

Errors of Justice

In this book, Brian Forst takes a fresh new perspective on the assessment of criminal justice policy, examining the prospect of assessing policies based on their impact on errors of justice: the error of failing to bring offenders to justice, on the one hand, and the error of imposing costs on innocent people and excessive costs on offenders, on the other. Noting that we have sophisticated systems for managing errors in statistical inference and quality control processes and no parallel system for managing errors of a more socially costly variety – on matters of guilt and innocence – the author first lays the foundation for a commonsense approach to the management of errors in the criminal justice system, from policing and prosecution to sentencing and corrections, then examines the sources of error in each sector, the harms they impose on society, and frameworks for analyzing and reducing them.

Brian Forst is Professor of Justice, Law and Society at the School of Public Affairs American University, in Washington, DC. He is the author of several books, including *The Privatization of Policing: Two Views* with Peter Manning.



Cambridge Studies in Criminology

Edited by

Alfred Blumstein, H. John Heinz School of Public Policy and Management, Carnegie Mellon University

and David Farrington, Institute of Criminology, University of Cambridge

Other Books in the Series:

Life in the Gang: Family, Friends, and Violence, by Scott H. Decker and Barrik Van Winkle

Delinquency and Crime: Current Theories, edited by J. David Hawkins

Recriminalizing Delinquency: Violent Juvenile Crime and Juvenile Justice Reform, by Simon I. Singer

Mean Streets: Youth Crime and Homelessness, by John Hagan and Bill McCarthy

The Framework of Judicial Sentencing: A Study in Legal Decision Making, by Austin Lovegrove

The Criminal Recidivism Process, by Edward Zamble and Vernon L. Quinsey

Violence and Childhood in the Inner City, by Joan McCord

Judicial Policy Making and the Modern State: How the Courts Reformed America's Prisons, by Malcolm M. Feeley and Edward L. Rubin

Schools and Delinquency, by Denise C. Gottfredson

The Crime Drop in America, edited by Alfred Blumstein and Joel Wallman Delinquent-Prone Communities, by Don Weatherburn and Bronwyn Lind White-Collar Crime and Criminal Careers, by David Weisburd and Elin Waring, with Ellen F. Chayet

Sex Differences in Antisocial Behavior: Conduct Disorder, Delinquency, and Violence in the Dunedin Longitudinal Study, by Terrie Moffitt, Avshalom Caspi, Michael Rutter, and Phil A. Silva

Delinquent Networks: Youth Co-Offending in Stockholm, by Jerzy Sarnecki

Continued on page following the Index



Errors of Justice

Nature, Sources, and Remedies

Brian Forst

American University





> PUBLISHED BY THE PRESS SYNDICATE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge, United Kingdom

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK 40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011-4211, USA 477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia Ruiz de Alarcón 13, 28014 Madrid, Spain Dock House, The Waterfront, Cape Town 8001, South Africa http://www.cambridge.org

© Brian Forst 2004

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2004

Printed in the United States of America

Typeface ITC New Baskerville 10/14 pt. System $\text{LTFX } 2_{\mathcal{E}}$ [TB]

A catalog record for this book is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Forst, Brian.

Errors of justice: nature, sources, and remedies / Brian Forst.

p. cm. - (Cambridge studies in criminology) Includes bibliographical references and index. ISBN 0-521-82130-4 – ISBN 0-521-52882-8 (pbk.)

1. Criminal justice, Administration of - United States. 2. Judicial error -United States. I. Title. II. Cambridge studies in criminology (Cambridge University Press) HV9950.F66 2004

364.973-dc21 2003046132

ISBN-13 978-0-521-82130-8 hardback

ISBN-10 0-521-82130-4 hardback

ISBN-13 978-0-521-52882-5 paperback ISBN-10 0-521-52882-8 paperback



To Eric and Laura



Contents

Preface

	<i>y</i>	10
	Acknowledgments	xv
1	The Problem	1
	Introduction	1
	What Is an Error of Justice?	3
	Aim and Overview of the Book	7
2	Errors of Due Process	10
	Introduction	10
	What Is Due Process?	11
	Landmark Due Process Cases	13
	The Sources of Due Process Errors and Their Social	
	Significance	16
	Managing Due Process Errors	19
	Conclusion	21
3	Errors of Impunity	22
	Introduction	22
	What Is an Error of Impunity?	23
	The Sources and Consequences of Errors of Impunity	23
	Managing Errors of Impunity	27
	Conclusion	30
4	Frameworks for Analyzing the Incidence of Justice	
	Errors	31
	Introduction	31
		ix

bage xiii



Cambridge University Press 0521821304 - Errors of Justice: Nature, Sources, and Remedies Brian Forst

Frontmatter More information

X CONTENTS

	Managing Errors of Statistical Inference: The Problem	0.0
	of Reliability	32
	Managing Errors of Statistical Inference: The Problem of Validity	36
	Parallels between Errors of Statistical Inference and Errors	
	of Justice	40
	Managing Errors in Production and Service Delivery	
	Processes	41
	Conclusion	43
5	Assessing the Cost of Justice Errors	45
	Introduction	45
	What Are the Social Costs of Crime and Justice?	46
	Difficulties in Assessing the Social Costs of Crime	
	and Justice	48
	Optimal Levels of Interventions Against Crime	52
	Initial Estimates	54
	Conclusion	55
6	Standards of Evidence	57
	Introduction	57
	Varying the Parameters	58
	Conclusion	64
7	Police-Induced Errors	66
	Introduction	66
	Making Sense of Policing Errors	67
	Nature and Sources of Justice Errors Associated with the Police	69
	Managing Justice Errors Attributable to the Police	92
	Balancing Error Management with Other Policing Goals	106
	Conclusion	109
8	Prosecution Policy and Justice Errors	112
	Introduction	112
	Prosecutorial Discretion and Errors of Justice	114
	Improved Coordination with Police	116



CON	VTENTS	xi
	Errors of Fact, Questions of Culpability, and Tactical Errors	118
	Should the Prosecutor Emphasize Conviction Quality	
	or Quantity?	120
	Analyzing Data on Prosecution under a Range of Assumptions	
	about Factual Guilt	122
	Analysis by Crime Category	127
	Conclusion	131
9	The Jury	134
	Introduction	134
	Jury Policy, Representativeness, and Justice Errors	136
	How Many Jurors? Must Their Decision be Unanimous?	140
	Jury Dynamics	145
	Conclusion	149
10	Sentencing and Corrections	150
	Introduction	150
	Optimum Sanctions under Alternative Frameworks	152
	Sources of Excessive Social Costs in Sentencing	172
	Who Might Cause Sentences to be Outside the Norm?	173
	Should Sanctions be Based on Predictions?	176
	Errors in Corrections	178
	The Critical Role of Incentives and Systems of	
	Accountability	180
	Conclusion	182
11	Homicide	184
	Introduction	184
	Basics about Homicide: Opportunities for Error	
	in Classification	185
	Failures to Bring Homicide Offenders to Justice	190
	Excessive Intrusions in Homicide Cases	197
	The Death Penalty	199
	Remedies and Impediments to the Management	
	of Errors in Homicide Cases	203
	Conclusion	210



xii		CONTENTS
12	A Matter of Legitimacy	212
	Introduction	212
	What Is Legitimacy?	213
	Operationalizing the Notion of Legitimacy	215
	Conclusion	217
	Bibliography	221
	Index	243



Preface

Requiring students of criminal justice to learn the fundamentals of statistical inference may or may not be good for them, but it surely can enlighten the instructor. In searching for a way to motivate my students to learn about Type I and II errors and the logic of statistical inference, I have asked them whether they are concerned about errors of inference made by police, prosecutors, juries, and sentencing judges. It has struck me, in discussing these metaphors, that we have a coherent, sophisticated, effective framework for managing errors in statistical inference, but no such framework for managing errors in the criminal justice system. The errors are not identical, to be sure, but they are parallel. In both domains, some procedures tend to shift errors, others either reduce or increase both sets of errors. We can examine the shifts in errors of inference in hypothesis testing that derive from changes in the alpha level, but haven't a clue as to the effect of a change in the standard of evidence on corresponding errors of justice. We haven't attempted even to ask. We know precisely how increases in sample size reduce both Type I and II errors for given parameter values, but we have no idea the extent to which DNA evidence simultaneously reduces the rate of erroneous convictions in homicide or rape cases and increases the rate at which such crimes are solved. This has to do largely with our inability to establish factual guilt, but inability to know the truth has not prevented statisticians from developing power functions and operating characteristic curves, tolerance tests, and all the other useful components of our widely accepted system for managing errors in statistical inference.

xiii



xiv PREFACE

This book describes an inquiry into the prospect of developing a parallel system for error management in the criminal justice system, in a spirit akin to Walter Lippmann's observation, "The study of error is not only in the highest degree prophylactic, but it serves as a stimulating introduction to the study of truth." At the very least, we should be able to catalogue more systematically the nature and sources of errors on both sides of the scale of justice and consider how they may be affected by changes in rules and laws, policies and procedures. We should be able also to examine more thoroughly than we have prospects for borrowing from systems for managing errors in other domains involving risk with often high stakes, including not only statistical inference, but quality control management, epidemiology and health care systems, and financial portfolio analysis, so that we may be able to better manage errors of justice.

Some of the more technical material in the text is likely to have the approximate effect on the reader that discussions of Type I and Type II errors have on my students. I wish to assure the reader who has difficulty with this material that it is not necessary to understand all the specifics. I have attempted to present the essentials in a manner that will leave all readers with a basic appreciation for and understanding of the nature and sources of errors of justice and approaches to minimizing their harmful effects on society. The technical material is intended for the more technically oriented reader and is included to deal with issues that are important to scholars and others who care about certain details. I have attempted to speak to both audiences in a single volume in a way that minimizes pain and suffering for all who take the journey.

Errors of justice harm us all. They undermine trust, drain our resources, create inequities, and restrict our freedom. They diminish the quality of our lives. They warrant more coherent treatment than we have given them.

¹ Lippmann, Public Opinion (1922, reissued by Touchstone Books, 1997), p. 256.



Acknowledgments

I wish to thank several people who supported the development of this work. First and foremost is my wife, Judith, who was once again the understanding and interested partner who indulged my preoccupation with yet another project of paramount importance. She made thoughtful comments on each of the dozens of drafts of material for this book that I placed before her as the work unfolded. To her I promise to make more time to play. To our wonderful children I dedicate this book.

My colleagues and friends Jim Fyfe, Jim Lynch, and Joan Petersilia gave the manuscript particularly thorough reviews and offered comments that were extremely perceptive and helpful. I am grateful also for astute readings and constructive suggestions provided by Dick Bennett, Tom Brady, Phil Cook, Heather Davies, Ron Huff, Rob Kane, Alisa Kramer, Laura Langbein, Jennifer Segal, and Peter von zur Muehlen. My research assistant, Lakera Tompkins, provided extraordinary support – accurately, comprehensively, quickly, and cheerfully – whenever I asked for it. These discerning and generous people are in no way responsible for errors of omission or commission, due process or impunity, Type I or Type II, or any other sort, random or systematic, that may be found on the pages that follow.

My publisher, Cambridge University Press, lived every bit up to the reputation that drew me to them in the first place. Acquisitions editor Mary Child, Humanities and Social Sciences editor Alia Winters, production and copy editor Laura Lawrie, project manager Anoop Chaturvedi, production controller Susanna Fry, and production



xvi

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

contact Shari Chappell were thoroughly professional and helpful throughout in moving the project from proposal to finished product, and Cambridge Criminology Series editors Al Blumstein and David Farrington gave the levels of superior support for which they are both so renowned and respected among criminologists worldwide.

Many of the basic ideas that provide the core material for this book were set forth in the article "Toward an Understanding of the Effect of Changes in Standards of Proof on Errors of Justice," published in the Summer 2001 issue of *Jurimetrics* (Volume 41). I thank the editors of *Jurimetrics* and reviewers of the draft manuscript of that article for their thoughtful comments.

I wish, finally, to acknowledge my mentor in the quantitative aspects of justice, Hans Zeisel. He was the perfect model in so many ways. Incisive yet graceful, he understood complexity – in the law, in human behavior, and in public policy – and managed always to find a way to express it simply and elegantly in prose and say it even more clearly with figures. Hans managed always to find a way to maintain his twinkling sense of humor and goodwill even when confronted with boorish grandstanding and pettiness. He was a living testament to the idea that one's ceaseless commitment to the highest standard of scholarly integrity can faithfully serve one's passion for justice. He left an indelible impression, one that has inspired me now for some 25 years and will continue to do so for as long as I do this work.