

Canada - Autos

CANADA - CERTAIN MEASURES AFFECTING THE AUTOMOTIVE INDUSTRY

Report of the Panel

WT/DS139/R

WT/DS142/R

Adopted by the Dispute Settlement Body

on 19 June 2000

as Modified by the Appellate Body Report

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY	3061
A. Consultations.....	3061
B. Establishment and Composition of the Panel	3061
C. Panel Proceedings.....	3062
II. BACKGROUND.....	3062
A. The Auto Pact.....	3062
1. Letters from Auto Pact Manufacturer Beneficiaries to Industry Canada.....	3063
2. GATT Working Party Examination of the Auto Pact	3063
B. The Canada - United States Free Trade Agreement (CUSFTA)....	3064
C. The North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA).....	3065
D. Canada's Domestic Measures	3065
1. The MVTO 1998	3066
2. Special Remission Orders.....	3068
III. FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS REQUESTED BY THE PARTIES.....	3070
A. Japan's Request for Findings and Recommendations	3070
B. The European Communities' Request for Findings and Recommendations	3070
C. Canada's Request for Findings and Recommendations	3071
IV. REQUEST FOR PRELIMINARY RULING.....	3072
A. Japan's Argument Giving Rise to Canada's Request for a Preliminary Ruling	3072
B. Canada's Request for a Preliminary Ruling	3072
C. The Panel's Decision.....	3073
V. FACTUAL ARGUMENTS OF THE PARTIES.....	3074
A. The Measures at Issue.....	3074
1. Terminology and Clarification of Claims	3074

Report of the Panel

	Page
(a) Japan's Framing of the Measures at Issue	3074
(b) The European Communities' Framing of the Measures at Issue.....	3075
(c) Canada's Response to the Complainants' Framing of the Measures at Issue	3076
(d) Japan's Follow-Up to Canada's Response	3079
(e) The EC's Follow-Up to Canada's Response	3079
2. Letters	3080
(a) Japan's Arguments Concerning the Letters	3080
(b) The EC's Arguments Concerning the Letters.....	3081
(c) Canada's Response to the Complainants' Arguments Concerning the Letters	3083
(d) Japan's Rebuttal to Canada's Response.....	3084
(e) The EC's Rebuttal to Canada's Response.....	3086
(f) Response by Canada to the Complainants' Rebuttals	3093
(g) The European Communities' Follow-Up to Canada's Response.....	3096
3. The Auto Pact, the CUSFTA and the NAFTA.....	3098
(a) Japan's Arguments Regarding the Auto Pact, the CUSFTA and the NAFTA.....	3098
(b) The EC's Arguments Regarding the Auto Pact, the CUSFTA and the NAFTA.....	3106
(c) Canada's Response to the Complainants' Arguments Regarding the Auto Pact, the CUSFTA and the NAFTA	3112
(d) Japan's Rebuttal to Canada's Response	3114
4. Measures Relating to Administration	3115
(a) Japan's Account of Canada's Administration of the Import Duty Exemption	3115
(b) The EC's Account of Canada's Administration of the Import Duty Exemption	3122
(c) Canada's Response to the Complainant's Arguments Regarding Administration of the Import Duty Exemption	3122
B. Structure of the Automotive Industry	3125
1. Japan's Arguments on the Structure of the Motor Vehicles Industry	3125
(a) The Integration of the Global Automobile Industry and its Implications for the Structure of the Canadian Industry.....	3125

Canada - Autos

	Page
(b) Implications for the Structure of the Canadian Industry	3126
(c) Production and Importation of Like Motor Vehicles	3128
(d) Production and Importation of Like Parts, Components and Materials Used in the Manufacture of Automobiles	3132
(e) Supply and Consumption of Like Services	3132
(f) The Discriminatory Effects of the Duty Waiver	3133
2. Canada's Response to Certain Arguments Regarding the Structure of the Motor Vehicles Industry.....	3136
3. Japan's Rebuttal to Canada's Response.....	3137
VI. LEGAL ARGUMENTS OF THE PARTIES.....	3141
A. Article I of the GATT	3141
1. Arguments of Japan	3141
(a) The Duty Waiver Relates to a Customs Duty Within the Meaning of Article I:1 of the GATT 1994.....	3141
(b) The Duty Waiver is an Advantage Within the Meaning of Article I:1 of the GATT 1994.....	3141
(c) The Products at Issue are "Like" Products	3142
(d) The Advantage is not Accorded Immediately and Unconditionally to Like Products Originating in all WTO Members	3143
(e) The Advantage Accorded to the Products Originating in Particular WTO Members Has Not Been Accorded to Like Products Originating in the Territories of all WTO Members	3144
2. Arguments of the European Communities.....	3147
(a) The Measures Confer an "Advantage" Covered by Article I:1	3147
(b) The Automobiles Imported by the Beneficiaries are "Like" the Automobiles Imported by Non-Beneficiaries	3147
(c) The Tariff Exemption Benefits Mainly Imports from the United States and Mexico.....	3147
3. Canada's Response.....	3148
(a) The Complainants Have Failed to Meet their Burden of Proof	3148
(b) The MVTO and the SROs Provide MFN Treatment for Products	3149
4. Rebuttal Arguments by Japan	3156

Report of the Panel

	Page
(a) Correct Interpretation of Article I:1 of the GATT 1994.....	3157
(b) The Fact that Imports Originating in One or More WTO Members Receive the Advantage is Not Indicative of MFN-Consistency	3159
(c) Receipt of the Advantage Results from the Application of Government Measures not from Private Commercial Relationships.....	3163
5. Rebuttal Arguments by the European Communities.....	3164
(a) Canada's Interpretation of the Notion of De Facto Violation is Unduly Restrictive	3164
(b) The European Communities Has Shown that De Facto the Tariff Exemption Provides an Advantage to Imports Originating in the United States and Canada	3165
(c) The Advantage Accorded to Automobiles from the United States and Mexico Cannot be Exempted by GATT Article XXIV	3165
6. Response by Canada to the Complainants' Rebuttals	3168
(a) The Japanese and EC Interpretations of Article I:1 Are Not Supported by the Facts.....	3168
(b) The Japanese and EC Interpretations of Article I:1 Find No Support in the Law	3171
7. Japan's Follow-Up to Canada's Response.....	3175
8. The European Communities' Follow-Up to Canada's Response.....	3177
(a) The Tariff Exemption Provides an Advantage to Imports from the United States and Mexico	3177
(b) The Test of De Facto Discrimination.....	3178
(c) The Tariff Exemption is Not Exempted by GATT Article XXIV	3180
9. Canada's Follow-Up Response	3181
(a) Both Complainants Concede that there is No De Jure Violation.....	3181
(b) Japan's Position on De Facto Violation is Not Supported by the Facts and it Misinterprets the Law	3181
(c) The EC's Position on De Facto Violation is Not Supported by the Facts and it Misinterprets the Law	3187
B. Article III:4 of the GATT	3189
1. Arguments of Japan.....	3189

Canada - Autos

	Page
(a) The CVA Requirement	3189
(b) The Production-to-Sales Ratio.....	3192
2. Arguments of the European Communities.....	3192
(a) The CVA Requirements.....	3193
(b) The Ratio Requirements	3197
3. Canada's Response.....	3198
(a) Article III:4 Creates a Two-Step Test	3198
(b) The CVA Requirement	3198
(c) The Ratio Requirement	3201
4. Rebuttal Arguments by Japan	3204
5. Rebuttal Arguments by the European Communities.....	3206
(a) The CVA Requirement	3206
(b) The Ratio Requirements	3209
6. Response by Canada to the Complainants' Rebuttals	3209
(a) The CVA requirements	3209
(b) The Ratio Requirements	3215
7. The European Communities' Follow-Up to Canada's Response.....	3218
(a) Clarification	3218
(b) The Letters Contain Requirements.....	3218
C. The TRIMs Agreement.....	3220
1. Arguments of Japan	3220
(a) The CVA Requirement is a TRIM Within the Meaning of Article 2.1 of the TRIMs Agreement..	3220
(b) The CVA Requirement is Inconsistent with Article III:4 of the GATT 1994.....	3221
2. Arguments of the European Communities.....	3221
(a) The CVA Requirement and the Ratio Requirement are "Investment Measures"	3222
(b) The CVA Requirement and the Ratio Requirement are "Related to Trade in Goods".....	3222
(c) The CVA Requirements and the Ratio Requirements Fall Within the Illustrative List of Prohibited TRIMs.....	3223
3. Canada's Response.....	3223
(a) The MVTO and SROs Are Not "Trade-Related Investment Measures"	3224
(b) The MVTO and SROs Do Not Violate the TRIMs Agreement	3225

Report of the Panel

	Page
4. Rebuttal Arguments by Japan	3226
(a) Investment Measures	3226
(b) Trade-Related	3227
(c) Relevant Test	3227
5. Rebuttal Arguments by the European Communities.....	3228
6. Response by Canada to the Complainants' Rebuttals	3229
7. The European Communities' Follow-Up to Canada's Response.....	3229
8. Canada's Follow-Up Response	3230
D. The SCM Agreement.....	3230
1. Arguments of Japan.....	3230
(a) The Duty Waiver is a Subsidy under Article 1 of the SCM Agreement.....	3230
(b) The Subsidy is Prohibited under Article 3 of the SCM Agreement	3231
2. Arguments of the European Communities.....	3232
(a) The Tariff Exemption Constitutes a Specific Subsidy	3232
(b) The Tariff Exemption is a Prohibited Subsidy.....	3233
3. Canada's Response	3236
(a) The Duty-Free Treatment is not an Export Subsidy Within the Meaning of Article 3.1(a) of the SCM Agreement	3236
(b) The Ratio Requirements Do Not Make the Duty-Free Treatment Contingent Upon Export Performance	3239
(c) The Duty-Free Treatment Is Not a Subsidy Contingent upon the Use of Domestic Over Imported Goods	3243
4. Rebuttal Arguments by Japan.....	3245
(a) Article 3.1(a) of the SCM Agreement.....	3246
(b) Article 3.1(b) of the SCM Agreement	3247
5. Rebuttal Arguments by the European Communities.....	3248
(a) The Ratio Requirements	3248
(b) The CVA Requirements.....	3252
6. Response by Canada to the Complainants' Rebuttals	3255
(a) The Measures at Issue do not Create a Subsidy.....	3256
(b) The Duty-Free Treatment is Not Inconsistent with Article 3.1(b)	3257
(c) The Duty-Free Treatment is Not Inconsistent with Article 3.1(a).....	3259

Canada - Autos

	Page
7. Japan's Follow-Up to Canada's Response	3261
8. The European Communities' Follow-Up to Canada's Response.....	3262
(a) The Tariff Exemption is a Subsidy	3262
(b) The Tariff Exemption is Prohibited by Article 3.1 (a).....	3262
(c) The Tariff Exemption is Prohibited by Article 3.1 (b).....	3263
9. Canada's Follow-Up Response	3266
(a) The Measures Are Consistent with Article 3.1(a)..	3266
(b) The Measures Are Consistent with Article 3.1(b)..	3267
E. Applicability of the GATS to the Measures	3268
1. Arguments of Japan	3268
2. Arguments of the EC	3270
(a) The Tariff Exemption is a "Measure by a Member"	3271
(b) The Beneficiaries Are Suppliers of Wholesale Trade Services Covered by the GATS	3271
(c) The Tariff Exemption "Affects" the "Supply" of Wholesale Trade Services.....	3272
(d) The Tariff Exemption "Affects" the "Trade" in Wholesale Distribution Services.....	3273
3. Canada's Response.....	3273
(a) The Measures at Issue Are Not Covered by the GATS.....	3273
4. Rebuttal Arguments by Japan	3277
5. Rebuttal Arguments by the European Communities.....	3279
6. Canada's Response to the Complainants' Rebuttals	3281
7. Japan's Follow-Up to Canada's Response	3283
8. The European Communities' Follow-Up to Canada's Response.....	3284
9. Canada's Follow-Up Response	3286
F. Article II of the GATS	3289
1. Arguments of Japan	3289
(a) Article II of the GATS Requires Immediate and Unconditional MFN Treatment for Wholesale Trade Services and Service Suppliers of Motor Vehicles	3289

Report of the Panel

	Page
(b) The Duty Waiver Accords More Favourable Treatment to Wholesale Trade Service and Service Suppliers of the United States and Sweden in Violation of Article II of the GATS	3290
2. Arguments of the European Communities.....	3293
(a) The Tariff Exemption is a "Measure Covered by the GATS"	3293
(b) The Tariff Exemption Is Not Covered by the Annex on Article II Exemptions	3294
(c) The Beneficiaries Are "Like" Other Suppliers of Wholesale Distribution Services.....	3294
(d) The Tariff Exemption Affords "More Favourable Treatment" to US Service Suppliers than to the Service Suppliers of Other Members	3294
3. Canada's Response	3297
(a) The Measures at Issue Are Not Covered by the GATS	3298
(b) The MVTO and SROs Do Not Accord More Favourable Treatment to the Service Suppliers of Certain Countries	3298
4. Rebuttal Arguments by Japan.....	3301
(a) The Duty Waiver is Within the Scope of the GATS	3301
(b) The Duty Waiver Discriminates Between Like Service Suppliers	3301
(c) Competition Exists Between Wholesale Trade Service Suppliers	3301
(d) Article V of the GATS	3302
5. Rebuttal Arguments by the European Communities.....	3302
(a) The Tariff Exemption Affects the Provision of Services Within the Meaning of Article I:1 of the GATS	3302
(b) Vertical Integration Does Not Exclude Competition Among Providers of Wholesale Distribution Services for Automobiles	3302
(c) The Tariff Exemption Accords More Favourable Treatment to Service Suppliers of the United States.....	3304
(d) The Violation of Article II is Not Exempted by Article V	3306

Canada - Autos

	Page
6.	Response by Canada to the Complainants' Rebuttals 3308
(a)	The Duty-Free Treatment Does Not Affect Trade in Wholesale Services..... 3308
(b)	The Measures Do Not Accord More Favourable Treatment to Service Suppliers of Certain Countries..... 3308
7.	The European Communities' Follow-Up to Canada's Response..... 3310
(a)	The Tariff Exemption Affects Trade in Wholesale Distribution Services..... 3310
(b)	The Measure Accords More Favourable Treatment to US Suppliers..... 3310
(c)	Vertical Integration Does Not Exclude Competition Among Providers of Services..... 3312
8.	Canada's Follow-Up Response 3313
(a)	The Measures Do Not Affect Trade in Services ... 3313
(b)	Duty-Free Treatment Does Not Accord More Favourable Treatment 3313
9.	Further Follow-Up by the European Communities..... 3315
G.	Article XVII of the GATS 3317
1.	Arguments of Japan 3317
(a)	The Duty Waiver Accords More Favourable Treatment to Canadian Wholesale Trade Services and Service Suppliers in Violation of Article XVII of the GATS..... 3318
(b)	The Duty Waiver Accords More Favourable Treatment to Canadian Services and Service Suppliers Related to the Production of Motor Vehicles 3320
2.	Arguments of the European Communities..... 3326
(a)	The Relevant Sectors and Modes of Supply are Covered by Canada's Commitments on National Treatment 3327
(b)	The CVA Requirement is a "Measure Affecting the Supply of Services" 3328
(c)	Canadian Services Are "Like" the Services of Other Members Provided Through Modes 1 and 2 3328
(d)	Services of Other Members Supplied Through Modes 1 and 2 are Afforded "Less Favourable Treatment" than Canadian Services 3329

Report of the Panel

	Page
3. Canada's Response	3329
(a) Canada Has Not Undertaken a Commitment in a Relevant Sector.....	3330
(b) Duty-Free Treatment Under the MVTO and the SROs Does Not Affect the Supply of Wholesale Trade Services.....	3331
(c) The MVTO and the SROs Do Not Accord Less Favourable Treatment to Like Services and Service Suppliers	3331
(d) Article XVII of the GATS - CVA Requirements...	3333
4. Rebuttal Arguments by Japan.....	3336
(a) Wholesale Trade Services.....	3336
(b) CVA.....	3338
5. Rebuttal Arguments by the European Communities.....	3339
(a) The Limitations on Canada's National Treatment Commitments Do Not Cover CVA Requirements.	3339
(b) The CVA Requirements Accord "Less Favourable Treatment" to Foreign Services and Service Providers	3341
6. Response by Canada to the Complainants' Rebuttals	3342
(a) Article XVII - CVA	3342
(b) Canada Has Not Undertaken a Commitment	3342
(c) The Measures Do Not Accord Less Favourable Treatment	3343
7. Japan's Follow-Up to Canada's Response	3344
8. The European Communities' Follow-Up to Canada's Response.....	3345
9. Canada's Follow-Up Response	3345
(a) The Measures Do Not Deny National Treatment to Wholesale Distribution Trade Service Suppliers	3346
VII. QUESTIONS AND REPLIES	3347
A. Factual Arguments of the Parties.....	3347
1. Questions and Replies Relating to Factual Arguments on the Measures at Issue	3347
(a) Question 12 (The Ratio Requirement).....	3347
(b) Question 36 (The "Actual" Ratio Requirements for MVTO Automobile Manufacturers)	3347
(c) Question 37 (SROs - Documents and Beneficiaries)	3348
(d) Question 38 (Letters - Comprehensiveness of Exhibits).....	3349