

CONTENTS

<i>Preface</i>	xiii
<i>Table of legislation and cases</i>	xv
1 Overview and introduction to terminology	1
A The FSAP	1
B General background to the development of the FSAP	2
C From FSAP to Lamfalussy and CESR	5
D EU securities law – explanation for terminological approach	7
2 Law’s role in the building of an integrated EU securities market	8
A Scope of chapter	8
B Financial development and the promotion of economic growth	11
The benefits of financial market development: expectations and evidence	11
Growth in securities market activity in the EU	15
<i>Market changes</i>	15
<i>Forces that propelled the growth in securities market activity within the EU</i>	22
<i>An omission?</i>	24
C Did EU law matter to the development of securities market activity in the EU during the 1980s and 1990s?	25
Law as market-creator: what the debate is about	25
How does this debate relate to EU securities regulation?	28
<i>Controlling market abuse: banning insider dealing</i>	30
<i>Issuer disclosure: prospectuses and ongoing disclosure</i>	34
<i>EU law was a catalyst for changes in Member States’ law but it does not necessarily follow that it was a catalyst for securities market development</i>	38

D	Strategic policy choices in EU law-making to promote a single securities market	41
	Implications of uncertainty surrounding law's contribution to the development of securities markets	41
	The FSAP favoured regulatory intervention as the primary policy tool (despite the dangers)	43
	Is there an EU policy alternative? Placing the emphasis more on supervision and enforcement than on additional regulation	46
	Making future policy choices on EU regulatory intervention: should there be some room for regulatory competition?	50
3	The regulatory process for securities law-making in the EU	58
A	Scope of chapter	58
B	Recent refinement of the regulatory process – the Lamfalussy model	61
	The path towards reform of the regulatory process	61
	Co-operation between the Commission, Council and European Parliament in the Lamfalussy process	67
	Formal allocation of regulatory responsibilities as between the Commission, Council and European Parliament under the Lamfalussy process	67
	The establishment of new committees to assist the regulatory process	75
	<i>Existing committees and bodies that contribute to securities law-making</i>	75
	<i>New committees under the Lamfalussy process (1): European Securities Committee</i>	77
	<i>New committees under the Lamfalussy process (2): Committee of European Securities Regulators</i>	78
	<i>The mechanics of Level 2 legislation</i>	81
	Role of the private sector and a new emphasis on transparency and consultation	82
C	Assessing the Lamfalussy process	84
	Expansion of the Commission's role: empire-building or advancing towards a new model of collaborative governance?	86

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-84722-3 - Building an EU Securities Market

Eilis Ferran

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

CONTENTS		ix
Consultation and transparency by the Commission and CESR: the development and management of dialogic webs	92	
<i>Consultation and transparency as quality-enhancing devices</i>	92	
<i>Consultation and transparency processes: the functioning of the machinery</i>	95	
<i>Consultation and transparency as mechanisms for improving accountability and legitimacy</i>	96	
<i>Consultation and transparency: can there be too much of a good thing?</i>	98	
Boundaries between levels of regulation within the Lamfalussy process	99	
<i>Level 1 and Level 2</i>	99	
<i>Level 2 and Level 3 – a new ‘boundaries’ issue that could become increasingly significant</i>	100	
CESR’s relations with the Commission and with interest groups: legitimacy and accountability concerns	102	
The role of the European Securities Committee (ESC)	107	
The role of the European Parliament	109	
D The limitations of processes	111	
E An alternative model: a pan-European securities regulatory (and supervisory) agency	119	
F Conclusions	122	
4 The centrality of disclosure as a regulatory strategy	127	
A Scope of chapter	127	
Disclosure as the first-choice regulatory strategy generally, and for issuers in particular	127	
Functions that issuer disclosure regimes can perform	127	
The policy choice, and how the EU has chosen to exercise it	129	
How well does the EU manage the high risk of making regulatory mistakes? Analysing issuer disclosure provides an opportunity to test the Lamfalussy process for securities law-making	131	
The importance of credible disclosure; and what the EU is doing about it by way of organisation of supervisory oversight of issuer disclosure	132	
The role of stock exchanges in the regulation and supervision of issuers within the EU	133	

5 Issuer disclosure	134
A Scope of chapter	134
B Prospectus and periodic disclosure: the legislative background and the emerging new regime	135
C Building a comprehensive, standardised disclosure regime at the expense of loss of opportunities for competition between Member States – a move in the right direction?	138
Prospectus disclosure requirements – a new world of ‘maximum harmonisation’	138
But compare the Transparency Directive	145
Allocation of regulatory and supervisory responsibilities for issuers amongst the competent authorities of EU Member States	147
<i>Prospectus Directive – identification of home State is important for supervisory rather than regulatory purposes (because the rules are the same)</i>	147
<i>Identifying an issuer’s EU home State for the Prospectus Directive</i>	149
<i>Where the Prospectus Directive led, the Transparency Directive (broadly) followed</i>	149
<i>Concerns about the rigidity of the rules on the determination of home States</i>	150
<i>Potential implications for EU issuers</i>	153
<i>Potential implications for non-EU issuers</i>	155
Are Member States likely to exceed the Transparency Directive – or is de facto convergence on periodic disclosure requirements a more likely prospect?	156
Assessment – moving in the right direction?	159
D Demolishing the tower of Babel – standardising the language of financial and other information	160
Financial information in prospectuses and periodic disclosures – treatment of foreign issuers	160
The language(s) of non-financial information	164
<i>Translations of prospectus summaries</i>	166
E Adapting the prospectus and periodic disclosure regime for specialist debt securities markets	167
Exemptions from the requirement to produce a prospectus	169

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-84722-3 - Building an EU Securities Market

Eilis Ferran

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

	CONTENTS	xi
	Modified disclosure requirements for prospectuses relating to wholesale securities that are to be admitted to trading on regulated markets	170
	Periodic disclosure requirements and specialist securities	173
	But a different threshold for a special regime on the allocation of supervisory responsibilities	173
F	Tailoring prospectus and periodic disclosure requirements to cater for retail investors	175
G	The impact of the new regime for prospectuses and periodic disclosures on smaller/younger issuers and on second-tier market infrastructure providers	179
	SMEs and start-ups as a deserving case for a lighter touch – only limited success for this argument in the Prospectus Directive	180
	But more success in the Transparency Directive	182
	Implications for second-tier stock markets	185
H	Ensuring candid and careful compliance with prospectus and periodic disclosure requirements	188
I	Dissemination of information	193
J	The Market Abuse Directive and issuer disclosure	197
K	Concluding remarks – moving closer to a genuine single securities market?	200
	The new rules should facilitate exempt offers of unlisted securities to the wholesale markets	200
	Cross-border offers/multiple listings making use of the passport are likely to remain rare	201
	The new regime does not seek to address the home State bias in primary equity listings and may have only limited impact on home bias in investment portfolios	202
	The interests of non-EU issuers have received insufficient attention and this could have adverse long-term consequences	205
	Non-ISD/ISD2 regulated markets and alternative trade execution mechanisms could benefit at the expense of ISD/ISD2 regulated markets	206

6 Institutional supervision of issuer disclosure within the EU	208
A Scope of chapter	208
B Issuer disclosure obligations outside core securities law	212
Financial disclosures	212
<i>No post-Enron reassessment of EU policy choices on the content of financial disclosure obligations</i>	215
Corporate governance disclosures	218
C Supervision of issuer disclosure	219
D US regulatory requirements as a catalyst for institutional remodelling in Member States: recent experience on auditor oversight	228
E Does lack of uniformity in EU-wide supervision of securities market activity matter?	233
7 Regulatory competencies: the end of exchange-based regulation and supervision of issuers in the EU?	236
A Scope of chapter	236
B Exchanges as regulators of issuers and the impact of demutualisation: issues and responses	239
Demutualisation: changes to organisational structures as a response to growing competitive pressures	239
Exchanges as regulators and supervisors of issuers: possible functions, and how the assessment of their role is affected by demutualisation	243
Post-demutualisation cutting of the regulatory and supervisory pies: different approaches around the world	247
C Competitive exchanges and EU market integration	254
Existing diversity in the regulatory and supervisory roles played by exchanges in Member States	254
Exchange-based regulation and supervision as a mechanism for promoting EU market integration	255
Counter considerations	257
A way forward	259
<i>Bibliography</i>	267
<i>Index</i>	293