# A SOCIAL ARCHAEOLOGY OF HOUSEHOLDS IN NEOLITHIC GREECE

The study of households and everyday life is increasingly recognised as fundamental in social archaeological analysis. This volume is the first to address the household as a process and as a conceptual and analytical means through which we can interpret social organisation from the bottom up. In detailed case studies from Neolithic Greece, Stella Souvatzi examines how the household is defined socially, culturally, and historically: household and community, variability, production and reproduction, individual and collective agency, identity, change, complexity, and integration. Her study is enriched by an in-depth discussion of the framework for the household in the social sciences and the synthesis of many anthropological, historical, and sociological examples. It reverses the view of the household as passive, ahistorical, and stable, showing it instead to be active, dynamic, and continually shifting.

Stella G. Souvatzi received her Ph.D. from Cambridge University and teaches in the School of Humanities, Greek Culture Studies, Hellenic Open University. She has conducted archaeological fieldwork on prehistoric sites in Greece and has lectured on archaeological theory and the European Neolithic in Britain and Greece. She has published widely on Greek Neolithic households, architecture, material culture, and social organisation.

# A SOCIAL Archaeology of Households in Neolithic greece

## AN ANTHROPOLOGICAL Approach

## STELLA G. SOUVATZI

Hellenic Open University



```
Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-83689-0 - A Social Archaeology of Households in Neolithic Greece: An Anthropological
Approach
Stella G. Souvatzi
Frontmatter
<u>More information</u>
```

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi

Cambridge University Press 32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521836890

© Stella G. Souvatzi 2008

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2008

Printed in Canada by Friesens

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Souvatzi, Stella G., 1966– A Social archaeology of households in Neolithic Greece : an anthropological approach / Stella G. Souvatzi. p. cm. Includes bibliographical references and index. ISBN 978-0-521-83689-0 (hardback) I. Neolithic period – Greece. 2. Social archaeology – Greece. 3. Households – Greece. 4. Greece – Antiquities. I. Title. GN776.22.G8868 2008 938 – dc22 2007027759

ISBN 978-0-521-83689-0 hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

> For my father, GEORGIOS EMM. SOUVATZIS, who made the difference

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-83689-0 - A Social Archaeology of Households in Neolithic Greece: An Anthropological
Approach
Stella G. Souvatzi
Frontmatter
<u>More information</u>

## CONTENTS

List of Figures p		page xi
List of Tables		XV
Preface		xvii
Acknowledgments		xix
	INTRODUCTION: WHY THE HOUSEHOLD?	Ι
	Aims and Structure of the Book	4
1	THE HOUSEHOLD IN THE SOCIAL SCIENCES	7
	A Brief History of 'Household' as an Analytical Concept	7
	Defining Household	9
	Household, House, and Co-Residence	II
	Household, Family, and Kinship	12
	Household and Domestic Group	14
	Household Production	14
	Household Distribution and Consumption	15
	Household Transmission	16
	Household Reproduction	17
	Conclusion: Household as Process in the Social Sciences	18
2	THE HOUSEHOLD AS PROCESS IN A SOCIAL	
	ARCHAEOLOGY	21
	Previous Approaches	22
	'Household Archaeology', 23 • Architecture and the Built	
	Environment, 25 • Household and Social Practice, 28	
	An Alternative Framework for Interpretation	31
	The Problem of Indeterminacy, 31 • The Issue of	
	Variability, 33 • Household Economics, 35 • The Individual	
	and the Collective, 38 • Social Complexities, 48 • Structure	
	and Agency, Reproduction and Change: A Historical	
	Dimension, 43	
	Conclusions	45

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-83689-0 - A Social Archaeology of Households in Neolithic Greece: An Anthropological
Approach
Stella G. Souvatzi
Frontmatter
More information

#### viii CONTENTS

3	THE NEOLITHIC OF GREECE	47
	History of Research and the Production of Archaeological	
	Knowledge	48
	Time Framework	51
	The Built Environment	53
	Material Culture	56
	Greek Neolithic Households and New Questions	61
4	THE IDEAL AND THE REAL: THE EXAMPLES OF EARLY Neolithic nea nikomedeia and middle Neolithic Sesklo	63
	Early Neolithic Nea Nikomedeia (ca. 6250–6050 вс)	64
	A Rich and Meaningful Daily Life, 64 • Patterns of Variation, 69 • Communal Social and Ritual Practices?, 70 • Continuity and Change, 74	~+
	Conclusions	76
	Middle Neolithic Sesklo (5800–5200 BC)	76
	<ul> <li>History of the Site and Interpretations, 78 • Architecture and Social Life, 81 • Architecture and Symbolic Representation, 85 • Household Practices and Activity Patterns, 91 • Household Morphology, 96 • Household Histories and Ideologies: Households as Agents of Change, 98 • A 'Dual' Household Organisation? History, Memory, and Social Reproduction, 101</li> <li>Conclusion: The Social Organisation at Sesklo</li> </ul>	105
5	COMPLEXITY IS NOT ONLY ABOUT HIERARCHY: LATE Neolithic Dimini, a detailed case study in	
	HOUSEHOLD ORGANISATION	107
	History of the Site	108
	History of Research	108
	The Nature of the Evidence: Accounting for the Data	
	Limitations	112
	Architecture: Diversity in Uniformity	114
	Material Culture: Uniformity in Diversity	118
	Pottery Production, 118 • The Spatial Distribution of Pottery, 127 • The Spatial Distribution of Small Finds and Subsistence Data, 131	
	Contextual Associations: Houses and Structures	134
	Spatial and Architectural Patterns, 140 • Subsistence and Craft Activities, 140 • The Spatial Organisation of Specialised Craft Production, 141 • Socio-Ritual Practices, 144	51

Cambridge University Press
78-0-521-83689-0 - A Social Archaeology of Households in Neolithic Greece: An Anthropological
approach
tella G. Souvatzi
Frontmatter
<u>Aore information</u>

#### CONTENTS

	Identifying Households Northeast Spatial Segment, 146 • Northwest Spatial Segment, 148 • Southwest Spatial Segment, 148 • The Remaining Parts, 149	146
	Comparing Households	150
	Connecting Households	153
	Social Differentiation or Social Cohesion?	156
6	HOMOGENEITY OR DIVERSITY? HOUSEHOLDS AS	- ( -
	VARIABLE PROCESSES	161
	Settlement Organisation	161
	Thrace and Macedonia, 162 • Thessaly and Central Greece, 169 • The Peloponnese and the Aegean Islands, 172	
	Boundaries	175
	Household Forms	178
	Household Activities and Economic Functions	179
	The Example of Pottery, 180 • The Example of Chipped Stone Tools and an Axe Workshop, 182 • The Example of Spondylus Items and Other Shell Ornaments, 184	, ,
	Burials in Everyday Contexts	186
	Household Ideals, Ideologies, and Social Reproductive	
	Strategies	193
	Domestic Rituals and Symbolism, 194 • House Replacement and Continuity, 199 • House Abandonment and Discontinuity, 201	
	Conclusions	203
7	EVOLUTION OR CONTINGENCY? HOUSEHOLDS AS	205
/	TRANSITIONAL PROCESSES	205
	The Political Economy and the Moral Economy Modes of Production, Craft Specialisation, and Economic Rationality, 207 • Social Division of Labour, 209 • Patterns of Distribution, 211 • Patterns of Storage, 214	207
	Social Integrative Mechanisms Communal Social and Ritual Structures, 216 • Shared Storage and Work Areas, 222 • The Social Values of Material Products, 224 • Architecture as Process, 227 • Kinship and	216
	Corporate Groups, 229 Households as Transitional Processes The Short Term and the Long Term, 231 • Household and Community, 233 • Autonomy and Interdependence, 235 • Social Balance and Social Transformation, 237	230

ix

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-83689-0 - A Social Archaeology of Households in Neolithic Greece: An Anthropological
Approach
Stella G. Souvatzi
Frontmatter
More information

#### X CONTENTS

Conclusions: The Diversity of Social Relations and the Complexity of Social Processes	24 I	
8 HOUSEHOLD AND BEYOND: IMPLICATIONS AND PROSPECTS FOR SOCIAL ARCHAEOLOGY	244	
	244	
APPENDIX A. DIMINI: CORRESPONDENCE OF RECORDING SYSTEMS	251	
APPENDIX B. THE MAIN DATABASE USED TO ANALYSE THE CERAMIC		
MATERIAL FROM DIMINI		
APPENDIX C. DESCRIPTION OF VESSEL TYPES, DIMINI POTTERY	255	
Notes	263	
Bibliography	267	
Index	297	

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-83689-0 - A Social Archaeology of Households in Neolithic Greece: An Anthropological
Approach
Stella G. Souvatzi
Frontmatter
More information

## LIST OF FIGURES

3.1.	Map of Neolithic sites from Greece mentioned in the text page	49
3.2.	The tell of Sesklo, aerial photograph, with closely spaced	
	rectangular buildings with stone foundations	54
3.3.	The flat site of Galene with widely spaced elliptical	
	wattle-and-daub pit buildings	55
3.4.	Miniature clay models of houses from Thessaly	57
3.5.	Typical painted pottery shapes and decoration of the Sesklo Ware	58
3.6.	Typical painted pottery shapes and decoration of the Dimini Ware	59
3.7.	Spondylus bracelets from Dimini	61
4.I.	Plan of Nea Nikomedeia showing the building phases of the	
	structural groups	65
4.2.	Structural group 4 at Nea Nikomedeia	67
4.3.	Multiple burial of children in a pit at Nea Nikomedeia	73
4.4.	Plan and general topography of Sesklo	77
4.5.	Plan of the tell of Sesklo showing the layout of buildings, squares,	
	and lanes	78
4.6.	Plan of Sesklo B showing the buildings, pebbled yards, and external	
	stone-built structures in the main excavated area	79
4.7.	View of Sesklo B	79
4.8.	Plan and reconstruction of House 11–12 at Sesklo showing the	
	changes in layout and internal organisation over the two building	
	phases	82
4.9.	Room 12 of House 11–12 at Sesklo	88
4.10.	Occupation phases of buildings A, $\Gamma$ , and Z <sub>2</sub> at Sesklo showing the	
	changes in floors and internal organisation through time	89
	Building complex 1–7 and open spaces 8–12 at Sesklo	92
4.12.	Red-on-White bowl of the Sesklo Ware with 'flame pattern',	
	groups of parallell bands, and cruciform motif at bottom	93
4.13.	Miniature clay model of house interior from Platia Magoula Zarkou	99
5.1.	The settlement of Dimini, aerial photograph	109
5.2.	The layout of Dimini as excavated and planned by Tsountas	IIO
5.3.	The large spatial segments at Dimini as excavated and distinguished	
	by Hourmouziadis	III
5.4.	The architectural units and structural features at Dimini as recorded	
	and analysed by the author	115
5.5.	Relative frequencies of characteristics of the Dimini pottery	119

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-83689-0 - A Social Archaeology of Households in Neolithic Greece: An Anthropological
Approach
Stella G. Souvatzi
Frontmatter
More information

#### xii LIST OF FIGURES

5.6.	Correlation of monochrome, painted, and incised pottery with clay	
	quality	120
5.7.	Correlation of monochrome, painted, and incised pottery with	
	vessel shapes	120
5.8.	Correlation of clay quality with vessel shapes	121
5.9.	Correlation of common vessel types with monochrome, painted,	
	and incised pottery	121
5.10.	Correlation of common vessel types with clay quality	122
5.11.	Correlation of painted pottery with decorative styles	122
5.12.	Monochrome hole-mouth jar from Dimini	123
5.13.	Monochrome neck jar from Dimini	123
5.14.	Monochrome bowl with pedestal base from Dimini	124
5.15.	Painted Dimini Bowl with dense geometrical motifs arranged in	
	alternating panels	124
5.16.	Painted deep bowl from Dimini with double handles bearing	
	painted human or animal faces	125
5.17.	Painted clay basket from Dimini	125
5.18.	Incised globular jar from Dimini with dense and highly structured	
	decoration	126
5.19.	Incised bowl from Dimini with spirals and concentric circles highly	
	visible	126
5.20.	Distribution of monochrome, painted, and incised pottery in the	
	contexts of Group A	128
5.21.	Distribution of coarse, medium, and fine clay pottery in the	
	contexts of Group A	128
5.22.	Distribution of common serving vessels in the contexts of	
	Group A	129
5.23.	Distribution of common storage and cooking vessels in the contexts	
	of Group A	129
5.24.	Distribution of monochrome, painted, and incised pottery in the	
	contexts of Group B	130
5.25.	Distribution of common serving vessels in the contexts of	
	Group B	130
5.26.	Figurines from Dimini decorated on the typical Dimini Ware	131
5.27.	Schematic representation of some variables of the distinction	
	between residential spaces and nonresidential spaces	135
5.28.	Interior of House 23 at Dimini showing the linear arrangement of	
	features on the three successive floors	141
5.29.	Black-and-White-on-Red 'spit stand' from Dimini	142
5.30.	Workshop S8 at Dimini with stone and clay pottery firing facility	
	and circular stone platform	143
5.31.	Households and work/communal spaces at Dimini as identified by	
	the author	147
6.1.	Large long house at Makri found in the habitation area around the	
	mound	162
6.2.	House at Makri with successive plastered floors visible in the	
	foreground	163

ambridge University Press	
78-0-521-83689-0 - A Social Archaeology of Households in Neolithic Greece: An Anthropologic	cal
pproach	
ella G. Souvatzi	
rontmatter	
oreinformation	

#### LIST OF FIGURES

Large long House 4 at Dikili Tash, aerial photograph, with three	
autonomous rooms packed with features and finds in analogous	
spatial associations, found under thick layer of burnt superstructure	
debris	164
Clay domed oven with adjacent platform and large double clay	
	165
crushed pots around and clay basin with internal partitions in the	
background	165
-	
	166
	167
	,
with stone foundations, and stone enclosure	170
	, 171
Double ditch and later stone enclosure at Mandra	, 176
Retaining wall at the tell of Sesklo	, 177
-	177
•	184
	185
*	2
	188
	189
	189
	190
	-
inside the ditch	191
Secondary burial of human limbs inside ditch B at Mandra,	ŕ
inside the same ditch	192
Primary burial inside ditch B at Mandra of a mature adult male in	
•	
	193
	196
	197
•	
÷	
	217
	,
	218
subterranean building at Promachonas-Topolniča	219
	autonomous rooms packed with features and finds in analogous spatial associations, found under thick layer of burnt superstructure debris Clay domed oven with adjacent platform and large double clay basin with plates on top inside House 4 at Dikili Tash Incised storage jar, four-legged clay table next to it, and clay bench inside House 4 at Dikili Tash, with a variety of complete but crushed pots around and clay basin with internal partitions in the background Elliptical semisubterranean and surface domestic structures at Promachonas-Topolniča Pit-dwelling at Stavroupolis with oven and storage area in external pits The settlement of Mandra showing pit-structures, later structures with stone foundations, and stone enclosure The settlement of Palioskala, aerial photograph Double ditch and later stone enclosure at Mandra Retaining wall at the tell of Sesklo Stone-cut ditches at Makrychori 1 Stone axe workshop at Makri Domed oven at Makrychori 1, on top of which an adult was found buried in contracted position Cremation of an adult in a pot at Stavroupolis Pit burial of an adult female at Stavroupolis Pit burial inside ditch B at Mandra of a mature adult female whose limbs were removed at a later stage and were reburied in another pit inside the ditch Secondary burial of human limbs inside ditch B at Mandra, probably belonging to the adult female interred in another pit inside the ditch Primary burial inside ditch B at Mandra of a mature adult male in flexed position, with two large limestones placed near the head and on top of the right arm and a smaller one at the feet Bucranium inside the Communal subterranean building at Promachonas-Topolniča Central building at Palioskala with interior covered with multiple layers of field stones Plan of the communal subterranean building at Promachonas-Topolniča Central building at Palioskala with interior covered mith multiple layers of field stones Plan of the communal subterranean building at Promachonas-Topolniča Central building at Palioskala with interior covered mith m

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-83689-0 - A Social Archaeology of Households in Neolithic Greece: An Anthropological
Approach
Stella G. Souvatzi
Frontmatter
More information

#### xiv LIST OF FIGURES

7.4.	Large-scale deposition of material on layer 31 of the communal	
	subterranean building at Promachonas-Topolniča	219
7.5.	Red painted fruitstands from the Promachonas-Topolniča	
	subterranean building	221
7.6.	Uncovering the storage bin complex at the central part of Makri	222
7.7.	The storage bin complex at Makri after the excavation	223
7.8.	Large bins in the centre of the floor of the storage complex at Makri	223

Cambridge University Press	
978-0-521-83689-0 - A Social Archaeology of Households in Neolithic Greece: An Anthropological	
Approach	
Stella G. Souvatzi	
Frontmatter	
<u>More information</u>	

## LIST OF TABLES

3.1.	Chronology and phases for the Greek Neolithic and the sites	
	discussed in the text	page 52
4.I.	Orientation and order? Floor types and entrance locations at Sesklo	84
4.2.	Orientation and order: Location of structural features inside the	
	buildings at Sesklo	86
4.3.	Associations of features and finds inside the buildings at Sesklo	87
5.1.	Evaluation of spatial contexts at Dimini	116
5.2.	Spatial distribution of features and finds at Dimini	133
5.3.	Associations of features and finds at Dimini	136

#### PREFACE

THIS BOOK SHOWS HOW THE SOCIAL CONTEXT OF HOUSEHOLD, WITH ITS wealth of cultural and empirical information, its rich variability, and the multitude of ways in which it interacts with the wider society, can provide a very meaningful framework from which to conduct a social archaeology. The chapters rearticulate the notion of household at and between different scales of space and time and through key issues, such as the definition of household and its relationship with community, autonomy and interdependence, diversity and homogeneity, individual and collective agency, domestic and public ritual, intrasettlement burials, architecture and symbolic representation, and production and consumption, as well as social reproduction, change, complexity, and integration, in order to capture some of the many dimensions of household and to show how many theoretical issues and areas of common interest intersect.

In recent years, the archaeological literature has been undergoing a change and has been obliged to reconsider its traditional epistemological focus on large scales of space and time, towards an inclusion of smaller scales. There are now a growing number of works on individuals, houses, households, communities, and other social categories and the conduct of everyday life. However, this has not resulted in the emergence of a truly alternative and coherent approach to households as dynamic social entities, which have instead continued largely to be viewed as passive responses to wider and longer-term changes and through old, top-down perspectives and traditional assumptions. In addition, the boundaries between theoretical traditions and research agendas (and sometimes between Anglo-American and 'other' archaeological-sociological perspectives) result in a compartmentalisation in these studies. At the same time, the interaction between anthropology, history, and sociology has led to a clearer conceptual and analytical framework for household in a variety of past and present social contexts.

This book has grown out of (a) the desire to provide an integrative theoretical and methodological approach to household as a social process and (b) a concern with how archaeology, rather than merely borrowing theories, models, and concepts from other disciplines, can evaluate them against its own concerns, data, and experience and make genuine and influential contributions to wider social research. The origins of the book lie in 1993, when

xvii

#### xviii PREFACE

as a doctoral researcher at the Cambridge Department of Archaeology I first encountered, and decided to face up to, the challenge of the household. It is based on continued research carried out since and presents significant analysis of primary unpublished data and of much new material that has emerged in the last few years, as well as reinterpretations of older material. Although the case studies are from Greece, I have tried to make clear the implications for archaeologists and anthropologists in other areas and periods. Likewise, I have synthesised a rich wealth of often little-known discussion and examples of the idea of household in anthropology and the social sciences.

The present volume is offered as one step in the path towards a more interpretative understanding of household, and, from that, of social organisation, as a dialectical, historical, and dynamic process. As such, I wish to relate it to the recovery of difference and varying social realities underneath the bigger picture, as a critical part of archaeology's sociological and intellectual practice and of its position and relevance in the contemporary world. In this way I aim to add my voice to those wishing to take a new look at the body of knowledge and the set of theories we have built up concerning social units. The result is, I hope, interesting and challenging to the reader, but, above all, I hope that it will stimulate dialogue and exchange.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

THIS VOLUME OWES MUCH TO THE SUPPORT AND KINDNESS, of numerous friends, colleagues, and institutions, whom I am pleased to thank here.

I have benefited greatly from the stimulating ideas and intellectual support of many people, most of all those who have commented on all or parts of the book at various stages. I am very grateful to Ian Hodder for his unfailing support in reading and for helping me to develop the work that has led to this volume. He has been a constant inspiration, especially during those times when I have found this subject frustratingly difficult and have felt my determination failing. I am indebted to Anthony Snodgrass for his constructive advice on earlier versions, his encouragement with the publication project, and his many kindnesses. Bob Chapman, John Chapman, and Alasdair Whittle have read more or less all of the chapter drafts and the final version faithfully and enthusiastically; I thank them for the many discussions, comments, and suggestions that have helped me to sharpen my approach and opened up new intellectual challenges.

I am grateful to Giorgos Hourmouziadis for granting me permission to study the ceramic material, small find records, daybooks, and all of the archive of his excavation of Dimini and for sharing with me his deep insight into the Neolithic world. Vassiliki Adrymi-Sismani enabled access to all these materials and shared with me valuable information from her participation in that excavation and her continued work in the vicinity. Special thanks to Evangelia Skafida, who variously assisted me with my fieldwork and collection of illustrations, showed me materials from the Volos Museum, discussed figurines, sent publications, and obliged me with her hospitality in Volos.

I am indebted to Maria Theochari for her kindness, for pointing out to me the directions of Dimitris Theocharis' research and ideas on Sesklo and other sites, and for allowing me to use illustrations from his celebrated volume. I owe a further debt to Kostas Kotsakis for many discussions about the Greek Neolithic and for providing me with his work on the stratigraphy and architecture of Sesklo.

The late Professor Robert Cook, Colin Renfrew, and Judith and Robert Rodden warmly encouraged me to include Nea Nikomedeia as a special section in this book and provided me with the first volume of the final publication while this was still in press, as well as bringing to my attention Gillian Pyke's

#### XX ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

and Paraskevi Youni's unpublished dissertations on the site. For this and for our discussions about Nea Nikomedeia in the memorable dinner parties at Professor Cook's in Cambridge I owe them my sincere thanks. I also thank the British School at Athens for allowing me to use illustrations from the Nea Nikomedeia publications.

I am grateful to a number of friends and colleagues for their hospitality on their excavations, for providing information concerning their research, for allowing me to use illustrations from their work, and for sending references, publications, and photographs. Special mention must be made of Giorgos Toufexis for his warmest welcome to his excavations in Thessaly, for his generosity with his data, papers, and photographs from Palioskala, Mandra, Makrychori 1, and Galene, and for many enthusiastic conversations about the Neolithic and other things; to Katerina Skourtopoulou for introducing me to the excitements of lithic technologies and for many discussions and ideas about Makriyalos and flat sites in general; to Dimitris Grammenos and Stavros Kotsos for the tour of Stavroupolis and for sending publications and illustrations; to Chaido Koukouli-Chrysanthaki for the many photographs from Dikili Tash and Promachonas-Topolnica; to Vassiliki Adrymi-Sismani for the aerial photographs of Sesklo and Dimini; to Nikos Efstratiou for photographs from Makri; to Kostas Gallis for the photograph of the house model from Platia Magoula Zarkou; to Lia Karimali for her thesis and articles on lithic production and exchange patterns; and to Nina Kyparissi-Apostolika, with thanks for her books on the prehistoric ornaments from Thessaly. Last, but not least, I wish to thank Vania Tloupa for her kind and immediate response to my request for the cover image.

I am also happy to recognise the contribution of a number of university departments and archaeological and anthropological societies that gave me the opportunity to try out several of the ideas and arguments presented in this book through teaching, presentations, conferences, and seminars. These include the universities of Cambridge (which also granted me a visiting scholarship in 2004), Cardiff, Manchester, Oxford, Athens, Thessaloniki, and the Aegean; the National Polytechnic School of Greece; the British School at Athens; the McDonald Institute "Socialising Complexity" conference; the Cardiff "(un)settling the Neolithic" and "Building Communities" conferences, the European Science Foundation conference on "Early Symbolic Systems for Communication" at Karlovo; and the meetings of TAG at Bournemouth, SOMA at Edinburgh, and EAA at Thessaloniki and at Zadar. In all these I have enjoyed discussions with Radian Andreescu, Douglass Bailey, Bob Chapman, John Chapman, Pavlos Chrysostomou, Carole Crumley, Ian Hodder, the late John G. Evans (who also sent me his stimulating book on environmental archaeology), Bernard Knapp, Jonathan Last, Magda and Ghita Lazarovici, Dan Monah, Vassil Nikolov, Lolita Nikolova, Colin Renfrew, Colin Richards,

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Catherine Perlès, Mirel Popovici (with thanks for posting me the volumes on the Cucuteni and Parța settlements), Christian Schuster (who also presented me with his book on the archaeology of fire), Katherine Spielmann (with thanks for the many references on the prehispanic North America), Ruth Tringham, and Alasdair Whittle. I also thank James Whitley and Christina Hatzimichael-Whitley for their hospitality in Cardiff and for our cooperation in the Praisos project in Crete.

Funding for this and related research was obtained from several agencies. These include the A. G. Leventis Foundation, the Hellenic State Scholarship Foundation, Clare Hall (Bursary), the Department of Archaeology at Cambridge (Garrod Fund Award), and the Institute for Aegean Prehistory (New Research Grant).

I am happy to thank Cambridge University Press, and especially Simon Whitmore (Cambridge) and Beatrice Rehl (New York), for placing their faith in my book in the way they did and for their understanding through difficult stages. Thanks as well to Peter Katsirubas, of Aptara, Inc., for managing the production and for our many discussions during this process.

The contribution of my long-term friends in Britain and Greece has been multifaceted and invaluable. My deepest links are with Maxine Clarke, David Clifford, and Stamatia Marketou, who have dispensed much valuable advice and never failed to give me unconditional support. I also thank Doreen Thompson for her generous hospitality in Milton. To the anthropologist Sotiris Dimitriou I owe my original acquaintance with anthropology, philosophy, and methodology many years ago. For this, but particularly for his invaluable friendship, I owe him my deepest thanks. My warmest note of appreciation is offered to Makis Plageras for being incredibly patient and for never allowing me to lose perspective. I also thank him, as well as my brother Manolis Souvatzis, for their help and suggestions concerning the appearance of the book's illustrations and for bringing order to a wide variety of originals.

None of this research or writing would have been realised without the unconditional love and unfaltered support of my family, foremost of my parents Georgios and Anastasia, who have been the driving force behind every productive stage of my life. My last word is for my father, who was suddenly and violently taken from us before this book was completed. Nothing is the same without him, but his open mind, kindness, and exemplary life attitude were the guiding light for the book's completion, and more. xxi