

## Index

---

- Aborigines, 210–211
- absurdity in expressions, 85–102
- acknowledgment of other's views to smuggle in absurd descriptions, 95–99
  - caricature in descriptions of others' positions, 94–95
  - challenge of, 99–101
  - in describing one's own position, 92–93
  - effect of, 93–94
  - and extremity, 90–92
  - overview, 85
  - responding and expressing views, 87–90
  - views and attitudes, 85–87
- “Account Apparently Appropriate Negativer”, 40
- acknowledgment of receipt, 203
- acknowledgment tokens, 193
- active interview, 5
- activity type, research interview as, 68–70
- act utilitarianism, 153
- adjacency pair, 179–180
- affiliation and detachment, 178
- agency, 57–58
- construction of, 54–58
  - effect of ideology on, 141
- age of person interviewed, 22
- ambiguities, 7, 33. *See also* contradictions
- American Declaration of Independence, 138
- analysis materials, 6–7
- answer receipts of interviewers, 178–199
- analytical procedure, 179–182
  - conflicting interactional tasks of interviewer, 178–179
  - minimal responses, 192–195
  - research interviews, 196–198
  - sequential format for topic management, 182–184
  - variation in, 184–192
- confirmation, 187–189
  - joint answer construction, 184–187
  - overview, 184
  - slot related variation, 180–182
  - topic assessment, 189–191
  - use of as tokens of affiliation or detachment, 191–192
- Antaki, C., 75, 79
- anthropologists, treatment of context by, 139
- antithesis, 94
- “any of them,” use/meaning in interviews, 43–45
- apartheid, interviews about, 43, 96, 97–127, 132–134, 187–188, 190
- “arete,” 142
- assumptions. *See* presuppositions
- Atkinson, J. M., 94, 194
- Atkinson, P., 5
- Australia
- See* Aborigines; Maoris
  - interview about uniting with New Zealand, 185–186
- back-channel action, 192–193
- back-channeling cues, 75
- back-channel token, 211
- Bakhtin, M. M., 104–105
- Basso, K., 104
- beliefs
- See* ideology
  - as function of mental predisposition, 42–46
- Bentham, J., 143, 144, 153
- Bergmann, J. R., 218
- B-event type, 186
- bias
- beliefs as function of, 42–46
  - racial, 32–33
- Billig, M., 20, 31
- contradictions in everyday discourse, 135

## 310 Index

- Billig, M. (*cont.*)  
 focus on rhetoric and ideology, 34  
 notions of lived ideology and ideological dilemmas, 141  
 social categories, 41  
 strong views, 85, 86–87
- binaries, 20
- black children, in educational system, 57
- Blainey, Geoffrey, 52–54
- blame, reversing possibility of, 127–128
- blunders, communicative, 76, 79
- Bogen, D., 46
- Braidotti, R., 11
- Brenner, M., 75, 79
- Briggs, C. L., 66, 75, 79
- Buttny, R., 215, 217
- Cameron, D., 50–51, 54
- caricature, 94–95
- categorization, 161–164  
 avoiding, 139  
 category errors, 23, 26  
 constructivist nature of, 51–54  
 examples in interviews, 164–175  
 self-categorization of interviewees, 162–164
- causation, 57–58
- change, movements for, discrediting, 19
- change-of-state-tokens, 74–75
- characterizations, 189–191
- Cicourel, A. V., 66, 70
- CL (cognitive linguistics), 49–51, 62.  
*See also* constructivist processes in discourse
- Clark, H. H., 215, 216, 217–218
- classification. *See* categorization
- Clayman, S., 162
- co-constructed answers, 186–187
- cognitive knowledge, differential, 50–51
- cognitive linguistics (CL) 49–51, 62.  
*See also* constructivist processes in discourse
- coherence in interviews, 119
- commonplaces, 141–142
- common sense 141, 151–152. *See also* happiness
- communicative blunders, 76, 79
- concession, 94–95, 97–99
- conflict, social, 14
- conflict construction of society, 20
- consensus construction of society, 20
- consequences of actions, as method in determining morality, 143–144
- construal processes, 49–51
- constructed dialogue, 115
- constructionism, social, 66–67
- constructivist processes in discourse, 49–63  
 construction of agency, 54–58  
 constructivist nature of categorization, 51–54  
 conversationalization, 58–61  
 overview, 49–51
- context, 139–140, 195  
*See also* cultural resources in interviews  
 analytical approaches focusing on, 138
- continuers, 193–194
- contradictions, 33, 119–137  
*See also* ambiguities  
 for constructing a convincing argument, 128–130  
 for face saving strategies, 124–128  
 functionalist accounts of variability, 121–123  
 how to make sense of, 123–124  
 integrating incompatible repertoires, 130–131  
 as problem or source, 119–121  
 switching between incompatible repertoires, 131–134
- contrastive pair, 94
- controversial matters, 215  
 interviewer's responsibilities regarding, 178–179  
 posing questions about, 220–225
- conversationalization, 58–61
- conversational resource, reported speech as, 106
- conversation analysis, 106
- conversation analysts, treatment of context, 139
- correct description, 12
- correspondence models of language, 12
- Coulter, J., 31, 34
- courts, question–answer exchanges in, 194
- credentialing, 19–20
- critical discourse analysis, and functionalist accounts of variability, 122–123
- cultural resources in interviews, 11–30  
 accounts of social influence, 14–21  
 analytic assumptions, 12–14  
 insider knowledge and constructing object of study, 26–29  
 objections to, 21–23  
 overview, 11–12  
 responses to objections, 23–25
- data collection, 4
- data extracts, selection of, 219–220

- deception in interviews, 123  
 Declaration of Independence, 138  
 definition of situation, 70–71  
 deictics, 163, 166, 171, 174, 175–176  
 Denzin, N., 66, 81  
 description, correct, 12  
 dialogues, benefits of monologues over, 27  
 differential cognitive knowledge, 50–51  
 direct reported speech, 105–106  
 discourse analysts, treatment of context, 139  
*Discourse and social psychology*, 86  
 discourse identity, 72–73  
 discourse markers, 58–61  
 discourse types, 81  
 discrediting movements for change, 19  
 discrepancies. *See* contradictions  
 discursive analysis, 106  
 discursive constructions of the racial other, 103–104  
 discursive psychology, 11–12  
 discursive psychology of mind–world relationships. *See* mind–world relationships  
 disposition formulation, 39–42  
 disputable matters  
   interviewer’s responsibilities regarding, 178–179  
   posing questions about, 220–225  
 distancing function, unspecified, 213  
 documentary method of interpretation, 68–69  
 double-voiced discourse. *See* reported speech, used to articulate Pakeha positions  
 Drew, P., 70  
 Drummond, K., 180, 192  
 dualisms, 20  
 Edwards, D., 34  
   on absurdity in expressions, 85  
   pervasive features of descriptions, 87, 93  
   strong views expressed by respondents, 87  
 effects of actions, as method in determining morality, 143–144  
 Ehlich, K., 194  
 empirical research, contestment of interview as instrument for, 4  
 entextualization, 139  
 equality  
   and commonplaces, 141  
   interview about, 55–57  
 errors in interviews. *See* contradictions  
 “eudaimonia,” 142  
 exaggeration in expressions. *See* absurdity in expressions  
 exclusion of minority groups, happiness as argument for, 144–153  
 expanding upon formulations, 204  
 explosive reactions, 218  
 expressions in interviews, use/meaning of  
   absurdity in expressions, 85–102  
   acknowledgment of other’s views to smuggle in absurd descriptions, 95–99  
   caricature in descriptions of others’ positions, 94–95  
   in describing one’s own position, 92–93  
   effect of, 93–94  
   and extremity, 90–92  
   overview, 85  
   views and attitudes, 85–87  
   “any of them,” 43–45  
   “hmm,” 193, 194  
   “it makes you wonder,” 36  
   “mm,” 194  
   “mm hmm,” 192  
   “oh,” 58–59, 74–75  
   “sort of thing” 36  
   “sure OK,” 60  
   “uh huh,” 192, 193  
   “Yeah,” “Yes,”  
   “yeah aw’right,” 60  
   “you know,” 36, 42  
 external knowledge. *See* cultural resources in interviews  
 extreme case formulations. *See* absurdity in expressions  
 extremity, 90–92. *See also* absurdity in expressions  
 extrinsic value, 143  
 face-saving device, 124–128  
 “factual” basis, using narratives to create, 104  
 Fairclough, N., 81  
 family-origin metaphor, 153  
 fixed sequences, 179–180  
 focal members, of activity types, 69  
 footing of interviewees, 161–164  
   examples in interviews, 164–175  
   relation of interviewer’s behaviors, 175  
 Forester, E. M., 55  
 forgetting function, 44–46  
 formulations, 189  
 Foucault, Michel, 14, 24, 77–78, 80

## 312 Index

- framing, 50–51, 54, 120  
 and footing of interviewees, 161–164  
 examples in interviews, 164–175  
 relation of interviewer's behaviors, 175  
 frame analysis of research interviews  
 interference of frames, 160–161  
 overview, 156–160  
 identifying, 220  
 institutional, professional, and  
 lifeworld frames, 64–84  
 institutionality of interview talk,  
 70–75  
 interactional complexities and  
 multiple framing of research  
 interviews, 75–76  
 overlay of frames, 76–78  
 overview, 64–65  
 research interview as activity type,  
 68–70  
 research interview as resource and  
 as topic, 65–68  
 in interviewers' queries, 215–231  
 adjustments, 229–230  
 analysis, 220–228  
 methods, 219–220  
 overview, 215–216  
 query packages, 229  
 summary of related empirical  
 research, 217–219  
 interview frame, 158–159  
 mutual relation frame, 159, 160  
 social/interactional sense of, 157–158  
 social research frame, 159  
 topic-related cognitive frames, 160  
 Frazer, E., 50–51, 54  
 friendly interviewing, 28  
 front channel action, 192  
 functionalist accounts of variability,  
 121–123  
 Garfinkel, H., 68–69  
 generalizing expressions, 42  
 genocide, interview about, 53–54  
 Gilbert, N., 1  
 Glassner, H., 66  
 Goffman, E., 70, 120, 161  
 “good,” use/meaning in interviews,  
 204  
 Gramsci, A., 151  
 Greatbach, D., 162, 180, 194  
 Greatest Happiness Principle, 144  
 Greek ethics, 142  
 Griffin, J., 143  
 Gubrium, J. F., 5, 65–66  
 Hak, T., 209  
 Hammersley, M., 5  
 happiness  
 as argument for exclusion of minority  
 groups, 144–153  
 mentioned within ideological texts, 138  
 and utilitarianism, 142–144  
 Harré, R., 34  
 Hawke, Joe, 20  
 helpline calls, study of, 72–73  
 Heritage, J., 70, 162, 180, 194  
 history and ideology, 140–142, 152  
 “hmm,” use/meaning in interviews, 193,  
 194  
 Holstein, J. A., 5, 65–66  
 Hopper, R., 180, 192  
 Houtkoop-Steenstra, H., 162  
 Hymes, D., 81  
 hyperbole. *See* absurdity in expressions  
 identity  
 discourse, 72–73  
 situational, 72–73  
 ideological history, 140–142, 152  
 ideology, 14  
 “I don't know,” use/meaning in interviews,  
 44–46  
 ignorance claims, 44–46  
 illegitimacy, impression of, 19–20  
 immigration, 6, 43–44  
 immigration, interviews about, 144–150  
 impossible descriptions, 90  
 impression of illegitimacy, 19–20  
 inconsistencies. *See* contradictions  
 in-depth interview, 3  
 indexicality of meaning, 152  
 indirection, 218  
 inequality, 13  
 inferences, 215  
 inferential schemata, 68  
 influence, social, 14  
 insider knowledge, 26–29  
 insinuation, 218  
 institutional, professional, and lifeworld  
 frames, 64–84  
 institutionality of interview talk, 70–75  
 interactional complexities and  
 multiple framing of research  
 interviews, 75–76  
 overlay of frames, 76–78  
 overview, 64–65  
 research interview as activity type,  
 68–70  
 research interview as resource and as  
 topic, 65–68

- instrument, whether research  
interview is, 3–6
- interactional meaning, 179
- interactional tasks, 178–179
- interpretative repertoire, 120
- interpretive differences, 50
- interview data, 200
- interviewees
- absurdity in expressions, 85–102
    - acknowledgment of other's views to  
smuggle in absurd descriptions,  
95–99
    - caricature in descriptions of others'  
positions, 94–95
    - challenge of, 99–101
    - in describing one's own position,  
92–93
    - effect of, 93–94
    - and extremity, 90–92
    - overview, 85
    - responding and expressing views,  
87–90
    - views and attitudes, 85–87
  - footing of, 161–164
    - examples in interviews, 164–175
    - relation of interviewer's behaviors,  
175
  - laughter from, 210, 212
  - professional identity of, 77–78, 80
  - self-categorization of, 162
- interviewers, 200–214
- answer receipts of. *See* answer receipts  
of interviewers
  - appreciative interviewer discourse,  
203–204
  - as co-producer of interview data,  
201–202
  - laughter from, 204–212
    - as ambiguous response, 208–210
    - respondent-initiated laughter,  
210–212
  - opinions of, 74–75, 78, 80
  - overview, 200–201
  - perspectives and frameworks in  
queries, 215–231
    - adjustments, 229–230
    - analysis, 220–228
    - methods, 219–220
    - overview, 215–216
    - query packages, 229
    - summary of related empirical  
research, 217–219
  - interview frame, 158–159
  - intimacy, 210–211
  - intrinsic value, 143
  - “invasions,” use/meaning of term, 52–54
  - “it makes you wonder,” use/meaning in  
interviews, 36
  - Jefferson, G., 180, 193, 210
  - Jefferson, Thomas, 138
  - job discrimination, interviews about,  
41–42, 108–109
  - joint answer construction, 184–187
  - knowledge, insider, 26–29
  - Labov, W., 57, 186
  - Laclau, E., 24
  - Lakoff, G., 52
  - land dispute at Raglan (New Zealand), 15
  - Langacker, R. W., 51, 55
  - language
    - as action, 67
    - and ideology, 140
    - linguistic coding, 55
    - simple reference or correspondence  
models of, 12
    - as social activity, 1
    - treatment of context, 139
  - laughter
    - from interviewees, 210, 212
    - from interviewers
      - as ambiguous response, 208–210
      - respondent-initiated laughter,  
210–212
      - transcription of, 214
  - Lawrence, Stephen, 80
  - lay sociologists and social theorists, 14
  - Levinson, S.
    - activity types, 68, 69–70
  - liberty, and commonplaces, 141
  - lifeworld frames, 64–84
    - institutionality of interview talk, 70–75
    - interactional complexities and  
multiple framing of research  
interviews, 75–76
    - overlay of frames, 76–78
    - overview, 64–65
    - research interview as activity type,  
68–70
    - research interview as resource and as  
topic, 65–68
  - linguistics. *See* language
  - litotes, 218
  - “logic in use”, 4, 5
  - Lynch, M., 46
  - Maoris, 6, 15–18
    - activism, 98–99

- Maoris (*cont.*)
- crimes blamed on, 168, 170
  - cultural practices concerning personal property, 110–111
  - differences between groups, 149
  - equality, 55–57
  - and Europeans, 92–93
  - exclusion of, 132–134, 144–153, 166–167
  - immigration of, 144–150, 168–171
  - job discrimination, 41–42, 108–109
  - land compensation, 112–113
  - police violence, 39–40
  - racial problems in schools, 35, 36–38
  - reverse racism, 113–114, 172–173
  - separation of cultures, 131
  - speaking English, 111, 171
- materials for analysis, 6–7
- Mazeland, H., 183, 193
- meaning
- indexicality of, 152
  - interactional, 179
- measurement, reactivity of, 4
- metacomments, 71
- Mill, James, 143, 144
- Miller, J., 66
- mind–world relationships, 31–48
- analysis, 34–46
    - beliefs reluctantly arrived at, and knowledge unlooked, 42–46
    - mental states and epistemic inferences, 35–38
    - scripts and dispositions, 38–42
  - methodological approach, 32–34
  - overview, 31–32
- minority groups. *See* Maoris
- Mishler, E. G., 66, 71, 76, 79–81
- “mm,” use/meaning in interviews, 194
- “mm hmm” use/meaning in interviews, 180, 192
- moderate political positions, 20
- monologues, 27
- morality, as determined by consequences of actions, 143–144
- Mouffe, C., 24
- movements for change, discrediting, 19
- Mulkay, M., 1, 66
- mutual relation frame, 159, 160
- Myers, G., 70
- my-side-telling strategies, 218
- narratives, use to give seemingly “factual” basis, 104
- natives
- See* Aborigines
- negotiation in interviews, 124–125
- neutral questions, 215
- news interviews, 197
- New Zealand
- See* Maoris
  - interview about uniting with Australia, 185–186
- “noble savage” image, 153–154
- non-minimal responses, 196
- normative perspective, 220
- “not knowing” formulations, 44–46
- “oh,” use/meaning in interviews, 58–59, 74–75
- “Oh really,” use/meaning in interviews, 180
- open interview, 3
- opinion polls, 3
- opinions
- See* absurdity in expressions of interviewers, 74–75, 78, 80, 178–179
- organization of interviews, 27–29
- outcome of actions, as method in determining morality, 143–144
- overlapping talk, 193
- pain, as argument for wrongness of actions, 143–144
- Pakeha/Maori relations
- See* Maoris
- Pakeha positions, reported speech used to articulate, 103–118
- analytic perspective and methods, 106–107
  - discursive constructions of the racial other, 103–104
- overview, 103
- reported speech as a conversational practice, 104–106
- in talking race, 107–115
- ascribed political positions of racial other, 112–115
  - overview, 107
  - racial other as deficient, 108–111
- Passage to India, A*, 55
- pauses in interviews, 73–74, 183
- Pawson, R., 78, 80
- personal opinions
- See* absurdity in expressions of interviewers, 74–75, 78, 80, 178–179
- perspectives and frameworks in interviewers’ queries, 215–231
- adjustments, 229–230
  - analysis, 220–228

- methods, 219–220
- overview, 215–216
- query packages, 229
- summary of related empirical research, 217–219
- pleasure, as argument for rightness of actions, 143–144, 152–153
- police violence, 39–40
- politicians, women, 150
- Pollner, M., 31
- polls, opinion, 3
- Polynesian immigrants, 6, 191
- Pomerantz, A. M., 90, 91–92, 187
- Portraits of the Whiteman*, 104
- positivism, 65–66
- possible descriptions, 90
- post-answer trajectory, 183
- postmodern reflexive culture, 1
- Potter, J., 31
  - on absurdity in expressions, 85
  - contradictions in discourse, 61, 135
  - exposition of arguments, 86
  - individual rights and equality, 141, 142
  - pervasive features of descriptions, 87, 93
  - police violence, 54
  - strong views, 87
  - whether interviews suitable for ethnic relations analysis, 176
  - world as “worded entity”, 66
- power relations, 139. *See also* ideological history
- predisposition, beliefs as function of, 42–46
- preference utilitarianism, 152–153
- prejudice, 32–33
- presuppositions, 215, 219, 220
- problems, posing questions about, 220–225
- professional frames, 64–84
  - institutionality of interview talk, 70–75
  - interactional complexities and multiple framing of research interviews, 75–76
  - overlay of frames, 76–78
  - overview, 64–65
  - research interview as activity type, 68–70
  - research interview as resource and as topic, 65–68
- professional identity of interviewee, 77–78, 80
- profiling, 51
- prototypical speech, 105–106, 108–111, 115, 116
- psychiatrist’s questions, 218
- psychology, discursive, 11–12
- psychology of mind–world relationships
  - See* mind–world relationships
- publicly known problems, posing questions about, 220–225
- racial other
  - ascribed political positions of, 112–115
  - as deficient, 108–111
  - discursive constructions of, 103–104
- racial prejudice, 32–33
- racial segregation, 43, 96, 97–127, 132–134, 187–188, 190
- Raglan (New Zealand), 15
- rape, interview about, 186–187, 188–189, 206–207
- Rapley, M., 75, 79
- rationality, and commonplaces, 141
- reactions, explosive, 218
- reactivity of measurement, 4
- recognition of receipt
  - See* acknowledgement of receipt
- reflexive culture, 1
- Reicher, S., 21
- repair initiators, 181
- reported speech, used to articulate Pakeha positions
  - analytic perspective and methods, 106–107
  - reported speech as a conversational practice, 104–106
  - in talking race, 107–115
    - ascribed political positions of racial other, 112–115
    - overview, 107
    - racial other as deficient, 108–111
  - used to articulate Pakeha positions, 103–118
    - discursive constructions of the racial other, 103–104
    - overview, 103
- request for clarification, interviewer laughter as
  - See* interviewers, laughter from
- resource/topic dilemma, 65–68, 76, 79–80
- respondent-initiated laughter, 210–212
- response receipts of interviewers
  - See* answer receipts of interviewers
- responses, explosive, 218

## 316 Index

- results of actions, as method in  
     determining morality, 143–144  
 return-migration, 148  
 reverse racism, 172–173, 201–202  
 Rickards, Eva, 18, 19, 112  
 ridiculousness in expressions  
     *See* absurdity in expressions  
 “right,” use/meaning in interviews, 204  
 rugby tours, 6  
 rule utilitarianism, 153
- Sacks, H., 31, 34  
     “Account Apparently Appropriate  
     Negativer,” 40  
     categorical description, 94–95  
     speaking right of interviewers, 73  
     strong views expressed by  
     respondents, 87  
     teller-initiated laughter, 210
- Schegloff, E. A., 139  
     building perspectives into queries,  
     216, 217–218  
     cultural resources in interviews, 22,  
     23, 24, 25  
     minimal responses, 194–195  
     minimal responses analysis, 180–181  
     participant contributions analysis, 197  
     repair initiators, 181  
     self-categorization of interviewees, 162  
     sequential context, 181  
     teller-initiated laughter, 210  
     use of continuers in interviews,  
     193–194
- Schober, M. F., 215, 216, 217–218
- Schuman, H., 5  
     script formulation, 38–42  
     scripts and dispositions, 38–42  
     segregation, 43, 96, 97–127, 128,  
     132–134, 187–188, 190  
     sense of suddenness, 20  
     sequential analysis, 179–180  
     setting, participants, ends, acts, key,  
     instrumentalities, norms, and  
     genre (SPEAKING), 81
- Shapiro, M., 24
- Silverman, D., 65, 66, 67, 69, 76, 79  
     situational identity, 72–73  
     slot related variation, 180–182  
     smile voice, 210
- Smith, D., 31  
     social conflict, 14  
     social constructionism, 66–67  
     social influence, 14  
     social phenomena outside discourse  
     *See* cultural resources in interviews
- social research frame, 159  
     social science methodologists, 197  
     social theorists, 14  
     sociologists, 14  
     “sort of thing” use/meaning in interviews,  
     36  
     South African (Springbok) rugby tours, 6  
     SPEAKING (setting, participants, ends,  
     acts, key, instrumentalities,  
     norms, and genre), 81  
     speech event, interview as, 80–81  
     Springbok rugby tours, 6  
     state of affairs, 87, 220–225  
     Strauss, C., 136  
     structure of a text or talk, analytical  
     approaches focusing on, 138  
     structure of this book, 7–9  
     style of interviews, 28  
     “sudden death” advertising campaign, 158  
     suddenness, sense of, 20  
     suppositions  
     *See* presuppositions  
     “sure OK,” use/meaning in interviews, 60  
     survey interviews, 3
- Tannen, D., 120  
     task orientation, 70  
     teller-initiated laughter, 210–211  
     theory-driven interview format, 78, 80  
     time, framing of, 71  
     topic, whether research interview is, 3–6  
     topic assessment, 189–191  
     topic-related cognitive frames, 160  
     topic-resource dichotomy, 65–68, 76,  
     79–80
- Torode, B., 90, 91–92
- Toulmin, S. E., 138  
     transcription, 28–29  
     transition markers, 182  
     tribunals, question-answer exchanges in,  
     194  
     truth, criteria for, 12  
     two-utterance sequence, 179–180
- “uh huh,” use/meaning in interviews,  
     180–181, 192, 193  
     unspecified distancing function, 213  
     *Uses of argument, The*, 138  
     us-them distinctions, 145, 146, 147,  
     171–174  
     utilitarianism, 142–144, 152–153
- value, intrinsic vs. extrinsic, 143  
     Van den Berg, H.  
     Van Dijk, T. A., 67, 122–123



## Index

317

- variability  
    *See* contradictions in interview  
    discourse
- Waitangi Day, 92–93, 174–175
- we/them distinctions, 145, 146, 147,  
    171–174
- Wetherell, M., 6, 31  
    contradictions in discourse, 61, 135  
    exposition of the argument, 86  
    framing, examples in interviews, 156  
    individual rights and equality, 141,  
    142  
    Pakeha/Maori relations, 117  
    police violence, 54
- whether interviews suitable for ethnic  
    relations analysis, 176
- Wittgenstein, L., 34
- women politicians, 150
- Wortham, S. E. F., 162
- “yeah,” “yes,” use/meaning in interviews,  
    203
- “yeah aw’right,” use/meaning in  
    interviews, 60
- Yngve, V. H., 192
- “you know,” use/meaning in interviews,  
    36, 42, 45
- Zimmerman, D., 72–73