \theta y] \quad \text{for all } x, y \in L_1.$$

The map  $\theta: L_1 \rightarrow L_2$  is called an **isomorphism of Lie algebras** if  $\theta$  is a bijective homomorphism of Lie algebras. The Lie algebras  $L_1, L_2$  are said to be **isomorphic** if there exists an isomorphism  $\theta: L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ .

**Proposition 1.6** *Let  $\theta: L_1 \rightarrow L_2$  be a homomorphism of Lie algebras. Then the image of  $\theta$  is a subalgebra of  $L_2$ , the kernel of  $\theta$  is an ideal of  $L_1$  and  $L_1/\ker \theta$  is isomorphic to  $\text{im } \theta$ .*

*Proof.*  $\text{im } \theta$  is a subspace of  $L_2$ . Moreover for  $x, y$  in  $L_1$  we have

$$[\theta(x), \theta(y)] = \theta[xy] \in \text{im } \theta.$$

Hence  $\text{im } \theta$  is a subalgebra of  $L_2$ .

Now  $\ker \theta$  is a subspace of  $L_1$ . Let  $x \in \ker \theta$  and  $y \in L_1$ . Then

$$\theta[xy] = [\theta(x), \theta(y)] = [0, \theta(y)] = 0.$$

Hence  $[xy] \in \ker \theta$  and so  $\ker \theta$  is an ideal of  $L_1$ .

Now let  $x, y \in L_1$ . We consider when  $\theta(x)$  is equal to  $\theta(y)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(x) = \theta(y) &\Leftrightarrow \theta(x - y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x - y \in \ker \theta \\ &\Leftrightarrow \ker \theta + x = \ker \theta + y. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that there is a bijective map  $\theta(x) \rightarrow \ker \theta + x$  between  $\text{im } \theta$  and  $L_1/\ker \theta$ . We show this bijection is an isomorphism of Lie algebras. It is clearly linear. Moreover given  $x, y, z \in L_1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\theta(x), \theta(y)] = \theta(z) &\Leftrightarrow \theta[xy] = \theta(z) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \ker \theta + [xy] = \ker \theta + z \\ &\Leftrightarrow [\ker \theta + x, \ker \theta + y] = \ker \theta + z. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the bijection preserves Lie multiplication, so is an isomorphism of Lie algebras.  $\square$

**Proposition 1.7** *Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $L$  and  $H$  a subalgebra of  $L$ . Then*

- (i)  $I$  is an ideal of  $I + H$ .
- (ii)  $I \cap H$  is an ideal of  $H$ .
- (iii)  $(I + H)/I$  is isomorphic to  $H/(I \cap H)$ .

*Proof.* We recall from Proposition 1.4 that  $I \cap H$  and  $I + H$  are subalgebras. We have  $[I, I + H] \subset [IL] \subset I$ , thus  $I$  is an ideal of  $I + H$ . Also  $[I \cap H, H] \subset [IH] \cap [HH] \subset I \cap H$ , thus  $I \cap H$  is an ideal of  $H$ .

Let  $\theta: H \rightarrow (I + H)/I$  be defined by  $\theta(x) = I + x$ . This is clearly a linear map, and is also evidently a homomorphism of Lie algebras. It is surjective since each element of  $(I + H)/I$  has form  $I + x$  for some  $x \in H$ . Finally its kernel is the set of  $x \in H$  for which  $I + x = I$ , that is  $I \cap H$ . Thus  $(I + H)/I$  is isomorphic to  $H/(I \cap H)$  by Proposition 1.6.  $\square$

## 1.2 Representations and modules

Let  $M_n(k)$  be the associative algebra of all  $n \times n$  matrices over the field  $k$  and let  $[M_n(k)]$  be the corresponding Lie algebra. This is often called the **general linear Lie algebra** of degree  $n$  over  $k$  and we write

$$\mathfrak{gl}_n(k) = [M_n(k)].$$

We have  $\dim \mathfrak{gl}_n(k) = n^2$ .

A **representation** of a Lie algebra  $L$  over  $k$  is a homomorphism of Lie algebras

$$\rho: L \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_n(k)$$

for some  $n$ , and  $\rho$  is called a representation of degree  $n$ . Two representations  $\rho, \rho'$  of degree  $n$  are called **equivalent** if there exists a non-singular  $n \times n$  matrix  $T$  such that

$$\rho'(x) = T^{-1}\rho(x)T \quad \text{for all } x \in L.$$

A **left L-module** is a vector space  $V$  over  $k$  together with a multiplication

$$L \times V \rightarrow V$$

$$(x, v) \rightarrow xv$$

satisfying the axioms:

- (i)  $(x, v) \rightarrow xv$  is linear in  $x$  and in  $v$ ;
- (ii)  $[xy]v = x(yv) - y(xv)$  for all  $x, y \in L$  and  $v \in V$ .

Suppose  $V$  is a finite dimensional  $L$ -module. Let  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  be a basis of  $V$ . Let

$$xe_j = \sum_i \rho_{ij}(x)e_i$$

with  $\rho_{ij}(x) \in k$  and let  $\rho(x) = (\rho_{ij}(x))$ . Then  $\rho$  is a representation of  $L$ . For we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 [xy]e_j &= x(ye_j) - y(xe_j) \\
 &= x \left( \sum_k \rho_{kj}(y)e_k \right) - y \left( \sum_k \rho_{kj}(x)e_k \right) \\
 &= \sum_k \rho_{kj}(y)xe_k - \sum_k \rho_{kj}(x)ye_k \\
 &= \sum_k \rho_{kj}(y) \left( \sum_i \rho_{ik}(x)e_i \right) - \sum_k \rho_{kj}(x) \left( \sum_i \rho_{ik}(y)e_i \right) \\
 &= \sum_i \left( \sum_k (\rho_{ik}(x)\rho_{kj}(y) - \rho_{ik}(y)\rho_{kj}(x)) \right) e_i \\
 &= \sum_i (\rho(x)\rho(y) - \rho(y)\rho(x))_{ij} e_i.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\rho[xy] = \rho(x)\rho(y) - \rho(y)\rho(x) = [\rho(x), \rho(y)]$  and  $\rho$  is a representation of  $L$ .

Suppose now we take a second basis  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  of  $V$ . Let  $\rho'$  be the representation of  $L$  obtained from this basis. Then  $\rho'$  is equivalent to  $\rho$ . For there exists a non-singular  $n \times n$  matrix  $T$  such that

$$f_j = \sum_i T_{ij}e_i.$$

Thus we have

$$xf_j = \sum_k T_{kj}xe_k = \sum_k T_{kj} \left( \sum_i \rho_{ik}(x)e_i \right) = \sum_i \left( \sum_k \rho_{ik}(x)T_{kj} \right) e_i.$$

On the other hand

$$xf_j = \sum_k \rho'_{kj}(x)f_k = \sum_k \rho'_{kj}(x) \left( \sum_i T_{ik}e_i \right) = \sum_i \left( \sum_k T_{ik}\rho'_{kj}(x) \right) e_i.$$

It follows that  $\rho(x)T = T\rho'(x)$ , that is  $\rho'(x) = T^{-1}\rho(x)T$  for all  $x \in L$ . Hence the representation  $\rho'$  is equivalent to  $\rho$ .  $\square$

**Example 1.8**  $L$  is itself a left  $L$ -module.

The left action of  $L$  on  $L$  is defined as  $x \cdot y = [xy]$ . Then we have

$$[[xy]z] = [x[yz]] - [y[xz]]$$

which is a consequence of the Jacobi identity. This shows that  $L$  is a left  $L$ -module. This is called the **adjoint module**. We define  $\text{ad } x : L \rightarrow L$  by

$$\text{ad } x \cdot y = [xy] \quad \text{for } x, y \in L.$$

Then we have

$$\text{ad}[xy] = \text{ad } x \text{ ad } y - \text{ad } y \text{ ad } x. \quad \square$$

Now let  $V$  be a left  $L$ -module,  $U$  be a subspace of  $V$  and  $H$  a subspace of  $L$ . We define  $HU$  to be the subspace of  $V$  spanned by all elements of the form  $xu$  for  $x \in H$ ,  $u \in U$ .

A **submodule** of  $V$  is a subspace  $U$  of  $V$  such that  $LU \subset U$ . In particular  $V$  is a submodule of  $V$  and the zero subspace  $O = \{0\}$  is a submodule of  $V$ . A **proper submodule** of  $V$  is a submodule distinct from  $V$  and  $O$ .

An  $L$ -module  $V$  is called **irreducible** if it has no proper submodules.  $V$  is called **completely reducible** if it is a direct sum of irreducible submodules.  $V$  is called **indecomposable** if  $V$  cannot be written as a direct sum of two proper submodules. Of course every irreducible  $L$ -module is indecomposable, but the converse need not be true.

We may also define right  $L$ -modules, but we shall mainly work with left  $L$ -modules, and  $L$ -modules will be assumed to be left  $L$ -modules unless otherwise stated.

### 1.3 Abelian, nilpotent and soluble Lie algebras

A Lie algebra  $L$  is **abelian** if  $[LL] = O$ . Thus  $[xy] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in L$  when  $L$  is abelian.

Given any Lie algebra  $L$  we define the powers of  $L$  by

$$L^1 = L, \quad L^{n+1} = [L^n L] \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

Thus  $L$  is abelian if and only if  $L^2 = O$ .

**Proposition 1.9**  $L^n$  is an ideal of  $L$ . Also

$$L = L^1 \supset L^2 \supset L^3 \supset \dots$$

*Proof.* We first observe that if  $I, J$  are ideals of  $L$  then  $[IJ]$  is also an ideal of  $L$ . For let  $x \in I$ ,  $y \in J$ ,  $z \in L$ . Then

$$[[xy]z] = [x[yz]] - [y[xz]] \in [IJ].$$

It follows that  $L^n$  is an ideal of  $L$  for each  $n > 0$ . Thus we have

$$L^{n+1} = [L^n L] \subset L^n. \quad \square$$

A Lie algebra  $L$  is called **nilpotent** if  $L^n = O$  for some  $n \geq 1$ . Thus every abelian Lie algebra is nilpotent. It is clear that every subalgebra and every factor algebra of a nilpotent Lie algebra are nilpotent.

We now consider a different kind of powers of  $L$ . We define

$$L^{(0)} = L, \quad L^{(n+1)} = [L^{(n)}, L^{(n)}] \quad \text{for } n \geq 0.$$

**Proposition 1.10**  $L^{(n)}$  is an ideal of  $L$ . Also

$$L = L^{(0)} \supset L^{(1)} \supset L^{(2)} \supset \dots$$

*Proof.*  $L^{(n)}$  is an ideal of  $L$  since the product of two ideals is an ideal. Also

$$L^{(n+1)} = [L^{(n)}, L^{(n)}] \subset L^{(n)}. \quad \square$$

A Lie algebra  $L$  is called **soluble** if  $L^{(n)} = O$  for some  $n \geq 0$ .

**Proposition 1.11** (a)  $[L^m L^n] \subset L^{m+n}$  for all  $m, n \geq 1$ . (b)  $L^{(n)} \subset L^{2^n}$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . (c) Every nilpotent Lie algebra is soluble.

*Proof.* (a). We use induction on  $n$ . The result is clear if  $n = 1$ . Suppose it is true for  $n = r$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} [L^m L^{r+1}] &= [L^m [L^r L]] = [[L^r L] L^m] \\ &\subset [[L L^m] L^r] + [[L^m L^r] L] \quad \text{by the Jacobi identity} \\ &\subset [L^{m+1} L^r] + [[L^m L^r] L] \\ &\subset L^{m+r+1} \quad \text{by inductive hypothesis.} \end{aligned}$$

Thus the result holds for  $n = r + 1$ , so for all  $n$ .

(b). We again use induction on  $n$ . The result is clear if  $n = 1$ . Suppose it is true for  $n = r$ . Then

$$L^{(r+1)} = [L^{(r)} L^{(r)}] \subset [L^{2^r} L^{2^r}] \subset L^{2^{r+1}}$$

by (a). Thus the result holds for  $n = r + 1$ , so for all  $n$ .

(c). Suppose  $L$  is nilpotent. Then  $L^{2^n} = O$  for  $n$  sufficiently large. Hence  $L^{(n)} = O$  by (b) and so  $L$  is soluble.  $\square$



It is clear that every subalgebra and every factor algebra of a soluble Lie algebra are soluble.

**Proposition 1.12** *Suppose  $I$  is an ideal of  $L$  and both  $I$  and  $L/I$  are soluble. Then  $L$  is soluble.*

*Proof.* Since  $L/I$  is soluble we have  $(L/I)^{(n)} = O$  for some  $n$ . This implies  $L^{(n)} \subset I$ . Since  $I$  is soluble we have  $I^{(m)} = O$  for some  $m$ . Hence

$$L^{(n+m)} = (L^{(n)})^{(m)} \subset I^{(m)} = O$$

and so  $L$  is soluble. □

**Proposition 1.13** *Every finite dimensional Lie algebra  $L$  contains a unique maximal soluble ideal  $R$ . Also  $L/R$  contains no non-zero soluble ideal.*

*Proof.* Let  $I, J$  be soluble ideals of  $L$ . Then  $I+J$  is also an ideal of  $L$  and  $(I+J)/I$  is isomorphic to  $J/(I \cap J)$  by Proposition 1.7. Now  $J$  is soluble, thus  $J/(I \cap J)$  is soluble and so  $(I+J)/I$  is soluble. Since  $I$  is soluble we see that  $I+J$  is soluble by Proposition 1.12. Thus the sum of two soluble ideals of  $L$  is a soluble ideal. It follows that  $L$  has a unique maximal soluble ideal  $R$ .

If  $I/R$  is a soluble ideal of  $L/R$  then  $I$  is a soluble ideal of  $L$  by Proposition 1.12. Hence  $I=R$  and  $I/R=O$ . □

The ideal  $R$  is called the **soluble radical** of  $L$ . A Lie algebra  $L$  is called **semisimple** if  $R=O$ . Thus  $L$  is semisimple if and only if  $L$  has no non-zero soluble ideal.

$L$  is called **simple** if  $L$  has no proper ideal, that is no ideal other than  $L$  and  $O$ .

Suppose  $L$  is a Lie algebra of dimension 1 over  $k$ . Then  $L$  has a basis  $\{x\}$  with 1 element. Since  $[xx]=0$  we have  $L^2=O$ . Thus  $L$  is abelian. We see that any two 1-dimensional Lie algebras over  $k$  are isomorphic. Of course any such Lie algebra is simple, because  $L$  has no proper subspaces. The 1-dimensional Lie algebra is called the **trivial simple Lie algebra**. A non-trivial simple Lie algebra is a simple Lie algebra  $L$  with  $\dim L > 1$ .

**Proposition 1.14** *Each non-trivial simple Lie algebra is semisimple.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $L$  is simple but not semisimple. Then the soluble radical  $R$  satisfies  $R \neq O$ . Since  $R$  is an ideal of  $L$  this implies  $R=L$ . Thus  $L$  is soluble.

Hence  $L^{(n)} = O$  for some  $n \geq 0$ . This implies that  $L^{(1)} \neq L$  since  $L^{(1)} = L$  would imply  $L^{(n)} = L$  for all  $n$ . Now  $L^{(1)}$  is an ideal of  $L$ , hence  $L^{(1)} = O$  since  $L$  is simple. Thus  $[LL] = O$ . But then every subspace of  $L$  is an ideal of  $L$ . Since  $L$  is simple  $L$  has no proper subspaces, so  $\dim L = 1$ . Thus the only simple Lie algebra which is not semisimple is the trivial simple Lie algebra.  $\square$