

Index

- Ackerman, Bruce, 183 nn25, 26
- Alexander, Larry, 32 n25, 42 n17, 45 n21, 72 nn39, 41, 93 n11, 94 n14, 174 n13
- Alexy, Robert, 58 n13
- anarchist, 26, 59 n14, 96, 110, 164, 166, 172–3
- philosophical, 17 n11, 160 n1, 166 n5
- political, 111, 160, 166
- promissory, 111
- Aquinas, Thomas, 91 n6
- Ardal, Pall, 108 n5, 113 n12
- Arneson, Richard J., 142 n3, 145 nn11, 14, 146 n16
- associative obligations, 169–72
- Atiyah, P.S., 116 n14, 125 n27, 127 n31
- Austin, John, 51 n1, 61–71, 91 n5, 99
- descriptive vs. conceptual objections to, 68, 70
- and “obligation,” 90
- as problem for Kelsen, 67–8
- recent revival of, 61–71
- authority
- ambiguity of, 54, 77
- and autonomy, 7–10
- claims of, distinguished from
- expectations of compliance, 86–7, 112
- coercive vs. coercive power, 54, 70 n36
- coercive vs. moral, 54–5, 58 n12, 62, 70 n36, 77, 90
- collapsing leader and service
- conceptions of, 48–50, 64
- and coordination, 10 n5, 36 n2, 40–1, 42 n18, 44, 48–50, 60 n16, 80–1, 83, 114
- dependence thesis, 46–7
- expansive vs. restrictive accounts of, 38–49, 83, 114–15
- having vs. claiming, 33, 53, 86
- justification thesis, 40–2, 48, 49 n26
- leader vs. service conceptions of, 43, 46–7, 115
- in military contexts, 84–5
- of parents, 84–5
- paternalistic, 36–7
- practical vs. theoretical, 35–8
- promissory, 105, 112–16
- as right to coerce, 12, 17 n11, 54–5, 63
- and salience, 10 n5, 40–1, 40–1 n14, 114; *see also* claims of law; morality: strong vs. ordinary claims in
- autonomy, 39, 43, 130, 132–3, 136
- principle of, 7, 9–10, 13–14, 18, 36
- problem of, 7–8
- bad man’s view of law, 31, 82
- Beyleveld, Deryck, 58 n13, 70 n35
- Bix, Brian, 30 n22
- Boardman, W.S., 49
- Brandt, Richard, 73 n43
- Brownson, Roger, 58 n13, 70 n35

- Burton, Steven J., 95 n17
 Buss, Sarah, 23 n16, 158 n39
- Calhoun, Cheshire, 23 n16, 158 n39
 Caminker, Evan H., 175 n13
 Campbell, Tom, 8 n4, 98 n25
 certainty
 lack of, as basis for deference, 135, 139
 and law, 67 n26, 89, 91–5; *see also* morality: and objectivity;
 Christie, George C., 15 n7
 claims of law
 about content, 59–60, 80–1, 83
 about procedures, 60–1
 and claims of officials, 56, 58 n12, 62–4, 69, 73–6, 83–4, 86 n60
 as claims (only) of non-culpability, 61 n17, 72 n40, 85, 96–7
 as content-dependent rather than content-independent, 80–1, 83
 in coordination cases, *see* authority: and coordination
 defense of, 71–6
 how made, 3, 56
 as independent (seemingly) of political theory, 11–12
 minimal claim is also maximal claim, 61–2, 70 n36, 79–81
 minimal claim to justice, 33, 56–61, 64, 69 n33, 75–6
 on choosing rules or standards, 75–6; *see also* authority: right to coerce
 coercion
 as essence of law, 4, 10–11, 30–2, 51, 64–71, 99
 and “robber gangs,” 64–5, 67–8
 vs. coercive authority, 54
 Coleman, Jules, 29 n20, 32 nn25–6, 92 n10
 correlativity thesis, 54 n5, 79
 courtesy, 23, 156, 158 n39
Crito, The, 34 n28, 182 n23
 culpability, 61 n17, 72 n40, 75, 75 n48, 96–7, 96–7 n19; *see also* claims of law
- Dagger, Richard, 170 n2
 Dan-Cohen, Meir, 166 n6
 Dawson, John, 148 n23
 Detmold, Michael J., 58 n13
 Dworkin, Ronald
 associative obligations, 169–71
 checkerboard statutes, 49 n27
 integrity, 50
 legal essentialism, 97
 meaning of “obligation,” 89–90 nn1, 4
 models of community and political obligation, 161 n3
 objectivity in law and legal theory, 29 n20, 180 n19
 principles as non-pedigreed legal norms, 98–9
 theory of adjudication vs. theory of law, 30 n23, 33 n27, 91
- Edmundson, William A., 55 n7, 167 n6
- fair play
 altruistic intermeddlers, 143 n6, 150
 as basis for political obligation, 159–62
 benefits, 144–7
 conditions for paradigm case of, 142–9
 gratitude, 143, 143 n5
 line jumping, 153–6
 officious intermeddlers, 143–4
 in relationships, 156–8
 self-serving intermeddlers, 148, 151
- Finnis, John, 41 n14, 55 n7, 91 n7, 173 n9
 Flathman, R., 52 n4,
 Foot, Philippa, 125 n29
 Fried, Charles, 94 n14, 130 n38, 132 n42
 friendship, 19–27, 35–6, 53, 156–7, 162–4, 180
 Friedmann, Daniel, 132 n41, 135 n45
 Fuller, Lon, 33 n26, 50 n29, 66 n25, 97 n20, 106 n3
- Gans, Chaim, 160 n1
 Gilbert, Margaret, 169 n1
 Goldsworthy, Jeffrey D., 52 n2, 70 n35
 good faith, 47 n24, 66 n25, 75 n48, 96–7

Cambridge University Press

0521810477 - The Ethics of Deference: Learning from Law's Morals

Philip Soper

Index

[More information](#)*Index*

187

- Green, Leslie, 16 n9, 18 n13, 29 n21, 52 n4, 56 n8, 112 n8, 170 n2
- Greenawalt, Kent, 29 n20, 50 n28, 60 n15, 87 n61, 112–13 nn9–10, 147–8
- Hare, R.M., 73 n43, 125 n28
- Harris, J.W., 16 n10, 67 n27
- Harris, Paul, 176 n14
- Hart, H.L.A., 51 n1, 57, 58 n12, 61, 66 n23, 68–9 n33, 75, 90, 91 n5, 158 n40
- Herzog, Don, 124 n25
- Himma, Kenneth Einar, 93 n11
- Hobbes, Thomas, 49, 64, 108 n6, 154 n32
- Honoré, Tony, 112 n9
- Hughes, Graham, 68 n30
- Hume, David, 28, 112, 162
- Hurd, Heidi, 36 n2, 37 n3, 39 nn7, 9, 10, 41 n14, 61 n17, 72 n41, 96 n19, 170 n5
- Jasay, A. de, 146 n15
- justice, 57–8, 60–1, 94 n14; *see also* claims of law
- Kavka, Gregory S., 31 n24, 182 n24
- Kelsen, Hans, 66–9
- Klosko, George, 15 n7, 141–3 nn1, 3, 5, 145–7 nn10, 16, 27, 151–2 nn27, 29, 155 n34, 160 n2
- Kramer, Matthew H., 51 n1, 62–3, 62–3 nn18, 19, 64–71, 93–4, 93–4 nn12–15
- Kress, Ken, 30 n23
- Ladenson, R., 55 n7
- legal theory
 - conflict of with political theory, xiii–xiv, 12–14, 27, 51–4, 77–8,
 - stages of development in, 10–12, 67–9
- Leiter, Brian, 29 n20
- Locke, Don, 120 n18
- Luban, David, 153–6
- Lyons, David, 37 n5, 52 n4, 73 n43, 108 n4
- MacCormick, Neil, 57–9, 57–9 nn12, 15, 66 nn24–5, 79 n54, 117–18 nn15–16, 120–1, 120–1 n23, 127 n32,
- Marmor, Andrei, 171 n5
- McMahon, Christopher, 44 n20, 49 n26
- methodology
 - the “essence” of a concept, 30–1
 - descriptive and conceptual jurisprudence, 29–33
 - description as re-presentation, 33–4
 - evaluative claims and purposes, 32
 - the problem of definition, 66–71
- Moore, Michael S., 29 n20, 39 n7
- morality
 - and consistency, 26–7, 93–4, 93–4 n14
 - contingent connections with law, 33, 92–6
 - conventional vs. true, 5–8, 17–20, 125–6
 - and courtesy, xii, 23, 156, 158, 168–9
 - necessary connections with law, 33, 96–9
 - and objectivity, 7, 18, 28–9, 92–5
 - strong vs. ordinary claims in, 4–5, 9, 11–12, 14, 51, 77
- Narveson, Jan, 108 nn4–5, 117 n15, 120 n21, 125, 127 n31, 128 n35, 133
- natural law
 - classical form of, 33 n27, 91
 - defense of classical form, 96–9
 - and dogmatism, 65–6
 - as moral theory, 7 n2
- necessity
 - as basis for political obligation, 110–12, 139, 164–7, 170–3
 - distinguished from State’s need for obedience, 112 n9
- Nozick, Robert, 129 n36, 141 n2, 144 n7, 145 n14, 146
- Nuremberg, 75 n48, 97, 98–9 nn25–6
- Oakeshott, Michael, 173 n10
- obligation
 - legal, 89–90

- obligation (*cont.*)
 political, popular vs. philosophical views of, 13–17
 political and promissory compared, 28, 103–5, 110–16, 162–4
 prima facie, 15 n8, 16 n10, 19, 27 n18, 45–6, 176–7; *see also* associative obligations
- objectivity
 in law, 92–5;
 in legal theory, 28–34; *see also* methodology; morality: and objectivity
- orders, xiii n5, 8, 20–1, 67–8
- Palmer, George E., 143 n6
- paradox
 in contemporary legal and moral theory, 12, 14–15, 14–15 n8, 42, 52, 52 n2
 of correct action being prima facie wrong, xii, 19, 113, 135, 175–6
 of justifiably punishing the innocent, 61 n7, 72, 72 n14
- Patterson, Dennis M., 130 n39
- Paulsen, Michael Stokes, 175 n13
- Paulson, Stanley L., 67 n27
- Perry, Stephen R., 29 n20, 39 n7,
- Pitkin, Hanna, 103 n1, 172 n6
- political theory
 and the consent tradition, 20, 27–8, 103, 139
 and fair play arguments, 159–62
 and state-of-nature arguments, 128–9; *see also* legal theory
- positivism
 classical vs. modern, 51 n1, 57, 61, 91, 91 n5
 exclusive vs. inclusive, 33, 92–5
 paradox of, 52, 52 n2
 presumptive, 98–9
 social source version of, 31–2, 52, 91, 91 n5, 96
- Posner, Richard A., 99 n26, 135 n45
- Postema, Gerald, xvi, 29 n20, 41 n14
- prima facie, *see* obligation: prima facie
- promises
 as analogous to laws, 105–12
 and authority, 112–16
 compared with fair play, 140–1, 150, 157
 consequentialist and deontological accounts of, 104–5, 113, 124, 127–8, 137
 and the derivation of “ought” from “is,” 125–6
 immoral, 106–10
 “intent” and “obligation” conceptions of, 121–4
 as non-moral obligations, 108–9
 and political theory, xiv, 20, 28, 103, 110–12, 128–36, 139, 150, 163–4
 and truth-telling, 126 n30
- Rawls, John, 18 n12, 113 n11, 140
- Raz, Joseph
 laws claims, 52 nn2–4, 68–70, 72 n39, 79 n54, 90–1 nn3, 5
 “normative-explanatory” theories, xiv, 8 n4
 obligation to obey, 17 n11, 52 nn2–4, 173 n8
 problem of autonomy, 14 n7
 promises, 104 n2, 118 n16, 121–4, 128 n34, 130 n37
 requests, 21 n15
 restrictive view of authority, 36 n2, 38–43, 46, 48, 49 n26, 54 n6
 social source thesis, 32 n25, 91 n5
- reasons
 content-dependent and
 content-independent, 20–1, 23, 58 n13, 69 n33, 80–1, 83
 context-dependent and
 content-independent, 113–15
 for deference, 24–7, 44, 136–8, 156–8, 162–7
 indicator, 39 n9, 54 n6
 instrumental and intrinsic, 24–8, 35–6, 54, 104–5, 136–8, 162–7
 objective and subjective, 25–7, 136–8, 165–7

Cambridge University Press

0521810477 - The Ethics of Deference: Learning from Law's Morals

Philip Soper

Index

[More information](#)*Index*

189

- Regan, Donald, 10 n5, 39 n9, 40–1
nn13–14, 54 n6, 155 n34, 181 n20,
182 n24
- religion
 compared to legal system, 13–17
 concept of, compared to concept of
 law, 30 n23
- requests, 20–3, 87, 166–7 n6, 169
- respect, 25–7, 86–7 n61, 134–6, 155–8,
162–4
- right
 to decide, 45, 57, 76, 84, 96, 136–8
 to enforce, 56–7, 108 n6
 to exist, 59 n14, 96
 justification vs. claim, 55 n7, 70 n36;
 see also authority: as right to coerce;
 claims of law
- Sartorius, R., 55 n7, 73 n43, 109 n7
- Scanlon, Thomas, 130 n39
- Schauer, Frederick, 39 n7, 62 n18,
71–2 nn38, 39, 98–9, 175 n13
- Searle, John R., 125
- Seebok, Anthony, 32 n25
- self-respect, *see* reasons: for deference;
 respect
- Shapiro, Scott, 32 n25, 92–4
- Sherwin, Emily, 32 n25, 42 n17, 45 n21,
72 nn39, 41, 93 n11, 94 n14
- Shiner, R., 52 n2, 59 n13
- Simmons, A. John, 14 n7, 17 n11, 54 n5,
146–8, 160 n1, 169–70, 172–5
- Smith, M.B.A., xiii n5, 14 n7, 16 n9,
82 n57, 176–7 nn14–15
- Smith, Stephen A., 130 n38, 132 nn41–2
- Tamir, Yael, 169 n1
- utilitarianism
 as basis for critique of law's claims,
 72–4
 and rules, 41; *see also* promises:
 consequentialist and deontological
 accounts of
- Waldron, Jeremy, 37 n4, 181 n21
- Walker, A.D.M., 143 n5
- Waluchow, W.J., 52 n2, 92 nn8–9, 93 n11
- Wasserman, David, 153–5
- Wellman, Christopher, 170 n2
- Wolff, Robert Paul, 7–8
- Wright, R. George, 52 n4