

Cambridge University Press

0521843383 - The Ascetic Self: Subjectivity, Memory and Tradition

Gavin Flood

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

## THE ASCETIC SELF

This book is about the ascetic self in the scriptural religions of Christianity, Buddhism and Hinduism. The author claims that asceticism can be understood as the internalisation of tradition, the shaping of the narrative of a life in accordance with the narrative of tradition that might be seen as the performance of the memory of tradition. Such a performance contains an ambiguity or distance between the general intention to eradicate the will, or in some sense to erase the self, and the affirmation of will in ascetic performance such as weakening the body through fasting. Asceticism must therefore be seen in the context of ritual. The book also offers a new paradigm for comparative religion more generally, one that avoids the inadequate choices of examining religions through overarching categories on the one hand and the abandoning of any comparative endeavour that focuses purely on area-specific study on the other.

GAVIN FLOOD is Professor of Religion at the University of Stirling, and the author of *An Introduction to Hinduism* (Cambridge University Press, 1996).

Cambridge University Press

0521843383 - The Ascetic Self: Subjectivity, Memory and Tradition

Gavin Flood

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

# THE ASCETIC SELF

*Subjectivity, Memory and Tradition*

GAVIN FLOOD



**CAMBRIDGE**  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press  
 0521843383 - The Ascetic Self: Subjectivity, Memory and Tradition  
 Gavin Flood  
 Frontmatter  
[More information](#)

PUBLISHED BY THE PRESS SYNDICATE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE  
 The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge, United Kingdom

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS  
 The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge, CB2 2RU, UK  
 40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011-4211, USA  
 477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia  
 Ruiz de Alarcón 13, 28014 Madrid, Spain  
 Dock House, The Waterfront, Cape Town 8001, South Africa

<http://www.cambridge.org>

© Gavin Flood 2004

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception  
 and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements,  
 no reproduction of any part may take place without  
 the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2004

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

*Typeface* Adobe Garamond 11/12.5 pt.    *System* L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X 2<sub>ε</sub> [T<sub>B</sub>]

*A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library*

*Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data*  
 Flood, Gavin D., 1954–

The ascetic self: subjectivity, memory, and tradition / Gavin Flood.  
 p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references (p. ) and index.

ISBN 0 521 84338 3 – ISBN 0 521 60401 X (pb.)

1. Asceticism – Comparative studies.    2. Asceticism – Psychology.    I. Title.

BL625.F57    2004

204'.47 – dc22    2004045732

ISBN 0 521 84338 3 hardback

ISBN 0 521 60401 X paperback

Cambridge University Press  
0521843383 - The Ascetic Self: Subjectivity, Memory and Tradition  
Gavin Flood  
Frontmatter  
[More information](#)

---

*For Luke*

Die Welt ist tief,  
Und tiefer als der Tag gedacht.

*Nietzsche Also Sprach Zarathustra III, 'Das andere  
Tanzlied', 3.*

Cambridge University Press

0521843383 - The Ascetic Self: Subjectivity, Memory and Tradition

Gavin Flood

Frontmatter

[More information](#)*Contents*

<i>Preface</i>	<i>page</i> ix
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xiii
1 Setting the parameters	I
PART I THE ASCETIC SELF IN TEXT AND HISTORY	
2 The asceticism of work: Simone Weil	37
3 The asceticism of action: the <i>Bhagavad-gītā</i> and <i>Yoga-sūtras</i>	64
4 The asceticism of action: tantra	95
5 The asceticism of the middle way	119
6 The asceticism of the desert	144
7 The asceticism of love and wisdom	175
PART II THEORISING THE ASCETIC SELF	
8 The ritual formation of the ascetic self	211
9 The ascetic self and modernity	235
<i>Bibliography</i>	258
<i>Index</i>	276

Cambridge University Press

0521843383 - The Ascetic Self: Subjectivity, Memory and Tradition

Gavin Flood

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

## *Preface*

The question of the ascetic self that this book seeks to address arose from a range of questions about the nature of self and experience, about what is shared and not shared between cultures, and about the nature and possibility of comparative religion in a postmodern world. The renunciation and transformation of desire seem so fundamental to traditions founded on texts (oral and written) that I began to think about the links between text and renunciation, time and renunciation, and the ideals that make men and women live a life of austerity. It seems to me that central to this religious austerity is a textual tradition that claims that through what we might call a reversal of our orientation towards desire and the senses, a higher good and greater happiness can be achieved. Such claims would seem to be instantiated in the histories of the scriptural traditions and so go against the grain of contemporary, Western sensibilities as to be worth exploring. While asceticism has been a central theme of scholarship – one thinks especially of the sociology of Max Weber and his students – rather than simply develop the ramifications of this work, I wanted to explore the subjectivity of the ascetic self and examine subjective meaning rather than objective system.

But the subjective meaning of asceticism can be understood and located within traditions only in so far as the ascetic self is constructed in accordance with their goals and practices. Claiming that constraints within scriptural traditions form the ascetic self is not simply to give an account of a cultural construction but is also to give an account of the discovery or opening out of an interior world. My general claim is that asceticism can be understood as the internalisation of tradition, the shaping of the narrative of a life in accordance with the narrative of tradition that might be seen as the performance of the memory of tradition. Such a performance contains an ambiguity or distance between the general intention to eradicate the will or in some sense to erase the self, and expression, the affirmation of will in ascetic performance such as weakening the body through fasting. I think

Cambridge University Press

0521843383 - The Ascetic Self: Subjectivity, Memory and Tradition

Gavin Flood

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

x

*Preface*

that this general structure holds true in the three scriptural traditions I examine of Christianity, Hinduism and Buddhism.

There has been quite a degree of interest in the nature of asceticism in recent years, and this book is intended as a contribution to that literature. Of particular importance has been Geoffrey Harpham's *The Ascetic Imperative* and, in a very different way, the edited volume *Asceticism* by Vincent L. Wimbush and Richard Valantasis that has made important inroads into the subject. Valantasis in particular has developed a theory of the social function of asceticism and the place of power in ascetic formation. Many other scholars from a variety of perspectives, especially sociological ones, have added to this growing body of work; the names of Ilana Silbur on sociological comparison and Ariel Glucklich on a physiologically grounded comparison immediately come to mind; in Christianity the work of Peter Brown, Caroline Bynum, Elizabeth Clark, Susan Harvey, Amy Hollywood, Lutz Kaelber, Leif Vaage and many others; in Hinduism Walter Kaelber and Patrick Olivelle; and in Buddhism Steve Collins, Michael Carrithers, Richard Gombrich and Johannes Bronkhorst.

Many, if not all, of these share an assumption that the comparative endeavour is worth-while. I share that assumption and this book is an exercise in comparative religion, expressing a belief that comparisons are not odious but necessary for human understanding. It also expresses a belief that goes against the grain of some contemporary thinking, that there are common features of human being that cut across historical and cultural divides – an insight expressed in earlier centuries as a belief in a common human nature. Perhaps we can encapsulate the issue in the following question. When a teenage boy in the West enjoys Burton Watson's English translation of the poetry of Han Shan, is the Chinese T'ang poet speaking to him or does he simply see his own reflection? I would guess both. When speaking about the self, we are speaking about something highly amorphous (even though selves are always embodied) and while there are semantic equivalents in most, if not all, languages, it is clearly not the case that the identical meaning is conveyed. Cultural histories of the self form complex trajectories, but that Han Shan *can* speak to the teenage boy – even though filtered through translation and recontextualisation – implies that selves can speak, be heard and be received across cultures and histories.

A number of preliminary questions will arise in the reader's mind not only concerning the viability of such a project, but also about the reasons for undertaking it and the author's own situation with regard to it, the place from where I speak. One way of putting the question might be of

Cambridge University Press

0521843383 - The Ascetic Self: Subjectivity, Memory and Tradition

Gavin Flood

Frontmatter

[More information](#)*Preface*

xi

which community of readers am I, the writer, an index? Because of the particularity of my own narrative, I would place myself at the confluence of a number of intellectual traditions, especially the phenomenological and hermeneutic. While I approach the 'phenomenology of religion' with monitory caution as well as with explicit critique, it does lay claim to be a tradition of thought with its 'canon' of texts that includes the names Van der Leeuw, Wach, Eliade and Smart. We could argue about the boundaries of this 'canon' and which texts could be included or excluded, but the point is that religious studies can claim to be a discipline partly because of the historical density of this literature that claims to be distinct from Christian theology. But although this is the school in which I was formed, I do not inherit it uncritically, and the present text can be seen as an implicit corrective reading to much of the work that has 'compared religions', often in an egregious way.

This corrective reading locates me secondly within a hermeneutic tradition that is open to postcritical developments within the academy. The book might therefore be open to the criticism that it is implicitly theological in taking seriously the writings of ascetics and about asceticism, and in taking seriously the claim about what the ascetic self hopes to become. In the sense that I do intend to take very seriously ascetic claims about the nature of the self and world, then the book is certainly implicitly theological, although it is not theology because it does not stand directly within a theological tradition of discourse. I do not explore the *theological* implications of asceticism, although this is a very rich theme, especially in relation to contemporary concerns about 'the gift'. Could asceticism be understood not only as voluntary discipline but as acceptance of suffering seen as divine gift? (Simone Weil's thought is very close to this.) But I do take seriously ascetic wisdom, and the dialogical interaction of the texts and traditions in the following pages can generate the energy necessary for the further exploration of the truth claims of ascetic traditions.

That religious studies should not go beyond description to explore the conditions for the production of certain kinds of cultural knowledge or even to explore, as David Ford has argued, the truth claims of traditions, is to place an arbitrary boundary around the discipline, although it is not the intention of the book to offer any adjudication on matters of ultimate truth. Religious truth, in the view of this writer, must be understood in tradition-specific ways (although this is not to deny the idea of common constraint), and there is no place outside of tradition from which to judge the broader architectonics of ascetic truth claims.



The book will be in two sections, ‘The Ascetic Self in Text and History’ and ‘Theorising the Ascetic Self’. It will comprise nine chapters. The first chapter sets the parameters and discusses in more detail the issues raised here. Chapter 2 launches into the account of the ascetic self with a modern example in Simone Weil. From her we look back to examples from the history of South Asia in Chapters 3, 4 and 5. Again looking back from Weil, we next focus on asceticism in Orthodox Christianity and move on to Western Christianity to complete the section. Following the discussion of particular traditions and examples in the first part of the book, ‘Theorising the Ascetic Self’ comprises Chapters 8 and 9, which locate the ascetic self in ritual and in modernity respectively.

The bibliography comprises abbreviations and references found in the notes. Sanskrit source material is listed in the bibliography. References to ancient and medieval theologians are to the PG and PL and I cite full bibliographical information about translations in the bibliography.

*Christmas, 2003*

LITTLE THETFORD

Cambridge University Press

0521843383 - The Ascetic Self: Subjectivity, Memory and Tradition

Gavin Flood

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

## *Acknowledgements*

First and foremost I should like to thank my colleague and friend Professor Oliver Davies with whom I discussed the book from its early foundations to its final expression. He has encouraged me at times when I doubted the task, guided my reading in Christianity and made many valuable suggestions concerning the text itself. Without our conversations the book would undoubtedly have been so much the poorer. Another source of inspiration has been Professor Peter Ochs of the University of Virginia whom I should like to thank for so carefully going through the manuscript and suggesting ways I could improve it and seek for greater clarity. His semiotic approach to the study of religion and the development of scriptural reasoning (largely down to his efforts) has been a significant influence. Dr Eugene Rogers, also at the University of Virginia, very helpfully suggested bibliographical items and discussed with me some of the issues in the study of Christian asceticism. Dr Emmanouela Grypeou's comments on the text were most helpful, as were discussions with Professor Robert Wicken of UVA. The book has greatly benefited from conversations with Professor John Bowker and Dr Margaret Bowker. I have always come away from their house and great hospitality brimming with ideas and with a deep sense of the seriousness (and, one might add, humour) that the inquiry into religion demands. Our honorary professor, Professor Richard Roberts, and my other colleagues at Stirling University Alison Jasper, Jeremy Carrette and Tim Fitzgerald made useful comments and provided a collegial atmosphere in which to work, graciously putting up with my inadvertent neglect of administrative duties. I should also like to thank Rev. Dr John Jillions for his inspiration and for the way he brings together the life of tradition, thinking and contemporary living. Kevin Taylor at Cambridge University Press encouraged the project all the way (particularly on long runs from Cambridge to Ely) and Kate Brett took up the project in its latter stages. I should also like to thank the anonymous Cambridge University Press readers for their extremely useful reports.