Name Index

Albert, D., 121
Aldrich, V., 216
Alston, W., 43
Anaxagoras, 99, 101
Anscombe, G. E. M., 15, 83
Anselm of Canterbury, 21
Anttila, R., 287
Apel, K.-O., xiv, 61n3, 64n4, 65, 66, 66n5, 69n7, 196n16, 214
Aquinas, Thomas of, 93, 105
Aristotle,2, 5, 22, 32, 93, 98, 100, 104, 105, 106, 124, 136, 149, 164, 167n8, 201, 204, 321, 326, 335
Armstrong, D., 291
Arnold, M., 307
Augustine, 21, 23, 34, 161
Austin, J. L., 214n2, 242, 260
Ayala, F., 141
Ayer, A. J., 325n3, 331

Bacon, F., 318, 329
Baker, V., xivn3
Beckner, S., 140
Bense, M., 237n2
Bergman, M., xv, 187
Berkeley, G., 197, 306
Bernard, C., 106n15
Bernstein, R., 51, 312, 314, 320
Bohr, N., 337n11
Boler, J., 79n10, 139n
Boltzmann, L., 121
Boorse, C., 142
Bosanquet, B., 323
Boyd, R., 197
Bradley, F. H., 323
Braithwaite, R. B., 140, 141n14, 299
Brandon, R., xii, 142, 143, 299
Brentano, F., 6, 11
Brock, J., xv, 243, 245n6
Bunge, M., 106n15
Burch, R., 74
Burger, R., 107n16
Burks, A., 115n20, 136n10, 237, 241

Cantor, G., 35n7
Carnap, R., 280
Carnot, S., 128
Cassirer, E., 262
Charlton, W., 106n14
Chisholm, R., 10, 11
Churchland, Paul, 291
Cicero, 326
Colapietro, V., 46n10, 313, 314
Comte, A., 62
Copleston, F., 105n13
Cornford, F. M., 106n14
Cratylus, 22
Cummings, R., 205n20

Darwin, C., 117
Dauben, J., 36n7, 331n7
De Morgan, A., 2
Delaney, C. F., 325n3, 337
Deledalle, G., xiv, 18
Democritus, 101
Dennett, D., xi, 125n5, 292, 295, 300, 301

Derrida, J., xvi, 45, 308
Descartes, R., 21, 33, 71, 139, 318
Dijksterhuis, E. J., 98n4
Dilthey, W., 139
Diogenes Laërtius, 23
Donellan, K., 264
Dray, W., 139
Dretske, F., xi, 297, 300
Duns Scotus, 2, 50, 78

Eco, U., xvi, 24, 45, 228
Ehrenfest, P., 121n3
Ehrenfest, T., 121n3
Eisele, C., 331n7
Emmeche, C., xvi, 177
Evans, G., 277n9

Fetzer, J., 115n20, 306
Feyerabend, P., xii, 2, 275, 284, 335
Fisch, M., xv, 28n2, 50n16, 199
Fisher, R. A., 132
Fitzgerald, J., xv
Fodor, J., xi, 280, 281, 297, 298, 300, 301
Fraassen, B. van, 342
Frede, M., 106n14
Frege, G., 48, 246, 277, 280, 281

Gadamer, H.-G., 139
Galileo Galilei, 326, 335
Gallie, W. B., 138n12
Gentry, G., 43
Ghiselin, M., 129n6
Gibbs, W., 121
Girel, M., 81n12
Gombrich, E. H., 228
Goodman, N., 197, 200, 214, 261, 307, 345
Greenlee, D., xv
Grice, H. P., 213, 305
Grünbaum, A., 104n12

Haack, S., 86n15, 199n18, 279n10, 337n12
Habermas, J., xv, 214
Hacking, I., 83n13, 284, 285
Hare, R. M., 248n9
Harré, R., 83
Hartshorne, C., 79n10, 237, 240
Hegel, G. W. F., 60, 139, 323
Hempel, C., 112, 113, 125n4
Heraclitus, 22
Herzberger, H., 74
Hilpinen, R., 223n6, 245n6, 274n5, 281n11
Hintikka, J., 223n6, 265, 266n1
Hippocrates, 21
Hobbes, T., 104n11, 140
Hoffmeyer, J., xvi, 177
Hollinger, H., 119
Hookway, C., 245n6, 246n7, 268n3, 325n3, 331
Horwich, P., 332n10
Houser, N., 50n16, 245n6
Housman, C., 313
Hull, D., 95
Hume, D., 66, 77, 83, 108, 326
Husserl, E., 2, 8, 10, 60, 70, 71

Jakobson, R., 226, 227, 287
James, W., 81n12, 83
Jeffrey, R., 113
Jeffreys, H., 112n17
Jesus of Nazareth, 20
Johansen, J. D., xv, 229

Kant, I., 2, 4, 27, 31, 60, 61, 64, 81, 107, 180, 196, 214, 292, 306, 312, 326, 346
Kent, B., 62
Kerr-Lawson, A., 74
Kierkegaard, S., 308
Kim, J., 293
Kraus, O., 11
Krausser, P., 28n2, 61n2
Kripke, S., xii, 88, 225, 264, 276, 278
Kruse, F., 90n17, 205n20, 231
Kuhn, T., xii, 2, 200n19, 321, 322, 323, 331n8, 335, 341

Lakatos, I., 336
Lambert, J. H., 60
Lane, R., 274n5
Langer, S., 262
Latour, B., 323
Leibniz, G. W., 326
Lennox, J., 103n10
Lewis, D., 88
Lieb, I. C., 237, 242
Lincoln, A., 94
Liszka, J. J., xiv, 168n9, 181n1
Locke, J., 2, 21, 66, 77

Lucretius, 140
Lycan, W., 15n4

Madden, E., 83
Malthus, T., 124
Markus, R. A., 23, 24
Maxwell, J., 118, 121, 125
Mayr, E., 141
Meinong, A., 175
Mendelssohn, F., 204
Meyers, R., 168n9
Migotti, M., 325n3, 332
Mill, J. S., 125n4
Millikan, R. G., xii, 262, 297, 300, 303, 309
Misak, C., 263, 325n3, 332n10
Mises, R. von, 112n17
Mitchell, O. H., 48
Monod, J., 141
Morris, C., xv, 19n6, 262, 306
Müller, R., 237
Murphey, M., 36n7, 48n13, 50n15, 50n16, 65, 66, 330

Nagel, E., 125
Neurath, O., 324
Newton, I., 99n5
Niiniluoto, I., 118n1
Nute, D., 115n20

Ockham, William of, 2
Oehler, K., xv
Owen, G. E. L., 106n14

Pape, H., xvi, 177
Papineau, D., 297, 299, 300, 309
Parmenides, 175
Parmentier, R., xv
Peirce, B., 1
Place, U. T., 291
Plantinga, A., 88
Plato, 21, 34, 93, 94, 99, 101, 105, 106, 175, 224, 323
Plato, J. von, 121n3
Popper, K., xii, 2, 115n20, 128, 324, 336
Potter, V., 36n7, 136n10
Prigogine, I., 134, 294
Putnam, H., xi, xii, 36n7, 118n1, 198n17, 199, 264, 278, 279, 285, 292, 294n3, 304, 306, 341

Quine, W. V. O., 13, 175, 263, 266, 293, 320, 320n2, 325n3, 328

Railton, P., 112, 114, 125
Ransdell, J., xv, 43n9, 50n15, 50n16, 168n9, 186n5
Reichenbach, H., xii, 2, 104n12
Rescher, N., 331n8, 337n12, 343n15
Reynolds, A., 118n1, 138n12
Roberts, D., 266, 266n2, 276n7
Robin, R., 345
Rorty, R., 86n15, 307
Ruse, M., 105
Russell, B., 2, 175

Salmon, W., 113
Sanders, G., 237
Santaella, L., xvi, 177
Saussure, F. de, 16, 27
Savan, D., xiv, 206, 237, 238n3, 345
Scheffler, I., 141, 141n14, 299, 323
Schelling, F. W. J. von, xii
Schröder, E., 2
Schrödinger, E., 118, 122
Schweber, S., 132n8
Searle, J., xi, 10n2, 243, 293, 294
Sebeok, T., xvi, 21, 177
Sellars, W., 292
Sextus Empiricus, 23
Shapiro, M., 287
Shields, P., 36n7
Skagestad, P., 325n3
Sklar, L., 121n3
Smyth, R., 331n9
Soames, S., xiiin2, 332n10
Sober, E., 125n5, 128, 297
Socrates, 99, 101
Spiegelberg, H., 61n2, 61n3
Stalnaker, R., 88
Stern, P., 103n9, 107n16
Stitch, S., 292
Strawson, P. F., 175
Suppes, P., 115n20

Taylor, C., 139
Taylor, R., 140
Theophrastus, 106
Toulmin, S., 2, 321
Turley, P., 139n

Vlastos, G., 103n9, 106, 106n14

Wallace, W., 106n15
Walther, E., 237n2
Weiss, P., 237, 240, 241
Whewell, W., 327
Whitehead, A. N., 2
Wicksteed, P. H., 106n14
Wiener, N., 140
Wiggins, D., 104n11, 106n14, 278
Wimsatt, W., xii, 141, 299
Winch, P., 139
Wittgenstein, L., 11, 86n14, 139, 263, 292, 308
Wolff, C., 4, 98
Woodfield, A., 104n11, 140
Woodward, J., 114
Wright, C., 333
Wright, G. von, 139
Wright, L., xii, 141, 299

Zeno of Elea, 36
Zenzen, M., 119

Subject Index

abduction, 319
abstraction, *see* hypostatic abstraction; prescinding
actuality (existence), distinguished from reality, 86–87; *see also* 2ndness
adicity, 71
antifoundationalism: 318; its sources, 318–322, 323–324; raises question of subjectivism, 323
architectonic, Peirce's: compared with Kant's, 61–62; principles of, 62; ordering of the sciences, 62–63; does not prescribe a temporal order, 63; essentially teleological, 64; inspired by problem of phenomenological description, 64
argument: Peirce's inconsistent use of the term, 248; as distinct from its expression, not a sign, 248; as expression, named 'delome', 248; *see also* rheme/dicisign/argument; seme/pheme/delome
art: judgment of vs. emotional interpretation of, 205; Goodman's comparison of to science and the comparison implicit in Peirce's semeiotic, 261; works of art are semes but may be composed of phemes, 253–254; *see also* music
artificial intelligence, Fetzer's Peircean critique of, 306
assertion: a subtype of replication of dicent symbols, 247–248; Peirce's analysis compared with Austin's, 243; contrary to Peirce's sometimes denial, is significant, 246–247; *see also* propositions; statements

categories, Peirce's system of: as formal acquire phaneroscopic meaning, 86; as phaneroscopic, 74; no proof a priori of their completeness, 74; as metaphysical (also modal or ontological), 74–75, 86–87; sometimes treated ordinally, 74n8, 238n3; *see also* 1stness; phaneroscopy; 2ndness; 3rdness
causation, final vs. efficient (mechanical): 136; attributions of either type of cause are always hypothetical and empirical, 152–153; 'ideal' causation neither one nor the other,139n; final causation is not so-called reverse causation, 104; cybernetic devices are mechanical, 140; *see also* teleology
causation, mechanical (efficient): experience of can be direct yet still fallible, 82–83; Peirce's analysis of compared with Hume's, 83; probabilistic, 115n20; idea of 'bottom-up' causation (Searle) criticized, 294–295; causal laws are always mechanistic, 108
'cause': etymology of, 106; in philosophical usage, 107; as correct translation of *aitia*, 105–106; breadth of the

'cause' (*cont.*)
conception, as objective factor responsible for an effect, 108
causes, final: as types for which selection is made, 137–138; never particular, 97; can be agentless, 102; entail value, 153–154; vs. formal causes, 102–103; in Aristotle's philosophy, 100–101; Peirce's concept of differs from Aristotle's by making chance essential, 137; *see also* purpose
chance, used here as in chaos theory, 137n11
collateral experience (collateral observation): interpretation of diverse signs of same object, requiring indices, 192–193; implicated in correction, 193–194; not restricted to individual objects, 194–195; Peirce's inconsistent use of the term, 193n11
common sense: exists not in minds but in speech, 272; imports inspecific assumptions, 275; refutable in principle but relatively immune to change, 335–336; *see also* critical common-sensism
concepts: preceded by speech, 273–274; not psychological and therefore may incorporate social and external determinants of meaning, 280–281; reference to the actual built into some, 281–283; as grasped transcend the grasping, 282–283; in Peirce's semeiotic, 282–283
conditionals, counterfactual and subjunctive: truth of, 87; Stalnaker-Lewis theory criticized, 88–89; Peirce's theory of is a phaneroscopic analysis of experience, not a logical analysis of concepts, 89; *see also* law; 3rdness
consciousness: as feeling, 311; as feelings involved in self-control, 311–312; self-control requires signs, which thus become the stuff of consciousness, 312; *see also* inwardness; mind; self
continuity: concept of, 357n7; experience of combines sensation and thought, 80–82; experience of continuity is itself continuous, 82; thought's role sometimes analytic, sometimes synthetic, 85
convergence of opinion: Peirce's concept of, 339, distinguished from Putnam's, 341; Kuhn's argument against, 341; its continuance not guaranteed, 343–344; *see also* truth
critical common-sensism, 275–276; *see also* common sense
cosmology, Peirce's, 138n12
counterfactuals, *see* conditionals

degenerate, vs. genuine, 89–90
delome, *see* seme/pheme/delome; *see also* argument
determine: as meaning to limit (objects determine signs, and signs interpretants, in this sense), 167; Peirce's struggle to determine its meaning, 165–168
dicisign, *see* rheme/dicisign/argument

economics of research, 343n15
ellipticity, 72
entia rationis: introduced by hypostatic abstraction, 267; in empirical science, 268; sometimes real, 269
'exists', often used broadly, 87; for narrow use, *see* actuality; 2ndness
explanation: fundamental division is into mechanistic and anisotropic, 116; the principles of explanation form an emergent hierarchy, 144–145; always of aspects (Hempel), 125
explanation, forms of: anisotropic, 115; mechanistic always invokes laws relating particulars to particulars, 96–97; nomological, 97; probabilistic, 115; *see also* explanation, statistical; explanation, teleological; natural selection
explanation, statistical: standard models, 112–113; Salmon's models, 113–114; Railton's model, 114–115; mechanistic and anisotropic forms of distinguished, 115; anisotropic statistical explanation in statistical mechanics contrasted to teleological explanation, 123–124; *see also* statistical mechanics
explanation, teleological: explanation by final causes, a form of anisotropic statistical explanation, 138; Wright's analysis, 142–143; Brandon's analysis,

143–144; *see also* causation, final vs. efficient; cause, final; teleology
'external', Peirce's early uses of, 38n8

facts, concept of presupposes acquaintance with assertion, 247
fictions, not unactualized possibilities, 269–270
final cause, *see* cause, final
'finious', designates irreversibility less accurately than does 'anisotropic', 117–118
1stness: as monadicity and as quality of feeling, 75–76; of complexes, 76; 1sts are possibilities, 76, not reducible to their occurrences, 76, yet fully determinate, 78–79
foundationalism: 318; weak foundationalism attributed to Peirce wrongly, 337–338
foundherentism (Haack), 337n12
freedom: Enlightenment ideal of as autonomy, adopted by Peirce, 346; depends on possibility of objective inquiry, 347; requires faith, 347; always imperfect, 347
functionalism, *see* mind, contemporary theories of
fuzziness, *see* vagueness

generality: Peirce's use of 'general', xviii; positive (3rdness) vs. negative (1stness), 79; positive generality is the indeterminate, the continuous, and entails law, 79; in individual existence, 80
genuine vs. degenerate, 89–90
ground of significance (prior relation of a sign to its object): 162; distinguished from significance, 53; requires reality of potentiality, 53, and final causation, 54; fallibility of, 160–161

haecceity, 50, 77–78; *see also* 2ndness
hypoicons: a subset of iconic sinsigns, 216; images, diagrams, metaphors, 218
hyposemes (or subindices): indexical legisigns, 220; includes pronouns, 223, and proper names, 224–225
hypostatic abstraction: a tool of thought, 265; in second-order logic, 265–266; distinguished from prescisive abstraction, 266–267; in mathematics and empirical science contrasted, 267; does not always introduce *entia rationis*, 267–268; in empirical science, a limiting case of abduction, 268; varieties of, 269
hypostatic abstractions, scholastic (SHAs): 269; introduce actualities or fictions, never unactualized possibilities, 270; the warp of thought, 270; sometimes abstracted from other SHAs, 271; normally unformulated, 271–272; use of in intellectual history, 272; found in common sense, 272, abstracted from verbal practices, 273; inspecific, 274; designate rigidly, 279

icon/index/symbol, division based on grounds of significance, 214; *see also* icon; index; symbol
icon: sign that signifies by its own qualities, 215; pure cannot be likenesses, 215–216; impure are likenesses, samples, examples, 218; pure (e.g., music), a limiting case of sign, 205; icons as embodied 1sts vs. icons as the 1stnesses of 2nds and 3rds, 217–218; each 2nd or 3rd is many icons, 217; iconic legisigns (diagrams), 223; iconic qualisigns, 217; iconicity defended from Goodman's arguments, 215n3; some complex cases in the arts, 216–217
idealism, *see* realism
incommensurability: problem of, 322–323; its assumption of 'basic' theories undercut by recognition of SHAs, 333–334
index: introduction of, 47–49; a component of knowledge, 49; discovery of led to phaneroscopy and the category of 2ndness, 49–50; inadequate concept of in the 'New List', 48n13; not necessarily compulsive, but always in existential relation to another existent, 219; pure and impure, 219–220; role of in a symbol's growth in meaning, 286
indexicals, 222–223
individuals: Peirce's early theory of as general, 38–40; the 'absolute' individual is not general but also not

individuals (*cont.*)
real, 38–39; early theory criticized, 39; Peirce's later theory of, in which individuals are reconceived in terms of haecceity, 50–51, and as law-governed continua of 2nds, 87; includes genotypes (Ghiselin), 129n6
inspecificity: a variety of vagueness distinct from fuzziness, 274; inspecific concepts are often indefinitely applicable without being fuzzy, consistent with each of many mutually inconsistent theories of the same thing, and less open to doubt than more specific concepts of the same thing, 274–275
intentionality: 6–7; intentional inexistence, 7; Brentano's two theses, that intentionality is the mark of the mental, 7–8, and that the mental is inexplicable, 9–11; nonpsychical phenomena that seem to possess intentionality, 9–11; formal mode criteria of, 11–15, 16, 174; Chisholm's project analyzed, 12–13; Quine on intentional idioms, 13; intentional verbs, 14–15; formal mode criteria applied dialectically, 14; not dependent on thought, 175; explained by purposeful action, 175–177; Putnam's argument against evolutionary explanations of intentionality not germane to Peirce's view, 309–310
interpretant: distinguished from interpretation, 18; equivalence of distinct interpretants relative to interest, 18n5; Peirce's introduction of the term, 29–30; extended to include actions and feelings, 52; infinite progression of interpretants eliminated, 56; may be mistaken in either of two ways, 159–160; may be of any category except the impossible, 163–164; always has a purpose, which is the interpreter's, 171–172
interpretants, divisions of: reasons for, 178–179; two trichotomies of are frequently presented in the same passages as distinct, 180–181; modal argument for conflating the two trichotomies rebutted, 179
interpretants, emotional/energetic/logical
emotional: 204–206; idea of teleological and realistic, 205; thus, emotions are cognitive as such, and not as judgments, 206
energetic: 201–204; idea of teleological and realistic, 203–204
logical, 57
ultimate: a subdivision of logical, 57; as changes in habit, 58–59, 173; necessity for, 172–174; distinct from final interpretant in definition even when same in fact, 178–179; *see also* pragmatism
interpretants, immediate/dynamic/final
immediate: 54–56, 187–188; changes in conception of, 181–182; determined by grounds of significance, 189; distinguished from final by different types of mistake in interpretation, 183–184
dynamic: 183, differs from final in definition even when identical in fact, 188
final: 182–183, as that to which other signs are relevant, 190; changes in conception of, 182–183; distinguished from ultimate, 57–58; 'final' not always the best term for,190n7; may be more than one per sign (contrary to Peirce), 190, not all of which cohere,190; despite Peirce's tendency to identify with 'the final opinion', never the whole truth, 190; sometimes called 'normal', 183; relation to dynamic object varies, 202
interpretation: two senses of, 156–157; problem of arbitrariness of, 43; that problem solved, 56; as translation, error of exclusive attention to, 156; can be mistaken, 157; mistaken types distinguished, 189; entails intentional inexistence, 174; not always intellectual, 201; rules of are not interpretants, 221; variety of relations that justify, 161; relative to purpose yet can be shared by different, even antagonistic purposes, 189
interpreter, extended to include other animals as well as humans, 52–53
interprets, 'R interprets X as a sign of O' defined, 157–159

inwardness: as voluntary inhibition, observable in self-control, 314–315; *see also* consciousness; self

kinds: real vs. nominal, 87; artifactual (Wiggins), 278; natural are designated rigidly, 278, but contrary to Kripke et al., there is for each an SHA true of exactly it, 279

law: can be apprehended only in a symbol, 85; not reducible to regularity, 87; *see also* conditionals; continuity; reality; 3rdness
legisign: a law established to signify (Peirce's usual and our stipulated use; cf. legisign(G)), 210; defined by formation rules subordinately to rules of interpretation, 212–213; excludes causal laws, 211; and Augustine's *signa data*, 26, 211–212; many not conventional, 211; not all are symbols, 222–223; significance of consists in their existing for the purpose of signifying, 210; *see also* qualisign/sinsign/legisign; replicas
legisign(G): any law that is a sign, 210; term introduced to resolve ambiguity of Peirce's broader and narrower use of 'legisign', 210
lekton, Stoics' concept of, 23

'matter', changes in meaning of, 95–96
meaning: meanings of, 162, 263; in semeiotic, the meaning of a sign is its immediate interpretant, 263; contrary to many, the translation theory is not a theory of meaning, 44; Grice's division of meanings restated in Peirce's terms, 213–214; grows with use, as a function of knowledge, 264; 'the' meaning of a term – a dubious concept, 283; Putnam's argument that 'meanings are not in the head' examined, 279; *see also* pragmatism; pragmatic maxim
mechanicalism, 98; *see also* physicalism
mechanics: changes in conception of, 95; science of, 95–96
mechanistic: 96; excludes the teleological 95, 97
mind, contemporary theories of: as 'inner representation' – a lingering Cartesian error Peirce long ago exposed, 301–302; neural-identity (Place, Armstrong, et al.), 291–292; eliminative materialism (Churchland et al.), 292; Dennett's theory, 292–293; Kim on supervenience, 293; Searle's theory, 293; functionalism (Putnam, Fodor, et al.), 292, its computer analogy, 292, and its problem with content, 295–297; teleological functionalism (Papineau, Millikan, et al.), 297–298, attempts to square it with mechanicalism, 299–300, requires Peirce's concept of final causation,301; Fodor's critique of teleological functionalism criticized, 298–299, as it succeeds only against timid versions,300; Dennett's response to Fodor's critique fails, 300–301
mind, Peirce on: his broad usage of 'mind' and 'mental', 290; writings on distinguished from implications of his semeiotic, 290–291; his 1892–3 theory of, 291n1; mind as observable, 295; mind as semeiosis of a higher order, 302; 'inner representations' required only when not acting is an option, 303; *see also* consciousness; intentionality; inwardness; thought; self
modality, *see* categories; conditionals
morality: application of semeiotic to moral discourse runs counter to the subjectivism of modern thought, 206; extension of analysis of commands to analysis of moral duty, 204; moral realism a corollary of treating moral feelings as emotional interpretants, 205–206, 214; 'discourse ethics' (Habermas), 255n15; *see also* value
music: as iconic, 204; as feeling contemplated, 204; dynamic and final emotional interpretants of, 204–205; emotional interpretant of identical to the feeling embodied in the sound, 204; its immediate and dynamic objects are identical, 205; logical interpretants of are deficient and inessential but useful, 204; reality of what it represents, 205; *see also* art

natural kinds, *see* kinds
natural selection: Peirce on central idea of, 128; 'selection of' and 'selection for' (Sober), 130; anisotropic, not mechanistic, 130–132; fundamental theorem of (Fisher), 132; tautology in, 124; consists of mechanical events, 132; no mechanism of, 132–133; improbability of types selected-for, 133–134; without purpose or direction, 145; nor do species or their members have a purpose, 145–146; controversy over reveals misunderstanding of dynamic nature of science, 327n4
naturalized epistemology (Quine): scants normative questions, 320–321; anticipated by Peirce (without scanting normative questions), 320n2
nonbeing: problem of the nonexistent object in Peirce's early theory, 42, 46; how to speak of what is not, 175–176
nonequilibrium thermodynamics (Prigogine), 134–135

object, breadth of the conception, 162–163
objectivity: the word's change in meaning and its new application to inquiry, 324; defined, 324–325; evolution of methods and aim poses problem for the defense of, 344; *see also* theory evaluation; value, objectivity of
objects, division of: immediate vs. dynamic, 191; immediate corresponds to immediate interpretant, dynamic to final interpretant, 191; distinguished in terms of process of interpretation, 191–192; commands have both objects, 201–203
objects, dynamic: explains difference between success and failure of interpretants, 191; Pierce conceived of as the object of the final opinion (qua complete knowledge), 195; contrary to Peirce, defined as that which can be signified by diverse signs and which explains differences between final and immediate interpretants, 195–196; no sign lacks one, 196; cannot be misrepresented by pure icons and pure indices but cannot be represented by them completely, 196; doctrine of embodies Peirce's realism, 199
objects, immediate: the Stoics' *lekton*, 179–180, 191; the immediate object *is* the dynamic object *as* represented, 196; need not obtain, 159; specified but not perfectly specific, 159
observation, generality of, 124–125; *see also* perceptual judgments
observation, justification of: agreement of observations is a logical relation among judgments, 68n6; distinction between justifying observations and justifying relying on observations, 338; convergence of opinion certifies reliability of observation, 339; observations are relied on without justification, 339, and that reliance is then justified by theories that explain observations and their agreement, 339; sources of erroneous view that there are warrants for individual observations, 338n13

percept and percipuum, 319n1
perceptual judgments: as 'first judgments' of what is before one's senses, 51; fallible, 51–52; contains general ideas and introduces assumptions, 318–319; an extreme case of abduction, 319–320; occurs uncontrollably but can be corrected later, 320; occurs without warrant, 337–338; semeiotic analysis of, 339–340
phaneron, the: vs. Lockean ideas, 66–68; does not exclude thinking, 81; its observation, 68, and description, 70
phaneroscopy: and phenomenology, 60–61; depends on algebra of relations, 64–66; presuppositions of, 70; not a form of intuitive knowledge, 61n3; to understand, reader must repeat observations himself, 70–71, 76; *see also* phaneron; phenomenology
pheme, *see* seme/pheme/delome
phenomenology: Continental, 8–9; problem of phenomenological description in Husserl and Peirce, 61; *see also* phaneron; phaneroscopy

physicalism: ambiguity of, 294; the physical not necessarily mechanical, 294
pragmatic maxim: 263; correctly entails inexhaustiblility of meaning, 58, that the list of verification conditions continues to grow, 288
pragmaticism, *see* pragmatism
pragmatism (pragmaticism): not a general theory of meaning, 57; contrasted to logical positivism, 84; not a theory of how reference is fixed, nor a verifiability theory of meaning, 287–288; makes practice to be for the sake of theory, 173; reformulated in 1907 as the doctrine of ultimate interpretants, 56; *see also* pragmatic maxim
prescinding, 71–72
prior relation of sign to its object, *see* ground of significance
probabilistic causation, *see* causation
propositions: Peirce and Austin on, 243–244; Peirce's inconsistent use of the term, 244–245; as distinct from its expression, not a sign, 245–246; reality of questioned, 246n8; *see also* assertion; statements
'purpose', ordinary usage of: 108–110; survives Darwin's theory, 109; 'having a purpose', 110; 'acting purposefully'/'acting for a purpose'/'purposeful action', 111; 'used for a purpose', 112; 'serving a purpose', 111, 'existing for a purpose', 111
purpose: as type, 92–93; not psychological, but objective, 93; defined, as type of outcome for which an agent acts or for which something is selected as a means, 110; not every final cause is a purpose, 135; does not have to be conscious, 110; can be irrational, 149, or absurd, 164; cannot be described without use of intentional idioms, 174–175; *see also* cause, final
purposeful actions, bases of, 155
purposefulness, evolution of: 146–150; emancipation of purpose from biology, 148–150; how some purposes come to be valued over others and endure or prevail, 148–150
qualisign/sinsign/legisign: division based on what a sign is in itself, which may be of any category, 209; qualisigns are mere possibilities, 209; sinsigns are single things or events, 209; legisigns, *see* legisign; legisign (G)

realism, and idealism: thing-in-itself vs. reality in Peirce's early, idealistic theory, 37, 38; Peirce relinquished his early form of idealism, 46–47; his subsequent use of the terms 'conditional idealism' and 'objective idealism', 47n12; idealism a root of his theory of inquiry, 324; typical arguments for subjective idealism, 196–197; Goodman's argument for semiotic idealism, 197; limitations of Putnam's defense of realism against Goodman's argument, 307n6; central argument for subjective idealism refuted, 198–199
realism, internal vs. metaphysical (Putnam): 199–200; Kuhn's 'post-DarwinianKantianism' an example of metaphysical realism, 342
realism, Peirce's: defined, 199; embraces but is distinguished from scientific realism, 199; Fisch on, 199; required both by purpose and by semeiosis, 200; neither internal nor metaphysical, 342–343; *see also* law; reality; 3rdness
realism, scientific: 197–198; argument for not internal to science, 340
realism vs. nominalism: xvii; Peirce's early discussions of, 40–42; his idiosyncratic definitions of these two doctrines, 40; his characterization of their 'theories of reality', 40–41; his failed attempts to reconcile those theories, 41–42
reality: 'real' defined, 41; as 3rdness, 86; no reality without actuality, 87; of processes and events, 87; *see also* law; 3rdness
reference: 'reference' refers to different things, 263; in semeiotic, the referent is the dynamic object, 263; fixed independently of meaning, 264, which depends on indexical signification, 266; 'traditional' theory of, 267; 'new'

reference (*cont.*)
or 'causal' theory of anticipated by Peirce, 267; causal theory clarified (reference fixed existentially, not always causally), 277–278; irreducible to psychology, 282–283
relations: analysis of, 72–73; reduction of, 73; some are irreducible (indecomposable), 73; external structure of, 73–74
replicas (instances of legisigns): can be produced only for purpose of replicating, 212; signify legisigns iconically and indexically, 212; indexical legisigns signify through their replicas, 213, yet the immediate interpretants of the two differ, 223–224; legisigns and their replicas do not entail two layers of significance, 223–224, posing a problem for Peirce's semeiotic taxonomy, 225
representamen, 55n18
reverse causation, *see* causation, final vs. efficient
rheme/dicisign/argument: a generalization of term/proposition/argument, 231–232; variant designations, 232; distinguished not by differences in compositional complexity but by mode of influence on interpreters, 233–234; *see also* argument; seme/pheme/delome
rigid designation (Kripke): 276–277; untoward consequences of its divorce from conception, 283–285

science as inquiry: developed in modern period, 326–327; in modern science, the purpose of theory is to advance inquiry, 327; Peirce defined science by its 'spirit' rather than by its method, 328; breadth of Peirce's conception, 328; scientific methods vary in objectivity, 328–329; aim of modern science remains intellectual, despite claims of many, 329; dynamic nature of modern science, 329–330; its evolution in methods and social forms, 329–330
scientism: Putnam's critique of and Peirce's avoidance of compared, 306–309
2ndness: as dyadicity and as two-sided experience of effort and resistance, 76–78; irreducibly dyadic, it is a fact of complexity, not a complex of facts, 77; contrasted to Locke's idea of solidity, 77; pervasive in experience, 77, contrary to what Hume implied, 77; additional to 1stness in the occurrence of a 1st, 78; as actuality, 78
self, the: a hypothesis introduced to explain ignorance and error, 312; self-control an observable process of which the self is not the agent but a product, 312–313; as 'teleological harmony of ideas' and semeiotic process, 313–314; as an *ens rationis* abstracted hypostatically from facts about control, on which higher grades of control depend, 314–316; an *ens rationis* consequential and therefore real 316; *see also* consciousness; inwardness; mind, Peirce on
seme/pheme/delome: 232; reason preferable to rheme/dicisign/argument, 248
semeiosis: as sign's 'action', 172; purpose a fourth element in, 158; the purpose essential to it is that of an interpreter, 171–172
semeiotic (Peirce's theory of signs): a science, 151; overextension limits its explanatory power, xvi, 177; development toward naturalism, 53; provides a naturalistic theory of mind, 290; not behavioristic, 289, nor reductive,290; does not make signs in general to have a function, 309–310
semeiotic taxonomy, principles of: 235; can only be justified a posteriori, 207–208; each sign must be of one division of each trichotomy, 232; no sign may be of more than one division of any trichotomy, 231; other forbidden combinations, 235–236; need to explain the striking pattern of adicities, 237–242; order of components in the sign relation, 238–242; relative simplicity, 239; determination, 240; the ten classes of 1903, 236–237; the ten trichotomies of 1908 criticized, 259–260

semiology (Saussure): 16; assumption that a sign is a two-part entity 16–17; assumption of arbitrariness, 17; relation of thought to language, 17–18
semiology vs. Peirce's semeiotic: danger of their conflation, xv–xvi; dyadic vs. triadic, 18; compositional vs. relational, 18–19; difference in breadth, 19–20; each aims to be a science, 20; absurd consequences of failure to see their fundamental opposition, 20–21; Eco's conflation of Saussure's semiology with Peirce's semeiotic criticized, 228–230
semiotic: non-Peircean sign theories derived from Saussure's semiology, xin1
SHA, *see* hypostatic abstraction, scholastic
sign: a technical term, 20, 151; Peirce's unchanging conception of, as one part of a triad, 30; extended to include natural effects and resemblances, 52; defined, 160; Peirce's definitions of, 164–165; as defined here agrees with Peirce's tendencies, 168; breadth of Peirce's conception, 185–186; signs need only be interpreted potentially, 161; not always produced purposefully, 186; how counted, 161, 188–189; may be of any category, 163, 209; reference to can be either opaque or transparent, 188; ambiguity of 'false sign', 160n4; false or misleading signs correspond to a type of mistaken interpretation, 189; genuine vs. degenerate, 230–231; compound, 161–162; 'sign to' a deceptive locution,227; suppositions that icons and indices require a symbolic component (Eco) or that signs 'blend' (Jakobson) or that there is a 'perfect' or 'complete' sign (Peirce) refuted, 225–227
sign, other theories of: ancient Greeks, 21; Plato, 21–22; Aristotle, 22; Stoics, 23 (*see also lekton*); Epicureans, 23; Augustine, 23–26 (*see also signa data*); Locke, 2–4; Millikan, 303–306; Morris, xv, 19n6, 306; for Saussure, *see* semiology
signa data (Augustine): vs. *signa naturalia*, 24–26; term usually mistranslated, 24–25
significance: Peirce's early failure to explain, 43–44; not dependent on actual interpretation, 53; defined as grounded interpretability, 53, 162; still triadic because distinct from its ground, 214–215; a form of intentionality, 174; derivative from interpretation's purpose, 172–174; not dependent on 'inner representation', 301
space and time: perception of, 81n12; not presupposed by indices but apprehended by coordinating indices successfully, 192n9; spatio-temporal location, 97n2
statements, distinguished from sentences, 242–244; *see also* assertion
statistical inference, 103n8
statistical mechanics: reasoning in, 113, 118–123; ergodicity and ensembles, 121; quantum mechanics in, 121–122; explanation in is not by forces, and therefore not mechanistic, 122–123; introduces a new way of looking, 124; not *faute de mieux*, 125–127; Second Law, though explained statistically, is mechanistic, 127–128; *see also* explanation, statistical
statistical phenomena: reality of, 128; potency of, 133
symbols: legisigns whose objects are assigned by rules of interpretation, 220–221; signify types, 222; replicas of are not symbols, 221, yet may signify types through symbols replicated,224; their replicas, being sinsigns, may signify individuals, 222; growth of, 285–288; as purpose, 287–288; their 'essences' are SHAs, 287

teleology: etymology of, 98; Aristotle's philosophy its *locus classicus*, 98; a sophisticated doctrine, 98–100; introduced to explain the emergence of order from chaos, 99; misunderstood because of mechanist assumptions, 100; Plato's teleology, 99–100, 101–102, 103, 103n8; theistic versions, 103–104; Peirce's sheriff

teleology (*cont.*)
analogy not theistic, 139n; Aquinas' versus Aristotle's, 105; contrasted to vitalism, 104; Kant's, 107; attempted mechanistic reductions of, 104; influence of the ideal on the actual, 94; *see also* cause, final; explanaton, teleological
teleonomy (Mayr et al.), 141
theorematic vs. corollarial reasoning, 265–266
theory evaluation: growth more important than surviving tests, 336; role of research programs (Lakatos) and relevance of inspecificity to, 336–337; tests by observations presupposing SHAs, 275, 334; crucial experiments, 334–335; Kuhn's view of theory choice, 342
3rdness: triadic, as in combination, 84; continuity 'the perfection of', 84; the whole of a 3rd is irreducible to its parts, 84–85; *see also* causation, mechanical; conditionals; continuity, experience of; law; reality
thought: as internalized discourse, 4–5; the 1868–9 doctrine of thought signs, 34, and its problem accounting for individual thoughts, 36
transcendental argumentation, Peirce's rejection of, 66
truth: its definition seen as evolving, 331–332; its definition as impersonal is a cultural development, 333; defined as that toward which objective inquiry progresses, 325–326, which definition is not a theory of truth in the contemporary sense, 332, but is rather an ideal that Peirce recommended,333; does not depend on actual convergence, 326; no guarantee a priori that truth in this sense exists, 331–332; Peirce anticipated the deflationary theory, 332–333; 'truth in' a treacherous locution,88n16; *see also* convergence of opinion
type/token distinction, same as legisign/replica, 209

universals and particulars, xvi–xviii

vagueness, as fuzziness, 274; as lacking specificity, *see* inspecificity
value: some dimensions of implicit in significance, 154–156; objectivity of, 154, 344–346; *see also* art; morality; music; objectivity; teleology; theory evaluation; truth