

Index

- ability, and knock-out competitions, 178–9
 aesthetics: and differences in procedures
 of rational assessment, 39; difficulty of
 establishing pre-eminent claims of, 19;
 and ethical criticism, 167; and
 qualification, 84. *See also* art
 ambiguity: and notions of probability and
 improbability, 52, 69–77; in syllogisms,
 100–5
 analytic arguments: and claims to
 knowledge, 206, 214; and irrelevance
 of analytic criteria, 153–6, 228–32; and
 layout of arguments, 114–25; and
 substantial arguments, 125–31, 133,
 134, 155, 216; and transcendentalism,
 210–11
 analytic syllogisms, and working versus
 idealised logic, 137–43. *See also*
 syllogisms
 anthropology, and re-ordering of logical
 theory, 234
 apodeixis: definition of, 2; and logic
 as system of eternal truths, 163–4,
 171
 applied logic, and comparative methods,
 235
 argument: and ambiguities in syllogism,
 100–5; data and warrants in pattern of,
 89–95; force and criteria in assessment
 of, 28–33; and formal validity, 110–14;
 impossibilities and features of in
 different fields, 21–8; and irreducibility
 of standards in different fields, 36–40;
 and notion of ‘field’, 154–5; as
 organism, 87; phases of, 15–21;
 probability and quality of, 83; and
 problem of assessment-procedure,
 12–15; purposes of, 12; and simplicity,
 133–4; and ‘universal premisses’,
 105–9; and use of modal term
 ‘probably’, 48; warrants and pattern of,
 91–100. *See also* analytic arguments;
 field-dependent arguments;
 field-invariant arguments; substantial
 arguments
 Aristotelianism, and seventeenth-century
 revolution in thought, 167–8
 Aristotle: and backing of warrants, 121; and
 concept of apodeixis, 2, 163–4, 171; and
 logic as formal science, 3, 5;
 mathematics and theory of logic, 172,
 173; and substantial arguments, 139;
 and syllogisms, 100
 art, as implicit model for logic, 4. *See also*
 aesthetics
 assertion: and definition of claim, 11–12;
 and mathematical statements, 225; as
 purpose of argument, 12; and use of
 term ‘intuition’, 223
 assessment: and field-dependence of
 standards, 33–6; and ‘force’ or ‘criteria’
 as modal terms, 28–33; and
 irreducibility of standards, 36–40; and
 problem of procedure in arguments,
 12–15; and use of ‘cannot’ as modal
 term, 21–8

Cambridge University Press

0521827485 - The Uses of Argument, Updated Edition

Stephen E. Toulmin

Index

[More information](#)

242

Index

- astronomy: and planetary dynamics, 127–8;
and reductionism, 213
- Austin, J. L., 45, 49, 198, 219, 228
- authenticity, and differences in assessment
of arguments in different fields, 38
- Ayer, A. J., 215
- backing of warrants: and patterns of
argument, 91–100, 101–2, 104, 107–8;
in substantial and analytic arguments,
116–18, 139–40. *See also* warrants
- behaviourism, and substantial arguments,
212
- belief, and probability, 42–3, 59–60
- Berkeley, George, 81–2, 214–15
- Boole, George, 81, 164
- calculus: and knock-out competitions,
184–5, 187–8, 189, 190; and
mathematical theory, 192–3; modern
differential form of, 229. *See also*
mathematics
- ‘cannot’: and field-invariant force versus
field-dependent standards, 35–6, 38; as
modal term in assessment of
arguments, 21–8, 32
- Carnap, Rudolf: and mathematical logic,
172, 173; and predictions, 169; and
probability theory, 42, 43–4, 69–70, 75,
76, 77, 148–9, 164; and psychologism,
79–81
- civil cases, and canons of legal argument,
15–16
- claims: and aesthetics, 19; and definition of
assertion, 11–12; distinction between
conclusions and, 90–1; general,
psychological and moral in
epistemological theory, 204–6;
probability and improper or mistaken,
53–7. *See also* knowledge
- cognising: and claims to knowledge, 220,
228; questions about observable mental
processes of, 198–9
- cognition: intuition and mechanisms of,
221–8; processes of and epistemological
theory, 196, 201
- coherence, and rational assessment,
158–9
- Collingwood, R. G., 237–8
- comparative logic, and logical theory, 234,
235–6
- conclusions: and analytic arguments, 122;
datum and backing of warrants, 101–2;
distinction between claims and, 90–1;
and stages in presentation of argument,
19–21; in substantial and analytic
arguments, 116–18; and ‘universal
premisses’, 106
- consistency, and rational assessment,
158–9, 160. *See also* inconsistency
- context: and frequencies or proportions
of alternatives, 64; and mental
processes of cognising, 199; and
‘possibility’ as modal term, 34
- contradiction: and consistency, 160; and
mathematical impossibility, 30
- criminal cases, and canons of legal
argument, 15–16
- criteria, for use of modal terms, 28–33
- criticism: ethical versus aesthetic, 167; of
improper or mistaken claims, 54, 57
- data: and analytic syllogisms, 124–5; and
backing of warrants, 98–9, 101–2; and
patterns of arguments, 91–5; and
‘universal premisses’, 106
- deduction: and analytic syllogisms, 138–9,
142–3; and contrast between scientific
and mathematical arguments, 147–8;
and distinction between analytic and
substantial arguments, 134, 141; and
formal validity, 112–14, 143
- defeasibility, and layout of arguments,
131–2
- deontic logic, 173
- Descartes, René, 71, 229, 230–1
- designation and designatum, and
probability, 60, 61, 64, 65, 69
- Dewey, Thomas, 3–4, 5
- empathy, and historical knowledge, 208
- empiricism: and probability, 71; and
re-ordering of logical theory, 234,
236–7
- episteme, and logic as formal science, 2, 3
- epistemology: ambiguous status of, 195,
196; as branch of comparative applied
logic, 196; and claims to knowledge,
201–6; development of in seventeenth
century, 196–7; intuition and
mechanism of cognition, 221–8; and
irrelevance of analytic ideal, 228–32;

Cambridge University Press

0521827485 - The Uses of Argument, Updated Edition

Stephen E. Toulmin

Index

[More information](#)*Index*

243

- and justification of induction, 217–21;
- radical re-ordering of, 234–8;
- substantial arguments and
- transcendentalism, 206–16. *See also*
- logic; philosophy and philosophers
- ethics: and aesthetic criticism, 167; and
- analytic arguments, 161; and distinction
- between force and criteria, 31; and
- 'universal premisses', 109
- Euclid, 168, 186
- evaluative terms, use of in logical
- arguments, 30–2
- evidence: ambiguity and concepts of
- probability and, 70–7; and assessment
- of arguments in different fields, 39;
- presentation of in series of stages,
- 17–21; and probability, 50–1, 68, 69, 83;
- and use of modal term 'probably', 48;
- variable relevance of in different kinds
- of cases, 16
- exception, and modal qualifiers, 93–4
- expectation, and the notion of probability,
- 61–6
- experience, and notions of probability and
- expectation, 62–3, 68
- field-dependent arguments: and analytic
- criteria, 154; and backing of warrants,
- 96; definition of, 15; distinguishing
- field-invariant from, 21; and
- epistemological theory, 202; and use of
- terms 'can' and 'possible', 35–6
- field-invariant arguments: and analytic
- criteria, 154; and assessment of
- arguments, 33–6; and criteria of
- possibility, 34, 35–6; definition of, 15;
- distinguishing field-dependent from,
- 21; and epistemological theory, 202
- fields of arguments, 14–15
- force: and backing of warrants, 104; and
- development of mathematical theory of
- probability, 84; and justification of
- induction, 220–1; as modal term in
- assessment of arguments, 28–33;
- probability and use of term, 82
- form: and backing of warrants, 96; use of
- term in logic, 40. *See also* logical form
- formal logic, and mathematics, 172–3
- formal validity: and analytic syllogisms,
- 137; and definition of 'deductive',
- 112–14, 143
- Frege, Gottlob, 80, 164
- frequencies of alternatives, and definitions
- of 'probability', 63–4, 68, 73
- geometry: form of and validity of
- arguments, 88; and knock-out
- competitions, 186; and logical theory,
- 164–5. *See also* mathematics
- grounds, and backing of warrants,
- 104–5
- Hare, R. M., 149–50
- Hart, H. L. A., 131–2
- history: empathy and claims to knowledge
- in, 208; and reductionist approach,
- 213; and re-ordering of logical theory,
- 234, 237–8
- Hume, David, 9, 141, 151–3, 161–2, 214,
- 215
- imagination, and understanding,
- 152–3
- impossibility: definition of, 140–1;
- distinction between force and
- criteria and notion of mathematical,
- 29–30; and distinguishing features
- of arguments in different fields, 21,
- 38; nature of formal and theoretical,
- 26
- impropriety: of judicial procedure, 27–8;
- and use of word 'cannot', 24–6
- inconsistency, and knock-out competitions,
- 180. *See also* consistency
- induction: and contrast between scientific
- and mathematical arguments, 147–8;
- and deductive arguments, 144–5; and
- distinction between analytic and
- substantial arguments, 134;
- epistemological theory and justification
- of, 217–21; and warrant-establishing
- arguments, 113
- inference: and logic as development of
- sociology, 4, 5; and moral arguments,
- 149–50; and notion of formal validity,
- 112
- innate ideas, in epistemology, 197
- inter alia* clause, and pattern of argument,
- 99–100
- intuition, and mechanisms of cognition,
- 221–8
- intuitionism. *See* transcendentalism

Cambridge University Press

0521827485 - The Uses of Argument, Updated Edition

Stephen E. Toulmin

Index

[More information](#)

244

Index

- Jean, James, 79
- jurisprudence: and layout of arguments, 89; and mathematical logic, 173; and procedures of rational assessment, 39–40; view of logic as generalised, 7–8, 10, 235. *See also* law
- justificatory arguments: and induction in epistemological theory, 217–21; and similarities of pattern and procedure, 16–17; and statements of assertions and facts, 14
- Kneale, William: and distinction between deductive and inductive arguments, 144–5; and notion of probability, 42–3, 49–50, 58, 59, 61, 66–9, 70, 74, 77–8; and scientific conclusions, 148; and truth-value of statements, 75
- knock-out competition, and system-building, 175–94
- knowledge: epistemological theory and claims to, 200, 201, 218; and knock-out competitions, 181; misconceptions in theory of, 229; probability, belief, and, 42–3
- Laplace, Pierre Simon de, 73, 127
- law: and formalities of judicial process in criminal and civil cases, 15–16; and procedures of rational assessment, 39–40. *See also* jurisprudence
- legislation: assessment and grading in appraising programmes of, 32; and warrants backed by statutory provisions, 108
- Leibniz, Gottfried Wilhelm, 71, 164
- Locke, John, 214
- logic: and analytic criteria, 153–6; counter-view of as technology, 5–6; deduction and treatises on formal, 113; divergence between everyday use and theory of, 155–6; epistemology as branch of general, 196; as formal science, 3–5, 6, 9; as generalised jurisprudence, 7–8, 10; hypothesis on working and idealised, 136–53; and misconceptions in theory of knowledge, 229; modalities of, 156–63; and qualified psychologism, 80; subject matter of, 81; system-building and systematic necessity, 174–94; as system of eternal truths, 163–73; themes in studies of, 1–10; types of and statements of assertions and facts, 13–14; use of terms and theory of, 6–7. *See also* argument; epistemology; logical form; logical relations; probability; theory
- logical form: and notion of formal validity, 110–11; operation of arguments and traditional notion of, 88; and simplicity in layout of arguments, 131. *See also* form; logic
- logical gulf, and epistemological theory, 207–8, 210, 216, 230–2
- logical necessity: and causal necessity, 191; special notion of, 174–94. *See also* necessity
- logical possibility, and claims to knowledge, 230. *See also* possibility
- logical relations: and logic as system of eternal truths, 169–70, 171; and probability, 148–9; and semantics, 80
- mathematics: and analytic arguments, 118; and assertions, 225; formal logic and analytic ideal of, 229; as ideal for formulation of logical theory, 164–6, 171–2; and knock-out competitions, 183–6; and logical form, 40; and notion of impossibility, 29–30; and notion of possibility, 34–5; probability and methods of, 84; and view of logic as science, 6; warrants and problem of applicability in, 95. *See also* calculus; geometry
- meaning, and distinction between ‘force’ and ‘criteria’, 33
- metaphor: and concept of probability, 42, 61–2; and view of logic as generalized jurisprudence, 7
- Mill, J. S., 230
- Mises, Richard von, 72, 73
- modal terms: and development of mathematical theory of probability, 85; ‘force’ and ‘criteria’ in assessment of arguments, 28–33; and stages in presentation of argument, 17–21; warrants and patterns of argument, 93–4. *See also* qualifiers
- Moore, G. E., 63, 215

- morality: inferences in arguments of, 149–50; obligation and logical categories of truth and validity, 173
- ‘naturalistic fallacy’, 63, 67–8
- necessary arguments, and analytic syllogisms, 137
- necessity: and formal system of calculus, 191; and modal terms, 19–20; system-building and systematic, 174–94
- Newton, Isaac, 113
- objectivity, and probability-statements, 65, 67
- obligation, moral notion of, 173
- perception, and process of cognition, 226
- phenomenalism, and substantial arguments, 211–14
- philosophy and philosophers: ambiguity and concept of probability, 71; and claims of knowledge, 227–8; and definition of probability, 57–61; and differences across fields in assessment of arguments, 37–40; divergence between questions of ‘ordinary man’ and, 9; general use of evaluation terms in, 30–2; and steps in re-ordering of ideas, 233; and use of ‘probably’ in predictions, 47. *See also* argument; epistemology; logic
- physics: and mathematical theory, 193, 229; and nature of formal and theoretical impossibilities, 26
- physiology: and epistemology, 234–5; and organism as analogy for argument, 87
- Piaget, Jean, 195
- Plato, 229
- Platonism, and seventeenth-century revolution in thought, 167, 168
- possibility: definition of, 140–1; and field-invariant force versus field-dependent standards, 35–6; and logical modalities, 157–8; modal terms and mathematical concept of, 34–5; modal terms and stages in presentation of argument, 17–19.
- practice, concepts or themes in logical, 6, 8–10
- precision, and mathematical theory of probability, 86
- predictions: and development of mathematical theory of probability, 84, 85; evidence and probability of, 76; and improper or mistaken claims, 56–7; and logic as system of eternal truths, 169; and temporal gulf, 232; and use of term ‘probably’, 47–9
- presumption, and stages in argument presentation, 20–1
- Prior, A. N., 150–1, 161, 167
- probability: and ambiguity, 69–77; definition of, 57–61; development of concepts of, 82–6; and expectation, 61–6; and improper or mistaken claims, 53–7; and logical relations, 148–9; origins of notions of and examples of adverb ‘probably’, 44–9; psychology and theory of, 77–82; relations between knowledge, belief, and, 42–3; and use of neologisms ‘probabilify’ and ‘probabilification’, 49–53, 66–9
- ‘probable’: and examples of use of adverb ‘probably’, 44–9, 83; modal qualifiers and definition of, 141; as pre-scientific term, 43–4
- probable arguments, and analytic syllogisms, 137
- proper form: and logical possibility, 158, 159; and validity of arguments, 88
- proportions of alternatives, and definitions of probability, 63–4, 68
- propositions: and logical theory, 6, 166; and medieval statement-logic, 167–8; system-building and systematic necessity, 175–94
- psychologism, and probability theory, 77, 79–81
- psychology: and epistemology, 196, 234–5; and logic as formal science, 3, 5; and notion of logical form, 40; and probability theory, 77–82
- Pythagoras, 168
- qualification, and concept of probability, 83–4
- qualifiers: and analytic syllogisms, 138; and distinction between analytic and substantial arguments, 126–7; and kinds of warrants, 93–4. *See also* modal terms

Cambridge University Press

0521827485 - The Uses of Argument, Updated Edition

Stephen E. Toulmin

Index

[More information](#)

246

Index

- quasi-syllogisms: and construction of arguments, 101; and distinction between analytic and substantial arguments, 128–30; and rational competence, 125; and tautology test, 121–2. *See also* syllogisms
- Quine, W. V., 166, 171
- Ramsey, F. P., 70, 79
- ratios, and probability, 72–3
- rebuttal, and modal qualifiers, 93–4, 95
- reductionism, and substantial arguments, 211, 212
- reliability, and objectivity in probability-statements, 66
- Richards, I. A., 215
- Ross, W. D., 132
- Russell, Bertrand, 80, 160
- Ryle, Gilbert, 112
- scepticism: and justification of induction, 217–18; and substantial arguments, 211–14
- science: claims to certainty in experimental, 145; and innovations, 237; and nature of formal and theoretical impossibilities, 26; status of logic as formal, 3–5, 6, 8; and theory of probability, 82; and use of ‘probably’ in predictions, 47–9
- self-evidence, and analytic syllogisms, 121, 122
- simplicity, and layout of arguments, 133–4
- skill, and knock-out competitions, 178–9
- social reforms, assessment and grading in appraisal of, 32
- sociology, and theory of logic, 3–4, 40
- solutions, and stages in argument presentation, 18, 20
- standards: for layout of arguments, 89; and mathematical theory of probability, 84
- Sterne, Laurence, 238
- Stevenson, C. L., 215
- Strawson, P. F., 145–8, 164, 171
- subjectivism, and notion of probability, 57, 59–61, 65–6, 77
- substantial arguments: and analytic arguments and syllogisms, 125–31, 133, 134, 138, 155; and applied logic, 235; and layout of arguments, 114–18; and logical gulf, 210; and transcendentalism, 206–16
- syllogisms: ambiguity in and layout of arguments, 100–5; and analytic arguments, 119–25. *See also* analytic syllogisms; quasi-syllogisms
- symbolism, and knock-out competitions, 183–6
- system-building, and systematic necessity, 174–94
- tautology test, and analytic syllogisms, 121, 123
- technology, and view of logic, 5–6
- temporal gulf, and predictions, 232
- theory, logical: fundamental notions of and use of terms, 6–7; over-simplification and traditional beginning of, 134; radical re-ordering of, 234–8. *See also* logic
- thinking, and psychologism, 80
- transcendentalism, and substantial arguments, 206–11
- trustworthiness: and objectivity in probability-statements, 66; of predictions, 57
- truth: logic as system of eternal, 163–73; relativity of to evidence, 76
- understanding: experimental inquiry into development of, 195; and imagination, 152–3
- ‘universal premisses’, and layout of arguments, 105–9
- Urmson, J. O., 70
- Utilitarianism, and consequences of legislation and social action, 32
- validity: of analytic arguments and analytic syllogisms, 122, 137; and features of form, 40; as intra-field, 235; sources of and layouts of arguments, 88; traditional concept of ‘formal’, 102, 110–14
- verification test, and analytic syllogisms, 121, 122–3
- vis viva*, debate about nature of, 71
- Waismann, Friedrich, 80
- warrants: and analytic syllogisms, 124–5, 137, 139–40; and data in analytic

| | |
|--|--|
| arguments, 118–19, 120; and notion of formal validity, 111–14; and substantial arguments, 127; as substitution-rules, 194; and ‘universal premisses’, 106. <i>See also</i> backing of warrants | Whewell, William, 229–30, 238 Wisdom, John, 211 Wittgenstein, Ludwig, 233 Wodehouse, P. G., 222 Wright, G. H. von, 173 |
|--|--|