

WORLD TRADE ORGANIZATION

Dispute Settlement Reports

2000
Volume X

Pages 4591-5118



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-82856-7 — Dispute Settlement Reports 2000
Edited by World Trade Organization
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom
One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
314-321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi - 110025, India
103 Penang Road, #05-06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521828567

© World Trade Organization, 2000, 2002.

Material in this report may be reproduced, provided the source is acknowledged.

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

ISBN 978-0-521-82856-7 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

French edition and Spanish edition paperbacks of this title are both available directly from WTO Publications, World Trade Organization, Centre William Rappard, 154 rue de Lausanne, CH-1211 Geneva 21, Switzerland <http://www.wto.org>

THE WTO DISPUTE SETTLEMENT REPORTS

The *Dispute Settlement Reports* of the World Trade Organization (the "WTO") include panel and Appellate Body reports, as well as arbitration awards, in disputes concerning the rights and obligations of WTO Members under the provisions of the *Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization*. The *Dispute Settlement Reports* are available in English, French and Spanish. Starting with 1999, the first volume of each year contains a cumulative index of published disputes.

This volume may be cited as DSR 2000:X

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
United States - Anti-Dumping Act of 1916 Complaint by the European Communities (WT/DS136)	
Report of the Panel.....	4593
United States - Anti-Dumping Act of 1916 Complaint by Japan (WT/DS136, WT/DS162)	
Report of the Appellate Body.....	4793
United States - Anti-Dumping Act of 1916 Complaint by Japan (WT/DS162)	
Report of the Panel.....	4831
Canada - Certain Measures Affecting the Automotive Industry (WT/DS139, WT/DS142)	
Award of the Arbitrator under Article 21.3(c) of the DSU	5079
Canada - Term of Patent Protection (WT/DS170)	
Report of the Appellate Body.....	5093

UNITED STATES - ANTI-DUMPING ACT OF 1916

Complaint by the European Communities

Report of the Panel

WT/DS136/R

*Adopted by the Dispute Settlement Body
 on 26 September 2000
 as Upheld by the Appellate Body Report*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	4598
II. FACTUAL ASPECTS	4600
A. Description of the US 1916 Act	4600
B. Description of other Relevant US Acts	4601
1. Antidumping Act of 1921 and Tariff Act of 1930	4601
2. Robinson-Patman Act	4601
C. Instances of Application of the US 1916 Act	4602
III. CLAIMS AND MAIN ARGUMENTS	4604
A. Requests Dealt with by the Panel in the Course of the Proceedings	4604
1. Preliminary Objection by the United States and Request for a Ruling by the Panel	4604
2. Request by Japan for Enhanced Third Party Rights	4606
B. Overview of the Claims of the Parties and Findings Requested	4608
C. The Distinction between Discretionary and Mandatory Legislation and its Relevance to the Present Case	4609
D. Applicability of Article VI of the GATT 1994 and the Anti-Dumping Agreement	4618
1. The Meaning and Scope of Article VI of the GATT 1994 and the Anti-Dumping Agreement	4618
2. The Nature of the 1916 Act: Anti-Dumping or Antitrust Law?	4624
(a) The Text and Distinctive Features of the 1916 Act	4624
(b) The Theoretical Distinction Between Anti-Dumping and Antitrust	4631

Report of the Panel

		Page
	(c) Statements by US Executive Branch Officials.....	4638
	(d) The Classification of the 1916 Act in the US Legal System.....	4642
	(e) Simultaneous or Consecutive Filings of 1916 Act Claims and 1930 Tariff Act Petitions in Cases Involving the Same Matter	4643
	(f) The Relevant US Case Law	4644
	(i) The Distinction between Issues of Fact and Issues of Law	4644
	(ii) Comments on the Case Law in General.....	4647
	(iii) United States v. Cooper Corp.	4649
	(iv) Zenith Radio Corp. v. Matsushita Electric Industrial Co. and In re Japanese Electronic Products Antitrust Litigation.....	4650
	(v) Helmac Products Corp. v. Roth (Plastics) Corp.....	4659
	(vi) Geneva Steel Corp. v. Ranger Steel Supply Corp. and Wheeling- Pittsburgh v. Mitsui & Co.....	4661
E.	Violation of Article VI:2 of the GATT 1994.....	4664
	1. General Arguments.....	4664
	2. The Text and Relevant Context of Article VI:2 of the GATT 1994.....	4667
	3. The Negotiating History	4668
	4. The Relevance of Article 18.1 of the Anti-Dumping Agreement	4672
	5. The Interpretation of the Footnote to Article 18.1 of the Anti-Dumping Agreement	4676
F.	Violations of Article VI:1 of the GATT 1994 and Articles 1, 2.1, 2.2, 3, 4 and 5.5 of the Anti-Dumping Agreement	4678
G.	Violation of Article III:4 of the GATT 1994.....	4681
	1. Introduction	4681
	2. The 1916 Act as a Law Affecting the Internal Sale of Imported Products and the Robinson-Patman Act as Comparable Legislation.....	4682
	3. The "No Less Favourable Treatment" Standard.....	4683
	(a) General Arguments	4683
	(b) The Relevance of Trade Effects.....	4687

	Page
(c) The Relevance of the Absence of Successful Invocations of the 1916 Act.....	4688
(d) Element-by-element comparison of the 1916 Act and the the Robinson-Patman Act.....	4690
(i) The Injury/Predation Standards.....	4691
(ii) The Measurement of Price Discrimination.....	4696
(iii) Offering for Sale vs. Actual Sale as threshold Requirements for Complaints.....	4700
(iv) Defences Available under the Robinson-Patman Act, but not Expressly Provided for in the 1916 Act.....	4700
H. Violation of Article XVI:4 of the Agreement Establishing the WTO.....	4702
1. The Meaning and Scope of Article XVI:4.....	4702
2. The Relevance to an Article XVI:4 Inquiry of the Distinction Between Mandatory and Discretionary Legislation.....	4705
3. Relationship with Article 18.4 of the Anti- Dumping Agreement.....	4709
IV. THIRD PARTY SUBMISSIONS.....	4710
A. India.....	4710
B. Japan.....	4712
C. Mexico.....	4714
V. INTERIM REVIEW.....	4717
A. Introduction.....	4717
B. Comments by the European Communities.....	4717
C. Comments by the United States.....	4719
VI. FINDINGS.....	4726
A. Facts at the Origin of the Dispute and Issues to be Addressed by the Panel.....	4726
1. Facts at the Origin of the Dispute.....	4726
2. Issues to be Addressed by the Panel.....	4727
B. Procedural Issues.....	4731
1. Request for a Preliminary Ruling by the United States.....	4731
2. Request for Enhanced Third Party Rights by Japan.....	4732
3. Burden of Proof.....	4734

Report of the Panel

	Page
C. Preliminary Issues	4735
1. Context in which the 1916 Act should be Examined by the Panel	4735
(a) Issue before the Panel	4735
(b) How should the Panel Consider the text of the 1916 Act, the Context of its Enactment, the Case-Law Relating to it and Other Relevant Pieces of Information.....	4736
(i) Arguments of the Parties and Approach of the Panel.....	4736
(ii) Consideration by Panels of Domestic Law in General.....	4737
(iii) Consideration of the Case-Law Relating to the 1916 Act	4738
(iv) Consideration of the Historical Context and Other Evidence of the Meaning of the 1916 Act.....	4741
2. Relationship between Article III and Article VI of the GATT 1994	4743
(a) Issue before the Panel	4743
(b) Approach to be Followed by the Panel	4745
D. Applicability of Article VI of the GATT 1914 to the 1916 Act.....	4747
1. Preliminary Remarks on the Possibility of Interpreting the 1916 Act in a WTO-Compatible Manner and on its "Mandatory/Non-Mandatory" Nature	4747
(a) Issue before the Panel	4747
(b) The possibility of Interpreting the 1916 Act in a WTO-Compatible Manner	4748
(c) Mandatory/Non-Mandatory Nature of the 1916 Act	4750
2. Does the 1916 Act Fall Within the Scope of Article VI of the GATT 1994?	4751
(a) Issue before the Panel	4751
(b) Does the 1916 Act, on the Basis of its Terms only, Fall within the Scope of Article VI of the GATT 1994?.....	4752
(i) Approach of the Panel.....	4752

	Page
(ii) Scope of Article VI of the GATT 1994	4753
(iii) Examination of the 1916 Act on the Basis of the Scope of Article VI	4755
Similarities	4755
Specific Arguments of the United States	4756
(iv) Conclusion	4759
(c) Impact of the Historical Context and of the Legislative History of the 1916 Act	4760
(i) Approach of the Panel	4760
(ii) Review of the Historical Context and Legislative History	4760
(iii) Conclusion	4764
(d) Impact of the US Case-Law Relating to the 1916 Act	4764
(i) Approach by the Panel	4764
(ii) The US Supreme Court and the 1916 Act	4765
(iii) The Interpretation of the Transnational Price Discrimination Test of the 1916 Act at the Circuit Court Level	4766
"Dumping" as an International Trade Concept Applied in an Anti-Trust Context	4766
The Brooke Group Recoupment Test	4769
The Interlocutory Decisions Relied upon by the European Communities	4771
(iv) Conclusion	4772
3. Conclusions on the Applicability of Article VI of the GATT 1994 to the 1916 Act	4773
(a) The 1916 Act falls within the Scope of Article VI of the GATT 1994	4773
(b) The 1916 Act is a Mandatory Law within the Meaning of GATT 1947/WTO Practice	4774
(c) Concluding Remarks on the Applicability of Article VI to the Price Discrimination Test of the 1916 Act	4775
E. Violation of Article VI:1 and VI:2 of the GATT 1994	4777
1. Violation of Article VI:1 of the GATT 1994	4777

Report of the Panel

	Page
(a) Issue before the Panel	4777
(b) Analysis	4777
(c) Conclusion	4778
2. Anti-Dumping Duties as Sole Remedy under Article VI.....	4779
(a) Issues before the Panel.....	4779
(b) Ordinary Meaning of the Terms of Article VI:2 of the GATT 1994	4780
(c) Context	4781
(d) Preparatory Work.....	4784
(e) Conclusion on the Violation of Article VI:2 of the GATT 1994	4785
3. Concluding Remarks on Burden of Proof with Respect to the Violation of Article VI of the GATT 1994	4785
F. Violation of Provisions of the Anti-Dumping Agreement	4786
1. Preliminary Remarks	4786
2. Review of the EC Claims under the Anti-Dumping Agreement	4786
(a) General Comments Regarding the EC Claims under Article 1 and Article 2.1 and 2.2 of the Anti-Dumping Agreement	4786
(b) Violation of Article 3.....	4787
(c) Violation of Article 4.....	4787
(d) Violation of Article 5.5.....	4789
3. Conclusion.....	4789
G. Violation of Article III:4 of the GATT 1994.....	4789
H. Violation of Article XVI:4 of the Agreement Establishing the WTO.....	4790
I. Nullification or Impairment.....	4790
J. Summary of Findings	4791
VII. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	4792

I. INTRODUCTION

1.1 On 4 June 1998, the European Communities requested consultations with the United States pursuant to Article 4 of the Understanding on Rules and Procedures Governing the Settlement of Disputes (hereinafter the "DSU"), Article XXIII of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade 1994 (hereinafter the "GATT 1994") and Article 17.3 of the Agreement on Implementation of Article VI of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade 1994 (hereinafter the "Anti-Dumping Agreement")