

Contents

<i>List of plates</i>	<i>page</i> viii
<i>List of figures</i>	ix
<i>List of tables</i>	xi
<i>List of contributors</i>	xiii
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xvii
1 Researching the public sphere of biotechnology MARTIN W. BAUER AND GEORGE GASKELL	1
Part I The framing of a new technology: 1973–1996	
2 Promise, problems and proxies: twenty-five years of debate and regulation in Europe HELGE TORGERSEN, JÜRGEN HAMPEL, MARIE-LOUISE VON BERGMANN-WINBERG, ELEANOR BRIDGMAN, JOHN DURANT, EDNA EINSIEDEL, BJÖRN FJÆSTAD, GEORGE GASKELL, PETRA GRABNER, PETRA HIEBER, ERLING JELSØE, JESPER LASSEN, ATHENA MAROUDA-CHATJOULIS, TORBEN HVIID NIELSEN, TIMO RUSANEN, GEORGE SAKELLARIS, FRANZ SEIFERT, CARLA SMINK, TOMASZ TWARDOWSKI AND MERCI WAMBUI KAMARA	21
3 Media coverage 1973–1996: trends and dynamics JAN M. GUTTELING, ANNA OLOFSSON, BJÖRN FJÆSTAD, MATTHIAS KOHRING, ALEXANDER GOERKE, MARTIN W. BAUER AND TIMO RUSANEN. WITH THE FURTHER COOPERATION OF: AGNES ALLANSDDOTTIR, ANNE BERTHOMIER, SUZANNE DE CHEVEIGNÉ, HELLE FREDERIKSEN, GEORGE GASKELL, MARTINA LEONARZ, MILTOS LIAKOPOULOS, ARNE THING MORTENSEN, ANDRZEJ PRZESTALSKI, GEORG RUHRMANN, MARIA RUSANEN, MICHAEL SCHANNE, FRANZ SEIFERT, ANGELIKI STATHOPOULOU AND WOLFGANG WAGNER	95

vi	Contents	
4	The institutions of bioethics	129
	JEAN-CHRISTOPHE GALLOUX, ARNE THING MORTENSEN, SUZANNE DE CHEVEIGNÉ, AGNES ALLANSDDOTTIR, AIGLI CHATJOULI AND GEORGE SAKELLARIS	
5	Controversy, media coverage and public knowledge	149
	MARTIN W. BAUER AND HEINZ BONFADELLI	
Part II Public representations in 1996: structures and functions		
6	Traditional blue and modern green resistance	179
	TORBEN HVIID NIELSEN, ERLING JELSØE AND SUSANNA ÖHMAN	
7	The structure of public perceptions	203
	CEES MIDDEN, DANIEL BOY, EDNA EINSIEDEL, BJÖRN FJÆSTAD, MILTOS LIAKOPOULOS, JON D. MILLER, SUSANNA ÖHMAN AND WOLFGANG WAGNER	
8	European regions and the knowledge deficit model	224
	NICK ALLUM, DANIEL BOY AND MARTIN W. BAUER	
9	Pandora’s genes – images of genes and nature	244
	WOLFGANG WAGNER, NICOLE KRONBERGER, NICK ALLUM, SUZANNE DE CHEVEIGNÉ, CARMEN DIEGO, GEORGE GASKELL, MARCUS HEINßEN, CEES MIDDEN, MARIANNE ØDEGAARD, SUSANNA ÖHMAN, BIANCA RIZZO, TIMO RUSANEN AND ANGELIKI STATHOPOULOU	
Part III The watershed years 1996/97: two case studies		
10	Testing times – the reception of Roundup Ready soya in Europe	279
	JESPER LASSEN, AGNES ALLANSDDOTTIR, MILTOS LIAKOPOULOS, ARNE THING MORTENSEN AND ANNA OLOFSSON	
11	Brave new sheep – the clone named Dolly	313
	EDNA EINSIEDEL, AGNES ALLANSDDOTTIR, NICK ALLUM, MARTIN W. BAUER, ANNE BERTHOMIER, AIGLI CHATJOULI, SUZANNE DE CHEVEIGNÉ, ROBIN DOWNEY, JAN M. GUTTELING, MATTHIAS KOHRING,	

Contents	vii
----------	-----

MARTINA LEONARZ, FEDERICA MANZOLI, ANNA
OLOFSSON, ANDRZEJ PRZESTALSKI, TIMO RUSANEN,
FRANZ SEIFERT, ANGELIKI STATHOPOULOU AND
WOLFGANG WAGNER

Part IV The transatlantic puzzle

12	Worlds apart? Public opinion in Europe and the USA	351
	GEORGE GASKELL, PAUL THOMPSON AND NICK ALLUM	

Part V Towards a social theory of new technology

13	The biotechnology movement	379
	MARTIN W. BAUER AND GEORGE GASKELL	

<i>Index</i>	405
--------------	-----

Plates

9.1	Photo of tomato in Austrian newspaper	<i>page 259</i>
	<i>Source:</i> Reprinted from <i>Salzburger Nachrichten</i> , 19 March 1997, © Erwin Johann Wodicka.	
9.2	Photo of ‘Belgian Blue’	260
	<i>Source:</i> Helsingborgs bild AB/Scanpix Sverige AB.	
11.1	Dolly, the cloned sheep, facing the world’s media cameras	320
	<i>Source:</i> Murdo Macleod, February 1997 (M@murdophoto.com).	
11.2	Professor Wilmut contemplating a test tube	322
	<i>Source:</i> Reuters ROS06 25FEB97 file: PP.Medical.exp.	
11.3	Cover page of <i>Der Spiegel</i> , 3 March 1997	337

Figures

3.1	Themes in the coverage of modern biotechnology across Europe, 1973–1996	<i>page</i> 103
3.2	Actors in the coverage of modern biotechnology across Europe, 1973–1996	106
3.3	Reported locations of modern biotechnology activity across Europe, 1973–1996	109
3.4	Relation between negative or positive coverage of modern biotechnology and public attitude and knowledge across Europe	115
4.1	Ethics as a theme in the press coverage of biotechnology, 1973–1995	131
5.1	Biotechnology as a topic of conversation by 1996	163
5.2	Knowledge gap, 1996, and level of polity activity, 1985–1998	166
5.3	Political polarisation and knowledge gap, 1996	167
6.1	Anticipated effects of new technologies in the next twenty years: EU 15, 1996	181
6.2	General expectations about biotechnology in the next twenty years: the balance of opinion in the EU, 1978–1996	182
6.3	Expectations about biotechnology in the next twenty years across nations, 1996	183
6.4	The sceptics’ arguments	190
7.1	General attitudes to six different technologies	204
7.2	Specific attitudes to six applications of genetic technology	206
7.3	Mean aggregate attitudes across Europe	208
7.4	A structural model representing antecedent factors of attitudes toward biotechnology	213
7.5	Country differences in average attitude extremity	217
7.6	Country differences in knowledge: correspondence analysis, canonical normalisation	219

x	List of figures	
8.1	Intra-country correlation between knowledge and attitudes to biotechnology in relation to GDP per head	229
8.2	Correspondence analysis of clusters of engagement with biotechnology and patterns of regional development across Europe	237
9.1	Evaluations and topics mentioned by respondents from ten European countries when asked about biotechnology: correspondence analysis, canonical normalisation	247
9.2	Scatterplot of European countries by average level of textbook knowledge and average level of having heard or read about biotechnology	251
9.3	Correspondence analysis of open question for countries, evaluative tone and images	266
12.1	Mean support for five applications of biotechnology: Europe and USA	353
12.2	Number of articles about biotechnology in opinion-leading press, 1984–1996	357
13.1	The biotechnology movement	388
13.2	Challenge and response within the movement	389
13.3	The technology movement reaching out to the public	391
13.4	The three arenas of the public sphere	392
13.5	European elite press coverage of biotechnology, 1973–1996	396
13.6	The movement mobilising milieus in the three arenas	398

Tables

2.1	General issues in the biotechnology debate	<i>page</i> 24
2.2	Definitions of terms	25
2.3	Phases in the debate about biotechnology	27
3.1	Number of articles and distribution across Europe by octade, 1973–1996	102
3.2	Journalistic framing of modern biotechnology in the opinion-leading press across Europe, 1973–1996	111
3.3	Spearman rank order correlations across countries between public perception and knowledge, with positive or negative overall characteristics of the coverage of modern biotechnology, and themes, actor groups and location of activity	114
3A.1	Main characteristics of the research material	120
3A.2	Main themes in the coverage of modern biotechnology across Europe: trends, 1973–1996	122
3A.3	Main actors in the coverage of modern biotechnology across Europe: trends, 1973–1996	124
3A.4	Main location of reported activity in the coverage of modern biotechnology across Europe: trends, 1973–1996	126
5.1	Knowledge levels and knowledge gaps in different countries	157
5.2	Relevance of biotechnology and level of education by country	159
5.3	Message discrimination by level of education and relevance	160
5.4	Interpersonal communication by level of education and relevance	164
5.5	Knowledge levels by education and message discrimination	165
5.6	Knowledge gaps, changes in knowledge gaps, intensity of media coverage, and trends in coverage	168

xii	List of tables	
5A.1	Knowledge indicators by level of education	173
6.1	Profiles of ‘blue’ and ‘green’ opponents in five European countries, 1996	186
6.2	Valuation of biotechnology by the ‘blue’ and ‘green’ segments in Sweden	194
7.1	Positive and negative expectations about biotechnology	209
7.2	The positions of European countries in terms of evaluative consistency	209
7.3	Relation between knowledge and general and specific attitudes	219
8.1	Public understanding of science in the transition from industrial to post-industrial society	226
8.2	The variables used in the analysis of the multilevel model groups	231
8.3	The variance explained in the multilevel models	234
8.4	Some European regions classified by economic dynamism	236
9.1	Comparison of the analysis results of the open question responses for six European countries	249
9.2	Main characteristics of data sets and results of analyses	274
11.1	Dolly day by day, 24 February – 5 March 1997	326
11.2	Dolly: type of benefit and risk evaluations	335
11.3	Dolly: the image of science	335
12.1	Three common logics in relation to attitudes to five applications of biotechnology	354
12.2	Distribution of logics for five applications of biotechnology: Europe and USA	355
12.3	Content of press coverage in the USA and Europe	359
12.4	Trust in the US Department of Agriculture and the federal Food and Drugs Administration	373
13.1	The operating principles of the three arenas of the public sphere	394