

Cambridge University Press

0521816580 - Differential Equations: Linear, Nonlinear, Ordinary, Partial

A. C. King, J. Billingham and S. R. Otto

Excerpt

[More information](#)

Part One

Linear Equations

Variable Coefficient, Second Order, Linear, Ordinary Differential Equations

Many physical, chemical and biological systems can be described using mathematical models. Once the model is formulated, we usually need to solve a differential equation in order to predict and quantify the features of the system being modelled. As a precursor to this, we consider linear, second order ordinary differential equations of the form

$$P(x)\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} + Q(x)\frac{dy}{dx} + R(x)y = F(x),$$

with $P(x)$, $Q(x)$ and $R(x)$ finite polynomials that contain no common factor. This equation is inhomogeneous and has variable coefficients. The form of these polynomials varies according to the underlying physical problem that we are studying. However, we will postpone any discussion of the physical origin of such equations until we have considered some classical mathematical models in Chapters 2 and 3.

After dividing through by $P(x)$, we obtain the more convenient, equivalent form,

$$\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} + a_1(x)\frac{dy}{dx} + a_0(x)y = f(x). \quad (1.1)$$

This process is mathematically legitimate, provided that $P(x) \neq 0$. If $P(x_0) = 0$ at some point $x = x_0$, it is *not* legitimate, and we call x_0 a **singular** point of the equation. If $P(x_0) \neq 0$, x_0 is a **regular** or **ordinary** point of the equation. If $P(x) \neq 0$ for all points x in the interval where we want to solve the equation, we say that the equation is **nonsingular**, or **regular**, on the interval.

We usually need to solve (1.1) subject to either **initial conditions** of the form $y(a) = \alpha$, $y'(a) = \beta$ or **boundary conditions**, of which $y(a) = \alpha$ and $y(b) = \beta$ are typical examples. It is worth reminding ourselves that, given the ordinary differential equation and initial conditions (an **initial value problem**), the objective is to determine the solution for other values of x , typically, $x > a$, as illustrated in Figure 1.1. As an example, consider a projectile. The initial conditions are the position of the projectile and the speed and angle to the horizontal at which it is fired. We then want to know the path of the projectile, given these initial conditions.

For initial value problems of this form, it is possible to show that:

- (i) If $a_1(x)$, $a_0(x)$ and $f(x)$ are continuous on some open interval I that contains the initial point a , a unique solution of the initial value problem exists on the interval I , as we shall demonstrate in Chapter 8.

4 VARIABLE COEFFICIENT, SECOND ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS




Fig. 1.1. An initial value problem.

(ii) The structure of the solution of the initial value problem is of the form

$$y = \underbrace{A u_1(x) + B u_2(x)}_{\text{Complementary function}} + \underbrace{G(x)}_{\text{Particular integral}}$$

where A, B are constants that are fixed by the initial conditions and $u_1(x)$ and $u_2(x)$ are linearly independent solutions of the corresponding homogeneous problem $y'' + a_1(x)y' + a_0(x)y = 0$.

These results can be proved rigorously, but nonconstructively, by studying the operator

$$Ly \equiv \frac{d^2y}{dx^2} + a_1(x)\frac{dy}{dx} + a_0(x)y,$$

and regarding $L : C^2(I) \rightarrow C^0(I)$ as a linear transformation from the space of twice-differentiable functions defined on the interval I to the space of continuous functions defined on I . The solutions of the homogeneous equation are elements of the null space of L . This subspace is completely determined once its basis is known. The solution of the inhomogeneous problem, $Ly = f$, is then given formally as $y = L^{-1}f$. Unfortunately, if we actually want to construct the solution of a particular equation, there is a lot more work to do.

Before we try to construct the general solution of the inhomogeneous initial value problem, we will outline a series of subproblems that are more tractable.

1.1 The Method of Reduction of Order

As a first simplification we discuss the solution of the homogeneous differential equation

$$\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} + a_1(x)\frac{dy}{dx} + a_0(x)y = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

on the assumption that we know one solution, say $y(x) = u_1(x)$, and only need to find the second solution. We will look for a solution of the form $y(x) = U(x)u_1(x)$. Differentiating $y(x)$ using the product rule gives

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{dU}{dx}u_1 + U\frac{du_1}{dx},$$

$$\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} = \frac{d^2U}{dx^2}u_1 + 2\frac{dU}{dx}\frac{du_1}{dx} + U\frac{d^2u_1}{dx^2}.$$

If we substitute these expressions into (1.2) we obtain

$$\frac{d^2U}{dx^2}u_1 + 2\frac{dU}{dx}\frac{du_1}{dx} + U\frac{d^2u_1}{dx^2} + a_1(x)\left(\frac{dU}{dx}u_1 + U\frac{du_1}{dx}\right) + a_0(x)Uu_1 = 0.$$

We can now collect terms to get

$$U\left(\frac{d^2u_1}{dx^2} + a_1(x)\frac{du_1}{dx} + a_0(x)u_1\right) + u_1\frac{d^2U}{dx^2} + \frac{dU}{dx}\left(2\frac{du_1}{dx} + a_1u_1\right) = 0.$$

Now, since $u_1(x)$ is a solution of (1.2), the term multiplying U is zero. We have therefore obtained a differential equation for dU/dx , and, by defining $Z = dU/dx$, have

$$u_1\frac{dZ}{dx} + Z\left(2\frac{du_1}{dx} + a_1u_1\right) = 0.$$

Dividing through by Zu_1 we have

$$\frac{1}{Z}\frac{dZ}{dx} + \frac{2}{u_1}\frac{du_1}{dx} + a_1 = 0,$$

which can be integrated directly to yield

$$\log|Z| + 2\log|u_1| + \int^x a_1(s) ds = C,$$

where s is a dummy variable, for some constant C . Thus

$$Z = \frac{c}{u_1^2} \exp\left\{-\int^x a_1(s) ds\right\} = \frac{dU}{dx}$$

where $c = e^C$. This can then be integrated to give

$$U(x) = \int^x \frac{c}{u_1^2(t)} \exp\left\{-\int^t a_1(s) ds\right\} dt + \tilde{c},$$

for some constant \tilde{c} . The solution is therefore

6 VARIABLE COEFFICIENT, SECOND ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

$$y(x) = u_1(x) \int^x \frac{c}{u_1^2(t)} \exp \left\{ - \int^t a_1(s) ds \right\} dt + \tilde{c}u_1(x).$$

We can recognize $\tilde{c}u_1(x)$ as the part of the complementary function that we knew to start with, and

$$u_2(x) = u_1(x) \int^x \frac{1}{u_1^2(t)} \exp \left\{ - \int^t a_1(s) ds \right\} dt \quad (1.3)$$

as the second part of the complementary function. This result is called **the reduction of order formula**.

Example

Let's try to determine the full solution of the differential equation

$$(1 - x^2) \frac{d^2 y}{dx^2} - 2x \frac{dy}{dx} + 2y = 0,$$

given that $y = u_1(x) = x$ is a solution. We firstly write the equation in standard form as

$$\frac{d^2 y}{dx^2} - \frac{2x}{1 - x^2} \frac{dy}{dx} + \frac{2}{1 - x^2} y = 0.$$

Comparing this with (1.2), we have $a_1(x) = -2x/(1 - x^2)$. After noting that

$$\int^t a_1(s) ds = \int^t -\frac{2s}{1 - s^2} ds = \log(1 - t^2),$$

the reduction of order formula gives

$$u_2(x) = x \int^x \frac{1}{t^2} \exp \{ - \log(1 - t^2) \} dt = x \int^x \frac{dt}{t^2(1 - t^2)}.$$

We can express the integrand in terms of its partial fractions as

$$\frac{1}{t^2(1 - t^2)} = \frac{1}{t^2} + \frac{1}{1 - t^2} = \frac{1}{t^2} + \frac{1}{2(1 + t)} + \frac{1}{2(1 - t)}.$$

This gives the second solution of (1.2) as

$$\begin{aligned} u_2(x) &= x \int^x \left\{ \frac{1}{t^2} + \frac{1}{2(1 + t)} + \frac{1}{2(1 - t)} \right\} dt \\ &= x \left[-\frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{1 + t}{1 - t} \right) \right]^x = \frac{x}{2} \log \left(\frac{1 + x}{1 - x} \right) - 1, \end{aligned}$$

and hence the general solution is

$$y = Ax + B \left\{ \frac{x}{2} \log \left(\frac{1 + x}{1 - x} \right) - 1 \right\}.$$

1.2 The Method of Variation of Parameters

Let's now consider how to find the particular integral *given* the complementary function, comprising $u_1(x)$ and $u_2(x)$. As the name of this technique suggests, we take the constants in the complementary function to be variable, and assume that

$$y = c_1(x)u_1(x) + c_2(x)u_2(x).$$

Differentiating, we find that

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = c_1 \frac{du_1}{dx} + u_1 \frac{dc_1}{dx} + c_2 \frac{du_2}{dx} + u_2 \frac{dc_2}{dx}.$$

We will choose to impose the condition

$$u_1 \frac{dc_1}{dx} + u_2 \frac{dc_2}{dx} = 0, \quad (1.4)$$

and thus have

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = c_1 \frac{du_1}{dx} + c_2 \frac{du_2}{dx},$$

which, when differentiated again, yields

$$\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} = c_1 \frac{d^2u_1}{dx^2} + \frac{du_1}{dx} \frac{dc_1}{dx} + c_2 \frac{d^2u_2}{dx^2} + \frac{du_2}{dx} \frac{dc_2}{dx}.$$

This form can then be substituted into the original differential equation to give

$$c_1 \frac{d^2u_1}{dx^2} + \frac{du_1}{dx} \frac{dc_1}{dx} + c_2 \frac{d^2u_2}{dx^2} + \frac{du_2}{dx} \frac{dc_2}{dx} + a_1 \left(c_1 \frac{du_1}{dx} + c_2 \frac{du_2}{dx} \right) + a_0 (c_1 u_1 + c_2 u_2) = f.$$

This can be rearranged to show that

$$c_1 \left(\frac{d^2u_1}{dx^2} + a_1 \frac{du_1}{dx} + a_0 u_1 \right) + c_2 \left(\frac{d^2u_2}{dx^2} + a_1 \frac{du_2}{dx} + a_0 u_2 \right) + \frac{du_1}{dx} \frac{dc_1}{dx} + \frac{du_2}{dx} \frac{dc_2}{dx} = f.$$

Since u_1 and u_2 are solutions of the homogeneous equation, the first two terms are zero, which gives us

$$\frac{du_1}{dx} \frac{dc_1}{dx} + \frac{du_2}{dx} \frac{dc_2}{dx} = f. \quad (1.5)$$

We now have two simultaneous equations, (1.4) and (1.5), for $c'_1 = dc_1/dx$ and $c'_2 = dc_2/dx$, which can be written in matrix form as

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_1 & u_2 \\ u'_1 & u'_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c'_1 \\ c'_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ f \end{pmatrix}.$$

These can easily be solved to give

$$c'_1 = -\frac{fu_2}{W}, \quad c'_2 = \frac{fu_1}{W},$$

where

$$W = u_1 u'_2 - u_2 u'_1 = \begin{vmatrix} u_1 & u_2 \\ u'_1 & u'_2 \end{vmatrix}$$

8 VARIABLE COEFFICIENT, SECOND ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

is called the **Wronskian**. These expressions can be integrated to give

$$c_1 = \int^x -\frac{f(s)u_2(s)}{W(s)} ds + A, \quad c_2 = \int^x \frac{f(s)u_1(s)}{W(s)} ds + B.$$

We can now write down the solution of the entire problem as

$$y(x) = u_1(x) \int^x -\frac{f(s)u_2(s)}{W(s)} ds + u_2(x) \int^x \frac{f(s)u_1(s)}{W(s)} ds + Au_1(x) + Bu_2(x).$$

The particular integral is therefore

$$y(x) = \int^x f(s) \left\{ \frac{u_1(s)u_2(x) - u_1(x)u_2(s)}{W(s)} \right\} ds. \quad (1.6)$$

This is called the **variation of parameters formula**.

Example

Consider the equation

$$\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} + y = x \sin x.$$

The homogeneous form of this equation has constant coefficients, with solutions

$$u_1(x) = \cos x, \quad u_2(x) = \sin x.$$

The variation of parameters formula then gives the particular integral as

$$y = \int^x s \sin s \left\{ \frac{\cos s \sin x - \cos x \sin s}{1} \right\} ds,$$

since

$$W = \begin{vmatrix} \cos x & \sin x \\ -\sin x & \cos x \end{vmatrix} = \cos^2 x + \sin^2 x = 1.$$

We can split the particular integral into two integrals as

$$\begin{aligned} y(x) &= \sin x \int^x s \sin s \cos s ds - \cos x \int^x s \sin^2 s ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sin x \int^x s \sin 2s ds - \frac{1}{2} \cos x \int^x s (1 - \cos 2s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Using integration by parts, we can evaluate this, and find that

$$y(x) = -\frac{1}{4}x^2 \cos x + \frac{1}{4}x \sin x + \frac{1}{8} \cos x$$

is the required particular integral. The general solution is therefore

$$y = c_1 \cos x + c_2 \sin x - \frac{1}{4}x^2 \cos x + \frac{1}{4}x \sin x.$$

Although we have given a rational derivation of the reduction of order and variation of parameters formulae, we have made no comment so far about why the procedures we used in the derivation should work at all! It turns out that this has a close connection with the theory of continuous groups, which we will investigate in Chapter 10.

1.2.1 The Wronskian

Before we carry on, let's pause to discuss some further properties of the Wronskian. Recall that if V is a vector space over \mathbb{R} , then two elements $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \in V$ are linearly dependent if $\exists \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, with α_1 and α_2 not both zero, such that $\alpha_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \alpha_2 \mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{0}$.

Now let $V = C^1(a, b)$ be the set of once-differentiable functions over the interval $a < x < b$. If $u_1, u_2 \in C^1(a, b)$ are linearly dependent, $\exists \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha_1 u_1(x) + \alpha_2 u_2(x) = 0 \forall x \in (a, b)$. Notice that, by direct differentiation, this also gives $\alpha_1 u_1'(x) + \alpha_2 u_2'(x) = 0$ or, in matrix form,

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_1(x) & u_2(x) \\ u_1'(x) & u_2'(x) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

These are homogeneous equations of the form

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0},$$

which only have nontrivial solutions if $\det(\mathbf{A}) = 0$, that is

$$W = \begin{vmatrix} u_1(x) & u_2(x) \\ u_1'(x) & u_2'(x) \end{vmatrix} = u_1 u_2' - u_1' u_2 = 0.$$

In other words, the Wronskian of two linearly dependent functions is identically zero on (a, b) . The contrapositive of this result is that if $W \neq 0$ on (a, b) , then u_1 and u_2 are linearly independent on (a, b) .

Example

The functions $u_1(x) = x^2$ and $u_2(x) = x^3$ are linearly independent on the interval $(-1, 1)$. To see this, note that, since $u_1(x) = x^2$, $u_2(x) = x^3$, $u_1'(x) = 2x$, and $u_2'(x) = 3x^2$, the Wronskian of these two functions is

$$W = \begin{vmatrix} x^2 & x^3 \\ 2x & 3x^2 \end{vmatrix} = 3x^4 - 2x^4 = x^4.$$

This quantity is not identically zero, and hence x^2 and x^3 are linearly independent on $(-1, 1)$.

Example

The functions $u_1(x) = f(x)$ and $u_2(x) = kf(x)$, with k a constant, are linearly dependent on any interval, since their Wronskian is

$$W = \begin{vmatrix} f & kf \\ f' & kf' \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

If the functions u_1 and u_2 are solutions of (1.2), we can show by differentiating $W = u_1 u_2' - u_1' u_2$ directly that

$$\frac{dW}{dx} + a_1(x)W = 0.$$

10 VARIABLE COEFFICIENT, SECOND ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

This first order differential equation has solution

$$W(x) = W(x_0) \exp \left\{ - \int_{x_0}^x a_1(t) dt \right\}, \quad (1.7)$$

which is known as **Abel's formula**. This gives us an easy way of finding the Wronskian of the solutions of any second order differential equation without having to construct the solutions themselves.

Example

Consider the equation

$$y'' + \frac{1}{x}y' + \left(1 - \frac{1}{x^2}\right)y = 0.$$

Using Abel's formula, this has Wronskian

$$W(x) = W(x_0) \exp \left\{ - \int_{x_0}^x \frac{dt}{t} \right\} = \frac{x_0 W(x_0)}{x} = \frac{A}{x}$$

for some constant A . To find this constant, it is usually necessary to know more about the solutions $u_1(x)$ and $u_2(x)$. We will describe a technique for doing this in Section 1.3.

We end this section with a couple of useful theorems.

Theorem 1.1 *If u_1 and u_2 are linearly independent solutions of the homogeneous, nonsingular ordinary differential equation (1.2), then the Wronskian is either strictly positive or strictly negative.*

Proof From Abel's formula, and since the exponential function does not change sign, the Wronskian is identically positive, identically negative or identically zero. We just need to exclude the possibility that W is ever zero. Suppose that $W(x_1) = 0$. The vectors $\begin{pmatrix} u_1(x_1) \\ u_1'(x_1) \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} u_2(x_1) \\ u_2'(x_1) \end{pmatrix}$ are then linearly dependent, and hence $u_1(x_1) = ku_2(x_1)$ and $u_1'(x_1) = ku_2'(x_1)$ for some constant k . The function $u(x) = u_1(x) - ku_2(x)$ is also a solution of (1.2) by linearity, and satisfies the initial conditions $u(x_1) = 0$, $u'(x_1) = 0$. Since (1.2) has a unique solution, the obvious solution, $u \equiv 0$, is the only solution. This means that $u_1 \equiv ku_2$. Hence u_1 and u_2 are linearly dependent – a contradiction. \square

The nonsingularity of the differential equation is crucial here. If we consider the equation $x^2y'' - 2xy' + 2y = 0$, which has $u_1(x) = x^2$ and $u_2(x) = x$ as its linearly independent solutions, the Wronskian is $-x^2$, which vanishes at $x = 0$. This is because the coefficient of y'' also vanishes at $x = 0$.

Theorem 1.2 (The Sturm separation theorem) *If $u_1(x)$ and $u_2(x)$ are the linearly independent solutions of a nonsingular, homogeneous equation, (1.2), then*

1.3 SOLUTION BY POWER SERIES: THE METHOD OF FROBENIUS 11

the zeros of $u_1(x)$ and $u_2(x)$ occur alternately. In other words, successive zeros of $u_1(x)$ are separated by successive zeros of $u_2(x)$ and vice versa.

Proof Suppose that x_1 and x_2 are successive zeros of $u_2(x)$, so that $W(x_i) = u_1(x_i)u_2'(x_i)$ for $i = 1$ or 2 . We also know that $W(x)$ is of one sign on $[x_1, x_2]$, since $u_1(x)$ and $u_2(x)$ are linearly independent. This means that $u_1(x_i)$ and $u_2'(x_i)$ are nonzero. Now if $u_2'(x_1)$ is positive then $u_2'(x_2)$ is negative (or vice versa), since $u_2(x_2)$ is zero. Since the Wronskian cannot change sign between x_1 and x_2 , $u_1(x)$ must change sign, and hence u_1 has a zero in $[x_1, x_2]$, as we claimed. \square

As an example of this, consider the equation $y'' + \omega^2 y = 0$, which has solution $y = A \sin \omega x + B \cos \omega x$. If we consider any two of the zeros of $\sin \omega x$, it is immediately clear that $\cos \omega x$ has a zero between them.

1.3 Solution by Power Series: The Method of Frobenius

Up to this point, we have considered ordinary differential equations for which we know at least one solution of the homogeneous problem. From this we have seen that we can easily construct the second independent solution and, in the inhomogeneous case, the particular integral. We now turn our attention to the more difficult case, in which we cannot determine a solution of the homogeneous problem by inspection. We must devise a method that is capable of solving variable coefficient ordinary differential equations in general. As we noted at the start of the chapter, we will restrict our attention to the case where the variable coefficients are simple polynomials. This suggests that we can look for a solution of the form

$$y = x^c \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^{n+c}, \quad (1.8)$$

and hence

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (n+c) x^{n+c-1}, \quad (1.9)$$

$$\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (n+c)(n+c-1) x^{n+c-2}, \quad (1.10)$$

where the constants c, a_0, a_1, \dots , are as yet undetermined. This is known as the **method of Frobenius**. Later on, we will give some idea of why and when this method can be used. For the moment, we will just try to make it work. We proceed by example, with the simplest case first.

1.3.1 The Roots of the Indicial Equation Differ by an Integer

Consider the equation

$$x^2 \frac{d^2y}{dx^2} + x \frac{dy}{dx} + \left(x^2 - \frac{1}{4}\right) y = 0. \quad (1.11)$$