

Saving Languages

Language endangerment has been the focus of much attention over the past few decades, and as a result a wide range of people are now working to revitalize and maintain local languages. This book serves as a general reference guide to language revitalization, written not only for linguists and anthropologists, but also for language activists and community members who believe they should ensure the future use of their languages, despite their predicted loss. Drawing extensively on case studies, it sets out the necessary background and highlights central issues such as literacy, policy decisions, and allocation of resources. Its primary goal is to provide the essential tools for a successful language revitalization program, such as setting and achieving realistic goals, and anticipating and resolving common obstacles. Clearly written and informative, *Saving Languages* will be an invaluable resource for all those interested in the fate of small language communities around the globe.

LENORE A. GRENOBLE is Professor of Russian and Linguistics at Dartmouth College, Hanover. Her research has focused on issues of language contact, language endangerment, and discourse analysis, and she has carried out fieldwork on the Tungusic languages of Siberia, extending more recently to other languages spoken in the North. She has previously published, with Lindsay Whaley, *Endangered Languages* (Cambridge University Press, 1998).

LINDSAY J. WHALEY is Associate Professor of Classics and Linguistics at Dartmouth College, Hanover, where he also serves as Chair of Linguistics and Cognitive Science. For the past ten years he has researched Tungusic languages in northern China and Siberia. His research interests include typology, Bantu and Tungusic linguistics, syntactic theory, language endangerment, and language revitalization. He has previously pubished with Lenore A. Grenoble, *Endangered Languages* (Cambridge University Press, 1998).



Saving Languages

An Introduction to Language Revitalization

Lenore A. Grenoble

Dartmouth College, Hanover

and

Lindsay J. Whaley

Dartmouth College, Hanover





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS
Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521016520

© Lenore A. Grenoble & Lindsay J. Whaley 2006

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2006

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

A Catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

ISBN-13 978-0-521-81621-2 hardback ISBN-10 0-521-81621-1 hardback ISBN-13 978-0-521-01652-0 paperback ISBN-10 0-521-01652-5 paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this book, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Contents

Ρ	ref	page 1X	
1	La 1 2	anguage revitalization as a global issue Introduction Assessing language vitality	1 1 3
	3	Terminology	13
	4	<i>c.</i>	16
	5	Why revitalization?	19
2	Is	sues in language revitalization	21
	1	Introduction	21
	2	Macro-variables	22
		2.1 The extra-national level	23
		2.2 The national level	25
		2.2.1 Language policy	26
		2.2.2 Language attitudes	30
		2.2.3 Education policies	32
		2.2.4 Regional autonomy	33
		2.2.5 Federal support	34
		2.3 Regional variables	35
		2.3.1 Regional languages	35
		2.3.2 Language density	36
	3	Micro-variables: the local level	38
		3.1 Language attitudes	39
		3.2 Human resources	41
		3.3 Religion	41
		3.4 Literacy	43
		3.5 Financial resources	44
		Case study: Cornish	45
	5	Establishing appropriate goals	48
3	Models for revitalization		50
	1	Introduction	50
	2	Total-immersion programs	51
		2.1 Te Kōhanga Reo, the language nest	52
	3	Partial-immersion or bilingual programs	55
	4	The local language as a second, "foreign" language	56

V



vi	ĺ	List of contents		
	5	Community-based programs	58	
	6	· · ·	60	
	7	Language reclamation models	63	
	8	Documentation as revitalization?	68	
4	C	Case studies		
·	1	Languages of the North: Siberian native languages and	69	
	•	Soviet policy	70	
	2	± *	78	
	3	The Mohawk Immersion Program in Kahnawà:ke (Canada)	86	
	4	Hawaiian	94	
5	Li	iteracy	102	
	1	Introduction	102	
	2	Models of literacy	103	
		2.1 Autonomous literacy	104	
		2.2 Vai literacy as a counterexample to autonomous literacy	106	
		2.3 New Literacy Studies	108	
		2.3.1 Local and social literacies	109	
		2.4 Functional literacy	110	
	3	Literacy in language revitalization	113	
		3.1 Why literacy?	116	
		3.1.1 Prestige	116	
		3.1.2 Empowerment	117	
		3.1.3 Literacy as a basic human right	118	
		3.2 Arguments against literacy	118	
		3.2.1 Shifting from an oral to a written culture	118	
		3.2.2 The lack of a written tradition	120	
	4	3.2.3 Transitional literacy	121	
	4	Instituting local literacy 4.1 Attitudes	122	
		4.1 Attitudes4.2 Assessing the prospects for successful local literacy	123 126	
		4.3 Creating a context	120	
		4.4 Standardization	129	
	5	Literacy teachers	133	
		Conclusion	135	
6	\circ	137		
U	1	rthography Introduction	137	
	2	Writing systems	139	
	3	Linguistic and cognitive considerations	140	
	4	Social issues	142	
	5	Underdifferentiation and functional load	146	
	6	Tone	149	
	7	Standardization of orthographies	151	
		7.1 Motivations for standardization	153	
		7.2 Implications of standardization	154	
		7.3 Process of standardization	156	
	Q	Pacommandations	159	



	List of contents	vii
7 C	Creating a language program	160
1	1 Preliminaries	160
	1.1 Assessment of resources	160
	1.2 Assessment of language vitality	161
	1.3 Assessment of language variation	166
	1.4 Assessment of needs, goals, and attitudes	170
	1.4.1 Assessing attitudes	171
	1.4.2 A reality check on surveys	173
	1.4.3 Goals and needs	174
2	2 Potential problems and how to avoid them	176
	2.1 Problems that occur internal to the community	176
	2.2 External barriers	178
3	3 Updating the lexicon	180
4	4 Creating a literacy program	184
	4.1 Literacy assessment	184
	4.2 Creating a written language	186
	4.3 Creating materials	187
5	5 Teacher training	189
6	6 The role of technology	190
7	7 The role of the outsider	192
	7.1 The academic	193
	7.2 The missionary-linguist	196
8	8 Evaluation and long-term prognosis	197
9	9 Sample survey questions	198
	9.1 Language vitality	198
	9.2 Variation	199
	9.3 Attitudes	199
	9.4 Basic literacy survey	200
	9.5 Program evaluation	201
10	0 Checklist of procedures	202
4 <i>pp</i>	pendix: Online resources	205
Refe	207	
nde	222	
TON	neral index	225



Preface

This book is designed for readers of various backgrounds who are interested in the fate of small language communities around the globe: linguists, anthropologists, and academics in other disciplines; language activists, missionaries, humanitarian workers, policy makers, and educators; journalists and researchers; students; and visionaries who believe that it is possible to hear their language spoken for many centuries to come in the face of many who claim otherwise. With this diversity of readers in mind, our goal was to write a book that would serve as a general reference guide to language revitalization, providing the necessary background, highlighting the central issues, indicating common obstacles, and pointing to sources of further information.

Our own experiences with language revitalization efforts have come primarily through fieldwork in east Asia on several Tungusic languages (all of which are undergoing rapid loss in the number of native speakers), and secondarily through long-term relationships and professional collaborations with fieldworkers and activists in Africa, South America, and North America, particularly the United States. This background has sensitized us to several important facts. First, although many similarities can be found in the causes of language loss around the world, this does not mean that similar approaches to language revitalization can be taken. There are simply too many differences in the political, social, and economic situations facing, say, a community in northern China versus one in southern Africa to make blanket statements about how revitalization should be carried out. Second, an honest evaluation of most language revitalization efforts to date will show that they have failed. There have been enough success stories to warrant optimism about the possibilities of taking a moribund (or extinct) language and moving it to a more vital state, but this is atypical. Creating an orthography or producing a television program for children in a local language is a major accomplishment in its own right, but it will not revitalize a language. A longer-term, multifaceted program, one which requires a range of resources and much personal dedication, is needed. Third, government policies affecting language use in public (or even

ix



x Preface

private) realms are one of the two most basic forces that hinder (or help) language revitalization, the other being the connection that people make between language use and economic well-being for their family. Finally, where successes do occur in language revitalization, they result, perhaps without exception, from the efforts of people who want to speak a local language, and want their friends and neighbors to as well. Even with the best of intentions, an outsider entering into an endangered language situation with the goal of "saving it" will fail. This is not to say that outsiders do not have something important to contribute, such as linguistic expertise, connections to funding sources, moral support, and so on. They do, and their contributions are often vital to a program. But, that said, it is the members of the community where the revitalization is going on who need to be highly invested in the outcome. They need to control decision making; they need to take ownership of the effort and construct the revitalization program which suits their ambitions, needs, and resources.

The lessons from our own experience have greatly influenced the content and tone of this book. We have tried to present practical recommendations without giving the sense that there are guaranteed methods to language revitalization. We have tried to underscore the complexity of factors that must be addressed in expanding the domains where a local language is spoken without overwhelming the reader. And we have tried to keep in mind the balance between thoughtful planning in revitalization and the urgency facing speech communities where fewer and fewer people speak a language that used to be widely employed.

The chapters are designed to be read in succession or individually. The first two chapters of the book outline the conceptual framework in which we understand language endangerment and revitalization. Chapter 3 discusses different models for language revitalization, and Chapter 4 provides information coming from individual case studies as a way to connect the abstractions from the beginning chapters to actual practice. Chapters 5 and 6 address two major issues in language revitalization: literacy and orthography development. We have devoted two entire chapters to these issues because so many linguists and activists see literacy as a fundamental requirement for successful revitalization, yet the issues behind literacy and orthography development are so complicated that they are rarely discussed in depth in the literature on language endangerment. Chapter 7 provides a step-by-step account of how a community can assess its needs, commitment, resources, and goals, and then, based on these factors, how they can go about establishing the appropriate language program. We anticipate that some readers may want to begin the book with this chapter, skipping the background, and then go back to fill in the picture with the details of existing programs. The chapter is accordingly written to be read



Preface xi

either following the background information of Chapters 1 to 6 or in anticipation of it.

The present work is by no means the only resource on language revitalization. There are many. Hinton and Hale's (2001) The green book of language revitalization in practice is perhaps the closest in spirit to our own work and contains a wealth of insights from people who have been deeply involved in designing language revitalization programs. Joshua Fishman, of course, has been instrumental in raising awareness about language endangerment and how communities can counteract the forces that lead to language shift. His 1991 book Reversing language shift: Theoretical and empirical foundations of assistance to threatened languages is already a classic and should be read by anyone with interests in the question of language revitalization. There are many collections of articles that explore the issues surrounding revitalization. Three of the more recent are Fishman (2001), Bradley and Bradley (2002), and Janse and Tol (2003). There are also a number of excellent books and articles dealing with language revitalization in specific regions of the world. Three that we have found highly instructive are: Amery (2000), King (2001), and Hinton et al. (2002).

We have worked with a number of people in preparing this book and would like to extend a special thanks to Scott Anderson, Chuinda Andicha, Oliver Bernstein, Nadezhda Bulatova, Er Dengguo, Kristen Foery, Elizabeth Gannes, He Qinghua, Manuel Hugo, José Juncosa, Kristina Kleutghen, Fengxiang Li, Denise McBeth, Tori Minor, Jauquin Najandey, Pablo Tsere, Laura Vacca, Rafael Vega Tsetsem, Luis Wamputsik Chinkias, and Tara Wharton. We are especially grateful to the many members of the Evenki, Oroqen, Solon, Hawaiian, Māori, Mohawk, and Shuar communities who have given so freely and willingly of their time and knowledge to help make this a better book. We would like to thank Andrew Winnard for his support for this project and for pushing us to finish the manuscript. Finally, we are indebted to Matthias Brenzinger for providing the photograph used on the front cover of the book.