

## *Contents*

<i>Preface</i>	<i>page xi</i>
<b>PART I: AN ANALYSIS OF THE <i>LYSIS</i></b>	
1 203A1–207B7: the cast assembles, and the main conversation is set up	3
2 207B8–210D8 (Socrates and Lysis): do Lysis' parents really love him?	12
1 207B8–D4: a few preliminary questions	12
2 207D5–209C6	16
3 209C7–210D8	21
4 Retrospect and prospect	36
3 210E1–213C9: Socrates and Menexenus – how does one get a friend?	39
(a) Translation	40
(b) Some preliminaries	45
(c) The argument (212A8–213C8)	51
(d) Socrates, Lysis, Menexenus: three different levels of understanding	61
4 213D1–216B9: Socrates and Lysis again, then Menexenus – poets and cosmologists on what is friend of what (like of like; or opposite of opposite?)	64
1 A brief overview of the new discussion ('the poets and the cosmologists')	65
2 213D1–214A2	71
3 214A2–E2	74
4 214E2–215C2	84
5 Can we really take Socrates seriously when he concludes that the good will not be friends to the good (215B7–C1)?	92
6 215C3–216B9	94

5	216C1–221D6: what it is that loves, what it really loves, and why	99
1	216C1–217A2	99
2	217A3–221D6	107
a	217A3–218C3: the cause of ‘friendship’, <i>philia</i> , as presence of bad (?)	111
b	218C4–219B4: what is <i>philon</i> is <i>philon</i> for the sake of the <i>philon</i> because of the <i>echthron</i> (?)	121
c	219B5–220B5: the first, and true, thing that is friend ( <i>philon</i> ), contrasted with so-called ‘friends’, which are for the sake of this first friend	125
d	220B6–221C5: presence of bad is not the cause of ‘friendship’	133
(c+d)	219B5–221C5 and the identity of the ‘first friend’	139
e	221C5–D6: the true cause of <i>philia</i> ?	153
6	221D6–222B2: the main argument reaches its conclusion	157
(a)	221D6–E5: is the object of <i>philia</i> what <i>belongs</i> (to <i>oikeion</i> )?	157
(b)	221E5–222B2: . . . and so the genuine lover must be loved by his darling (?)	160
7	222B3–E7: some further questions from Socrates about the argument, leading to (apparent) impasse	173
8	223A1–B8: the dialogue ends – people will say that Socrates and the boys think they are friends, but that they haven’t been able to discover what ‘the friend’ is	185
9	203A1–207B7 revisited	189
PART II: THE THEORY OF THE <i>LYSIS</i>		
10	A re-reading of the <i>Lysis</i> : some preliminaries	195
1	Some methodological prolegomena; and a major objection from proponents of the ‘Analytical–Elenctic’ approach	195
2	‘The principle of real reference’	205
3	Principal conclusions about the <i>Lysis</i> to be argued for in the next chapter; and a problem about self-interest	211
4	Socratic intellectualism introduced	216
11	A re-reading of the <i>Lysis</i>	231
1	Socrates talks to Hippothales, then Lysis and Menexenus, then Lysis by himself (203A–210D)	231
2	The Menexenus discussion (211A–213D)	236
3	The poets and the cosmologists (213E–216B)	242

<i>Contents</i>		ix
4	The great central passage of the <i>Lysis</i> (216C–221D)	243
5	Task (A): a preliminary identification of the ‘first friend’ as – whatever else it may be – the ultimate term of a certain means–end hierarchy	245
6	The next two tasks: (B) why does Socrates say that the cause of the neither good nor bad’s loving the ‘first friend’ is not the bad, but <i>desire</i> ? And (C) what sort of desire is it that allows him to say that what one loves one desires?	248
7	Task (D): the problem that Socrates now seems to be saying that we do not love our children, our dogs, wine, or our friends; is he <i>really</i> saying that?	251
8	On what is good <i>in itself</i> or desired <i>for its own sake</i>	260
9	The relation between <i>philia</i> (‘friendship’), <i>erōs</i> (‘passion’, ‘romantic love’), and <i>epithumia</i> (‘desire’) in the <i>Lysis</i>	269
10	The further identification of the ‘first friend’	273
11	If the ‘first friend’ is knowledge or wisdom, does that rule out its being <i>happiness</i> ?	275
12	If the ‘first friend’ is knowledge or wisdom, does that rule out any connection with what the Socrates of the <i>Republic</i> would call ‘the Form of the Good’?	278
12	On seeking the good of others <i>independently of one’s own good</i> ; and other unfinished business	280
1	The Vlastosian, Kantian requirement that love be for the good of others <i>independently of one’s own good</i>	280
2	Unfinished business	291
	Epilogue	297
(a)	The <i>Lysis</i> and the <i>Symposium</i>	300
(b)	The <i>Lysis</i> and the <i>Phaedrus</i>	307
(c)	Aristotle and the <i>Lysis</i>	312
(d)	Beyond Aristotle	322
	Translation of the <i>Lysis</i>	326
	<i>Bibliography</i>	352
	<i>Index of names</i>	359
	<i>Index of subjects</i>	361