

Author Index

- Ackerman, Bruce, 196, 200, 245, 285
 Adler, Matthew, 333
 Aleinikoff, Alexander, 245
 Allen, Francis, 263
 Amar, Akhil Reed, 194
 American Friends Service Committee, 263
 Arendt, Hannah, 195
 Aristotle, 196
- Babcock, Gary, 309
 Barber, Benjamin, 195
 Bardach, Eugene, 310
 Beers, Clifford, 250
 Bellah, Robert, 195
 Bender, John, 253
 Bentham, Jeremy, 292, 293
 Bickel, Alexander, 208, 246, 247, 330, 331, 331n
 Birnbaum, Morton, 250n
 Bobbitt, Philip, 6, 12, 161, 213, 217, 219, 243, 245
 Brackel, Samuel, 92
 Briffault, Richard, 181
 Bronstein, Alvin, 369
 Brown, Rebecca, 312
 Brownell, Baker, 196
 Burton, Steven, 237
- Calabresi, Guido, 236
 Cavanagh, Ralph, 319
 Caesar, James, 312
 Chayes, Abram, 319
 Cheit, Ross, 372
 Chemerinsky, Erwin, 326
- Chilton, Bradley, 207, 322
 Cisar, Elizabeth, 312
 Comte, Auguste, 344
 Conrad, Joseph, 130, 277
 Corwin, Edward, 191
 Cover, Robert, 223, 247
 Crouch, Ben, 91, 365
 Cullen, Francis, 265
- Davis, Kenneth Culp, 370
 Denton, Nancy, 367
 Dicey, A. V., 346
 Dickens, Charles, 221
 DiIulio, John, 207, 295, 316, 317, 365, 367, 374
 Diver, Collin, 305, 319
 Dostoyevsky, Fyodor, 264
 Douglas, Mary, 211
 Durkheim, Emile, 314
 Dworkin, Ronald, 5, 161, 213, 217, 219, 220, 244, 352
- Edelman, Lauren, 274n
 Edley, Christopher, 323
 Eisenberg, Melvin, 213, 215, 218, 237, 289
 Eisenberg, Theodore, 329
 Ekland-Olson, Sheldon, 365
 Elias, Norbert, 160, 161n
 Ellmann, Stephen, 377
 Ely, John, 203
 Engerman, Stanley, 153n
 Epstein, Lee, 2
 Eskridge, William, 213, 236, 245

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-77734-6 - Judicial Policy Making and the Modern State: How the Courts Reformed America's Prisons

Malcolm M. Feeley and Edward L. Rubin

Index

[More information](#)

478

Author Index

- Fish, Stanley, 195, 240
 Fiss, Owen, 12, 161, 213, 218, 219, 240, 319, 327, 384
 Flaherty, Martin, 327
 Fletcher, William, 307, 319
 Fogel, Richard, 153n
 Foucault, Michel, 289, 290–296, 364, 373
 Fox, Charles, 6
 Fried, Charles, 184n
 Fuller, Lon, 208, 317, 320, 321, 346
- Gadamer, Hans-Georg, 6, 242, 245
 Gardbaum, Stephen, 192
 Garland, David, 160, 274, 292
 Gewirtz, Paul, 318
 Giddens, Anthony, 6
 Gilbert, Karen, 265
 Gilmour, Robert, 303
 Gogol, Nikolai, 242
 Graglia, Lino, 184n
 Greenawalt, Kent, 213
 Grodzins, Milton, 192
 Grossman, Joel, 213
 Gruhl, John, 213
- Habermas, Jürgen, 196, 199, 282, 284
 Hacker, Andrew, 367
 Harris, M. Kay, 309
 Hart, Henry, 208
 Hart, H. L. A., 11
 Hayek, Frederick, 331, 347, 348, 349
 Heidegger, Martin, 8, 333n
 Hirschman, Albert, 182n
 Hobbes, Thomas, 342
 Horowitz, Donald, 316
 Hughes, Robert, 272
 Hugo, Victor, 221
 Husserl, Edmund, 228
 Hutchinson, Allan, 331
- Ignatieff, Michael, 294
- Jacobs, James, 38, 271, 278, 289
 Johnson, Charles, 213
- Kadish, Mortimer, 244n
 Kadish, Sanford, 244n
 Kafka, Franz, 275–77, 291
 Kagan, Robert, 310
 Kahn, Ronald, 213
 Katzmann, Robert, 303
 Kennedy, Duncan, 205, 213, 219n
 Kingdon, John, 14
 Kirchheimer, Otto, 364
 Kirp, David, 309
 Kobylka, Joseph, 2
 Komesar, Neil, 314, 317
- Konig, David, 196
 Kornhauser, Lewis, 244
 Kort, Fred, 213
 Kramer, Larry, 201
 Kreimer, Seth, 172
 Kronman, Anthony, 283n
- Landis, James, 315
 Langdell, C. C., 321
 Lasser, William, 331n
 Lasswell, Harold, 213
 Laycock, Douglas, 328
 Leiter, Brian, 333
 Lindblom, Charles, 6, 298, 356
 Llewellyn, Karl, 213, 236
 Locke, John, 315, 342
 Lowi, Theodore, 331, 348, 349
 Luban, David, 377
 Luhmann, Niklas, 214, 243, 285, 314, 353
- Macey, Jonathan, 173n
 MacIntyre, Alisdair, 213
 Madison, James, 326
 March, James, 214
 Marquart, James, 91, 365
 Martin, Steve, 365
 Martinson, Robert, 263
 Massey, Douglas, 367
 McKelvey, Blake, 157n
 Menninger, Karl, 268
 Meyer, Adolph, 250
 Michelman, Frank, 12, 195
 Michels, Robert, 353
 Miller, Hugh, 6
 Mishkin, Paul, 324
 Montesquieu, Baron de, 20, 313, 315, 342
 Mootz, Francis, 249, 350
 Morris, Norval, 265
- Nagel, Robert, 324
 Neumann, Franz, 194
 Neustadt, Richard, 299
 Newman, Graeme, 254n
 Nisbet, Robert, 196
 Nonet, Philippe, 374
 Nordlinger, Eric, 183
 Nourse, Victoria, 326
- Olmsted, Frederick Law, 155, 156
 Olsen, Johan, 214
- Panagopoulos, E. P., 342
 Park, Robert E., 196
 Parsons, Talcott, 314
 Patterson, Dennis, 243, 322
 Pavlov, Ivan, 268
 Perry, Michael, 12, 161, 218, 219

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-77734-6 - Judicial Policy Making and the Modern State: How the Courts Reformed America's Prisons

Malcolm M. Feeley and Edward L. Rubin

Index

[More information](#)

Author Index

479

- Pocock, J. G. A., 195
 Posner, Richard, 213
 Post, Robert, 350
 Poulos, John, 213
 Pressman, Jeffrey, 300
 Pushaw, Robert, 356
- Radin, Margaret, 349
 Rawls, John, 346
 Raz, Joseph, 346, 348, 349
 Redish, Martin, 312
 Remington, Frank, 370
 Rhode, David, 217n, 377
 Rohr, John, 333
 Rorty, Richard, 195
 Rosenberg, Gerald, 203, 316, 367
 Rothman, David, 294
 Rusche, Georg, 364
- Sacks, Albert, 208
 Sandel, Michael, 195
 Sarat, Austin, 319
 Schauer, Frederick, 213, 224
 Scheiber, Harry, 191, 192
 Scheingold, Stuart, 316
 Scholz, John, 310
 Schubert, Glendon, 213
 Schuck, Peter, 323
 Segal, Jeffrey, 213
 Seidman, Harold, 303
 Sellin, Thorsten, 155
 Selznick, Philip, 195, 374
 Serle, John, 237
 Shane, Peter, 312, 327
 Shapiro, Martin, 211, 235, 328
 Simon, William, 377
 Spaeth, Harold, 213, 217n
 Spencer, Herbert, 344
- Spierenburg, Pieter, 160, 292
 Spiller, Dudley, 309
 Storey, Ted, 322
 Strauss, Peter, 327
 Sturm, Susan, 319, 322
 Sunstein, Cass, 12, 195, 237
- Taggart, William, 42
 Teubner, Gunther, 243, 353
 Thomas, Jim, 45
 Tiebout, Charles, 183
 Traynor, Roger, 213
 Turner, Victor, 253
 Tushnet, Mark, 12
- Ulmer, Sidney, 213
 Unger, Roberto, 237
- Vile, M. J. C., 315
- Walker, David, 191
 Walker, Geoffrey de Q., 347, 349
 Walker, Samuel, 373
 Walzer, Michael, 195
 Weber, Max, 271, 278, 282, 283, 283n, 284, 292, 314, 350, 352, 374, 375
 White, William, 150
 Wildavsky, Aaron, 300
 Wilde, Oscar, 364
 Williams, Patricia, 245
 Williamson, Oliver, 314, 315
 Winter, Steven, 237, 243, 322
 Wolff, Robert Paul, 195, 196
 Wood, Gordon, 328
 Wood, Robert, 207
- Yackle, Larry, 207, 322
 Yeazell, Steven, 329

Subject Index

- Abel v. Miller*, 135, 140
 Abraham, Donald E., 110
 Activism, *see* Judges
 Administrative segregation, 30, 35, 59–60, 84–5, 131–2, 134–5, 139, 140–41
 Administrative state: bureaucratization of prisons and, 278–80, 282–8; doctrine and, 249–50; democracy and, 332–5, 353; federalism and, 23, 189–94, 341–6; generally, 20–4, 343–4, 388; institutions and, 250–1; institutional conceptualizations and, 249–51; judicial control of, 250–1; judicial policymaking and, 20–4, 210, 249–51, 300, 303–11, 319–23, 327–8, 333–5, 343–5, 353–5, 360–1; judicial role in, 20–4, 210, 250–2, 319–23, 327–8, 333–5; jurisprudential significance of, 23, 194, 300, 341–51, 388; model for judges, 303–11, 322–3, 334, 354; policymaking and, 20–4, 210, 249–51, 303–11, 319–23, 327–8, 33–5, 343–5, 353–5, 360–1; popular will and, 333–5; rule of law and, 23, 210, 249–51, 283–4, 348–51, 471 n.19, 471 n.25, 471 n.27; separation of powers and, 23, 314–6, 327, 333–5, 341–6
 African Americans, *see* Blacks
 Agenda setting, 14, 37–40, 158–61
 Alameda County Jail, 362–3, 365–6
 Alcatraz Penitentiary, 129–30, 164, 401 n.55
 Allen, Brice, 116–20
 Alternatives (in policymaking), *see* Creation of doctrine; Judicial policymaking (solutions); Rule of law
 American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU): Colorado litigation, role in, 100–1, 106–10; estimate of extent of court orders, 13; role in prison litigation generally, 308, 369, 380
 American Correctional Association (ACA): background, 162–3, 370; Beto as President, 83; bureaucratization and, 295, 369, 373–4; inspections by, 98; Ragen as President, 30, 96; rehabilitation, support of, 163, 257, 260n, 262; standards for judges, source of, 96, 103–5, 162–6, 370–2
 Analogy, coordinating ideas and, 237, 239
 Analytic method (policymaking), 5, 16, 149, 204, 297, 339
 Angola Penitentiary, 165, 166
 Anti-Terrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act, 50, 384–5
 Arkansas Department of Corrections: appeals from decisions, 57, 65–6, 70–1, 72–3; creation of, 58–9; effect of litigation on, 78–9; Hutto as commissioner, 66–7; legal representation of, 59–62, 75; legal staff, 62–3; legislative investigation of, 73; Murton as Commissioner, 59, 68; Sarver as Commissioner, 59–62, 66, 68, 168, 307–8; response to decisions, 60–3, 66–9, 76–9, 307–8; response to master, 76–7, 310–1
 Arkansas prisons (*see also* Arkansas Department of Corrections; Cummins Farm; Tucker Farm): bureaucratization of, 58–9, 79, 374; classification in, 11,

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-77734-6 - Judicial Policy Making and the Modern State: How the Courts Reformed America's Prisons

Malcolm M. Feeley and Edward L. Rubin

Index

[More information](#)

Subject Index

481

- 60, 67–8; conclusion of litigation, 69–71, 73, 78–9; conditions (before litigation), 53–5; conditions (during litigation), 58, 63–5, 68–9, 74; consent decree, 75; corporal punishment in, 54, 56–7; *Courtney v. Bishop*, 57–8; current status, 374; crowding, 72, 379; early release, 69, 72; effect of judicial decisions on, 68–9, 78–9; Eighth amendment and, 55–6, 60–6; *Finney v. Arkansas Board of Corrections*, 70; *Finney v. Hutto*, 71–3, 281; *Finney v. Magry*, 74–5; history (to 1965), 50–5; *Holt v. Hutto*, 67–70; *Holt v. Sarver*, 59–66, 163; initiation of litigation, 55–6; insulation from political process, 58–9; *Jackson v. Bishop*, 56–8, 164, 301; legal representation of, 55–6; master, 70, 75–8, 310–1, 382; Prison Study Commission, 73; programs in, 54, 64–5, 69; rehabilitation and, 64–5, 69, 71, 76; response to judicial decisions, 55–6, 58, 60–3, 66–9, 76–9, 82, 307–8; settlement efforts, 55–6, 66; state government and, 58–9, 65, 66–9, 72–3; state police investigation, 58; Supreme Court's role, 72–3; *Talley v. Stevens*, 39, 55–6, 301
- Atterbury v. Ragen*, *U.S. ex rel*, 30–1, 34, 37
- Attorney's fees, 44–5, 106–7, 109–10, 311
- Attorneys' role in prison reform cases (*see also* Prisons): Arkansas, 55–6, 59–62, 75; Colorado, 100–1, 103, 106–111; generally, 37–8, 45–46, 139, 320, 375–8; Marion Penitentiary, 139–41; relationship to prisoners, 61n, 377–8; Santa Clara County (jails), 112, 114; Texas, 80–2
- Autopoiesis, 243, 353, 356
- Avakian, Spurgeon, 124–25
- Batchelder v. Geary*, 112–3
- Behavioral programs, 130, 269
- Bell v. Wolfish*, 42, 47, 102, 170, 324, 448 n.158
- Bennet, James, 57, 62, 164, 368–9
- Beto, George, 83, 84, 278, 286, 302n
- Black Muslims, 37–8, 72, 225, 401 n.55
- Blacks (*see also* Black Muslims; Slavery): punishment of, in antebellum south, 152; treatment of, in prison, 36, 37–8, 52, 98, 160, 393 n.6; treatment of, in South, 158–60
- Bono v. Saxbe*, 134–5, 137, 140
- Brainwashing, 267–8
- Branson v. Winter*, 115–27
- Breed, Alan, 76, 86, 136, 142, 368–9
- Brooklyn, 199
- Brown v. Board of Education*, 38, 159, 160, 220–1, 255, 316
- Brubaker*, 59, 272
- Bruscino v. Carlson*, 136–7, 138–42
- Building tenders, *see* Texas; Trusties
- Bureau of Prisons (U.S.) (*see also* Alcatraz Penitentiary; Marion Penitentiary): background, 164; bureaucratization and, 295; CRIPA and, 381; classification in, 128–30; description of facilities, 128–9; effect of prison reform cases on, 42, 47, 170, 324; legal representation of, 139–42; Marion Penitentiary, policy toward, 130–3, 141; rehabilitation, support of, 133, 141, 257; standards for judges, source of, 57, 62, 164–6, 202, 273, 368–9, 381; super-maximum facilities, 129–31, 142–3, 164; testimony in state cases, 57, 62, 164, 369
- Bureaucratization (of prisons): administrative state and, 278–80, 282–8; American Correction Association and, 295, 369, 373–4; Arkansas prisons, 58–9, 79, 374; control, mechanism of, 271–2, 284–6, 295–6, 373–4; coordinating idea, 271–4, 279–90, 295–6, 372; delimited idea, 274; diffuse idea, 286–90; directionality of, 273–4; Eighth amendment and, 271–2; Foucault's analysis of, 290–6; fully realized idea, 273; generally, 271, 287, 368–75; implementability, 274, 372–3; instrumentalism and, 284–6, 288; institutional conceptualization, 271–4, 279–90, 295–6; judicial attitudes toward, 274–5, 282–90, 294–6; law and, 283–4; morality of, 281–6, 288; prebureaucratic alternative to, 275–9, 295; rehabilitative role of, 282–3, 288; rejection of prebureaucratic model and, 278–80, 287–8, 373; prisoners' rights and, 281; Santa Clara County (jails), 125–8, 374; Texas prisons, 87, 89–92, 295
- Caldwell v. Miller*, 136, 138, 140
- Campbell v. Miller*, 136, 137
- Candor (by judges) (*see also* Judicial attitudes): 2, 9–11, 14, 22, 210–1, 217, 249–50, 338–39, 360
- Case method, 28
- Case, modern concept of, 28–9
- Chain gang: current use of, 47; judicial challenges to, 36–7; judicial discomfort with, 36–7, 223
- Checks and balances (*see also* Separation of powers): 20, 325–6, 329, 345–6, 383, 466 n.93
- Citizen choice (as argument for federalism), 182–4

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-77734-6 - Judicial Policy Making and the Modern State: How the Courts Reformed America's Prisons

Malcolm M. Feeley and Edward L. Rubin

Index

[More information](#)

482

Subject Index

- Civil Rights Act of 1871: number of filings, 45–6; remedies for prisoners, 32–3, 300; “under color of state law” requirement, 32–3, 35–7
- Civil Rights Act of 1964, 37, 159, 176
- Civil Rights of Incarcerated Persons Act (CRIPA), 45, 167–8, 371, 381–2, 385
- Civil War, 52, 151–2, 159, 176, 191–2, 194
- Classification (of prisoners): Arkansas, 60, 67–8; Bureau of Prisons, 128–30; Colorado, 104, 107; Santa Clara County (jails), 114, 122; Texas, 93
- Coffin v. Reichard*, 225
- Color of state law, *see* Civil Rights Act
- Colorado Department of Corrections: attorneys’ fees issue, 106–7, 109–10, 311; general policy, 96; lack of leadership, 99–100; legal representation of, 100–1, 103, 106–11; legal staff, 108; proposed settlement, 101–2; response to judicial decisions, 106–9, 311; study of Colorado penitentiary, 99, 308
- Colorado prisons (*see also* Colorado Department of Corrections; Colorado State Penitentiary): conclusion of litigation, 108–10; consent decree, 108–10; crowding, 109, 379; effect of judicial decision on, 107–11; general policies, 96; master, 110; new facilities, 107–8; rehabilitation in, 108–9; state government and, 98–100, 102–3, 107
- Colorado State Penitentiary (Old Max): background, 96–7; closure, 105–7; classification in, 104, 107; conclusion of litigation (*see* Colorado prisons); conditions (before litigation), 97–9, 101, 103–5; conditions (during litigation), 107; consent decree, 101–3; effect of judicial decision, 105–7; Eighth amendment and, 101–5; initiation of litigation, 100–01; litigation against, generally, 100–06; programs in, 95–6, 100–1, 103–4; *Ramos v. Lamm*, 100–11; rehabilitation in, 96–7, 105; riot at, 98–9; settlement efforts, 102–2, 108–9
- Common law: creation of doctrine and, 21; law-like character, 251–52; source of mistrust of policymaking, 10
- Community: affective, 196; defined, 195–7; federalism, as argument for, 195–202; political, 196–203; value of, 197; United States as, 198–202
- Competition among states: as argument for federalism, 184–5, 426 n.125
- Compliance coordinator, *see* Masters
- Conclusion of prison conditions cases: Arkansas, 69–71, 73, 78–9; Colorado, 108–10; generally, 79; Santa Clara County (jails), 126–8; Texas 93–5
- Congress (U.S.): prison reform, approach to, 167–8, 171–2, 190–1, 371, 381–2; prison reform cases, attitude toward, 45, 50, 167–8, 382–5; retrenchment, 382–5
- Conrad, John, 368–9
- Consent decrees: Arkansas, 75; Colorado, 101–03, 108–10; limited by Congress, 50, 382–4; modification of, 95, 383–4; Texas, 85–9
- Constitution, U.S. (*see also* individual amendments): allocation of authority in, 175, 323–5; checks and balances in, 345–6; countermajoritarian difficulty and, 208, 246–7, 330–5; federalism in, 20, 171, 175, 177, 341–3; eighteenth-century nature of, 20, 175, 206–7, 341–3; legitimacy, source of 347–8; prisons, direct reference to, 14, 206–7; public values and, 348; remedies for prisoners, source of, 33; separation of powers doctrine in, 20, 323–30, 341–6; 466 n.97
- Constitutional Rights of Incarcerated Persons Act (CRIPA), 45, 167–8, 371, 381–82, 385–6
- Contempt of court, 69, 124–5, 135, 322–3, 357
- Control units, *see* Administrative segregation
- Convict leasing: Arkansas, 51–2; generally, 152
- Cooper v. Pate*, 38–9, 225
- Coordinating ideas (*see also* Coordination): analogy and, 237, 340–1; bureaucratization as, 271–4, 279–90, 295–6, 372; conceptual character of, 232–3; delimited nature (incrementalism), 234–6, 238–9, 257, 274, 293, 355; directionality of, 236–7, 238, 257–8, 273–4, 355; fully realized nature, 233–4, 237–8, 256, 273, 355; generally, 227–9; indeterminacy of, 239–41, 355; implementability of, 258–63, 274, 293; institutional conceptualization and, 238–41, 248–52, 340, 355–6; judicial consciousness of, 286–90; labeling and, 238, 340–1; metaphor and, 237, 340–41, 444 n.113; rehabilitation as, 255–8, 265–8, 270
- Coordination (in judicial process): creation of legal doctrine and, 226–37, 355; constraint on judges, 242–6, 354–60; generally, 226–31, 240–1, 266; horizontal, 229–31; institutional character of, 227–32; integration and, 227–31; prison cases and, 232–3; process of, 228–32, 293; vertical, 230–1, 246–7

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-77734-6 - Judicial Policy Making and the Modern State: How the Courts Reformed America's Prisons

Malcolm M. Feeley and Edward L. Rubin

Index

[More information](#)

Subject Index

483

- Corporal punishment: alternative to rehabilitation, 254n; Arkansas prisons, 54, 56–7; during hands-off era, 35–7, 269; plantation origins of, 155–6; schools, 257; Texas prisons, 83
- Cost-benefit analysis, 5
- Countermajoritarian difficulty, 208, 246–7, 330–5
- Courtney v. Bishop*, 57–8
- Courts, *see* Judges
- Creation of legal doctrine: conceptual character of, 233–4; coordination and, 226–37, 355; generally, 12–13, 21, 208–13, 234, 354–6, 435 n.18; integration and, 223–4, 355; judicial attitudes and, 217–21, 354–5; legal character of, 248–52; policymaking and, 209–10; pre-existing doctrine and, 213–17, 221–6, 342–6, 354–5; rule of law and, 21–2, 208, 241–7, 348–51; totality of conditions doctrine as, 226, 255–6
- Crop lien system, 151–2
- Crowding: Arkansas, 72; Colorado, 109; generally, 40, 48, 50, 378–80, 382; Santa Clara County (jails), 112, 115, Texas 92–3
- Cruel and unusual punishment, *see* Eighth amendment
- Cummins Farm (Arkansas) (*see also* Arkansas Prisons): acquisition of, by prison system, 52; crowding, 72; description, 53–5; litigation against, 55–79; police investigation of, 58
- Decentralization: as public value, 201–2; citizen choice and, 182–4; competition among states and, 184–5; distinguished from federalism, 172–5, 199, 343–4; experimentation and, 185–8; public participation and, 181–2
- Democracy: administrative state and, 332–5, 353; judicial policymaking and, 202–3, 330–5, 345–6; popular will and, 332–5, 358
- Department of Justice (U.S.). (*see also* Bureau of Prisons): 82, 373, 381–2, 385–6
- Deontological instrumentalism, 266
- Discipline, *see* Prisons
- Discipline and Punish* (Foucault), 290–6, 364, 458 n.292, 458 n.293
- Discretion: judges, 208, 215, 241–4; prison officials, 193–5, 259
- Doctrine (*see also* Creation of legal doctrine): administrative state and, 249–50; changes within, 215, 224; constraint on judicial action, 205–8, 215, 243, 249; function of, 147; indeterminacy of, 9, 14, 216, 239–41; influence on judges, 1–2, 212–6, 221–4; institutional propriety and, 213–6; integration of, 221–6; judicial attitudes toward, 24–5, 209–11, 212–3, 222–6; judicial policymaking and, 211–7, 221–52, 254–6, 271–4, 339–41; nature of, 147, 208, 215, 234
- Due process: bureaucratization and, 273; prisoners' right to, 40, 75, 78, 134, 406 n.123
- Early release: Arkansas, 69, 72; Santa Clara County (jails), 115, 123
- Eastwood, Clint, 130
- Eighth amendment: Arkansas litigation, 55–6, 60–6; bureaucratization and, 271–2; Colorado litigation, 101–5; generally, 39–41, 157–8, 226, 255–6; incorporation (i.e., applied to states), 33–4, 37; interpretation of, 14, 18–9, 47–9, 206–07, 255–6; jurisdiction, source of, 14–15, 171, 206–7; Marion litigation, 135–6; prisons, lack of reference to in, 14, 206–7; rehabilitation and, 64–5, 254, 265, 267; remedy for prisoners, 33–4; Texas litigation, 84–5; totality of conditions, 63–6, 84, 226, 255–6
- Eisele, G. Thomas, 74–9, 310, 458 n.278
- Electronic monitoring, 387
- Ellis, Oscar, 82–3
- Equal protection, prisoners' rights to, 40, 160
- Estelle, James, 83–4, 90
- Estelle v. Gamble*, 44
- Experimentation: as argument for federalism, 185–8; limits on, 187–8
- Faubus, Orville, 55, 58
- Faulkner, Robert, 71, 74
- Federal courts, *see* Judges
- Federal prisons, *see* Bureau of Prisons
- Federalism: administrative state and, 23, 189–94, 341–6; barrier to prisoner suits, 34, 37; citizen choice, as argument for, 182–4; community, as argument for, 195–202; competition among states, as argument for, 184–5, 426 n.125; cooperative federalism, 192–3; defined, 173, 177, 184; distinguished from decentralization, 172–5, 180–8, 199, 425 n.118; dual federalism, 191–3, 429 n.126; experimentation, as argument for, 185–8; judicial rejection of, 171–2, 175–9; lack of diversity in U.S. and, 427 n.130, 431 n.179; liberty, as argument for, 189–94, 462 n.34; norms and, 173–4, 176,

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-77734-6 - Judicial Policy Making and the Modern State: How the Courts Reformed America's Prisons

Malcolm M. Feeley and Edward L. Rubin

Index

[More information](#)

484

Subject Index

- Federalism (*cont.*)
 185–8, 198–202; obsolescence of, 177, 341–5; power and, 189–94; public participation, as argument for, 180–2, 425 n.116, 425 n.118; prison reform cases and, 18, 34, 37, 171–2, 175–7, 345–6; strategic use of, 200–1
Finney v. Arkansas Board of Corrections, 70
Finney v. Hutto, 71–3, 281
Finney v. Magry, 74–5
 First amendment: interpretation of, 206, 209; prisoner rights to free speech, 40, 56; prisoner rights to religious liberty, 38, 40; 135–6, 138
 Florida, 165–6, 367
 Foreman, Robert, 134, 136–7, 140
 Fountain, Clay, 131–2, 140–1, 415 n.102
 Fourteenth amendment, *see* Due Process; Equal Protection
Francis v. Resueber, 33n, 44n
 Free speech, *see* First amendment
- Gangs, *see* Prison gangs
 Gap filling, by courts, 11–2
Garza v. Miller, 135–6
Gordon v. Garrison, 35–6
 Guarantee clause, 181–2, 185
- Habeas corpus*: history of, in U.S., 31, 32; limited by Congress, 50; number of filings, 45–6; remedy for prisoners, 31–2, 35, 37, 50, 225–6, 255, 300
 Hall, Ed, 125–6
 Hands-off doctrine: demise of, 34–9, 223–6, 279; generally, 18, 216; history of, 30–4
Helling v. McKinney, 49, 206–7
 Henley, J. Smith: appeal from decisions, 57, 65–6, 70–1, 72–3; appointment of attorneys, 55, 59, 61–2, 304; *Finney v. Hutto* decision, 71–3, 281; *Finney v. Magry* decision, 74–5; general approach, 68–9, 301, 305–6, 322; *Holt v. Hutto* decision, 67–70; *Holt v. Sarver* decision, 59–66, 163; impact of decisions, 68–9, 79; *Jackson v. Bishop* decision, 56–57, 164, 301; master, use of, 75–8, 310–1; rehabilitation, view of, 64–5, 69, 71, 255–6; Supplemental Decree, First, 67; Supplemental Decree, Second, 69; Supreme Court and, 72–3; *Talley v. Stephens* decision, 39, 55–6, 301; totality of conditions concept, 63–6, 224–6, 255–6; tours prisons, 69–70; withdrawal, 69–71, 74
 Hermeneutic method (policymaking), 6, 17, 158–9, 169, 297–8, 300–1, 339
 Hole, *see* Administrative segregation
Holt v. Hutto, 67–70
 Holt, Jack, 61–2, 69, 304
Holt v. Sarver, 59–66, 163
 Hutto, Terrell Don, 66–7
Hutto v. Finney, *see* *Finney v. Hutto*
- Illinois State Penitentiary, *see* Stateville
 Implementation, *see* Judicial policymaking; Masters
In the Penal Colony (Kafka), 275–9
 Incorporation, *see* Eighth amendment
 Incrementalism: doctrine creation and, 234–6, 238, 355–6; generally, 262–3; implementation and, 258–63, 297–8, 300–2, 304–5, 320–1, 339, 356–7, 376–7; institutional conceptualization and, 258–63, 274, 355–6; judicial policymaking and, 235–6, 258–9, 261–3, 297–8, 320–1, 339, 355–7, 376–7; methodological, 234–6, 238, 262–3, 355–6; substantive 258–63, 297–8, 300–1, 304–5, 339, 356–7, 376–7
 Indeterminacy (in judicial decisions), 9, 14, 216, 239–41, 445 n.124
Ingraham v. Wright, 257
 Initiation of prison conditions cases: Arkansas, 56–7; Colorado, 100–1; generally, 303–4; Marion Penitentiary, 134–6, 139; Santa Clara County (jails), 114–5; Texas, 80–2
 Institutional conceptualization, *see also* Coordinating ideas: administrative state and, 249–51; bureaucratization as, 271–4, 279–90, 295–6; generally, 238–9, 340; legal character of, 249–52; prison reform and, 239; rehabilitation as, 255–8, 265–8, 270
 Institutions (*see also individual state prisons and departments of corrections*): administrative state and, 250–1; collegial structure of, 229–31, 246; conceptual process in, 211–2, 227–32, 266, 269–70, 293–6; coordination of ideas in, 226–33; hierarchical structure of, 230–1, 246–7; judges as members of, 211, 213–6, 226–31, 241–5, 266, 319, 447 n.141; role expectations in, 215–6; specialization of functions in, 313–5
 Instrumentalism: deontological, 266; government and, 284–6; rehabilitation and, 264–6
 Integration (in judicial process): coordination and, 227–31, generally, 221–4; horizontal, 229–31; motive for doctrine creation, 224, 227; prison cases and, 224–26; vertical, 230–31, 246–7
 Interpretation (*see also* Judges): distinguished from policymaking, 5, 7–

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-77734-6 - Judicial Policy Making and the Modern State: How the Courts Reformed America's Prisons

Malcolm M. Feeley and Edward L. Rubin

Index

[More information](#)

Subject Index

485

- 13, 161–2, 205–8, 239–41, 318–9, 337–9, 359–60, 392 n.21; gap-filling, 11–2; general, 8, 213, 215–6; public values and, 12, 218–20
- Jackson v. Bishop*, 56–8, 164
- Jails: Alameda County (Cal.), 362–3, 365–6; background, 111; courts and, generally, 13, 111; Harris County (Tex.), 92–3; public attitudes towards, 364; Santa Clara County (Cal.), 111–28; Santa Rosa County (Cal.), 308; women's, 112–3, 116
- Jalet, Frances, 80, 84
- Johnson v. Dye*, 36–7
- Jones v. Alfred H. Mayer Co.*, 231–2
- Judaism, as means of hassling prison authorities, 135–6
- Judges (*see also* Coordination; Creation of legal doctrine; Doctrine; Interpretation; Judicial reform of prisons): activism, 12, 69–71, 176–7, 193, 297, 300–11, 332, 356–7; administrative role, generally, 116–7, 236, 259, 297–311, 319–23, 333–5; administrators as models for, 303–11, 322–23, 334, 354; defined, 4–5, 313; federalism, rejection of, 171–2, 175–9; functions of, 1, 307, 316–23, 328–9; imposition of standards, 162–70, 174, 176–7, 194–5, 202–3, 303–7, 370–2; independence of, 242–3, 312–3; institutional setting, 211, 213–6, 226–33, 241–5, 266, 319, 447 n.141; judicial notice, 70n; law, concept of, and, 205; role in administrative state, 20–4, 210, 250–2, 319–23, 327–8, 333–5; separation of powers, rejection of, 298, 317, 319–23, 329–30; staff, use of, 307–11, 320; touring prisons, 69–70, 127, 137, 137n, 140; written opinions, role of, 222–4, 229, 288–9, 338–9
- Judicial attitudes (*see also* Creation of doctrine; Hands-off doctrine): (toward) bureaucratization, 274–5, 282–90, 294–6; candor, 2, 9–11, 14, 22, 210–1, 217, 249–50, 338–39, 360; consciousness of, 218, 289–90; creation of doctrine and, 217–21, 354–5; directionality of law and, 236–7, 289–90; doctrine and, 24–5, 209–11, 212–3, 223–6; generally, 217–21; institutional commitments and, 213–6, 226–31, 358–9; integration of, 221–6; (as) motive for creating doctrine, 205, 223–4, 227–9, 254, 279–81; (toward) plantation model, 157–8, 161–2, 175–7, 386; policymaking and, 219–20, 223–4, 226–31, 300–2, 351–2; (toward) policymaking by judges, 2, 9–11, 14, 22, 30–1, 35–9, 210–1, 217, 249–50, 338–40, 360–1; (toward) prebureaucratic prison, 279–81; (toward) prison officials, 304–7, 458 n.278; (toward) prison reform, 39–41, 46–51, 171–2, 175–9, 220–1, 232, 254, 279–81, 289–90; (toward) prisoner suits, 224–6, 289–90; propriety (institutional), 213–5, 223, 230, 338–9, 353; (toward) rehabilitation, 253–4, 256, 262, 268, 282; rule of law and, 220; sources of, 217–9, 232–3; (toward) South, 39–43, 150, 220–1, 279–81, 332; unanimity in prison cases, 19, 145, 167, 232, 298–9
- Judicial effect on prisons: Alameda County (jails), 363–4; Arkansas, 68–9, 78–9; Colorado, 107–11; criteria for evaluating, 363–5; federal prisons, 42, 47, 170, 324, generally, 15, 19, 40–3, 166, 169–71, 316–23, 363–75, 376, 387–8; limitations on, 169–71, 375–80; Marion Penitentiary, 134–38; plantation model, abolition of, 166–70, 172, 175–7, 367; Santa Clara County (jails), 128; Texas, 90–5
- Judicial policymaking (*see also* Creation of doctrine; Policymaking): administrative state and, 20–4, 210, 249–51, 300, 303–11, 319–23, 327–8, 333–5, 353–5, 360–1; Congressional response to, 45, 50, 167–8, 382–5; constraints on, 209–11, 241–47, 262, 349–51, 353–60; defined, 4–6, 337–8; doctrine and, 211–7, 221–52, 254–6, 271–4, 339–41; effectiveness of, 316–23, 368–80; generally, 1, 4, 14–7, 145–7, 316–23, 337–41, 351–61; incrementalism of, 235–6, 258–9, 261–3, 297–8, 320–1, 339, 355–7, 376–7; implementation of, 258–63, 297–311, 339; interpretation, distinguished from, 5, 7–13, 161–2, 205–8, 239–41, 318–9, 337–39, 359–60, 392 n.21; judicial attitudes and, 219–20, 223–4, 226–31, 300–2, 351–2; legitimacy of, 17–22, 145–7, 209–11, 241–7, 249–51, 298–9, 322–35, 351–60, 366, 383; means of evaluating, 359–61, 366; norms for, 322–3; problem definition, 149–50, 157–67; public values and, 12, 161–2, 202–3, 219–20, 352; recognition of, by judges, 217, 338–40, 360–1; rule of law and, 209, 241–8, 346–7; solutions, identification of, 204–5, 221–33, 250–1, 339–40, 356–7; staff, use of, 75–8, 85–8, 116, 118, 121–7, 307–11, 320
- Judicial reform of prisons (*see also* Prisons; *see also* entries for individual states): conclusion of cases, 69–71, 73, 78–9,

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-77734-6 - Judicial Policy Making and the Modern State: How the Courts Reformed America's Prisons

Malcolm M. Feeley and Edward L. Rubin

Index

[More information](#)

486

Subject Index

- Judicial reform of prisons (*cont.*)
 93–5, 108–10, 126–8; effect of judicial decisions, 68–9, 78–9, 88–9, 93–5, 107–11, 132–3, 134–5, 170, 366–75; generally, 13–7, 19; horizontal character, 232; initiation of cases, 55–6, 80–2, 100–1, 114–5, 134–6, 139, 303–4; institutional conceptualization and, 239; limits of, 169, 375–88; national standards, imposition of, 162–71, 174, 176–7, 194–5, 202–3, 370–2, 385–6; response to judicial decisions, 55–6, 58, 60–3, 66–9, 76–9, 80, 82–5, 86, 89–91, 93–4, 101–2, 106–9, 116–20, 125–6, 132–3, 134–5, 170, 307–8, 311; role of Supreme Court, 43–5, 72–3, 145, 167, 232, 247–8, 319; support for, unanimity of judges, 19, 42–3, 145, 167
- Justice, William Wayne: background, 80–1; conclusion of litigation, 84–5, 301; decision in *Ruiz v. Estelle*, 84–5, 301; effect of decisions, 85–6, 89–91, 93–5, 379; general approach, 81–2, 301, 305–6, 320; initiation of litigation, 81–2; request for DOJ intervention, 381; special master, use of, 85–8, 310–1
- Kaplan, Philip, 61–2, 69, 304
- Kane, John: appeals from decisions, 106; conclusion of litigation, 109–10; closure of Old Max, 105–7; decision in *Ramos v. Lamm*, 103–5; effect of decisions, 107–8, 111; initiation of litigation, 100–1; rehabilitation, view of, 105; settlement efforts, 101–2, 108–10
- Labeling (coordinating idea), 238, 340–1
- Lamm, Richard, 98–9, 101, 102–3, 104
- LaPlante, Stephen G., 75–8, 310–1
- Law (generally) (*see also* Doctrine; Rule of Law): concept of, 20–22, 205; directionality of, 236; judicial attitude toward, 209
- Law Enforcement Assistance Act (LEAA), 167–8, 370–2, 385
- Law Libraries, *see* Prison law libraries
- Leahy, David, 114–5, 301
- Legal doctrine, *see* Creation of legal doctrine; Doctrine
- Legal process theory, 208, 246–7, 317–8, 328, 330–5, 357
- Legal staffs (of prisons) (*see also* entries for individual departments of corrections): Arkansas, 62–3; Colorado, 108; generally, 139, 369–70, 473 n.12; Marion Penitentiary, 139; Texas, 84
- Legislatures, *see* Congress; State government
- Lexington Federal Correctional Institution, 417 n.144
- Liberty, federalism and, 189–94, 462 n.34
- Lochner v. New York*, 202–3
- Lockhart, A.L., 77, 79
- Loneragan, Thomas, 121–7, 311, 368
- Lopez v. United States*, 178–9, 425 n.114
- Louisiana: judicial prison reform, 166; prison reform effort, 165, 367
- Macanochie, Alexander, 272, 286
- Madison, James, 326
- Magistrates: Arkansas, 71, 74; Marion Penitentiary, 136–8
- Marianna Penitentiary, 142, 412 n.144
- Marion Penitentiary: *Abel v. Miller*, 135, 140; appeals from decisions, 134–5, 137–8; background, 129–30; *Bruscino v. Carlson*, 136–7, 138–42; *Bono v. Saxbe*, 134–5, 137, 140; *Caldwell v. Miller*, 136, 138, 140; *Campbell v. Miller*, 136, 137; Control Unit, 131, 134–5, 139; conversion to super-maximum facility, 133, 414 n.88; criticism of, 140, 142–3, 363–4; effect of judicial decisions on, 134–8; Eighth amendment and, 135–6; *Garza v. Miller*, 135–6; lockdown, 132–3, 141; legal representation of, 139–41; legal staff, 139; *Miller v. Henman*, 136–8; programs in, 133, 141–2, 256; rehabilitation and, 141–2; Seventh Circuit and, 134–5, 137–8, 141; response to judicial decisions, 132–3, 134–5; violence at, 131–2, 136
- Marion Prisoners' Rights Project, 135, 139
- Martin, Steve, 88–9
- Masters: Arkansas, 70, 75–78, 310–1, 382; Colorado, 110; generally, 75, 308–11, 377, 382; Santa Clara County (jails), 116, 118, 121–7, 311, 368; Texas, 76, 85–90, 310–1
- Matteucci, Eileen, 114–6, 119–21, 126–7
- McCotter, Lane, 91
- Metaphor, 237, 239, 340–1, 444 n.113
- Meyers, Kenneth, 136–7, 140
- Miller v. Henman*, 136–8
- Mississippi prisons, 41, 57, 64, 156n, 255, 278, 306
- Monroe v. Pape*, 37, 159, 256
- Muddling through (policymaking), 5, 16–7, 204–5, 235–6, 258–9, 297–8, 300–1, 339, 356
- Murton, Tom, 58–9, 68
- Nathan, Vincent, 76, 85–90, 310–1
- National Center for State Courts, 187
- National Institute of Corrections, 187, 385–6

- National Prison Project, *see* American Civil Liberties Union
- Neutral principles, 8
- Norms (*see also* Public values): divergence among states, 150–8, 185; federalism and, 173–4, 176, 185–8, 198–202; imposition by courts, 161–2, 175–7, 202–3
- O'Connor, Sandra Day, 177–8, 180–3
- Old Max, *see* Colorado State Penitentiary
- Organization theory, 435 n.20
- Overcrowding, *see* Crowding
- Participation, *see* Public participation
- Pavlov, Ivan, 268
- Pelican Bay Penitentiary, 142
- Penitentiary, *see* Prisons
- Pichens, ex parte*, 225–6
- Plantation model: abolition of, 166–70, 172, 175–7, 367; Cummins Farm originally, 52; judicial attitudes toward, 157–8, 161–2, 175–7, 386; Southern prisons based on, 151–7
- Plummer, Charles, 363, 384
- Policymaking (*see also* Judges; Judicial policymaking): administrative state and, 20–4, 210, 249–51, 300, 303–11, 319–23, 327–8, 333–5, 343–5, 353–5, 360–1; agenda setting, 14, 37–40, 158–61; analytic method, generally, 5, 16, 149, 204, 297, 339; choosing solutions, 204–5; defined, 5, 147, 337–9; democracy and, 202–3, 330–5, 345–6; distinguished from interpretation, 5, 7–13, 161–2, 205–8, 239–41, 318–9, 337–9, 359–60, 392 n.21; hermeneutic method, 6, 17, 150, 158–9, 169, 297–8, 300–1, 339; muddling through, 5, 16–7, 204–5, 235–6, 258–9, 297–8, 300–1, 339, 356; problem definition, 149–50, 157–8; public values and, 161–2, 202–3, 219, 352
- Popular will: administrative state and, 333–5; judicial appeal to, 332; prison governance and, 182, 193, 285–6, 331–2; role of, in democracy, 332–35, 358
- Posner, Richard (as judge), 137, 141, 141n
- Power: administrative, concentration of, 190–4; diffusion of, 188–9, 193–4; defined, 189; physical, 189n; political, 189–90; private, 194–5
- Prebureaucratic prisons (*see also* Prisons), 278–81, 295
- Premo, Eugene, 120–4
- Printz v. United States*, 179–80, 192–3
- Prison gangs, 87, 131–2, 378
- Prison law libraries, 87, 92, 96, 103–4, 109, 133, 138
- Prison Litigation Reform Act, 50, 382–4
- Prison officials (generally) (*see also* entries for *individual states*): attitudes, generally, 259, 301–2; comprehension of rehabilitation, 259–60; cooperation with plaintiffs, 58, 62–3, 116, 307–08; insulation from political process, 182, 193, 285–6, 331–2; judges' attitudes toward, 304–7, 458 n.278; prebureaucratic, 278–81, 301–2; professionalization of, 98, 162–3, 368–70; reform efforts (self-motivated) by, 59, 91–2, 112–4, 165–6, 367–8; rehabilitation and, 259–61; rehabilitation efforts (self-motivated), 96, 133, 141, 165, 259–62; response to judicial decisions, 55–6, 58, 60–3, 66–7, 76–9, 82, 85–6, 89–91, 93–4, 101–2, 106–9, 116–20, 125–6, 139–42, 260–1, 301–2, 305–8, 311, 369–70, 378; Southern, divergent attitudes of, 151–8, 254, 259; transformation of attitudes by judges, 260–1, 301–2, 367–70, 473 n.12; willingness to settle litigation, 55–6, 66, 85–6, 93–4, 101–2, 108–9, 119–21
- Prison programs: Arkansas, 54, 64–5, 69; behavioral, 130, 269; Colorado, 95–6, 100–1, 103–4; generally, 133; Marion Penitentiary, 133, 141–2, 256; Texas, 87, 90, 92
- Prisoners: as clients, 377–8; general attitudes toward, 45; initiating litigation 34–5, 37–8, 45–6
- Prisoners' rights: bureaucratization and, 280–1, 283–4; concept of, 163–4, 224–6, 259–60, 280–1; effect of, 367; Prison Litigation Reform Act and, 382; prison officials' attitudes toward, 301–2; recognition of, generally, 40, 48, 254–5, 366–7
- Prisons (*see also* Crowding; Judicial Effect on Prisons; Prison Officials; Prison Programs; *see also* entries for *individual departments of corrections*): access to attorneys in, 40, 254–5; conceptual basis of, 253, 291–2; development of, generally, 14, 207, 253, 291–2, 294, 328, 343, 396 n.76, 458 n.292, 458 n.293; federalism's effect on administration of, 193–5; labor in, 53–4, 63–4, 83, 90, 97, 130–1, 153–4; prebureaucratic, generally, 153–7, 278–81; state government control of, 182, 193, 285–6, 331–2
- Private enterprise: innovations in prisons, 386–7; operating prisons, 93, 386
- Problem definition, *see* Federalism; Judicial policymaking; Public values
- Procunier, Ray, 90

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-77734-6 - Judicial Policy Making and the Modern State: How the Courts Reformed America's Prisons

Malcolm M. Feeley and Edward L. Rubin

Index

[More information](#)

488

Subject Index

- Professionalization of prisons (*see also* American Correctional Association; Bureaucratization): 368–70
- Psychosurgery, 267
- Public choice theory: critique of representation, 331; excluding conceptual explanations, 24, 212, 222, 232; explanation for prison reform, 232–3; generally, 244n
- Public Interest Law Foundation, 112, 114
- Public participation: as argument for federalism, 180–2; generally, 181, 425 n.116
- Public values (*see also* Norms; Popular will): attitudes of judges and, 218–20; Constitution and, 348; Dworkin's view of, 220; interpretation and, 12, 218–20; judges and, generally, 12; policymaking and, 12, 161–2, 202–3, 219–20, 352
- Punishment theory: generally, 253–5, 269–71, 458 n.293; rehabilitation and, 253–5, 267
- Ragen, Joseph, 30, 278
- Ramos v. Lamm*, 100–11
- Ramsey, Henry, 125–7
- Reed, Sally, 115, 118–9
- Rehabilitation: American Correctional Association and, 163, 257, 260n, 262; bureaucratization and, 282–3, 288; comprehensibility of, 259–61; coordinating idea, 255–8, 265–8, 270; decline of, as goal, 263; delimited idea, 257; deontological instrumentalism and, 266; directionality of, 257–8; eighth amendment and, 64–5, 254, 265, 267; failure of, 263–4, 282; fully realized idea, 256; generally, 253; history of, 253, 269; implementability, 258–3; incrementalism, 261–3; institutional conceptualization, 255–8, 265–8, 270; judicial attitude toward, 253–4, 256, 262, 268, 282; legal right to, 64–5, 254, 265, 451 n.196; model for prison administrators, 150–1, 169, 267–71; normative critique of, 267, 269, 292; self-motivated approach by prisons, 96, 233, 141, 165, 259–62; source of standards for judges, 255–6, 258, 263–6
- Religion (*see also* First amendment): observance by prisoners, 38, 40, 402 n.66
- Rhodes v. Chapman*, 48, 86
- Right to treatment, 257n, 452 n.203
- Rights, *see* Prisoners' rights
- Rizzo v. Goode*, 251
- Robinson v. California*, 37, 159
- Rockefeller, Wintrop, 58, 59, 74
- Roe v. Wade*, 203, 246, 299, 338, 392 n.21
- Ruffo v. Inmates of the Suffolk Co. Jail*, 95, 383–4
- Ruiz v. Estelle*, 81–95
- Rule of law: administrative state and, 23, 210, 249–51, 283–4, 348–51, 471 n.19, 471 n.25, 471 n.27; creation of doctrine and, 21–2, 208, 241–7, 348–51; defined, 207–8, 346–7, 434 n.13; judicial attitudes and, 220; judicial policymaking and, 209, 241–8, 346–7; prison reform cases and, 18–9, 21–2, 247–8, 348
- San Antonio, 199
- San Jose, *see* Santa Clara County Jails
- Sandin v. Connor*, 272n
- Santa Clara County Board of Supervisors: attitude toward jails, 113, 116, 118, 123, 125; contempt, held in, 124–5; creates Department of Corrections, 125–6, 306; response to judicial decisions, 118–20, 125–6
- Santa Clara County Department of Corrections: created, 125; organized, 126; upheld by courts, 127
- Santa Clara County Jails: *Batchelder v. Geary*, 112–3; *Branson v. Winter*, 114–27; classification in, 114, 122; conclusion of litigation, 126–8; conditions, 112–6, 122; county government and, 113, 116, 118–20, 123, 125–6; effect of judicial decision, 127–8; initiation of litigation, 114–5; legal representation of, 112, 114; master, 116, 118, 121–7, 311, 368; response to judicial decisions, 116–20; settlement efforts, 119–21
- Santa Rosa County Jails, 308
- Sarver, C. Robert, 59–62, 65–6, 68, 168, 307–8
- Schoen, Kenneth, 368–9
- Separation of powers: administrative state and, 23, 314–6, 327, 333–5, 341–6; barrier to prisoner suits, 34, 301; branches of government and, 312–6, 326–7; checks and balances and, 325–6, 329, 345–6, 383, 466 n.93; Constitution, as source of, 20, 323–30, 341–6, 466 n.97; defined, 312–3, 324, 461 n.31; effect of, 311–2; formalist argument for, 324–30, 465 n.787; functionalist argument for, 330–5, 462 n.34, 365 n.77; judicial competence and, 316–23; judicial independence and, 312–3, 462 n.36; judicial power and, 324–30; judicial rejection of, 298, 317, 319–23, 329–30; obsolescence of, 314–6, 319–21, 326–8,

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-77734-6 - Judicial Policy Making and the Modern State: How the Courts Reformed America's Prisons

Malcolm M. Feeley and Edward L. Rubin

Index

[More information](#)

Subject Index

489

- 333–5, 341–6; prison reform cases and, 18, 298–9; specialization, distinguished from, 313–4; theoretical basis of, 314–6, 342
- Silverstein, Thomas, 131–2, 140–1, 141n, 415 n.102
- Slavery (*see also* Plantation; Thirteenth amendment): Arkansas prisons and, 52; crop lien system and, 151–2; features, 153–7; federalism and, 176; slave of the state doctrine, 152–3; Southern identity and, 200
- Social morality, *see* Public values
- Solutions (in policymaking), *see* Creation of doctrine; Judicial policymaking; Rule of law
- South: acceptance of prison reform, 168–9; as political community, 198, 200; divergence of penal practice, 151–8, 254, 259; target of prison reform effort, 39–43, 150, 279–81; tension with ACA, 163
- Special assistants, *see* Judges (staff); Masters
- Special masters, *see* Masters
- Specialization (*see also* Separation of powers): 314–6, 321, 343–4
- Standards (*see also* American Correctional Association (ACA); Bureau of Prisons): ACA and, 96, 103–5, 162–6, 370–2; Bureau of Prisons and, 57, 62, 164–6, 202, 273, 368–9, 381; development of generally, 221–33; national, imposition of, 162–71, 174, 176–7, 194–5, 202–3, 370–2, 385–6; substantive, imposition of, 303–7
- State government (*see also* Santa Clara County; *see also* entries for individual states): community and, 197–8; decentralization and, 175–6; experimentation and, 187–8; federalism and, 171–6, 190–4, 426 n.125, 428 n.140, 430 n.151; participation by citizens, 181–2; prison governance and, 182, 193, 285–6, 331–2; prison reform efforts (self-motivated), 59, 91–2, 98–100, 112–4, 165–6, 367–8; response to judicial decisions, 58–9, 65, 66–9, 72–3, 87–9, 91, 98–100, 102–03, 107, 111, 168–9; similarities among, 185
- Stateville Penitentiary, 30–1, 271, 278, 286, 398 n.6
- Strafkolonie*, *see* *In the Penal Colony*
- Super-maximum security prisons (*see also* Marion Penitentiary): 140, 142–3
- Supreme Court, U.S. (*see also* Federalism; Separation of powers; *see also* entries for individual cases): Arkansas litigation, 72–3; counter-majoritarian difficulty and, 246–8, 330–5; motivates prison reform, 159–60, 257–8, 273–4; retrenchment of prison reform, 47–9; role in policymaking, 231–2; role in prison reform cases, 43–5, 72–3, 145, 167, 232, 247–8, 319; vertical coordination, 231–2
- Sweeney v. Woodall*, 36–7
- Talley v. Stephens*, 39, 55–6
- Texas Department of Corrections (TDC) (*see also* Texas prisons): history, 82–4; legal representation of, 80–2; loss of prestige, 89–90; lying by, 84–5, 88–9; negotiation by, 85–7; new facilities, 93; response to judicial decisions, 80, 82–5, 93–4, 168–9, 306; response to master, 86–7
- Texas prisons: bureaucratization of, 87, 89–92, 295; (pre-*Ruiz*) character, 82–4, 88–9, 295; classification in, 93; conclusion of litigation, 93–5; conditions (before litigation), 82–4, 88–9, 295; consent decree, 85–9; corporal punishment in, 83, 156; crowding, 92–3; effect of judicial decisions, 89–95; eighth amendment and, 84–5; *Estelle v. Gamble*, 44; history (to 1974), 82–4, 379; initiation of litigation, 80–1; insulation from political process, 83; master, 76, 85–90, 310–1; programs in, 87, 90, 92; response to judicial decisions, 85, 86, 89–91, 93–4; *Ruiz v. Estelle*, 41, 81–2, 84–95; settlement efforts, 85–6, 93–4; state government and, 87–9, 91
- Thirteenth amendment: exclusion of prisoners, 152–3; remedy for prisoners, 63
- Totality of conditions doctrine, *see* Eighth amendment
- Trusties: Arkansas, 52–3, 64, 67; plantation origins, 154–5; Texas (building tenders), 83, 88–9, 94
- Tucker Farm (Ark.) (*see also* Arkansas prisons): acquisition of, 52; graveyard at, 58; litigation against, 55–79; Marion and, 58; Tucker telephone, 56–7
- Turner, William, 81, 88
- Violence (in prison): against guards, 131–2; against prisoners, 35, 54, 74, 88, 136; among prisoners, 54, 84, 90–1, 98–9, 131–2; criteria for assessing, 365
- Violent Crime Control and Enforcement Act, 50, 382, 385–6
- Ward, David, 136, 142
- Wardens, *see* Prison officials

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-77734-6 - Judicial Policy Making and the Modern State: How the Courts
Reformed America's Prisons

Malcolm M. Feeley and Edward L. Rubin

Index

[More information](#)

490

White, Mark, 88–90

Whitley v. Albers, 48–9, 380

Wilson v. Seiter, 48–9, 380, 383

Winter, Robert (Sheriff), 114, 116, 118,
122, 125–7

Subject Index

Wolff v. McDonnell, 43–4

Women's prisons, 112–3, 116, 142, 378

Writ-writers: initiating lawsuits, 55–6, 80,
401 n.55; receiving judicial protection,
56