

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-78394-1 - Crafting Law on the Supreme Court: The Collegial Game
Forrest Maltzman, James F. Spriggs and Paul J. Wahlbeck

Index

[More information](#)

Author Index

- Aldrich, John, 41n12
 Allenby, Greg, 41n12
 Allison, Paul, 109
 Alt, James, 14n8
 Alvarez, Michael, 41n12
 Armstrong, Scott, 6, 25
 Arnold, Laura, 129n3
 Arrow, Kenneth, 91
 Atkins, Burton, 14n10
 Austen-Smith, David, 20
 Axelrod, Robert, 19, 21, 100
- Baron, David, 24
 Baum, Lawrence, 12, 13, 15, 21, 35, 37
 Beck, Nathaniel, 110
 Bentley, A. Lee, 95n1
 Berelson, Bernard, 11
 Berry, Frances, 109n11
 Berry, William, 109n11
 Bhat, Chandra, 41n12
 Binder, Sarah, 129n3
 Binmore, Kenneth, 24, 103
 Biskupic, Joan, 25
 Black, Hugo, 22, 24, 135–6
 Blackmun, Harry, 59–61, 63, 68, 94, 127
 Blalock, Hubert, Jr., 54
 Box-Steffensmeier, Janet, 118n22, 129n3,
 138n6, 143n13
 Brace, Paul, 12, 14n10
 Brennan, William, 3, 7, 9–10, 34, 63, 69, 94,
 97, 107, 127–28
 Brenner, Saul, 7, 23, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40,
 44nn16,17, 101, 102, 128, 160
 Burger, Warren, 22, 29, 38, 39, 47, 60, 65, 67,
 95, 99, 125
- Caldeira, Gregory, 6, 14n10, 33, 160
 Cameron, Charles, 5, 139n7
- Campbell, Angus, 11
 Canon, Bradley, 5, 16
 Carmines, Edward, 161, 174
 Clark, Tom, 7, 10
 Clayton, Cornell, 11, 12, 151
 Cohen, Jacob, 163, 164n9
 Cook, Beverly, 37
 Cover, Albert, 6, 10, 139n7
 Crawford, Vincent, 7, 9, 20
- Dahl, Robert, 11
 Damore, David, 13n7
 Dannelski, David, 8, 23, 32, 36, 40
 Davis, Sue, 35, 37
 Dentler, Robert, 11
 De Swann, Abraham, 100
 Dixon, William, 14n10
 Dorff, Robert, 7, 160
 Douglas, William, 29–30, 69, 160
- Elster, Jon, 14n9
 Epstein, Lee, 5, 12, 13nn6,7, 15, 22, 25, 26,
 28, 32, 38, 43, 44n18, 45n23, 51n33,
 58n1, 65n4, 79, 96n2, 109, 140n10, 153
 Eskridge, William, 5
- Farrell, Joseph, 7
 Feldman, Jim, 128
 Fenno, Richard F., Jr., 11, 139
 Ferejohn, John, 24
 Fleming, Thomas, 109
 Fortas, Abe, 8
 Frankfurter, Felix, 7
 Friedrich, Robert, 49n30
- Gansle, Gary, 160
 Garrow, David, 135
 Gates, John, 160

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-78394-1 - Crafting Law on the Supreme Court: The Collegial Game
Forrest Maltzman, James F. Spriggs and Paul J. Wahlbeck

Index

[More information](#)

- George, Tracey, 26
Giannelli, Paul, 125
Gibbons, Robert, 7
Gibson, James, 26, 45
Gilligan, Thomas, 23n12
Gillman, Howard, 12, 151
Ginsburg, Ruth Bader, 24, 67, 99n4, 107
Ginter, James, 41n12
Green, Donald, 21
Greene, William, 41n12, 109, 138n6
Grofman, Bernard, 54
- Hagle, Timothy, 7, 10, 21, 23, 39, 40, 69, 101, 128
Hall, Melinda, 12, 14n10
Hall, Richard, 54
Hammond, Thomas, 7, 33
Hansford, Thomas, 13n7, 14n10, 46n25, 68, 153
Harlan, John Marshall, 68, 75, 107, 132, 137
Harrington, David, 109
Hausman, Jerry, 41n12
Hays, William, 44
Hoffman, Saul, 41n12
Holsti, Ole, 164
Howard, J. Woodford, Jr., 7, 23, 39, 101, 152n2
Hughes, Charles Evans, 7
Hurst, James, 5
- Ignagni, Joseph, 5
- Johnson, Charles, 5, 16, 107, 160
Johnstone, Sandra, 8, 23
Jones, Bradford, 138n6
Julnes, George, 54
- Kahn, Ronald, 150
Katz, Jonathan, 110
Kennedy, Peter, 78n13
King, Gary, 41n12, 109n8
Kingdon, John, 23n12
Knight, Jack, 5, 10n5, 12, 13nn6,7, 14, 15, 22, 25, 26n15, 28, 32, 38, 64n4, 96n2, 109, 153
Koch, Gary, 163, 168
Kort, Fred, 150
Krehbiel, Keith, 23n12
Krippendorff, Klaus, 161, 164, 174
- Landis, J. Richard, 163, 168
Lawrence, Eric, 129n3
Lazarfeld, Paul F., 11
- Leipart, Jørn, 98, 100
Levi, Edward H., 10
Lin, D. Y., 139n7
Lustick, Ian, 160
- McFadden, Daniel, 41n12
McGuire, Kevin T., 21, 33, 39, 137
Machin, David, 109
McLauchlan, William, 36
McPhee, William N., 11
Maddala, G. S., 41n12
Maltzman, Forrest, 7, 21, 32, 35, 37, 49, 62n3, 64, 69, 77n9, 129n3, 160, 161n2
Manley, John F., 11
March, James, 14
Marshall, Thurgood, 94–5, 132
Mason, Alpheus, 152n2
Matthews, Donald R., 11
Medine, David, 125
Meernik, James, 5
Mendelson, Wallace, 150
Mintrom, Michael, 109n11
Mohr, Lawrence, 54
Murphy, Walter F., 8, 13, 16, 19, 21, 22, 23, 25, 33, 35, 36, 62, 64, 65, 67–8, 73, 98, 100, 105, 107, 131, 134, 136, 149
Myers, Daniel, 138n6
- Nagel, Stuart S., 12
Nagler, Jonathan, 41n12
Nelson, Forrest, 41n12
Niemi, Donald, 73, 134
North, Douglass C., 12–13, 14
- O'Brien, David M., 10
O'Connor, Sandra Day, 3, 22, 63, 65, 67, 95, 127
Olsen, Johan, 14
Orren, Karen, 14n8
- Palmer, Barbara, 33, 38, 39, 101, 102, 137, 160
Parmar, Mahesh, 109
Perry, H. W., 6, 14n10, 25n13
Polsby, Nelson, 11
Powell, Lewis F., Jr., 9, 15, 20, 58–60, 63, 75, 95, 97, 107, 134
Pritchett, C. Herman, 6
Provine, Doris M., 6
- Rathjen, Gregory, 36, 101, 102
Rehnquist, William, 6–7, 8, 9, 20, 26n14,

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-78394-1 - Crafting Law on the Supreme Court: The Collegial Game
Forrest Maltzman, James F. Spriggs and Paul J. Wahlbeck

Index

[More information](#)

Author Index

199

- 30–1, 32–3, 35, 36–7, 39, 63, 65, 97, 98,
101, 105–6, 132, 137, 152
- Richey, Mary Ellen, 59n2, 60–1
- Riker, William, 7, 20, 33, 73, 105, 134
- Rohde, David W., 5–6, 7, 8, 10, 12, 15, 17, 26,
35–6, 37, 73, 98n3, 100, 101, 105, 109,
128, 132, 134
- Rubinstein, Ariel, 24, 103
- Scalia, Antonin, 61, 65, 66, 107
- Schmidhauser, John R., 12
- Schubert, Glendon, 6, 11, 12, 128, 150
- Schwartz, Bernard, 6, 7, 9, 15, 25, 26n14, 35,
107
- Schwartz, Edward P., 21
- Segal, Jeffrey A., 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12,
13n7, 16, 17, 21, 22, 26, 35, 36, 37,
45n23, 107, 132, 139n7, 147, 150
- Sened, Itai, 14n8
- Shapiro, Ira, 21
- Shepsle, Kenneth A., 7, 11, 14n8, 33
- Sigelman, Lee, 8n4, 86n21
- Singer, Judith, 110
- Skowronek, Stephen, 14n8
- Slotnick, Elliot E., 8, 35, 37, 44n16
- Smith, Paul A., 11
- Smith, Rogers M., 14n8
- Snyder, Eloise C., 23
- Sobel, Joel, 20
- Songer, Donald R., 5
- Spaeth, Harold J., 2n1, 4, 5–6, 7, 8, 10, 11,
12, 16, 17, 21, 22, 23, 26, 35, 36, 37, 38,
41n11, 42, 44n16, 45n19, 46, 69, 79, 80,
107, 109, 128, 132, 140n10, 147, 150,
151, 176n3
- Spriggs, James F., II, 5, 8n4, 14n10, 21,
46n25, 62n3, 64, 68, 77n9, 153
- Steamer, Robert J., 35
- Stevens, John Paul, 22, 95, 127
- Stewart, Potter, 59–60, 99, 106
- Stimson, James, 78n13, 139n7
- Ström, Kaare, 98, 100
- Tanenhaus, Joseph, 6
- Thurmon, Mark A., 16n11
- Tucker, Richard, 110
- Ulmer, S. Sidney, 6, 8, 12, 23, 36, 37, 40, 128,
150, 160
- Vines, Kenneth N., 12
- Wahlbeck, Paul J., 5, 7, 8n4, 17, 21, 32, 35,
37, 49, 62n3, 64, 68n5, 69, 73, 76, 77n9,
109, 132, 160, 161n2
- Walker, Thomas, 14n10, 23
- Wei, L. J., 139n7
- Weingast, Barry, 7, 33
- Wenzel, James P., 33
- White, Byron, 78n13, 95
- Willett, John, 110
- Wood, Sandra L., 23, 35, 39, 101, 160
- Woodward, Bob, 6, 25
- Wright, John R., 6, 33, 160
- Yamaguchi, Kazuo, 109, 138n6, 139
- Zavoina, William, 14n10
- Zeller, Richard, 161, 174
- Zhang, Junsen, 41n12
- Zorn, Christopher, 14n10, 129n3, 160

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-78394-1 - Crafting Law on the Supreme Court: The Collegial Game
Forrest Maltzman, James F. Spriggs and Paul J. Wahlbeck

Index

[More information](#)

Subject Index

- accommodation
 - case complexity hypothesis, 104
 - case importance hypotheses, 102
 - chief justice hypothesis, 103
 - cooperation hypothesis, 101–2, 106
 - end-of-term hypothesis, 103–4
 - expertise hypothesis, 103
 - factors shaping, 100
 - freshman hypothesis, 103
 - limits for opinion author's, 24
 - majority coalition size hypotheses, 73, 101
 - majority status hypothesis, 106
 - by opinion author for majority coalition, 62–9
 - of opinion author for minority coalition, 70–2
 - opinion author's willingness to, 20
 - opinion distance hypotheses, 100–1
 - reflected in drafts of opinions, 132
 - workload hypothesis, 103
- accommodation, preemptive
 - incentives to practice, 97–8, 104
 - independent variables for model of, 11–12
 - measurement of, 108, 173–6
 - strategic context of, 99–100
 - timing of, 115–19
 - use of concept of, 96–7
- accommodation, responsive, 98–9
 - covariates, 110n13
 - independent variables for model of, 12–14
 - measurement of, 108
 - signaling hypotheses, 106–8
 - timing of, 120–3
- agenda setting
 - in assignment of majority opinion, 33
 - opinion author's role in, 7–8, 15
 - power of, 33–4
 - in writing of majority opinion, 33
- Ake v. Oklahoma* (1985), 94–6, 105, 125, 133–4
- Alexander v. Choate* (1985), 132
- Amendments to the U.S. Constitution
 - Brennan's interpretation of Fifth Amendment, 1–2
 - Court alteration of interpretation of Sixth Amendment, 57–8
 - Sixth Amendment in *Roberts*, 58
- Astrup v. Immigration and Naturalization Service* (1971), 22
- attitudinal model
 - applicable to judicial behavior, 10–11, 150–1
 - arguments and relevance of, 151–2
 - defined, 10
 - empirical support for, 10
 - of judicial outcomes, 4–5
 - predictions of, 10
 - with rational choice analysis, 12
 - theoretical basis of current, 12
- bargaining
 - constraints imposed by, 134
 - factors influencing, 24
 - factors shaping tactics of justices, 72–81
 - of justices with opinion author, 62–72
 - See also justices' response to majority opinion
- behavior
 - behavioral revolution in study of politics, 11–12
- behavior of justices
 - attitudinal model of, 11–12
 - cooperative, 135

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-78394-1 - Crafting Law on the Supreme Court: The Collegial Game
Forrest Maltzman, James F. Spriggs and Paul J. Wahlbeck

Index

[More information](#)

Subject Index

201

- shaped by policy preferences, 151
in strategic model, 13
- Berkemer v. McCarty* (1984), 65
- Black, Hugo
on acquiescing to another justice's opinion, 24
willingness to join majority opinion, 135–6
- Blackmun, Harry
accommodation in *Muniz* and *Roberts*, 96
on conditions for joining majority opinion, 68–9
Court's opinion in *Roberts*, 57–8, 61–2
offer to join draft opinion in *Hensley*, 127
response to draft opinion in *Wardius*, 63
- Brennan, William
accommodation in *Muniz* and *Roberts*, 96
assignment of opinions by, 32–3
as author of opinion in *Muniz*, 94
change in position in *Hensley*, 126–8
effect of dissents on votes, 69
on final form of an opinion, 9–10
joins majority in *Hensley*, 125
on justices' responses to majority opinion draft, 63
note to Marshall re: *Muniz*, 3–4
opinion in *Muniz*, 1–5
senior majority justice in *Muniz*, 34
- Briscoe v. Bell* (1977), 52
- Brown v. Board of Education* (1954), 160
- Burger, Warren
on adding to draft opinion, 67
on assignment of opinion author in *Roe*, 29
assignment of opinion in *Roberts*, 58
assignment of opinions by, 32
on Black's opinion in *Astrup*, 22
on circulation of opinions, 39
concurring opinion in *Ake*, 125
on conditions for joining opinion in *Ake*, 95
factors influencing assignment of opinions by, 55–6
opinion assignment in *James*, 49–50
on reason for not joining majority in *Berkemer*, 65
time to complete first draft of opinion, 119
- Burger Court
coalition formation analysis, 109–10
course of action pursued by justices, 93
draft opinions circulated during, 114–15
justices assigning opinion, 32–4
justices' responses to majority opinion author, 93
- model of timing of justices' decisions to join majority, 137–9
timing of joinders sent during, 130–1
- case complexity hypothesis
accommodation of opinion author under, 104
justices' response to majority opinion author, 76
related to probability of justices' joining majority opinion, 137
- case importance hypotheses
accommodation of opinion author under, 102
in assignment of opinion, 37
joining majority dependent on political and legal salience, 136
justices' responses to majority opinion author, 74
- chief justice
assigning cases according to expertise, 38
assigning of opinion author, 8, 15
assigning opinion according to Court's rules, 31
constraints in assigning opinions, 31–2, 37
criteria in choice of majority opinion author, 27
incidence of opinion assignment by, 32
motives in opinion assignment, 35–7
pressure to have a unified Court, 136
pursuing policy goals in assigning opinions, 55–6
as taskmaster, 39
- chief justice hypothesis
accommodation of opinion author under, 103
justices' responses to majority opinion author, 74–5
willingness to join majority opinion, 136
- coalition
influence on opinion author of majority, 62–9
influence on opinion author of minority, 70–2
minimum winning, 20
See also majority coalition size hypothesis
- coalition formation
duration model to estimate, 138–9
event history analysis, 109–10
by opinion author, 128
policy preferences shape, 130–2
in responsive accommodation stage, 108–9
- Coleman v. Alabama* (1970), 69

- collective decision-making postulate
 justices' interdependence, 19–20
 of strategic model, 17–18
- collegial game
 assignment of opinion according to, 31
 attitudinal and legal models in, 151–2
 collective decision making in, 17–18
 components of, 149–50
 constraints imposed by, 17–18, 130, 33–4, 153
 definition and phases of, 8–9
 dynamic character of, 134
 importance of, 148
 influence on justices' final vote, 142–4
 levels of play in, 149–50
 role in shaping *Roberts* opinion, 57–62
 tit-for-tat relationships, 73
 variables external to, 18
 See also accommodation; justices' response to majority opinion
- conference
 function of and voting in, 6–9
 justices' votes in, 63, 69
 majority and minority coalitions in, 70–2
- contextual factors
 constraints on actions and behavior, 21–5
 difficulty of case, 22
 effect of time pressures on choice made, 23–4
 importance of, 153
 importance of issue, 22, 36
 institutional position of justices, 23
 role in shaping choices of justices, 21–2, 31
- cooperation
 among justices, 20–1
 between pairs of justices, 20–1
 See also accommodation
- cooperation hypothesis
 accommodation by opinion author under, 101–2, 106
 justices' responses to majority opinion author, 73
 predicting future cooperation, 135
- data sources
 for opinion assignment analysis, 40–7
 reliability of, 155–68
 for responsive accommodation test, 109
 to study opinion-writing process, 25–7
- decision making, judicial
 basis for, 149
 collective decision making postulate, 7–20
 in collegial game, 17–19
- constraints of precedent, 153
 Court rules constrain, 153
 interdependence, 14–17, 19–20
 legal approach, 4
 outcome postulate, 17, 19
 role of institutions in, 12–13
 in signaling hypotheses, 134–5
 See also collegial game
- Department of Justice v. Tax Analysts* (1989), 7n3
- Dewey v. Reynolds Metals* (1971), 115n20
- Douglas, William O.
 protest of opinion assignment in *Roe*, 29
 dissent from reargument in *Roe*, 29–30, 32
 switching conference vote, 69
- duration model
 for accommodation analysis, 108–10
 for coalition formation analysis, 137–9
 independent variables in, 111–14, 139–41
- Eastland v. United States Servicemen's Fund* (1975), 88
- end-of-term hypothesis
 accommodation by opinion author under, 103–4
 assignment of opinion, 75–6
 justices' response to majority opinion author, 75–6
 related to justices' joining majority opinion, 136–7
- expertise hypothesis
 accommodation of opinion author under, 103
 assignment of opinion, 38
 justices' response to majority opinion author, 75
 related to justices' joining majority opinion, 136
- freshman hypothesis
 accommodation of opinion author under, 103
 justices' response to majority opinion author, 75
 in opinion assignment, 39
 related to majority opinion author, 136
- Frontiero v. Richardson* (1973), 96–7
- Ginsburg, Ruth Bader
 on separate opinions, 67
 on time constraints, 24
- Greenbelt v. Bresler* (1970), 68, 99

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-78394-1 - Crafting Law on the Supreme Court: The Collegial Game
Forrest Maltzman, James F. Spriggs and Paul J. Wahlbeck

Index

[More information](#)

Subject Index

203

- Griggs v. Duke Power Company* (1971), 99, 106
- Harlan, John Marshall
on end-of-term pressures, 75
on joining majority in *Johnson*, 132
on reasons for recirculating opinion, 107
on withdrawal of separate concurring opinion, 68
- hazard rate
in duration model to estimate timing of justices' joining majority opinion, 139, 143–4, 146–7
estimation and determination of, 109–10
- Heffron v. International Society for Krishna Consciousness* (1981), 86–7
- Hensley*. *See United States v. Hensley* (1985)
- Hughes, Charles Evans
on accommodation, 101
- ideology
as factor in justice's actions concerning majority opinion, 72, 131–3
See also opinion distance hypotheses
- information
from justices' signals, 105, 106
rules facilitate transmission of, 14
- institutions
constraints defining actors' environment, 13
formal and informal rules as constraints of, 14–16
- interdependence
cooperation and reciprocity, 20–1
in decision making, 20
in strategic action, 14–16, 21–2
- James v. Valtierra* (1971), 49, 50n31
- Johnson*. *See United States v. Johnson* (1971)
- joinders
as justices' final vote, 130
- justices
changing vote, 34
choice of tactics for shaping Court's opinion, 93
constraints on, 13–14
cooperation between pairs of, 20–1
effect of cooperative behavior, 135
responding with a joinder, 63–4
as strategic actors, 18–21
strategies to achieve policy goals, 56
tactics to shape majority opinions, 62–9
voting in conference, 6–7
- justices, associate
assigning opinions, 32
constraints on opinion assignments, 56
opinion assignments by, 53–5
pursuing policy goals in opinion assignments, 56
senior, 8, 15
- justices, minority coalition
responses to draft majority opinion, 70–2
- justice's decision to join
majority status hypothesis, 134
opinion distance hypotheses, 133
justices' response to constraints. *See* accommodation
- justices' response to majority opinion
case complexity hypothesis, 76
case importance hypotheses, 74
chief justice hypothesis, 74–5
concurrences and dissents, 67–9
cooperation hypothesis, 73
intention to write separately, 66–7
majority coalition size hypothesis, 73
opinion distance hypothesis, 72
results of analysis of, 81–91
sequencing of, 91–2
suggestions and threats, 64–6
uncertainty, 63–4
willingness to join majority, 63, 133–7
workload hypothesis, 75
- Larson v. Valente* (1982), 91
- leadership
of chief justice, 23
- legal model
argument and relevance of, 150–2
of judicial outcomes, 4–5, 10
- Logue v. United States* (1973), 88, 97
- majority coalition size hypothesis
justices' responses to majority opinion author, 73
in opinion assignment, 36–7
opinion author accommodation under, 101
- majority status hypothesis
probability of accommodation under, 106
- Marks v. United States* (1977), 16n11
- Marrese v. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons* (1985), 67
- Marshall, Thurgood
dissent in *Munic*, 1–2
joins dissent in *Rosenberg*, 132
position in conference about *Hensley*, 126–7

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-78394-1 - Crafting Law on the Supreme Court: The Collegial Game
Forrest Maltzman, James F. Spriggs and Paul J. Wahlbeck

Index

[More information](#)

- Marshall, Thurgood (*cont.*)
 refusal to accommodate Burger in *Ake* decision, 94–6, 105, 125, 133–4
Michelin Tire Corp. v. Wages (1976), 68–9
Miranda v. Arizona (1966), 1–4, 34, 94, 150–1
Mullay v. United States (1970), 91
Muniz. *See Pennsylvania v. Muniz* (1990)
- O'Connor, Sandra Day
 asking for modification of draft opinion, 65
 attempts at accommodation in *Hensley*, 125–8
 effect of Scalia's unwillingness to compromise on, 135
 formation of majority opinion coalitions by, 21
 on implications related to *Roberts*, 22
 on phrasing of a "joinder," 63
 on remaining in coalition in *Ake*, 95
 reply to Burger's plan to add to opinion, 67
 views of opinion in *Muniz*, 3, 34
Ohio v. Roberts (1980), 57, 61–2, 65, 67, 94, 96
- opinion assignments
 as agenda setting, 33–5
 by associate justices, 40, 53–5
 Burger Court, 47–53, 55
 case importance hypotheses, 37
 data sources and methodology, 40–7
 end-of-term hypothesis, 39–40
 equity hypothesis, 38
 expertise hypothesis, 38
 explanations for, 31
 freshman hypothesis, 39
 majority coalition size hypothesis, 36–7
 opinion distance hypothesis, 36
 playing collegial game, 31
 reassignments, 41n9
 Rehnquist's changing of criteria for, 30–1
 workload hypothesis, 39
- opinion author
 accommodation under opinion distance hypotheses, 100–1
 agenda-setting position, 7–8, 15
 Blackmun in *Roberts* decision, 57, 61–2
 Brennan in *Muniz*, 2–4
 building majority coalition, 128
 conditions for accommodation, 99–104
 factors influencing accommodation to colleagues, 24
 incentives to change the opinion, 105
 influences of majority conference coalition on, 62–9
 influences of minority conference coalition on, 70–2
 justices' responses to majority opinion draft, 62–72
 justices stating intention to write separately, 66–7
 policy preferences of, 131
 putting issues on agenda, 33
 threats and suggestions to, 64–6
 uses of information, 105
 willingness to accommodate a colleague, 20
- opinion distance hypotheses
 accommodation by opinion author, 100–1
 assignment to opinion author, 36
 justices' responses to majority opinion authors, 72
 timing of justices' decisions to join majority, 133
- opinions, concurring
 conditions for circulation of, 67–9, 72–6
 effect of, 62, 67–9
 timing of circulation of, 7
- opinions, dissenting
 circumstances for minority coalition justices to write, 71
 conditions for circulation of, 67–9, 72–6
 of Douglas in *Roe*, 29–30, 32
 effect of, 62, 67–9
 in *Muniz*, 1–4
 timing of circulation, 7
- opinions, majority
 changes in drafts of, 132
 choice of author, 7
 circulation of draft, 33–4
 factors shaping justices' decision to join, 130–1
 timing of justices' decisions to join, 133
See also majority status hypothesis
- opinions, separate
 during Burger Court, 34
 drafting of, 34
 effect of statement to write, 62, 66–7, 108
 responsive accommodation from circulation of, 107–8
- opinions of Supreme Court
 content of, 154
 importance and implications of, 5–6
 majority opinion as, 9
 opinion-writing process, 6–10
 plurality opinion, 16n11
 time for circulation of first draft, 108n6

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-78394-1 - Crafting Law on the Supreme Court: The Collegial Game
Forrest Maltzman, James F. Spriggs and Paul J. Wahlbeck

Index

[More information](#)

Subject Index

205

- opinion-writing process
 analysis of, 57–93
 elements shaping, 6–10
 justices' strategic interaction, 16–25
O'Shea v. Littleton (1974), 155–9
 outcome postulate
 role of policy preferences in, 19
 in strategic model, 17–18
- Paris Adult Theater I v. Slaton* (1973), 88
Pennsylvania v. Muniz (1990), 1–5, 34, 94, 96
 policy goals
 achieving, 149
 policy preferences
 constraints on, 18–19, 149
 Court outcomes depend on, 18–19
 in duration model of justices' joining majority opinion, 143, 147
 factors influencing, 21
 justices' actions in pursuit of, 72–6
 justices' voting according to, 10–12
 of opinion author, 131
 in outcome postulate, 17, 19
 shape judicial behavior, 151
 in shaping of majority coalition, 130–2
- politics
 behavioral revolution in study of, 11
 scientific study of, 11
- Powell, Lewis
 circulation of suggestion in *Roberts*, 65, 67
 on joining majority opinion, 63, 107
 plan to write a concurrence, 65, 67
 in support of Marshall's opinion in *Ake*, 95, 134
 tactics in influencing *Roberts* opinion, 58–62
 on workload concerns, 75
- precedent
 constraints of, 153
See also legal model
- rational choice analysis
 attitudinal model with, 12
- reciprocity
 in justices' relationships, 20–1
Regents of the University of California v. Bakke (1978), 77n8
- Rehnquist, William
 on administrative role of chief justice, 32–3
 asking for accommodation in *Trans Alaska Pipeline Cases*, 105–6
 on assignment of cases, 36–7
 on criteria for assignment to opinion author, 30–1
 on getting majority opinion, 152
 joins draft opinion in *Hensley*, 127
 on justices' voting at conference, 63
 opinion assignments (1987–1989) terms, 49
 position in *Muniz*, 34
 response to opinion author in *Ruckelshaus*, 65
Richmond Newspapers v. Virginia (1980), 89
Roberts. *See* *Ohio v. Roberts* (1980)
Roberts v. United States Jaycees (1984), 22, 87
Roe v. Wade (1973), 29–32
Rosenberg v. Yee Chien Woo (1971), 132
Ruckelshaus v. Monsanto Company (1984), 65
- rules
 institutions as constraints and, 12–13
 strategic behavior in context of, 14–16
 Supreme Court's institutional, 8, 14–16, 19–20, 153
- Scalia, Antonin
 on improving majority opinion, 61
 on refining of majority opinion, 107
 on threats to change a vote, 65
 unwillingness to compromise, 135
 on writing separate opinion, 66
- signaling hypotheses
 reflecting dynamics of court decision making, 134–5
 responsive accommodation under, 106–8
- signals
 of agreement, 132
 in bargaining tactics, 134
 during the collegial game, 9, 20, 144, 148
 of justices to majority opinion author, 105, 106
 in opinion-writing process in *Roberts*, 58–61
 wait, 144–6
 will write, 145–6
 in writing separate opinion, 107–8
- Stevens, John Paul
 on his joiner in *Ake*, 95
 position in conference about *Hensley*, 127
 on varying complexity of cases, 22
- Stewart, Potter
 lack of objection to modifications, 59–60
 on restructuring opinion in *Greenbelt*, 99
 suggestions for opinion in *Griggs*, 106
- strategic model
 behavior of rational political actors in, 13

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-78394-1 - Crafting Law on the Supreme Court: The Collegial Game
Forrest Maltzman, James F. Spriggs and Paul J. Wahlbeck

Index

[More information](#)

- strategic model (*cont.*)
 collective decision-making postulate,
 17–18, 92–3, 152–3
 elements of, 12–15, 151–2
 explanation of opinion assignments,
 35–40
 explanations and testing of, 27–8
 of judicial outcome, 4–5
 opinion assignments, 35–53
 outcome postulate, 17–18, 92–3, 152–3
 results of opinion assignment analysis,
 47–53
 suggestions
 conditions for circulation of, 64–6,
 72–6
 effect of, 62, 65–6
 Supreme Court
 conference related to *Roberts* case, 58
 informal institutional rules, 31
Terry v. Ohio (1968), 126–7
 threats
 conditions for circulation of, 64–6, 72–6
 effect of, 62, 64–6
 tit-for-tat relationships, 73
Trans Alaska Pipeline Cases (1978), 105–6
United States v. Albertini (1985), 89
United States v. Hensley (1985), 125–6, 128
United States v. Johnson (1971), 132
United States v. Karo (1984), 65
United States v. Koehler (1986), 115n20
United States v. Leon (1984), 51
United States v. Nixon (1974), 165n10
 wait statements
 conditions for circulation of, 63–4, 72–6
 effect of, 62, 63–4, 108
 of minority coalition members, 70–1
Wardius v. Oregon (1973), 63, 97
Weinberger v. Rossi (1982), 53
Welsh v. United States (1970), 87
 White, Byron
 on accommodating Burger in *Ake*, 95
 joins draft opinion in *Hensley*, 127
 will write signals
 conditions for circulation of, 66–7, 72–4
 workload hypothesis
 assignment of opinion, 39
 justices' responses to majority opinion au-
 thor, 75
 related to justices' joining majority opin-
 ion, 136–7