

THE GRAMMAR OF POLARITY

Many, and perhaps all, languages include constructions which are sensitive to the expression of polarity: that is, negative polarity items, which cannot occur in affirmative clauses, and positive polarity items, which cannot occur in negatives. Although relatively unknown outside of linguistics, the phenomenon of polarity sensitivity has been an important source of evidence for theories about the mental architecture of grammar over the last fifty years, and to many the oddly dysfunctional sensitivities of polarity items have seemed to support a view of grammar as an encapsulated mental module fundamentally unrelated to other aspects of human cognition or communicative behavior. This book draws on insights from cognitive/functional linguistics and formal semantics to argue that, on the contrary, the grammar of sensitivity is grounded in a very general human cognitive ability to form categories and draw inferences based on scalar alternatives, and in the ways this ability is deployed for rhetorical effects in ordinary interpersonal communication. The book surveys a wide variety of polarity items, both negative and positive, commonly found in English and other languages and shows that grammatical sensitivities arise regularly and only in semantic domains which are inherently scalar.

MICHAEL ISRAEL is Associate Professor of English Language at the University of Maryland, College Park.



In this series

- 81. ROGER LASS: Historical linguistics and language change
- 82. JOHN M. ANDERSON: A notional theory of syntactic categories
- 83. BERND HEINE: Possession: cognitive sources, forces and grammaticalization
- 84. NOMI ERTESCHIK-SHIR: The dynamics of focus structure
- 85. JOHN COLEMAN: Phonological representations: their names, forms and powers
- 86. CHRISTINA Y. BETHIN: Slavic prosody: language change and phonological theory
- 87. BARBARA DANCYGIER: Conditionals and prediction
- CLAIRE LEFEBVRE: Creole genesis and the acquisition of grammar: the case of Haitian creole
- 89. HEINZ GIEGERICH: Lexical strata in English
- KEREN RICE: Morpheme order and semantic scope
- 91. APRIL MCMAHON: Lexical phonology and the history of English
- 92. MATTHEW Y. CHEN: Tone Sandhi: patterns across Chinese dialects
- 93. GREGORY T. STUMP: Inflectional morphology: a theory of paradigm structure
- 94. JOAN BYBEE: Phonology and language use
- 95. LAURIE BAUER: Morphological productivity
- 96. THOMAS ERNST: The syntax of adjuncts
- 97. ELIZABETH CLOSS TRAUGOTT and RICHARD B. DASHER: Regularity in semantic change
- 98. MAYA HICKMANN: Children's discourse: person, space and time across languages
- DIANE BLAKEMORE: Relevance and linguistic meaning: the semantics and pragmatics of discourse markers
- IAN ROBERTS AND ANNA ROUSSOU: Syntactic change: a minimalist approach to grammaticalization
- 101. DONKA MINKOVA: Alliteration and sound change in early English
- 102. MARK C. BAKER: Lexical categories: verbs, nouns and adjectives
- 103. CARLOTA S. SMITH: Modes of discourse: the local structure of texts
- 104. ROCHELLE LIEBER: Morphology and lexical semantics
- 105. HOLGER DIESSEL: The acquisition of complex sentences
- 106. SHARON INKELAS and CHERYL ZOLL: Reduplication: doubling in morphology
- 107. SUSAN EDWARDS: Fluent aphasia
- 108. BARBARA DANCYGIER and EVE SWEETSER: Mental spaces in grammar: conditional constructions
- 109. HEW BAERMAN, DUNSTAN BROWN and GREVILLE G. CORBETT: The syntaxmorphology interface: a study of syncretism
- 110. MARCUS TOMALIN: Linguistics and the formal sciences: the origins of generative grammar
- III. SAMUEL D. EPSTEIN and T. DANIEL SEELY: Derivations in minimalism
- II2. PAUL DE LACY: Markedness: reduction and preservation in phonology
- 113. YEHUDA N. FALK: Subjects and their properties
- 114. P. H. MATTHEWS: Syntactic relations: a critical survey
- 115. MARK C. BAKER: The syntax of agreement and concord
- 116. GILLIAN CATRIONA RAMCHAND: Verb meaning and the lexicon: a first phase syntax
- 117. PIETER MUYSKEN: Functional categories
- 118. JUAN URIAGEREKA: Syntactic anchors: on semantic structuring
- 119. D. ROBERT LADD: Intonational phonology second edition
- 120. LEONARD H. BABBY: The syntax of argument structure
- 121. B. ELAN DRESHER: The contrastive hierarchy in phonology
 122. DAVID ADGER, DANIEL HARBOUR and LAUREL J. WATKINS: Mirrors and microparameters: phrase structure beyond free word order
- 123. NIINA NING ZHANG: Coordination in syntax
- 124. NEIL SMITH: Acquiring phonology
- 125. NINA TOPINTZI: Onsets: suprasegmental and prosodic behaviour
- 126. CEDRIC BOECKX, NORBERT HORNSTEIN and JAIRO NUNES: Control as movement
- 127. MICHAEL ISRAEL: The grammar of polarity: pragmatics, sensitivity, and the logic of scales

Earlier issues not listed are also available



CAMBRIDGE STUDIES IN LINGUISTICS

General Editors: P. Austin, J. Bresnan, B. Comrie, S. Crain, W. Dressler, C. J. Ewen, R. Lass, D. Lightfoot, K. Rice, I. Roberts, S. Romaine, N. V. Smith

The Grammar of Polarity



THE GRAMMAR OF POLARITY

PRAGMATICS, SENSITIVITY, AND THE LOGIC OF SCALES

MICHAEL ISRAEL

University of Maryland, College Park





> CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Tokyo, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521792400

© Cambridge University Press 2011

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2011

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data Israel, Michael, 1965–

The grammar of polarity: pragmatics, sensitivity, and the logic of scales / Michael Israel.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-0-521-79240-0

- 1. Polarity (Linguistics) 2. Grammar, Comparative and general–Negatives.
- 3. Grammar, Comparative and general–Syntax. 4. Semantics. I. Title.

P299.N4.I87 2011

415-dc22 2010051866

ISBN 978-0-521-79240-0 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



The more that I philosophize
The more and more I realize
That little things which I despise,
Like peanut shells and grains of sand,
Are very hard, hard to understand.

Delmer Israel, To Harry F. Harlow



Contents

List of figures

	List of tables	xiii
	Acknowledgments	xiv
	List of abbreviations	xvi
1	Trivium pursuits	1
1.1	As above, so below	1
1.2	A quirk of grammar or a trick of thought?	2
1.3	The hypothesis: sensitivity as lexical pragmatics	7
1.4	Putting pragmatics in its place	10
1.5	Pragmatics in a usage-based grammar	14
2	Ex nihilo: the grammar of polarity	20
2.1	The simplicity of negation	20
2.2	The complexity of polarity	21
2.3	The phenomenon of polarity sensitivity	26
2.4	Basic mysteries: three problems of polarity sensitivity	30
2.5	Varieties of polarity sensitivity	37
2.6	The Scalar Model of polarity sensitivity	47
3	Licensing and the logic of scalar models	48
3.1	What is a polarity context?	48
3.2	Fauconnier's insight	49
3.3	The natural logic of scalar models	51
3.4	Affectivity as a mode of scalar construal	61
3.5	Syntactic constraints on scalar contruals	70
3.6	Polarity contexts are mental spaces	78
4	Sensitivity as inherent scalar semantics	79
4.1	Scalar operators	79
4.2	Two scalar properties	81

ix

page xii



x Contents

4.3	Four sorts of polarity items	85
4.4	Sensitivity and the square of oppositions	92
4.5	The conspiracy theory of polarity licensing	93
4.6	The anomaly of inverted polarity items	95
5	The elements of sensitivity	104
5.1	The Informativity Hypothesis	104
5.2	Quantitative semantics	105
5.3	The pragmatics of informativity	109
5.4	Assessing informativity	116
5.5	Rhetorical coherence in polarity contexts	120
5.6	Compositional sensitivities	121
6	The scalar lexicon	126
6.1	Paradigmatic predictions of the Scalar Model	126
6.2	Modal polarity items	127
6.3	Connective polarity items	142
6.4	Aspectual polarity items	151
6.5	The limits of diversity	161
7	The family of English indefinite polarity items	163
7.1	The many splendors of any	163
7.2	Indefinite family resemblances	164
7.3	Emphatic construals of indefinite any	168
7.4	The effects of phantom reference	180
7.5	Some uses of <i>some</i>	188
7.6	The limits of free choice	196
7.7	Indefinite conclusions	200
8	Polarity and the architecture of grammar	202
8.1	High stakes grammar	202
8.2	Terms of the debate	203
8.3	The syntactic approach	206
8.4	Semantic approaches	212
8.5	Toward a more pragmatic approach	227
9	The pragmatics of polarity licensing	233
9.1	Affectivity reconsidered	233
9.2	Scalar construal	235
9.3	Logical conditions are not sufficient	237
94	Logical conditions are not necessary	243



		Contents xi
9.5	Rhetorical coherence	250
9.6	Affectivity reclaimed	254
10	Visions and revisions	256
Appendix: A catalogue of English polarity items 258		258
Notes		267
References		270
General index		285
Person index		290



Figures

2.1	Haspelmath's semantic map of indefinite functions	page 33
3.1	A scalar model of puzzles	58
3.2	A two-dimensional scalar model	59
4.1	Four sorts of polarity items	90
4.2	Polarity items and the square of opposition	93
4.3	Canonical and inverted polarity items	97
6.1	A connective lattice	147
6.2	Durative <i>until</i>	158
6.3	Punctual until	159
8.1	The monotonicity hierarchy	219

xii



Tables

1	English quantifiers and indefinite constructions	page 165
2	Distributions of three polarity items	175

xiii



Acknowledgments

This book began with an epiphany in a stairwell by the sea near San Diego. The idea that two rhetorical tropes, exaggeration (emphasis) and understatement (attenuation), might explain the entire grammar of polarity sensitivity (NPIs and PPIs), seemed in an instant so neat, obvious, and simple, I was sure it must be obviously wrong or else already widely assumed, or perhaps both. Now I think the idea was both less obvious and more correct than I first suspected. That idea became the basis for a qualifying paper in 1994, a paper in *Linguistics and Philosophy* in 1996, and a dissertation in 1998, as well as a handful of shorter works (Israel 1997, 1999, 2001, 2006), and now, finally, for this book. Even now I wonder if I have done justice to this one little idea, but I know that what justice I have done, I could never have done alone. While I am entirely responsibile for the inadequacies which remain in this work, I am deeply in the debt of others for what virtues I have managed to include.

Probably I never could have had the idea at all were it not for the extraordinary scholars and teachers who inspired me on my way. It was Chuck Fillmore who first introduced me to polarity items and Eve Sweetser who first taught me to see the rhetoric in lexical semantics, and neither seems ever to have tired of encouraging me since. Adele Goldberg, Suzanne Kemmer, and George Lakoff, each in their different ways, taught me to seek the connections between grammar and meaning, and to appreciate the importance of doing so. Ron Langacker was always generous to me with his thoughts and patient and kind as he encouraged me to develop my own. I am deeply grateful for the thoughtful advice and meticulous readings he has given to me and this work over the years. Gilles Fauconnier, whose old ideas are at the heart of this work, was unstinting in his willingness to revisit old issues here and to help me as I worked through them again. And special thanks are due to Larry Horn, who has been generously reading and responding to drafts of this work almost from the beginning. His unflagging enthusiasm has sustained me throughout and his insights have greatly improved the final product.

xiv



Acknowledgments 2

Many others have read and commented on drafts of this work and contributed to its final form. I am thus grateful to Raùl Aranovich, Chris Barker, Christine Bartels, Jack Hoeksema, Bill Ladusaw, Haj Ross, Neil Smith, and Yuki Kuroda. Very special thanks are also due to Peter Mallios and Tess Wood for their generosity as readers and editors in the long last stages of this writing, and to my editorial team at Cambridge – Jacqueline French, Sarah Green, Tom O'Reilly, and Andrew Winnard – for all their hard work.

Many others have aided, abetted, encouraged, and inspired me in this work. Scholarship is nurtured in friendship – it is hard to say where one begins and the other ends – and I am grateful for the friendly feedback and lively conversations I have enjoyed with, among others, Michel Achard, Noah Baum, Patty Brooks, Bill Byrne, Claudia Brugman, Kathleen Carey, Linda Coleman, Bill Croft, Seana Coulson, Adrian Cussins, Michelle Cutrer, Rich Epstein, Jeanne Fahnestock, Anastasia Giannikidou, David Gil, Joe Grady, Peter Harder, Martin Haspelmath, Dennis Hilton, Paul Hirschbühler, Chris Johnson, Paul Kay, Henny Klein, Margaret Langdon, Pierre Larrivée, Chungmin Lee, Phil Lesourd, Jeff Lidz, Louise McNally, Laura Michaelis, Bill Morris, Karin Pizer, Hotze Rullmann, Scott Scwhenter, Ron Sheffer, Vera Tobin, Michael Tomasello, Elizabeth Traugott, Mark Turner, Karen van Hoek, Arie Verhagen, Gregory Ward, Paul Weinstein, Deirdre Wilson, and Ton van der Wouden.

Finally, I am grateful to my family and friends for their love and support over the years, and especially to Tess Wood and Zev Israel who have had to live with me, and sometimes without me, as I wrote this. Words cannot express my gratitude.



Abbreviations

ACC Accusative ADJ Adjective

API Affective polarity item BNC British National Corpus

CN Common Noun

DAT Dative

DE Downward entailing

DEC Declarative
DET Determiner
DISJ Disjunctive
ERG Ergative
FC Free choice
FP Focus particle

FUT Future

IC Implication Constraint

IMPF Imperfective Indefinite INDEF INF Infinitive LF Logical form LM Landmark MOD Modal N Noun NEG Negative

NOM Nominal (i.e. N', the complement of a determiner in an NP)

NP Noun Phrase

NPI Negative polarity item
OED Oxford English Dictionary

P Preposition/particle

PFV Perfective PL Plural

xvi



VP

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-79240-0 - The Grammar of Polarity: Pragmatics, Sensitivity, and the Logic of Scales Michael Israel Frontmatter More information

List of abbreviations xvii

PPI Positive polarity item PRO Pronoun PS Polarity sensitive S Finite clause SG Singular **SUBJ** Subjunctive Upward entailing UE TR Trajector V Verb

WSJ Wall Street Journal

Verb Phrase