

GREEK SCULPTURE AND THE PROBLEM OF DESCRIPTION

This book explores the role of description in the interpretation of ancient Greek statuary. Although scholars have emphasized the importance of separating objective evaluation of evidence from interpretation, in practice the distinction is problematic. Even at the level of observation and vocabulary, the scholarship on Greek sculpture has been molded by concepts and convictions that impose particular interpretations on the material. This study examines the scholarship from the eighteenth century through the present on a select number of well-known Greek statues. The impact of the historical, cultural, and intellectual contexts that produced this specialized scholarship is demonstrated through considerations of issues such as ethnicity, psychology, theories about artistic form, and evolving conceptions of nude and clothed figures.

A. A. Donohue is professor of Classical and Near Eastern Archaeology at Bryn Mawr College. She is the author of *Xoana and the Origins of Greek Sculpture* and has contributed articles on the history and historiography of classical art to the *American Journal of Archaeology, Archäologischer Anzeiger*, and *Hephaistos*.



GREEK SCULPTURE AND THE PROBLEM OF DESCRIPTION

@@

A. A. DONOHUE

Bryn Mawr College





> CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo

> > Cambridge University Press 40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011-4211, USA

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521840842

© Alice A. Donohue 2005

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2005

Printed in the United Kingdom by Biddles, Ltd.

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

 $\label{eq:Donohue} Donohue, A.\ A.\ (Alice\ A.)$ Greek sculpture and the problem of description / Alice Donohue.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-521-84084-8 (hardcover)

1. Sculpture, Greek. 2. Classical philology. 3. Art criticism – Social aspects. I. Title.

NB94.D66 2005

733'.3'07 – dc22 2004021293

ISBN-13 978-0-521-84084-2 hardback ISBN-10 0-521-84084-8 hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



CONTENTS

Li	List of Illustrations	
Рı	reface and Acknowledgements	xi
Αl	bbreviations	XV
1	The Problem of Archaeological Description	1
	Description and Interpretation	1
	Archaeology, Philology, and the Contest for Intellectual Rigor	3
	Description as a Problem in Art History	14
	Conventions of Description and Their Consequences	17
2	Nikandre's Dedication and the Description of Early	
	Greek Statuary	20
	A Comparison	20
	Descriptions of Nikandre's Dedication	38
	The Category of Early Greek Statuary	56
	Material, Technique, and Form	62
	Ethnicity and Early Greek Statuary	88
	Psychology and Early Greek Statuary	101
	The Statue from Levidhi	120
	The Lady of Auxerre	131
	The Victory of Samothrace	143
3	The Analysis of the Clothed Female Form	155
	Approaches to the Clothed Female Figure	155
	The Workings of the Draped Figure	165

00 V 00



CONTENTS

	The Concept of Drapery	181
	Representational Accountability	198
4	Nikandre's Dedication and the Lady of Auxerre	202
5	Conclusion	222
Вi	ibliography	225
In	ıdex	251

oo vi oo



ILLUSTRATIONS

1.	Dedication of Nikandre, Athens, National Museum I. Photograph:	
	Alison Frantz Collection AT 365, courtesy American School of	
	Classical Studies at Athens.	page 22
2.	Victory of Samothrace, Paris, Louvre Ma 2369. Photograph:	
	Bridgeman-Giraudon/Art Resource, NY.	23
3.	Plan of archaeological remains on Delos by Abel Blouet and	
	Amable Ravoisié. Photograph: after Expédition scientifique de	
	Morée, ordonné par le gouvernement français III. Architecture,	
	Sculptures, Inscriptions et Vues du Péloponèse (Paris, 1838) pl. 1.	28
4.	Plan of excavations on Delos by Louis Homolle, 1879. Photograph:	
	after Revue archéologique 40 (August 1880) pl. XV.	29
5.	Dedication of Nikandre, Athens, National Museum 1, right side.	
	Photograph: Alison Frantz Collection AT 367, courtesy American	
	School of Classical Studies at Athens.	40
6.	Dedication of Nikandre, Athens, National Museum 1, front.	
	Photograph: Alison Frantz Collection AT 365, courtesy American	
	School of Classical Studies at Athens.	40
7.	Dedication of Nikandre, Athens, National Museum 1, left side.	
	Photograph: Alison Frantz Collection AT 366, courtesy American	
	School of Classical Studies at Athens.	40
8.	Dedication of Nikandre, Athens, National Museum 1,	
	three-quarters back. Photograph: after E. Loewy, tr. J. Fothergill,	
	The Rendering of Nature in Early Greek Art (London, 1907) fig. 22.	41
9.	Dedication of Nikandre, Athens, National Museum 1, back.	
	Photograph: after Bulletin de correspondance hellénique 74 (1950)	
	pl. XXX right, courtesy École française d'Athènes.	41

∞ vii ∞



ILLUSTRATIONS

10.	Statue found near Levidhi, Arcadia. Photograph: after D. Burr,	
	"A Primitive Statue from Arkadia," American Journal of Archaeology	
	31 (1927) 169 fig. 1.	122
11.	Statue found near Levidhi, Arcadia. Photograph: after D. Burr,	
	"A Primitive Statue from Arkadia," American Journal of Archaeology	
	31 (1927) 172 fig. 4.	123
12.	Lady of Auxerre, Paris, Louvre Ma 3098. Photograph: Alison Frantz	
	Collection EU 52, courtesy American School of Classical Studies	
	at Athens.	128
13.	Victory of Samothrace, Paris, Louvre Ma 2369. Photograph:	
	Bridgeman-Giraudon/Art Resource, NY.	129
14.	Lady of Auxerre, Paris, Louvre Ma 3098, three-quarters right.	
	Photograph: after <i>Monuments Piot</i> 20 (1913) pl. II left, courtesy	
	Académie des inscriptions et belles-lettres.	132
15.	Lady of Auxerre, Paris, Louvre Ma 3098, front. Photograph:	-
	after Monuments Piot 20 (1913) pl. I, courtesy Académie des	
	inscriptions et belles-lettres.	132
16.	Lady of Auxerre, Paris, Louvre Ma 3098, left side. Photograph:	
	after Monuments Piot 20 (1913) pl. II right, courtesy Académie des	
	inscriptions et belles-lettres.	133
17.	Lady of Auxerre, Paris, Louvre Ma 3098, three-quarters back.	
	Photograph: after Monuments Piot 20 (1913) 20 fig. 12, courtesy	
	Académie des inscriptions et belles-lettres.	133
18.	Lady of Auxerre, Paris, Louvre Ma 3098, back. Photograph: Alison	
	Frantz Collection EU 55, courtesy American School of Classical	
	Studies at Athens.	134
19.	Lady of Auxerre, Paris, Louvre Ma 3098, detail. Photograph: after A.	
	Stewart, Greek Sculpture. An Exploration (New Haven and London,	
	1990) II, fig. 28 (L. Goldscheider), courtesy Yale University Press.	139
20.	Reconstruction of costume of Lady of Auxerre by Evelyn B.	
	Harrison. Photograph: after The Journal of the Walters Art Gallery	
	36 (1977) 46 fig. 7 B, courtesy E. B. Harrison and the <i>Journal</i> .	141
21.	Victory of Samothrace, Paris, Louvre Ma 2369, front. Photograph:	
	after S. Reinach, "La Victoire de Samothrace," Gazette des	
	Beaux-Arts 33.3, vol. 5 (1891) plate between pp. 94 and 95.	144
22.	Victory of Samothrace, Paris, Louvre Ma 2369, three-quarters left.	
	Photograph: after A. Conze et al., Archaeologische Untersuchungen	
	auf Samothrake II. Neue archaeologische Untersuchungen auf	
	Samothrake (Vienna, 1880) pl. LXIV.	145
23.	Victory of Samothrace, Paris, Louvre Ma 2369, three-quarters left.	
	Photograph: after O. Rayet, Monuments de l'art antique II. Sculpture	

oo viii oo



ILLUSTRATIONS

	grecque, seconde moitié du IV ^e siècle, III ^e et II ^e siècles. Sculpture	
	romaine. Terres cuites (Paris, 1884) livraison II, pl. I.	146
24.	Victory of Samothrace, Paris, Louvre Ma 2369, and reconstructions.	
	Photograph: after J. Overbeck, Geschichte der griechischen Plastik II	
	(fourth ed.; Leipzig, 1894) fig. 210.	147
25.	Youth from Motya, front. Photograph: after Sculpture Review 49.4	
-	(Winter 2000) 25 (Giuseppe Cappellani), courtesy G. Cappellani	
	and Sculpture Review.	158
26.	Youth from Motya, back. Photograph: after <i>Sculpture Review</i> 49.4	
	(Winter 2000) 25 (Giuseppe Cappellani), courtesy G. Cappellani	
	and Sculpture Review.	159
27.	Peter Steiner, "Is It Too Revealing?" <i>The New Yorker</i> , Nov. 1, 1993,	
,	60. © The New Yorker Collection 1993 Peter Steiner from	
	cartoonbank.com. All Rights Reserved.	179
28.	Athens, Acropolis Museum 973, Victory loosening sandal, relief	,,
	from the parapet of the temple of Athena Nike. Photograph: Alison	
	Frantz Collection AT 136a, courtesy American School of Classical	
	Studies at Athens.	188
29.	Athens, Acropolis, caryatid "D" of the Erechtheum. Photograph:	
	DAI-NegNo. 1973/697 (Hellner), courtesy Deutsches	
	Archäologisches Institut.	189
30.	"Peplos Kore," Athens, Acropolis Museum 679. Photograph: after	
	H. Lechat, <i>Au musée de l'acropole d'Athènes</i> (Lyon and Paris, 1903)	
	325 fig. 31.	190
31.	Kore, Athens, Acropolis Museum 678. Photograph: after H. Lechat,	-
	Au musée de l'acropole d'Athènes (Lyon and Paris, 1903) 331 fig. 32.	191
32.	Dedication of Nikandre, Athens, National Museum 1. Photograph:	
	Alison Frantz Collection AT 365, courtesy American School of	
	Classical Studies at Athens.	204
33.	Lady of Auxerre, Paris, Louvre Ma 3098. Photograph: Alison Frantz	
	Collection EU 52, courtesy American School of Classical Studies at	
	Athens.	205
34.	Lady of Auxerre, Paris, Louvre Ma 3098, right side, detail of skirt.	
	Photograph: Alison Frantz Collection EU 53 (detail), courtesy	
	American School of Classical Studies at Athens.	211
35.	Lady of Auxerre, Paris, Louvre Ma 3098, front, detail of skirt.	
	Photograph: Alison Frantz Collection EU 52 (detail), courtesy	
	American School of Classical Studies at Athens.	211
36.	Lady of Auxerre, Paris, Louvre Ma 3098, left side, detail of skirt.	
	Photograph: Alison Frantz Collection EU 54 (detail), courtesy	
	American School of Classical Studies at Athens.	211

∞ ix ∞



ILLUSTRATIONS

37.	Lady of Auxerre, Paris, Louvre Ma 3098, back, detail of skirt.	
	Photograph: Alison Frantz Collection EU 55 (detail), courtesy	
	American School of Classical Studies at Athens.	211
38.	Ivory plaque from Sparta, Sanctuary of Artemis Orthia.	
	Photograph: after R. M. Dawkins, ed., <i>The Sanctuary of Artemis</i>	
	Orthia at Sparta (Journal of Hellenic Studies Supplementary	
	Paper 5; London, 1929) pl. XCV.	212
39.	Ivory plaque from Sparta, Sanctuary of Artemis Orthia.	
	Photograph: after R. M. Dawkins, ed., <i>The Sanctuary of Artemis</i>	
	Orthia at Sparta (Journal of Hellenic Studies Supplementary	
	Paper 5; London, 1929) pl. CII, 2.	213
40.	Ivory plaque from Sparta, Sanctuary of Artemis Orthia.	
	Photograph: after R. M. Dawkins, ed., <i>The Sanctuary of Artemis</i>	
	Orthia at Sparta (Journal of Hellenic Studies Supplementary	
	Paper 5; London, 1929) pl. CIV, 3.	214
41.	Stele from Prinias. Photograph after Monuments Piot 20 (1913) 21	
	fig. 13, courtesy Académie des inscriptions et belles-lettres.	215
42.	Women from Thessaly. Photograph: after Smithsonian Institution,	
	Greek Costumes and Embroideries from The Benaki Museum, Athens	
	(Smithsonian Institution, 1959–1960) 18, bottom.	217
43.	Dedication of Nikandre, Athens, National Museum 1, and detail of	
	inscription. Photograph: after Bulletin de correspondance hellénique	
	3 (1879) pl. I.	219



PREFACE AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Pindars Gedichte zu verstehen, kostet Kopfzerbrechen; der Parthenonfries nicht.

Ernst Robert Curtius, *Europäische Literatur und lateinisches Mittelalter* (Bern, 1948) 23

Il n'est donc jamais naïf (malgré les intimidations de la culture, et surtout de la culture spécialisée) de se demander devant une toile *ce qu'elle figure*.

Roland Barthes, "Sagesse de l'art" (1979), *L'obvie et l'obtus* (Paris, 1982) 169

This volume is concerned with the historiography of classical art. That is to say, its subject is neither the art itself nor its history, but rather how that history came to be written, why certain topics came to be formulated and developed along particular lines, and the effect that earlier scholarship continues to have on present treatments of ancient art. It is preliminary to a broader examination of the historiographic structures that have shaped the way we think about the art of ancient Greece and Rome. The aim of this work is not to offer a "history of history," but instead to consider historiographically significant issues of content and method that directly affect current practice.

The present work approaches the issue of description in the history of classical art through the specific case study of early Greek sculpture, particularly the draped female figure. The discussion focusses on the scholarly assessment of two statues of the seventh century B.C. – Nikandre's dedication from Delos and the "Dame d'Auxerre" – that were especially influential for modern studies of the style, chronology, and meaning of Greek sculpture. Although it is generally thought that these images have always been seen and interpreted as

oo xi oo



PREFACE AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

objective, empirical evidence for the early stages of Greek statuary, examination of the scholarship shows that in nearly every respect they were viewed in terms of implicit comparisons with statues like the Victory of Samothrace and judged by criteria derived from preconceived ideas about the development of form and style in classical art. It is possible to identify the origin of these criteria and to show that the interpretation of these statues was decisively affected by elements of modern social, historical, and intellectual contexts ranging from eighteenth-century arguments over the nature of classical studies to nineteenth- and twentieth-century preoccupations with ethnicity and gender. The scholarly reception of these images allows us to explore the many contexts, including both specialized scholarship and wider social concerns, in which Greek sculpture is studied.

The project grew from my attempts to understand how our view of the "origins" of Greek art, especially sculpture, came to depend on a belief in the "xoanon," the primitive wooden statue, which was in essence a historiographic mirage. This work led me to the conviction that many of the questions that concern historians of ancient art are best approached not through the prevailing model of adversarial scholarship – the championing of competing interpretations and theories in the expectation that a single, correct understanding will ultimately triumph – but instead by examining how and why those interpretations came into existence. Such an approach runs counter to many of the accepted conventions in the field, which tend to strip past scholarship of its context in order to highlight information and theories that seem most directly relevant to the subject at hand. In this way, the convention of reviewing "the state of the question" often serves to sever research on ancient art from intellectual history. Such explicitly historiographic interest as does exist in these contexts is also problematic, as too often the impulse is to reach for generalized characterizations of individual contributions and collective trends alike.

These convictions account for the appearance in the present study of extensive quotations and of illustrations from older publications. They are intended to facilitate the reader's access to scholarship that, although historiographically interesting or even crucial, has receded from scholarly awareness and to significant aspects of broad cultural and intellectual context that are often invisible within the specialized literature of classical studies, however strongly they have influenced their form and content. Original texts accompany their translations (contemporary, whenever practicable) to facilitate the assessment of critical aspects of vocabulary and expression. What becomes clear from the



PREFACE AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

study of the past scholarship in its context is a rich complexity of thought that does not survive in reductionist summaries or labels. The scholarship is surprising both in its difference from our own range of knowledge and our own practices and in the similarity of many of its questions and concerns. Current practice owes much of its form to the alien as well as to the familiar aspects of earlier scholarship, and it is only by understanding this often problematic intellectual inheritance that we can set our own directions. I hope, too, that by showing that the history of ancient art does not exist in a vacuum, this work may contribute to current attempts to articulate the place of the field within intellectual history.

It is a pleasure to acknowledge the help I have received from individuals and institutions in pursuing this work. I am grateful to the National Endowment for the Humanities for a generous fellowship in 1992-1993, to the Center for Advanced Study in the Visual Arts at the National Gallery of Art, where I was a Samuel H. Kress Senior Fellow in 1993-1994, and to Bryn Mawr College for a sabbatical semester in Fall 2000. The librarians of the National Gallery, the Center for Hellenic Studies, and Bryn Mawr College provided me with cordial assistance of the highest professional level. For many references, discussions, and criticisms I thank M.-A. Ataç, T. C. Brennan, A. B. Brownlee, D. Cast, M. D. Fullerton, L. Heer, J. Isager, K. Lapatin, S. L. James, M. M. Lee, S. Z. Levine, T. C. Loening, G. W. McDonogh, G. Merker, S. Miller-Collett, G. Ferrari Pinney, J. Reilly, A. Seyhan, the members of the Faculty Development Seminar, and especially C. C. Mattusch, B. S. Ridgway, and J. P. Small, whose comments on the manuscript improved it greatly. I thank E. Garberson, M. L. Levkoff, P. Marandel, and J. Shell for valuable discussions of issues in the art-historiographic traditions in German-speaking lands, France, and Italy and for improvements to my translations of texts. Whatever virtues this study may have are largely owed to the help of these patient friends and colleagues, all of whom have asked the fundamental question cited by Barthes and can vigorously contest Curtius's assertion that the interpretation of art is an easier task than the interpretation of texts.



ABBREVIATIONS

AA Archäologischer Anzeiger ADelt ᾿Αρχαιολογικὸν δελτίον

AJA American Journal of Archaeology AJP American Journal of Philology

ANRW H. Temporini and W. Haase, eds., Aufstieg und Niedergang der

römischen Welt (Berlin, 1972–)

AntK Antike Kunst

ArchEph 'Αρχαιολογική ἐφημερίς ArchZeit Archäologische Zeitung

AthMitt Mitteilungen des Deutschen Archäologischen Instituts, Athenische

Abteilung

BAR British Archaeological Reports

BCH Bulletin de correspondance hellénique

BEFAR Bibliothèque des Écoles françaises d'Athènes et de Rome

CRAI Académie des inscriptions et belles-lettres, Paris. Comptes rendus des

séances

GBA Gazette des Beaux-Arts IstMitt Istanbuler Mitteilungen

JdI Jahrbuch des Deutschen Archäologischen Instituts

JdI-EH JdI-Ergänzungshefte

JHS The Journal of Hellenic Studies

JÖAI Jahreshefte des Österreichischen Archäologischen Institutes

JRS The Journal of Roman Studies

JWalt The Journal of the Walters Art Gallery

LIMC Lexicon iconographicum mythologiae classicae

@@ XV @@



ABBREVIATIONS

LSJ⁹ H. G. Liddell, R. Scott, and H. S. Jones, eds., A Greek-English Lexicon

(ninth ed.; Oxford, 1940; suppl. 1968)

MonPiot Monuments et mémoires publiés par l'Académie des inscriptions et

belles-lettres (Fondation E. Piot)

PW G. Wissowa et al., eds., Paulys Real-Encyclopädie der classischen

Altertumswissenschaft (Stuttgart, 1894–)

REA Revue des études anciennes REG Revue des études grecques

RevArch Revue archéologique

ZPE Zeitschrift für Papyrologie und Epigraphik