

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-82607-5 - Logic and Theism: Arguments For and Against Beliefs in God

Jordan Howard Sobel

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

Contents

<i>Preface</i>	<i>page xvii</i>
DIVINITY	
I. ‘God’, ‘god’, and God	3
1. <i>Existence and essence questions</i>	3
2. <i>Names in questions of existence and belief</i>	4
3. <i>Etymology and semantics</i>	6
4. <i>The core attitudinal conception of God</i>	9
5. <i>The philosophers’ conception of God – God as a perfect being</i>	11
6. <i>The common conception of traditional theology</i>	12
7. <i>Might there be a god, even if there is not a perfect being?</i>	19
8. <i>Might there not be a god, even if there is a perfect being?</i>	24
ARGUMENTS FOR THE EXISTENCE OF GOD	
II. Classical Ontological Arguments	29
1. <i>Introduction</i>	29
2. <i>Part One: René Descartes’s ontological proof</i>	31
3. <i>Part Two: “Mr. Spinoza, meet Mr. Russell”</i>	40
4. <i>Part Three: St. Anselm’s argument of Proslogion II</i>	59
5. <i>Part Four: Immanuel Kant’s critique of Descartes’s ontological argument</i>	66
Appendix A. <i>Symbols and symbolizations</i>	70
Appendix B. <i>Derivations and models</i>	71
Appendix C. <i>Rules of inference and forms of derivations</i>	78

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-82607-5 - Logic and Theism: Arguments For and Against Beliefs in God

Jordan Howard Sobel

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

x

Contents

III. Modern Modal Ontological Arguments	81
1. <i>Norman Malcolm's argument</i>	81
2. <i>Charles Hartshorne's argument</i>	82
3. <i>A fly in the ointment?</i>	86
4. <i>Other Anselmian arguments</i>	88
5. <i>'It's the possibility!'</i>	89
6. <i>Foes of ontological arguments say that their possibility-premises beg questions</i>	90
7. <i>Friends of ontological arguments respond</i>	91
8. <i>But that – that conceivability entails possibility – is simply not true!</i>	92
9. <i>A demotion of the argument from a proof, to a license to believe</i>	96
Appendix A. <i>'Possible worlds'</i>	99
A1. <i>Worlds enough</i>	99
A2. <i>Truth and actuality at possible worlds</i>	101
A3. <i>Modal realism without tears</i>	102
A4. <i>This is not a story</i>	103
A5. <i>A logic for possible worlds</i>	103
Appendix B. <i>Modal logic</i>	105
B1. <i>Sentential modal logic</i>	105
B2. <i>Hartshorne's modal ontological argument</i>	108
B3. <i>Quantified modal logic</i>	110
IV. Kurt Gödel's <i>Ontologischer Beweis</i>	115
1. <i>Introduction</i>	115
2. <i>Language and logic</i>	117
3. <i>Axioms, definitions, and two theorems</i>	118
4. <i>That it is necessary that there is a God-like being</i>	125
5. <i>Would that be God, could it be God?</i>	128
6. <i>Modal collapse</i>	132
7. <i>Concluding recommendations</i>	135
Appendix A. <i>Notes in Kurt Gödel's hand</i>	144
Appendix B. <i>Notes in Dana Scott's hand</i>	145
Appendix C. <i>Mainly derivations</i>	146
C1. <i>A logic for Gödel's system</i>	146
C2. <i>Two promised derivations</i>	148
C3. <i>Derivations of theorems in Gödel's system</i>	149
C4. <i>Derivations for Anderson's emendation of Gödel's system</i>	157
V. First Causes: " <i>The Second Way</i> "	168
1. <i>Part I, Question 2, of Summa Theologica – "The Existence of God"</i>	168

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-82607-5 - Logic and Theism: Arguments For and Against Beliefs in God

Jordan Howard Sobel

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

<i>Contents</i>	xi
2. <i>An articulation of the Second Way</i>	170
3. <i>'Efficient causes' in the argument – sustaining, or generating?</i>	175
4. <i>The infinite and infinite regresses</i>	181
5. <i>The preliminary conclusion</i>	190
6. <i>There is a gap in the argument</i>	192
7. <i>On the ultimate conclusion, that God exists: Whether this would follow even if all was well in the argument to it</i>	193
Appendix A. <i>Notes on Aquinas's other ways</i>	195
Appendix B. <i>Bangs and infinite regresses of causes</i>	198
B1. <i>Big bang!!</i>	198
B2. <i>A blast from the recent past – William Lane Craig's kalām causal argument</i>	198
VI. <i>Ultimate Reasons: Proofs a contingentia mundi</i>	200
1. <i>Classical sources</i>	200
2. <i>A Leibnizian cosmological argument</i>	208
3. <i>On the premises, and terminology</i>	209
4. <i>Comparisons with ontological, and again with first cause, arguments</i>	214
5. <i>Cleanthes' objection</i>	215
6. <i>A 'small problem' with our Leibnizian argument</i>	217
7. <i>That 'small problem' with the argument goes into bigger problems for its 'ambition'</i>	218
8. <i>Proofs a contingentia mundi – what a nice bad idea</i>	222
Appendix A. <i>Leibniz's problem with necessity</i>	228
A1. <i>What, according to Leibniz, is the reason for the existence of the World?</i>	228
A2. <i>Has he given a sufficient reason?</i>	228
A3. <i>Leibniz's 'trilemma'</i>	229
Appendix B. <i>Contingency in John Leslie's Axiarchism</i>	233
Appendix C. <i>Robert C. Koons's 'New Look' cosmological argument</i>	234
C1. <i>A start-up problem</i>	234
C2. <i>A terminal problem</i>	236
VII. <i>Look 'Round! – Arguments from Design</i>	238
1. <i>The argument of the Dialogues – first statement</i>	239
2. <i>On assessing arguments for causal explanations</i>	243
3. <i>Probabilities, plain and conditional</i>	247
4. <i>Bayes's theorems</i>	252
5. <i>A 'particular discussion of the evidence' – the Dialogues, Parts 5–8, 10, and 11</i>	258
6. <i>Part 12 of the Dialogues: Hume's 'philosophical theism'</i>	264
7. <i>New facts and new theories</i>	272

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-82607-5 - Logic and Theism: Arguments For and Against Beliefs in God

Jordan Howard Sobel

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

xii

Contents

8. <i>The argument from design – millennial editions</i>	277
9. <i>It is best to leave God-like beings out of otherwise natural explanations</i>	287
Appendix. <i>Swinburne’s teleological argument, and his cumulative argument, for the existence of God</i>	288
A1. <i>Swinburne’s teleological argument</i>	288
A2. <i>The ‘logic’ of this argument</i>	289
A3. <i>The argument compared with Cleanthes’</i>	290
A4. <i>‘Cumulative confirmation’ – ‘Don’t try this at home!’</i>	291
A5. <i>On Swinburne’s cumulative argument for the existence of God</i>	294
VIII. <i>Clouds of Witnesses – “Of Miracles”</i>	298
<i>Introductory and prefatory remarks</i>	298
1. <i>‘Miracles’</i>	302
2. <i>‘Laws of nature’</i>	305
3. <i>Evidence for miracles, and for God</i>	309
4. <i>On the first part of Hume’s general maxim</i>	312
5. <i>A condition that is not only necessary, but also sufficient, for testimony sufficient to establish a miracle</i>	318
6. <i>On the second part of Hume’s maxim</i>	319
7. <i>Bayes’s theorem for the evidence of testimony</i>	319
8. <i>Thomas Bayes and Bayes’s theorems</i>	321
9. <i>Richard Price</i>	322
10. <i>Lotteries – Price thought they made his case</i>	324
11. <i>Hume, ‘I must weigh this’</i>	327
12. <i>Two experiments</i>	328
13. <i>Responses to these results</i>	329
Appendix A. <i>A proof of Hume’s theorem</i>	331
Appendix B. <i>Condorcet’s rule, witness reliability, and ‘last degrees of assurance’</i>	333
B1. <i>Bayesing Condorcet’s rule</i>	333
B2. <i>Witness reliability</i>	335
B3. <i>On last degrees of assurance</i>	336
ON TWO PARTS OF THE COMMON CONCEPTION	
IX. <i>Romancing the Stone</i>	345
1. <i>On the ‘common names’ of God</i>	345
2. <i>Omnipotence</i>	346
3. <i>‘Essential properties’?</i>	350
4. <i>On whether omnipotence is possible</i>	353
5. <i>On essential omnipotence</i>	361

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-82607-5 - Logic and Theism: Arguments For and Against Beliefs in God

Jordan Howard Sobel

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

<i>Contents</i>	xiii
6. <i>On necessarily everlasting existence conjoined with essential omnipotence</i>	364
7. <i>On omnipotence conjoined with other conditions and attributes</i>	364
8. <i>What is left for God of omnipotence?</i>	365
Appendix. <i>A formal articulation of the argument of Section 5</i>	367
X. 'God Knows (Go Figure)'	369
1. <i>Introduction</i>	369
2. <i>The primary argument from the impossibility of a set of the reflective parts of an omniscient's knowledge</i>	370
3. <i>'Totalities'</i>	374
4. <i>The argument trimmed</i>	374
5. <i>Subtotalities, mappings, more than, and Cantor for totalities</i>	375
6. <i>On kinds of multiplicities</i>	378
7. <i>Taking the measure of these challenges to omniscience</i>	380
8. <i>All truths and possibilities for omniscience</i>	382
9. <i>Divine knowledge, a guarded recommendation</i>	386
10. <i>Taking stock, to move on</i>	388
11. <i>Grim's radical argument against omniscience</i>	389
12. <i>Conclusions</i>	391
Appendix. <i>Notes on Cantorian set theory</i>	394
A1. <i>Power sets</i>	394
A2. <i>Cardinalities</i>	394
A3. <i>Cantor's Theorem</i>	395
A4. <i>Cardinalities of power sets</i>	397
ARGUMENTS AGAINST THE EXISTENCE OF GOD	
XI. Atheologies, Demonstrative and Evidential	401
1. <i>'That that's sawce for a goose is sawce for a gander'</i>	401
2. <i>Ambitious atheistic demonstrations</i>	403
3. <i>Modest atheistic demonstrations</i>	404
4. <i>Hume's argument in Part II of the Dialogues</i>	405
5. <i>The evidential argument from evil of Rowe (1986)</i>	409
6. <i>The evidential arguments from evil of Rowe (1988) and (1996)</i>	413
7. <i>A Bayesian issue for evidence of evil</i>	427
8. <i>Another skeptic</i>	429
9. <i>It can be different strokes for different folks</i>	432
Appendix. <i>Promised derivations</i>	432

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-82607-5 - Logic and Theism: Arguments For and Against Beliefs in God

Jordan Howard Sobel

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

xiv

Contents

XII. The Logical Problem of Evil	436
1. <i>The argument from evil</i>	437
2. <i>The argument from the world's not being a best world</i>	439
3. <i>The argument from the world's not being a best divinely creatable world</i>	461
4. <i>The argument from the world's not being a best divine bet world</i>	465
5. <i>The problem of the best</i>	466
6. <i>The argument from there being a better world than this one</i>	468
7. <i>A dilemmatic argument to the world's being improvable</i>	470
8. <i>Might love be the answer?</i>	477
Appendix A. <i>On alleged incompatibilities of divine omniscience and freedom</i>	479
A1. <i>An argument from the purported impossibility of foreknowledge of exercises of freedom</i>	481
A2. Mere <i>everlasting omniscience and freedom: An argument 'after' one of Nelson Pike's</i>	483
A3. <i>An adaptation of the argument to essential everlasting omniscience without necessary existence</i>	486
A4. <i>A similar argument for essential everlasting omniscience with necessary existence</i>	490
Appendix B. <i>A deduction in Section 2.2.3 spelled out</i>	494
PRACTICAL ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST THEISTIC BELIEFS	
XIII. Pascalian Wagers	499
1. <i>Theoretical and practical reasons</i>	499
2. <i>The wager</i>	501
3. <i>Part Two. Belief-options</i>	503
4. <i>Part Three. On the variety of possible Pascalian wagers</i>	506
5. <i>Case 2: Believing would have only other-world rewards</i>	514
6. <i>Case 3: Belief is not considered to be cost-free</i>	516
7. <i>Case 4: Alternative reward-policies for salvation are taken seriously</i>	518
8. <i>Variants of Cases 3 and 4</i>	520
9. <i>Case 5: Competing God-hypotheses are taken seriously</i>	521
10. <i>Case 6: Alternative policies not only for rewards, but also for punishments, are taken seriously</i>	522
11. <i>Case 7: Reason itself is considered another great thing</i>	524
12. <i>Case 8: All goods and evils are considered commensurable</i>	527
13. <i>Case 9: God would frown upon willful believing</i>	528

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-82607-5 - Logic and Theism: Arguments For and Against Beliefs in God

Jordan Howard Sobel

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

	<i>Contents</i>	xv
	<i>Appendix. Hyperreals and decision theory</i>	532
	<i>A1. Hyperreals</i>	532
	<i>A2. Hyperreals in decision theory</i>	536
	<i>Notes</i>	539
	<i>References</i>	630
	<i>Index of Names</i>	647