Modernizing England's Past

What came before 'postmodernism' in historical studies? By thinking through the assumptions, methods and cast of mind of English historians writing between about 1870 and 1970, Michael Bentley reveals the intellectual world of the modernists and offers the first full analysis of English historiography in this crucial period. Modernist historiography set itself the objective of going beyond the colourful narratives of 'whigs' and 'popularizers' in order to establish history as the queen of the humanities and as a rival to the sciences as a vehicle of knowledge. Professor Bentley does not follow those who deride modernism as 'positivist' or 'empiricist', but instead shows how it set in train, at its best, brilliant new styles of investigation that transformed how historians understood the English past. He shows how these strengths were eventually outweighed by inherent confusions and misapprehensions that threatened to starve and kill the very subject that the modernists had intended to sustain.

MICHAEL BENTLEY is Professor of Modern History at the University of St Andrews, Scotland. He has edited a *Companion to Historiography* (1997) and is the author of *Modern Historiography: An Introduction* (1999).

Modernizing England's Past

English Historiography in the Age of Modernism, 1870–1970

Michael Bentley





ambridge University Press
52184178X - Modernizing England's Past: English Historiography in the Age of
lodernism, 1870-1970
lichael Bentley
rontmatter
lore information

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo

Cambridge University Press The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521602662

© Michael Bentley 2005

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2005

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

ISBN-13 978-0-521-84178-8 hardback ISBN-10 0-521-84178-X hardback ISBN-13 978-0-521-60266-2 paperback ISBN-10 0-521-60266-1 paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Contents

Acknowledgements Note on the text		<i>page</i> vi viii
Introduction		1
Prelude: after the whigs		5
Pa	rt I The whig legacy	
1	Constitution and nation	19
2	Church and state	45
3	Empire and war	70
4	Ghosts and intimations	92
Pa	rt II Modernist investments	
5	New historians, new histories	119
6	The new eighteenth century	144
7	Ideological environments	169
8	Modernist method	194
Coda: after the modernists		219
Bibliographical note Index		233 237

v

Acknowledgements

An invitation from the Wiles Trust to deliver the annual series of Wiles Lectures in Queen's University, Belfast would brighten any historian's year and my overriding indebtedness is to the Trust for that very welcome opportunity. In my own case the invitation perhaps carried an unusual responsibility. For in 2003, when the lectures were delivered, we were approaching the fiftieth anniversary of the publication of Herbert Butterfield's first Wiles Lectures as Man on his Past in 1955; and because I was known to be working on the life and work of Butterfield, it was thought appropriate that the lectures and subsequent book could mark that anniversary. This context licensed me to talk a good deal about Butterfield, but it also implied that the printed version of the lectures should appear in 2005 and I have made every effort, with the collaboration of Cambridge University Press, to make that happen, despite inevitable frustrations in limiting the scope of the volume. As well as thanking the Trust collectively, I should express particular gratitude to Professor Peter Jupp and Professor Sir Ian Kershaw for their support throughout, and to the 'home team' at Queen's for their hospitality and enthusiasm, most especially to Professor David Hayton and Professor Jonathan Gorman. One of the extra delights associated with the Wiles is the chance to invite a panel of distinguished guests to discuss the lectures. I am enormously grateful to all those who came and would want to mention, invidiously, Professor Reba Soffer who made the trip from California and Professor John Burrow who was and continues to be a fount of encouragement. The comments, criticisms and affirmations of all these people have greatly strengthened the book, which none of them has seen and for which none is responsible.

The text rests preponderantly on archival sources and all working historians know how difficult it is to carry out scholarship of this kind when tied to professional duties in one's own institution, not to mention the writing of lectures against the clock for a fixed deadline. I was helped to navigate this problem in three ways and each has left me with an obvious debt that I wish to acknowledge. First, the Leverhulme Trust

Acknowledgements

vii

appointed me to a Research Fellowship in 2002–3 which freed me from teaching obligations. Second, the college in Cambridge with which I have a long association, Peterhouse, elected me to a Visiting Fellowship during that year. I am overwhelmingly grateful to the Master and Fellows for that delightful period of residence during which much of the writing was done. Third, my own University of St Andrews showed great generosity and flexibility in granting me leave of absence in order to prepare the lectures.

My greatest debt remains that owed to my wife, Professor Sarah Foot.

MB University of St Andrews

Note on the text

All books referred to in the footnotes were published in London unless otherwise cited. All quotations retain their original form and punctuation except where an authorial intervention has been marked by square brackets.

The following abbreviations have been used in referring to periodicals:

A	Albion
AHR	American Historical Review
BIHR	Bulletin of the Institute of Historical Research
CHJ	Cambridge Historical Journal
CR	Cambridge Review
EcHR	Economic History Review
Econ.	Economica
EHR	English Historical Review
H	History
H&T	History and Theory
HJ	Historical Journal
HR	Historical Research
HT	History Today
HZ	Historische Zeitschrift
JBS	Journal of British Studies
ĴMH	Journal of Modern History
P&P	Past and Present
PBA	Proceedings of the British Academy
RH	Revue historique
SdS	Storia della storiographia
Spec.	Speculum
TLS	Times Literary Supplement
TRHS	Transactions of the Royal Historical Society
YAJ	Yorkshire Archaeological Journal

viii